View metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk
brought to you by CORE
provided by Flinders Academic Commons

Archived at the Flinders Academic Commons:
http://hdl.handle.net/2328/27231

This is a scan of a document number DUN/Speeches/3137
in the Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.
http://www.flinders.edu.au/library/info/collections/special/dunstan/

Title:
Max Poulter Memorial Lecture (drafts with handwritten revisions)

Please acknowledge the source as:
Dunstan Collection, Flinders University Library.
Identifier: DUN/Speeches/3137

© Copyright Estate Donald Allan Dunstan

In numbers of Nation States today the constitution whether an evolved and unwritten one like that of Great Britain or a specifically enacted one such as that of New Zealand simply provides that Government is to legislate for the peace order and good Government of its citizens. There is no limitation upon the power of Governments to legislate according to the wishes of the people and according to the needs of times in which they are legislating. When the States and Provinces of Australia were originally given constitutions a similar situation obtained for the State Governments of Australia. They were to legislate for the peace order and good Government of their citizens and six such State Governments came into being.

At the turn of the century, however, it had become evident that there were a number of matters of mutual concern which were better settled and administered at the national level and that the States of Australia should join together to deal with these matters. At this time it was not the general view of citizens in this country that it was the responsibility of Governments to manage the general state of the economy, to concern themselves with the level of employment or the stability of the currency the level of credit in the community or the rates and direction of economic development. Laissez Faire was still a popular economic doctrine. The things which concerned the delegates to the Conventions which worked out the Australian Federal Constitution were provisions for the defence of the country, that we should have a unified post office system, that we should put an end to customs barriers between the States. The National Government was not seen as a Government to be responsible for economic planning. Indeed although certain powers in relation to laws with respect to banking other than State banking were given to the Federal Parliament the conventions clearly understood that there would be no power for the Commonwealth Government itself to enter the banking field, (an understanding of the wording which they had provided in the constitution with which later the High Court did not agree.) The convention delegates were State politicians concerned with the interests of their own areas and anxious to see that the things that concerned the ordinary citizen

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

continued to be dealt with by State Governments, Governments having the general power of legislating for peace order and good Government. The new National Parliament was only to be given certain specific powers and these subject to a number of general restrictions. It must be remembered that these men were living at a time when there was no air or motor communication between the States. There was not a great deal of interstate rail traffic. It was indeed a horse and buggy era. The politicians of that time were no more far-sighted and capable of forecasting future economic and social development than the politicians of today. They are now often referred to as the Founding Fathers with a couple of capital Fs, and spoken of in terms of reverence as if they were something more than men and those who now suggest that what they wrote for us is inadequate to the present day are treated as something less than men.

The Federal constitution is a complicated document. The relationship between the Federal Government and the State Governments is but little clearly understood by the average citizen in this community. It is common for citizens to confuse the areas of activity of State and Federal Governments. In two years as Minister for Social Welfare in the State of South Australia I received a stream of letters every week to ask why I wasn't providing better old age pensions and had painstakingly to write to each one of these correspondents to explain that old age pensions were provided by the Commonwealth and not by the State Parliament. The constitution is extremely difficult to alter. The only effective means of altering it is by carrying a referendum which as far as transfers of powers as between the States and the Commonwealth are concerned must be carried by a majority of citizens in a majority of States. Since the average citizen does not for the most part understand the provisions of the constitution and finds constitutional issues difficult it is all too easy for the opponents of any change to confuse the average citizen on a constitutional referendum and induce him because of his state of doubt to vote no as a measure of safety. As the century wore on

..........3

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

the attitude of people generally to the responsibilities of Government has changed. It is now widely accepted that Governments should be responsible for planning development, should be responsible for the general state of the economy, should control the level and to some extent the direction of credit. Moreover the matters of mutual concern in the economy between citizens of various States are now so many and varied that we no longer have a series of States tentatively engaged in a few interstate transactions but a national economy illogically split up by the geographical boundaries of States drawn in such a way as to bear no relationship whatever to developing economic regions and to the mutual interest and economic activity of citizens on either side of the borders. We have a national economy developed to the stage where the Governments of countries with comparable economies have found necessary a wide regulation of economic activity but where we in our community find it constitutionally difficult or impossible to prescribe similar regulations and so ensure orderly development and growth and the protection of our citizens. Let me give just two examples. All comparable countries with our own have now had for some time legislation with regard to restrictive trade practices. The United States of America, that haven of free enterprise and rugged individualism has had the Sherman Acts and their sequels since the 1890's. In Australia the directors of numbers of large concerns have been able to get away with restrictive trade practices activity clearly contrary to the public interest for which in the United States of America they would face gaol sentences. The Commonwealth Government only has power to make laws with relation to restrictive trade practice activities so far as these are involved in interstate transactions. The early attempts of Labor Governments of the Commonwealth level to legislate in this area were held largely invalid by a decision of the Privy Council in what was known as the Coal Vend Case. Subsequently many State Governments endeavoured to legislate in the area but found that it was so difficult to separate intrastate transactions, the only area in which they had any power, from interstate

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

transactions that their measures were ineffective. The Commonwealth has now legislated in relation to interstate restrictive trade activities in a way which has, I believe, the weakest controls upon this kind of activity of any legislation which I have seen in this area. However, the measure does not apply to intrastate transactions except in the State of Tasmania. There the State Parliament has referred to the Federal Parliament the power of the State to legislate for intrastate transactions. A similar attempt in South Australia failed because our Upper House which has been elected on a property franchise with a voluntary vote, is completely unrepresentative of the general citizens in the State, laid the Bill aside. The other States of the Commonwealth have not acted in any way to provide that the Commonwealth Restrictive Trade Practices Tribunal will have power in relation to intrastate restrictive trade practices. As numbers of these exist and are clearly contrary to the public interest action against restrictive trade practices in Australia must remain ineffective to a considerable degree because of our constitutional divisions. Referenda presented to the people in the early part of this century seeking power for the Commonwealth to legislate in relation to monopolies were defeated.

The second example is that of Section 92 of the constitution. While our Federal Government was given power in very similar terms to those of the United States Federal Government to legislate in respect of interstate trade, Section 92 of the constitution, (and there is no similar provision in the United States constitution,) provides that trade commerce and intercourse between the States shall be absolutely free, and this has been held by the Courts to mean free of burden. This has meant that it is difficult to operate in Australia an effective national roads policy. More and more of transport interstate of goods is by road, yet because of this section of the constitution it is impossible to demand of interstate road hauliers an effective and proper contribution to the maintenance of

.........5

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

the roads with whose surfaces their heavy vehicles play such havoc. While our economy is undoubtedly now one in which the main features are those of economic inter-dependence of citizens in one part of Australia with those of another, difficulties with unemployment, for instance in South Australia, have come about through a decline in the markets for our pressed metal goods, motor cars and home appliances, largely in Queensland and New South Wales, for only 15% of our product in these areas goes to the home market in South Australia. While then that is the outstanding feature of our present day economy, we have no Government in Australia with the powers of Governments elsewhere in the world in comparable economies, and able to exercise the powers which those governments have found it necessary to exercise for the protection and well-being of their citizens. We have instead seven sovereign Parliaments, each required to operate within a limited field andnone able to operate in a number of fields found elsewhere to be necessary to Governments. In these circumstances it is clear that the majority of citizens in Australia are sick of this particular situation. They rightly consider that they are burdened with far too many politicians exercising powers on their behalf often ineffectively. The Labor Party's policy of amendment of the Commonwealth Constitution to clothe the Commonwealth Parliament with unlimited powers and with a duty and authority to create States possessing delegated constitutional powers is one then that has a great deal of attraction to many citizens. To have one sovereign Parliament with subordinate provincial or county Governments would mean that Australia could effectively cope with numbers of problems facing it today concerning which its Governments are ineffective and inhibited, but there are very great difficulties in a way of achieving this aim. It is true that the Federal Constitution could be amended to clothe the Commonwealth Parliament to make laws for peace order and good Government of the Commonwealth without the restrictions contained in section 51, specifying the particular subjects only on

........6

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

which such laws may be made by the Commonwealth. The restrictions could be removed by the passing of a referendum by a majority of citizens of the Commonwealth and by a majority in a majority of States. However, then to give the Parliament power to replace the States with subordinate legislatures, having of course different boundaries from the States, is quite another matter. The last paragraph of section 28 of the Federal Constitution reads as follows:-

> "No alteration of the Constitution diminishing the proportionate representation of any State in either House of the Parliament or the minimum number of representatives of a State in the House of Representatives or increasing diminishing or otherwise altering the limits of the State or in any manner effecting the provisions of the constitution in relation thereto shall become law unless the majority electors voting in that State approve the proposed law."

This means that we would have to get a majority of electors in each State affected, and as all States would be affected, a majority of electors in every State. In view of the history of referenda in Australia the prospect of success is at this stage rather gloomy. In the previous decade the Menzies Government in Canberra appointed a constitutional review committee with representation from both sides of the House, and this Committee reported strongly in favour of a number of substantial amendments to the Federal Constitution, particularly in the areas of exercising power to direct the economy and development. Only one referendum has been put concerning the recommendations of the Committee, at that a very minor recommendation indeed, which was that the nexus between the numbers of the House of Representatives and the Senate should be broken but it should be possible to increase the numbers of the House of Representatives without having any increase in the Senate at the same time equal to half the increase in the House of Representatives. The referendum campaign

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

produced a 'No' vote and it was apparent that the majority of citizens thought that they were voting for no increase in the number of politicians, whereas in fact the question they were deciding was whether there should be a marginal increase of 12 to 14 members in the House of Representatives or minimum increase in the Federal Parliament of 72. Very few of them have apparently realized that it was the latter they voted for. It has been urged that one bold and sweeping reform such as a change to the unitry constitution would be a simpler matter to put at a referendum than the particular changes as between State and Federal powers or the changes in procedures which have been put to the people previously. However, it is apparent from what I have already said that the referendum itself would not be so simple a matter and would require even more widespread support than previous referenda would have required to be carried. What is more, it is not possible to put to people in Australia the suggestion that they should change to a unitry constitution with provincial or county governments and subordinate legislatures unless you can show what powers those provincial Governments would be likely to be given and in what areas they would operate. That's not just a matter of drawing lines on a map. The counties or provinces would have to have an effective basis already in regional organization for the proposal to have any appeal at all. It couldn't be just an arbitrary map. The provinces would have to be clearly natural entities. No such entities really exist now. Significant steps for their development were taken by the Chifley Government before it was defeated and a report on the progress then made is contained in "Regional Planning in Australia" published by the Department of Post-War Reconstruction in 1949. By agreement with the States they had then been divided into a number of regional planning areas, Queensland into 18, New South Wales 20, Victoria 13, Tasmania 6, South Australia 20 and Western Australia 16. Planning authorities, development associations were already working on some of these and it was quite a good beginning, but upon the election of the Menzies Government in 1949 the whole plan was quite

..........8

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

ruthlessly scrapped and a great deal of planning development which could have taken place in Australia in the interim simply has not occurred. Such a plan will have to be got under way once again and have time to develop before it will be possible to suggest areas of county government in Australia. It is plain then that a great deal of time must elapse before there is any possibility of altering the constitution in Australia to a unitary one. There are, however, forces tending to the collapse of the Federal system in addition to those which are mentioned which will help to bring a reform about. The financial arrangements between the States and the Commonwealth and the way that these have been operated by the present Federal Government will eventually bring any kind of effective Government under the Federal system to a standstill. Under the Financial Agreement of the 1920's which is now part of the Federal Constitution of Australia the States and the Commonwealth meet in loan council to determine the amount of the year's loan raisings. Under the terms of the agreement a majority in loan council can decide on the amount of loan raisings. However, for many years the amounts which it has been possible to borrow for Government loan have been less than the total loan programme agreed by the Commonwealth and the States. Therefore, the Commonwealth Government out of revenues has underwritten the loan programme, and having raised money by way of taxes from the citizens of the States it then lends them back to the citizens of the States through the State Government and charges interest on them. It is no longer possible because of this for the States to do other than agree to the amount which the Commonwealth fixes as the total loan programme although this is quite inadequate for basic works undertakings, housing and education in Australia, things for which the State Governments have responsibility. The Commonwealth Government is denying investment in the public sector in basic development and education at the level sought by most citizens, and at the same time is squeezing the budgets of every State by demanding that a larger and larger proportion of the States' annual budget goes to interest payments every year. The Commonwealth is using its revenues to force the States into these interest payments and at the same time is using its revenues to reduce its own interest burdens,

.......9

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

so that a smaller and smaller proportion of the Commonwealth budget goes to interest every year. While the only exclusive taxing power which the Commonwealth has by the constitution is in the imposition of customs and excise duties, nevertheless it has been able in the income tax sphere to obtain by virtue of the Federal Constitution a priority in payment to the Commonwealth of income tax and has then so far taken up taxable capacity that it is not possible for the States to invade the sphere of income tax. It makes financial reimbursements to the States out of the income tax which it raises on condition that they do not impose an income tax but in this area again it has presented the States with a number of financial difficulties and forced them into decisions which are unjust to their citizens and are generally unpalatable. All State budgets are stretched to the limit. If additional expenditures are required of the States then they must either raise taxes and charges or reduce their services in the long run. Under the terms of the financial agreement it is not possible for the States to run a deficit budget for more than a very limited period because in order to meet their deficits they have no power to issue Treasury Bills and may not borrow for more than an extremely short period moneys from their own banking systems. The only way to finance a deficit then is to use up cash balances held at Treasury for various particular trust purposes, but this is not something that can go on forever. A reasonable degree of liquidity in Treasury funds always has to be held so that there can be no doubt that the State can always meet the obligations with which it is faced and so States unlike the Commonwealth are not in a position to run deficit budgets indefinitely. Each time there is a decision by the Conciliation and Arbitration Court to increase wages and salaries the States have to pay their own employees these increases. The Commonwealth has to pay its employees the increases but the rise in the wage level results in an increase in Commonwealth revenues of decidedly greater proportions than the pay out which the Commonwealth has to make to its own employees, but the Commonwealth does not return out of its

..........10

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

revenue to the States sufficient to cover the extra expense to them of each wage increase. Therefore the States have had to increase regressive taxes and charges on State instrumentalities and the State services by 100% in the last seven years, or at least they have had to alter these in order to get a 100% increase in revenue in the last seven years from the areas of State taxation and State charges. At the same time, without altering its taxation rates, the Commonwealth both from inflation and from increased business activities has had an increase in its progressive tax revenues of 100%, but it has only returned an increase to the States during that period of 70% on the amount of financial reimbursement from these taxes paid to the States. It is quite obvious that this is putting the States in an increasingly difficult position. They have insufficient money to meet their responsibilities in the areas of basic development and education but in order to do better or even to keep up with the existing standard of services they are having to increase regressive taxes which fall heavily upon the poorer sections of the community. The position of State Governments in carrying out their responsibilities is becoming increasingly difficult in consequence and this is likely to tend to the people seeking some alternative from the present constitution arrangements. What then is the role of a State Labor Government in all this? It is clear that despite the matters I have mentioned State Governments will be in operation for some decades yet. They have very great areas of responsibility. The services which they provide are services which are of vital concern to the average citizen. It is vital that State Labor Governments be in office firstly to maintain services. We have to see that basic public utility services are provided to the community at the cheapest possible rate and with adequate administration. Unlike our opponents in the Liberal Party we do not regard public undertakings as unfortunate evils which it may be necessary to keep on. While to us as socialists sans doctrine we do not consider there is any necessary magic about public enterprise or private undertakings, we consider each can play its part in the

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

community. The question for socialists is, is each sector of the economy meeting the social needs of the community and are the decisions as to the nature of development, the level of employment, the level of economic activity taken by people who are responsible to the citizens and not by those who can wield irresponsible economic power without answering to those whom they affect. To a Labor Government then, a great deal of that basic development can properly be undertaken by public enterprise and so to a Labor Government in South Australia the discovery of natural gas meant that we are determined that natural gas will be provided to industry in the industrial areas of the State at a reasonable price, the gas piped by and the price determined by a public utility. We do not believe it proper to hand over to an exploring company the right to exploit a gas field to pipe the gas and to charge the community as a monopoly in the field what it likes.

A State Labor Government can pioneer social reforms. It has been possible for us in South Australia to take steps with regard to our Aborigine population which are setting the pattern for Aborigines' administration in the Commonwealth. Let me tell you what we have done here. We believed, unlike the other States, that a policy of assimilation demanding of the aborigine that his own future was to be so absorbed into the European community that the only discernable difference was the colour of his skin, was arrogant, impossible of achievement and morally wrong. The Aborigines are not, as so many people seem to think that they are, a primitive, stone age people. They are a people who over 18,000 years have developed an extraordinarily complex social structure with a culture concentrating on their social relationships on myth, dream life dance and ceremonial and completely unconcerned with the continued accumulation of material things. It was our belief in South Australia that it was necessary to give to Aborigine people the widest possible area of choices for their future. If they wished to be completely absorbed into the European community then every facility should be given to them for that. If they wished to live in a de-tribalised situation but in association with other people of the aborigine race then they should have that opportunity. If they wish to live on reserve lands in an aborigine community but going off to work in the general community or developing co-operative settlements or be it in a de-tribalized fashion

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

then they should have the right to do so. If they wish to live in a tribal situation merely adapting so far as was necessary to their contact with a European community which had different laws, for instance about criminal matters, as their own, then they should have an opportunity to do this. Therefore, the policy we should adopt is one of integration giving them the widest possible choices as to the manner in which they would fit into the materialist and European community which has now surrounded them. There were three steps we believed had to be taken about this and we have taken each of them. The first was to remove from aborigines all legal restrictions by virtue of race, the old protection legislation the last vestages of which seem to linger on in the State of Queensland have been swept away in South Australia. No aborigine is under any disability whatever by virtue of his race and has the same rights and responsibilities as other citizens. Secondly we had to remove the resentment of aborigines about the way in which they had been dealt with. Two pieces of legislation were passed here. The first relates to land rights. The aborigines are the only comparable indigenous people who have been given no rights to land. As a people what has happened in Australia is that theyhave had certain lands reserved for them which were Crown lands which could be removed from then by mere proclamation, and as you well know in this State where valuable mineral deposits have been found upon aborigine lands aborigines have not been paid the royalties and they have been removed from the areas which were their normal tribal reserve. In Western Australia on the borders of South Australia an area around Giles was simply excised from the central aborigines reserve without any compensation to the aborigines and handed over to a nickle mining company. This sort of thing has caused the most bitter resentment amongst aborigines. In South Australia at the founding of the province the aborigines were guaranteed their lands. They never got them. So we have passed an Aborigines Land Trust Act setting up a board of trustees consisting entirely of aborigines to whom are being transferred aborigine reserve lands in South Australia to hold on behalf of their people. It will no longer be possible

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

kinds. A State Labor Government accepting such a grant would then be in a position to expand its investment in the public sector and the limitations of the present Federal Constitution would be avoided to some degree. Upon a Labor Government's assuming office in Canberra the Labor governed States of Australia which have no fears about entering into undertakings and enterprises simply because these are publicly undertaken could become the pilot areas of Australia for development of the kind which we have lacked since in Canberra we have had a Government which has felt that basic development is really a matter for private investment. This could mean that with a Labor Government in Queensland and a Labor Government in Canberra we could see the kind of northern development about which people in this State have been talking for so long but of which we have seen so little. The duties then of State Labor Governments must be clear. To maintain public services as cheaply to the public as they can, to provide housing and education within the limits of their finances, to resist regressive taxation and endeavour to see that as much emphasis on progressive taxation and redistribution of incomes be maintained. [illegible] can be done to pioneer in the area of social reform to co-operate with a Federal Labor Government for national development.

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

21-7-67

Brisbane

In numbers of Nation States today the constitution whether an evolved and unwritten one like that of Great Britain or a specifically enacted one such as that of New Zealand simply provides that Government is to legislate for the peace, order and good Government of its citizens. There is no limitation upon the power of Governments to legislate according to the wishes of the people and according to the needs times in which they are legislating. When the States and Provinces of Australia were originally given constitutions a similar situation obtained for the State Governments of Australia. They were to legislate for the peace order and good Government of their citizens and ~~six such State Governments came into being~~ within their State borders.

At the turn of the century, however, it had become evident that there were a number of matters of mutual concern which were better settled and administered at the national level and ~~that~~ so the States of Australia should join together to deal with these matters. At this time it was not the general view of citizens in this country that it was the responsibility of Governments to manage the general state of the economy, to concern themselves with the level of employment or the stability of the currency, the level of credit in the community or the rate and direction of economic development. ~~Les Affaires~~ Laissez-Faire was still a popular economic doctrine. The things which concerned the delegates to the conventions which worked out the Australian Federal Constitution were provisions for the defence of the country, ~~that we should have a~~ a unified post office system, that we should put an end to customs barriers between the States. The National Government was not seen as a Government to be responsible for economic planning. Indeed although certain powers in relation to laws with respect to banking other than State banking were given to the Federal Parliament the conventions clearly understood that there would be no power for the Commonwealth Government itself to enter the banking field. That was an understanding of the wording which they had provided in the constitution with which later the High Court ~~did not~~ disagreed. The convention delegates were State politicians concerned with the interests of their own areas and anxious to see that the things that concerned the ordinary citizen

........2
........3

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

continued to be dealt with by State Governments, Governments having the general power of legislating for peace order and good government. The new National Parliament was only to be given certain specific powers and these subject to a number of general restrictions. It must be remembered that these men were living at a time when there was no air or motor communication between the States. There was not a great deal of interstate rail traffic. It was indeed a horse and buggy era. The politicians of that time were no more far-sighted and capable of forecasting future economic and social development than the politicians of today. They are now often referred to as the Founding Fathers with a couple of capital Fs, and spoken of in terms of reference as if they were something more than men and anyone who now suggests that what they wrote for us is inadequate to the present day is treated as something less than a man.

The Federal constitution is a complicated document. The relationship between the Federal Government and the State Governments is but little clearly understood by the average citizen in this community. It is common for citizens to confuse the areas of activity of State and Federal Governments. In two years as Minister for Social Welfare in the State of South Australia I received a stream of letters every week to ask why I wasn't providing better old age pensions and had painstakingly to write to each one of these correspondents to explain that old age pensions were provided by the Commonwealth and not by the State Parliament. The constitution is extremely difficult to alter. The only effective means of altering it is by carrying a referendum which as far as transfers of powers as between the States and the Commonwealth are concerned must be carried by a majority of citizens in a majority of States. Since the average citizen does not for the most part understand the provisions of the constitution and finds constitutional issues difficult, it is all too easy for the opponents of any change to confuse the average citizen on a constitutional referendum and induce him because of his state of doubt to vote No as a measure of safety. As the century wore on

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

the attitude of people generally to the responsibilities of Governments has changed. It is now widely accepted that Governments should be responsible for planning development, should be responsible for the general state of the economy, should control the level and to some extent the direction of credit. Moreover the matters of mutual concern in the economy between citizens of various States are now so many and varied that we no longer have a series of States tentatively engaged in a few interstate transactions but a national economy illogically split up by the geographical boundaries of States drawn in such a way as to bear no relationship whatever to developing economic regions and to the mutual interest and economic activity of citizens on either side of the borders. We have a national economy developed to the stage where the Governments of countries with comparable economies have found necessary a wide regulation of economic activity but where we in our community find it constitutionally difficult or impossible to prescribe similar regulations and so ensure orderly development and growth of the protection of our citizens. Let me give just two examples. All comparable countries with our own have now had for some time legislation with regard to restrictive trade practices. The United States of America, that haven of free enterprise and rugged individualism has had the Sherman Acts and their sequels since the 1890's. In Australia the directors of numbers of large concerns have been able to get away with restrictive trade practices, activity clearly contrary to the public interest for which in the United States of America they would face gaol sentences. The Commonwealth Government only has power to make laws with relation to restrictive trade practice activities so far as these are involved in interstate transactions. The early attempts of Labor Governments of the Commonwealth level to legislate in this area were held largely invalid by a decision of the Privy Council in what was known as the Coal Vend Case. Subsequently many State Governments endeavoured to legislate in the area but found that it was so difficult to separate intrastate transactions, the only area in which they had any power, from interstate

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

transactions that their measures were ineffective. The Commonwealth has now legislated in relation to interstate restrictive trade activities in a way for which has provided, I believe, the weakest controls upon this kind of activity of any legislation ~~which I have seen in this area~~ in comparable countries. However, the measure does not apply to intrastate transactions except in the State of Tasmania. There the State Parliament has referred to the Federal Parliament the power of the State to legislate for intrastate transactions. A similar attempt in South Australia failed because our Upper House which has been elected on a property franchise with a voluntary ~~and~~ vote, is completely unrepresentative of the general citizens in the State, laid the Bill aside. The other States of the Commonwealth have not acted in any way to provide that the Commonwealth Restrictive Trade Practices Tribunal will have power in relation to intrastate restrictive trade practices. As numbers of these exist and are clearly contrary to the public interest action against restrictive trade practices in Australia must remain ineffective to a considerable degree because of our constitutional divisions. Referenda presented to the people in the early part of this century seeking power for the Commonwealth to legislate in ~~relation to~~ monopolies were defeated.

The second example is that of Section 92 of the constitution. While our Federal Government was given power in very similar terms to those of the United States Federal Government to legislate in respect of interstate trade, Section 92 of the constitution, which has no counterpart in the US constitution ~~and there is no similar provision in the United States constitution~~, provides that trade commerce and intercourse between the States shall be absolutely free, and this has been held by the Courts to mean free of burden. This has meant that it is difficult to operate in Australia an effective national roads policy. More and more of transport interstate of goods is by road, yet because of this section of the constitution it is impossible to demand of interstate road hauliers an effective and proper contribution to the maintenance of

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

the roads with whose surfaces their heavy vehicles play such havoc. While our economy is undoubtedly now one in which the main features are those of economic inter-dependence of citizens in one part of Australia with ~~those of~~ another, difficulties with unemployment, for instance in South Australia, have come about through a decline in the markets for our pressed metal goods, motor cars and home appliances, largely in Queensland and New South Wales, ~~for~~ only 15% of our product in these areas ~~goes to the home market~~ in South Australia. While then that is the outstanding feature of our present day economy, we have no Government in Australia with the powers of Governments elsewhere in the world in comparable economies, and able to exercise the powers which those governments have found it necessary to exercise for the protection and well-being of their citizens. We have instead seven sovereign Parliaments, each required to operate within a limited field andnone able to operate in a number of fields found elsewhere ~~to be~~ necessary to Governments. In these circumstances it is clear that the majority of citizens in Australia are sick of this ~~particular~~ situation. They rightly consider that they are burdened with far too many politicians exercising powers on their behalf often ineffectively. The Labor Party's policy of amendment of the Commonwealth Constitution to clothe the Commonwealth Parliament with unlimited powers and with a duty and authority to create States possessing delegated constitutional powers is one then that has a great deal of attraction to many citizens. To have one sovereign Parliament with subordinate provincial or county Governments would mean that Australia could effectively cope with numbers of problems facing it today, concerning which its Governments today are ineffective and inhibited, but there are very great difficulties in the way of achieving this aim. It is true that the Federal Constitution could be amended to clothe the Commonwealth Parliament with power to make laws for peace order and good Government of the Commonwealth without the restrictions contained in section 51, which specify the particular subjects only on

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

which such laws may be made by the Commonwealth. The restrictions could be removed by the passing of a referendum by a majority of citizens of the Commonwealth and by a majority in a majority of States. However, then to give the Parliament power to replace the States with subordinate legislatures having of course different boundaries from the States, is quite another matter. The last paragraph of section 128 of the Federal Constitution reads as follows:-

> "No alteration of the Constitution diminishing the proportionate representation of any State in either House of the Parliament or the minimum number of representatives of a State in the House of Representatives or increasing, diminishing or otherwise altering the limits of the State or in any manner effecting the provisions of the constitution in relation thereto shall become law unless the majority of electors voting in that State approve the proposed law."

This means that we would have to get a majority of electors in each State affected, and as all States would be affected, a majority of electors in every State. In view of the history of referenda in Australia the prospect of success is at this stage rather gloomy. In the previous decade the Menzies Government in Canberra appointed a Constitutional Review Committee with representation from both sides of the House, and this Committee reported strongly in favour of a number of substantial amendments to the Federal Constitution, particularly in the areas of exercising power to direct the economy and development. Only one referendum has been put concerning the recommendations of the Committee, at that a very minor recommendation indeed, which was that the nexus between the numbers of the House of Representatives and the Senate should be broken but it should be possible to increase the numbers of the House of Representatives without having any increase in the Senate at the same time equal to half the increase in the House of Representatives. The referendum campaign

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

produced a 'No' vote and it was apparent that the majority of citizens thought that they were voting for no increase in the number of politicians, whereas in fact the question they were deciding was whether there should be a marginal increase of 12 to 14 members in the House of Representatives or minimum increase in the Federal Parliament of 72. Very few of them have apparently realized that it was the latter they voted for. It has been urged that one bold and sweeping reform such as a change to the unitry constitution would be a simpler matter to put at a referendum than the particular changes as between State and Federal powers or the changes in procedures which have been put to the people previously. However, it is apparent from what I have already said that the referendum itself would not be so simple a matter and would require even more widespread support than previous referenda would have required to be carried. What is more, it is not possible to put to people in Australia the suggestion that they should change to a unitry constitution with provincial or county governments and subordinate legislatures unless you can show what powers those provincial Governments would be likely to be given and in what areas they would operate. That's not just a matter of drawing lines on a map. The counties or provinces would have to have an effective basis already in regional organization for the proposal to have any appeal at all. It couldn't be just an arbitrary map. The provinces would have to be clearly natural entities. No such entities really exist now. Significant steps for their development were taken by the Chifley Government before it was defeated and a report on the progress then made is contained in Regional Planning in Australia published by the Department of Post-War Reconstruction in 1949. By agreement with the States they had then been divided into a number of regional planning areas, Queensland into 18, New South Wales 20, Victoria 13, Tasmania 6, South Australia 20 and Western Australia 16. Planning authorities development associations were already working on some of these and it was quite a good beginning, but upon the election of the Menzies Government in 1949 the whole plan was quite

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

ruthlessly scrapped and a great deal of planning development which could have taken place in Australia in the interim simply has not occurred. Such a plan will have to be got under way once again and have time to develop before it will be possible to suggest areas of county government in Australia. It is plain then that a great deal of time must elapse before there is any possibility of altering the constitution in Australia to a unitry one. There are, however, forces tending to the collapse of the Federal system in addition to those which are mentioned which will help to bring a reform about. The financial arrangements between the States and the Commonwealth and the way that these have been operated by the present Federal Government will eventually bring any kind of effective Government under the Federal system to a standstill. Under the Financial Agreement of the 1920's which is now part of the Federal Constitution of Australia the States and the Commonwealth meet in loan council to determine the amount of the year's loan raisings. Under the terms of the agreement a majority in loan council can decide on the amount of loan raisings. However, for many years the amounts which it has been possible to borrow for Government loan have been less than the total loan programme agreed by the Commonwealth and the States. Therefore, the Commonwealth Government out of revenues has underwritten the loan programme, and having raised money by way of taxes from the citizens of the States it then lends them back to the citizens of the States through the State Government and charges interest on them. It is no longer possible because of this for the States to do other than agree to the amount which the Commonwealth fixes as the total loan programme although this is quite inadequate for basic works undertakings housing and education in Australia, things for which the State Governments have responsibility. The Commonwealth Government is denying investment in the public sector in basic development and education at the level sought by most citizens and at the same time is squeezing the budgets of every State by demanding that a larger and larger proportion of the States' annual budget goes to interest payments every year. The Commonwealth is using its revenues to force the States into these interest payments and at the same time is using its revenues to reduce its own interest burdens,

.......9

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

so that a smaller and smaller proportion of the Commonwealth budget goes to interest every year. While the only exclusive taxing power which the Commonwealth has by the constitution is in the imposition of customs and excise duties, nevertheless it has been able in the income tax sphere to obtain by virtue of the Federal Constitution a priority in payment to the Commonwealth of income tax and has then so far taken up taxable capacity that it is not possible for the States to invade the sphere of income tax. It makes financial reimbursements to the States out of the income tax which it raises on condition that they do not impose an income tax but in this area again it has presented the States with a number of financial difficulties and forced them into decisions which are unjust to their citizens and are generally unpalatable. All State budgets are stretched to the limit. If additional expenditures are required of the States then they must either raise taxes and charges or reduce their services in the long run. Under the terms of the financial agreement it is not possible for the States to run a deficit budget for more than a very limited period because in order to meet their deficits they have no power to issue Treasury Bills and may not borrow for more than an extremely short period moneys from their own banking systesm. The only way to finance a deficit then is to use up cash balances held at Treasury for various particular trust purposes, but this is not something that can go on forever. A reasonable degree of liquidity in Treasury funds always has to be held so that there can be no doubt that the State can always meet the obligations with which it is faced and so States unlike the Commonwealth are not in a position to run deficit budgets indefinitely. Each time there is a decision by the Conciliation and Arbitration Court to increase wages and salaries the States have to pay their own employees these increases. The Commonwealth has to pay its employees the increases but the rise in the wage level results in an increase in Commonwealth revenues of decidedly greater proportions than the pay out which the Commonwealth has to make to its own employees but the Commonwealth does not return out of its

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

revenue to the States sufficient to cover the extra expense to them of each wage increase. Therefore the States have had to increase progressive taxes and charges on State instrumentalities in the State services by 100% in the last seven years, or at least they have had to alter these in order to get a 100% increase in revenue in the last seven years from the areas of State taxation and State charges. At the same time, without altering its taxation rates, the Commonwealth both from inflation and from increased business activities has had an increase in its progressive tax revenues of 100% but it has only returned an increase to the States during that period of 70% on the amount of financial reimbursement from these taxes paid to the States. It is quite obvious that this is putting the States in an increasingly difficult position. They have insufficient money to meet their responsibilities in the areas of basic development and education but in order to do better or even to keep up with the existing standard of services they are having to increase regressive taxes which fall heavily upon the poorer sections of the community. The position of State Governments in carrying out their responsibilities is becoming increasingly difficult in consequence and this is likely to tend to the people seeking some alternative from the present constitution arrangements. What then is the role of a State Labor Government in all this? It is clear that despite the matters I have mentioned State Governments will be in operation for some decades yet. They have very great areas of responsibility. The services which they provide are services which are of vital concern to the average citizen. It is vital that State Labor Governments be in office firstly to maintain services. We have to see that basic public utility services are provided to the community at the cheapest possible rate and with adequate administration. Unlike our opponents in the Liberal Party we do not regard public undertakings as unfortunate evils which it may be necessary to keep on. While to us as socialists sans doctrine we do not consider there is any necessary magic about public enterprise or private undertakings, we consider each can play its part in the

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

community. The question for socialists is, is each sector of the economy meeting the social needs of the community and are the decisions as to the nature of development, the level of employment, the level of economic activity taken by people who are responsible to the citizens and not by those who can wield irresponsible economic power without answering to those whom they effect. To a Labor Government then, a great deal of that basic development can properly be undertaken by public enterprise and so to a Labor Government in South Australia the discovery of natural gas meant that we are determined that natural gas will be provided to industry in the industrial areas of the State at a reasonable price, the gas piped by and the price determined by a public utility. We do not believe it proper to hand over to an exploring company the right to exploit a gas field to pipe the gas and to charge the community as a monopoly in the field what it likes.

A State Labor Government can pioneer social reforms. It has been possible for us in South Australia to take steps with regard to our Aborigine population which are setting the pattern for Aborigines' administration in the Commonwealth. Let me tell you what we have done here. We believed, unlike the other States, that a policy of assimilation demanding of the aborigine that his own future was to be so absorbed into the European community that the only discernable difference was the colour of his skin, was arrogant, impossible of achievement and morally wrong. The Aborigines are not, as so many people seem to think that they are, a primitive, stone age people. They are a people who over 18,000 years have developed an extraordinarily complex social structure with a culture concentrating on their social relationships on myth, dream life dance and ceremonial and completely unconcerned with the continued accumulation of material things. It was our belief in South Australia that it was necessary to give to Aborigine people the widest possible area of choice for their future. If they wished to be completely absorbed into the European community then every facility should be given to them for that. If they wished to live in a de-tribalised situation but in association with other people of the aborigine race then they should have that opportunity. If they wish to live on reserve lands in an aborigine community but going off to work in the general community or developing co-operative settlements or be in a de-tribalized fashion

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

then they should have the right to do so. If they wish to live in a tribal situation merely adapting so far as was necessary to their contact with a European community which had different laws, for instance about criminal matters of their own, then they should have an opportunity to do this. Therefore, the policy we should adopt is one of integration giving them the widest possible choices to the manner in which they would fit into the materialist and European community which has now surrounded them. There were three steps we believed had to be taken about this and we have taken each of them. The first was to remove from aborigines all legal restrictions by virtue of race, the old protection legislation the last vestages of which seem to linger on in the State of Queensland have been swept away in South Australia. No aborigine is under any disability whatever by virtue of his race and has the same rights and responsibilities as other citizens. Secondly we had to remove the resentment of aborigines about the way in which they had been dealt with. Two pieces of legislation were passed here. The first relates to land rights. The aborigines are the only comparable indigenous people who have been given no rights to land. As a people what has happened in Australia is that they have had certain lands reserved for them which were Crown lands which could be removed from them by mere proclamation, and as you well know in this State where valuable mineral deposits have been found upon aborigine lands aborigines have not been paid the royalties and they have been removed from the areas which were their normal tribal reserve. In Western Australia on the borders of South Australia an area around Giles was simply excised from the central aborigines reserve without any compensation to the aborigines and handed over to a nickle mining company. This sort of thing has caused the most bitter resentment amongst aborigines. In South Australia at the founding of the province the aborigines were guaranteed their lands. They never got them. So we have passed an Aborigines Land Trust Act setting up a board of trustees consisting entirely of aborigines to whom are being transferred aborigine reserve lands in South Australia to hold on behalf of their people. It will no longer be possible

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

to remove by proclamation an area of land from aborigines without compensation. Secondly, it was necessary to show that we believed as a community that racial discrimination was wrong. Therefore we are the one State in the Commonwealth to have passed a Prohibition of Discrimination Act. This gives effect to the United Nations convention on prohibition of racial discrimination and makes an overt act of discrimination in public services or accommodation, the letting of dwelling houses and the making of covenants with regard to land an offence. Next we had to introduce adequate education services, a housing programme and a flexible training programme for aborigine people in South Australia, and this we have done. Now this is the sort of work in which a Labor Government can pioneer. We can see to it that in Labor governed areas we become the pilot States for the development of social reform. We can see to it in the State sphere that monies are spent effectively on public housing. In South Australia we spend far more loan money proportionately than any other State, nearly three times the national average, in the public housing area. This means we are able to provide houses cheaply for workers and for a very large proportion of the working people in the State and so are able to contribute significantly to family incomes. But the most important role of State Labor Governments is

there is a Commonwealth Labor Government in office. In order to get national development at the rate we need it in Australia we will have to have more investment in the sector and more public undertakings directly involved with national development or in competing with private undertakings to ensure that the social needs of the populous are met. In such public undertakings the Commonwealth is in many cases prevented from engaging as it can only involve itself in public undertakings where these are in some way directly connected with the carrying out of the individual powers listed in Section 51 of the Commonwealth constitution. The State Governments however have no such limitation upon them. There are few undertakings in which State Governments could not engage except for lack of finance. Therefore in the area of public undertakings Commonwealth Federal Labor Government would be able to give grants to the States pursuant to section 96 of the Constitution on condition that these moneys were used for undertakings of specific

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.

kinds. A State Labor Government accepting such a grant would then be in a position to expand its investment in the public sector and the limitations of the present Federal Constitution would be avoided to some degree. Upon a Labor Government's assuming office in Canberra the Labor governed States of Australia which have no fears about entering into undertakings and enterprises simply because these are publicly undertaken could become the pilot areas of Australia for development of the kind which we have lacked in Canberra where the Government has felt the basic development is really a matter for private investment. This could mean that with a Labor Government in Queensland and a Labor Government in Canberra we could see the kind of northern development about which people in this State have been talking for so long but of which we have seen so little. The duties then of State Labor Governments must be clear. To maintain public services as cheaply to the public as they can, to provide housing and education within the limits of their finances, to resist regressive taxation and endeavour to see that as much emphasis on progressive taxation and redistribution of incomes be maintained, This can be done to pioneer in the area of social reform to co-operate with a Federal Labor Government for national development.

Dunstan Collection, Special Collections, Flinders University Library.