

Von Mises-Type Conditions in Second Order Regular Variation

L. de Haan

Erasmus University Rotterdam, Postbus 1738, Rotterdam, 3000 DR, The Netherlands

Metadata, citation and similar papers at core.ac.uk

We give a thorough treatment concerning sufficient conditions involving derivatives for extended regular variation of second order. Most of the results are new. A summary of the analogous (known) results for first order extended regular variation is given first. © 1996 Academic Press, Inc.

1. VON MISES-TYPE CONDITIONS IN EXTENDED REGULAR VARIATION

DEFINITION. A function f satisfies the extended regular variation condition if there exists a positive function a such that for all $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx) - f(t)}{a(t)} = \frac{x^\gamma - 1}{\gamma}, \quad (1.1)$$

where for $\gamma = 0$ the right-hand side is interpreted as $\log x$.

We summarize some results concerning this class of functions.

Property 1. Suppose f satisfies (1.1).

a. For $\gamma \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(t)}{f(t)} = \gamma. \quad (1.2)$$

Hence for all $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx)}{f(t)} = x^\gamma. \quad (1.3)$$

b. For $\gamma < 0$,

$$f(\infty) := \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) \tag{1.4}$$

exists and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(t)}{f(\infty) - f(t)} = \gamma. \tag{1.5}$$

Hence for all $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(\infty) - f(tx)}{f(\infty) - f(t)} = x^\gamma. \tag{1.6}$$

Relations (1.5) and (1.6) also hold if $\gamma = 0$ and $f(\infty)$ exists. (See, e.g., Bingham *et al.* [2, Section 3.2] and Geluk and de Haan [6, Theorem 1.10].)

Remark. Note that for $\gamma > 0$ relation (1.3) implies (1.1) and for $\gamma < 0$ relation (1.6) implies (1.1). A function f satisfying (1.3) is said to be *regularly varying* (or of *regular variation*) with *index* γ (notation $f \in \text{RV}_\gamma$).

Property 2.

a. Suppose f is differentiable and for all $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(tx)}{f'(t)} = x^{\gamma-1}, \tag{1.7}$$

then (1.1) holds with $a(t) = tf'(t)$.

b. Conversely, if f satisfies (1.1), f is differentiable, and f' is monotone, then (1.7) holds. (See e.g., de Haan [3, pp. 13 and 21] and Bingham *et al.* [2, Section 1.7.3].)

Property 3. Suppose f satisfies (1.1). Then there exists a twice differentiable function f_1 with

$$f(t) - f_1(t) = o(a(t)) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty) \tag{1.8}$$

and such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{tf_1''(t)}{f_1'(t)} = \gamma - 1. \tag{1.9}$$

Remark. Conversely, (1.8) and (1.9) imply (1.1). (See e.g., [2, Sections 1.8 and 3.7] and [6, Corollaries 2.12 and 2.16].)

Property 4. Suppose f satisfies (1.1). Let f_1 be a function with the property that for all $a > 1$ there exists t_0 such that for $t \geq t_0$,

$$f(t/a) \leq f_1(t) \leq f(ta). \tag{1.10}$$

Then

$$f(t) - f_1(t) = o(a(t))$$

and hence f_1 satisfies (1.1). (See e.g. [6, Proposition 1.22] “inversely asymptotic.”)

2. VON MISES-TYPE CONDITIONS IN EXTENDED REGULAR VARIATION OF SECOND ORDER

We are going to prove results analogous to Properties 1–4 for extended regular variation of second order. A function f is of extended regular variation of second order if for all $x > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx) - f(t) - a(t)(x^\gamma - 1)/\gamma}{c(t)} = \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} \int_1^s u^{\rho-1} du ds \quad (2.1)$$

for some function a (assumed positive) and c (which is necessarily of constant sign eventually). Here $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\rho \leq 0$ are parameters. See de Haan and Stadtmüller [5]. We shall need the following properties of the function a and c :

$$a \in \text{RV}_\gamma, \quad (2.2)$$

$$c \in \text{RV}_{\rho+\gamma}, \quad (2.3)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{a(tx) - x^\gamma a(t)}{c(t)} = x^\gamma \frac{x^\rho - 1}{\rho}, \quad (2.4)$$

hence (since $\rho \leq 0$)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c(t)}{a(t)} = 0. \quad (2.5)$$

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that f is twice differentiable and f' positive. Write*

$$A(t) := \frac{tf''(t)}{f'(t)} - \gamma + 1.$$

a. *Suppose*

$$\text{sgn}(A(t)) \text{ is constant for large } t, \quad (2.6)$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t) = 0 \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$|A| \in \text{RV}_\rho \quad \text{for some } \rho \leq 0. \tag{2.8}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{f(tx) - f(t)}{tf'(t)} - \frac{x^\gamma - 1}{\gamma} \right) / \left\{ \frac{tf''(t)}{f'(t)} - \gamma + 1 \right\} \\ = \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} \int_1^s u^{\rho-1} du ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

(b). *Conversely, suppose*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx) - f(t) - a(t)(x^\gamma - 1)/\gamma}{c(t)} = \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} \int_1^s u^{\rho-1} du ds =: H(x) \tag{2.10}$$

for some $a > 0$ and c of constant sign. If A is eventually monotone, then (2.6), (2.7), and (2.8) hold.

Proof. (a) See de Haan and Resnick [4, Theorem 2.1].

(b) Suppose (2.10) holds with a positive function c (a similar proof applies for negative c). Since the derivative of $\log f'(t) - (\gamma - 1)\log t$ is $t^{-1}A(t)$ and since A has constant sign, we find that $t^{-\gamma+1}f'(t)$ is eventually monotone. Suppose $t^{-\gamma+1}f'(t)$ is non-decreasing (if non-increasing, a similar proof applies). Now

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^x \frac{(ts)^{-\gamma+1}f'(ts) - t^{-\gamma}a(t)}{t^{-\gamma}c(t)} s^{\gamma-1} ds \\ = \frac{f(tx) - f(t) - a(t)(x^\gamma - 1)/\gamma}{c(t)} \rightarrow H(x) \end{aligned}$$

($t \rightarrow \infty$). For $x > 1$ the left-hand side is bounded below by

$$\frac{t^{-\gamma+1}f'(t) - t^{-\gamma}a(t)}{t^{-\gamma}c(t)} \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} ds.$$

Hence

$$\lim_{x \downarrow 1} \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{-\gamma+1}f'(t) - t^{-\gamma}a(t)}{t^{-\gamma}c(t)} \leq \lim_{x \downarrow 1} H(x) / \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} ds = 0.$$

The corresponding inequality for \liminf follows by taking $0 < x < 1$ and letting $x \uparrow 1$. It follows that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{tf'(t) - a(t)}{c(t)} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

Hence (cf. (2.10)) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx) - f(t) - tf'(t)(x^\gamma - 1)/\gamma}{c(t)} = H(x).$$

We also know now that $f' \in \text{RV}_{\gamma-1}$.

Next we continue in the same fashion:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f(tx) - f(t) - tf'(t)(x^\gamma - 1)/\gamma}{c(t)} \\ &= \int_1^x \frac{tf'(ts) - tf'(t)s^{\gamma-1}}{c(t)} ds \\ &= \int_1^x \frac{(ts)^{1-\gamma} f'(ts) - t^{1-\gamma} f'(t)}{c(t)t^{-\gamma}} s^{\gamma-1} ds \\ &= \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} \int_1^s \frac{t\{(tu)^{1-\gamma} f''(tu) + (1-\gamma)(tu)^{-\gamma} f'(tu)\}}{c(t)t^{-\gamma}} du ds \\ &= \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} \int_1^s u^{-\gamma} \frac{tuf''(tu)/f'(tu) - \gamma + 1}{c(t)/(tf'(t))} \frac{f'(tu)}{f'(t)} du ds \\ &= \int_1^x s^{\gamma-1} \int_1^s u^{-\gamma} \frac{A(tu)}{c(t)/(tf'(t))} \frac{f'(tu)}{f'(t)} du ds. \end{aligned}$$

Since the left-hand side converges to $H(x)$, A is monotone, $f' \in \text{RV}_{\gamma-1}$ and $c(t) \in \text{RV}_{\rho+\gamma}$, we find as before

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{A(t)}{c(t)/(tf'(t))} = 1,$$

hence $|A| \in \text{RV}_\rho$. Since c is of constant sign and since $c(t) = o(a(t))$ ($t \rightarrow \infty$) in (2.10), we also find that A has constant sign and $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A(t) = 0$.

Remark. Note that (2.4) and (2.11) imply

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(tx)/f'(t) - x^{\gamma-1}}{c(t)/(tf'(t))} = x^{\gamma-1} \frac{x^\gamma - 1}{\gamma}$$

for $x > 0$.

Remark. For regularly varying functions f the following simpler result holds.

Suppose f is differentiable. Write $B(t) := tf'(t)/f(t) - \gamma$.

(a). Suppose

$$\text{sgn}(B(t)) \text{ is constant for large } t. \tag{2.12}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} B(t) = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

and

$$|B| \in \text{RV}_\rho \quad \text{for some } \rho \leq 0. \tag{2.14}$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx)/f(t) - x^\gamma}{q(t)} = x^\gamma \int_1^x u^{\rho-1} du \quad \text{for all } x > 0$$

holds for $q = B$.

(b). Conversely, suppose (2.15) holds for some function $q \neq 0$ and B is eventually monotone, then (2.12), (2.13), and (2.14) hold.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(tx) - f(t) - a(t)(x^\gamma - 1)/\gamma}{c(t)} = H(x). \tag{2.16}$$

Then there exists a twice differentiable function f_1 with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (f(t) - f_1(t))/c(t) = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} (a(t) - tf_1'(t))/c(t) = 0, \tag{2.18}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} a(t)A_1(t)/c(t) = 1 \tag{2.19}$$

and such that, with

$$A_1(t) := \frac{tf_1''(t)}{f_1'(t)} - \gamma + 1, \tag{2.20}$$

$$\text{sgn}(A_1(t)) \text{ is constant eventually,} \tag{2.21}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} A_1(t) = 0, \tag{2.22}$$

$$|A_1| \in \text{RV}_\rho. \tag{2.23}$$

Proof. For the case $\gamma = \rho = 0$ the proof is given in [1, Appendix]. For other values of γ and ρ separate proofs apply. As an example we give the proof for $\rho = 0, \gamma > 0$. Assume that the function c is positive (for negative c a similar proof applies). Then (2.16) implies [5, Theorem 2]

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(tx)^{-\gamma} f(tx) - t^{-\gamma} f(t)}{t^{-\gamma} c_0(t)} = \log x \quad (2.24)$$

for all $x > 0$, hence (2.16) holds with $a(t) = \gamma f(t) + \gamma^{-1} c(t)$ and $c(t) = \gamma c_0(t)$.

Now (2.24) says that the function $t^{-\gamma} f(t)$ is in the class II, hence by Proposition 3 there is a function g_1 with

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t^{-\gamma} f(t) - g_1(t)}{t^{-\gamma} c(t)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(t) - t^\gamma g_1(t)}{c(t)} = 0$$

and such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t g_1''(t)}{g_1'(t)} = -1. \quad (2.26)$$

Combining (2.24), (2.25), and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_1(tx) - g_1(t)}{t g_1'(t)} = \log x,$$

we find

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{t g_1'(t)}{t^{-\gamma} c_0(t)} = 1,$$

i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\gamma t^{\gamma+1} g_1'(t)}{c(t)} = 1. \quad (2.27)$$

We take $f_1(t) := t^\gamma g_1(t)$. Then (2.17) holds by (2.25). Further,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{a(t) - t f_1'(t)}{c(t)} &= \frac{\gamma f(t) + \gamma^{-1} c(t) - t f_1'(t)}{c(t)} \\ &= \gamma \frac{f(t) - t^\gamma g_1(t)}{c(t)} + \gamma^{-1} + \frac{\gamma t^\gamma g_1(t) - t(t^\gamma g_1(t))'}{c(t)} \\ &= \gamma \frac{f(t) - t^\gamma g_1(t)}{c(t)} + \gamma^{-1} - \frac{t^{\gamma+1} g_1'(t)}{c(t)} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

($t \rightarrow \infty$) by (2.25) and (2.27). Hence (6) holds. Finally by (2.18), (2.26), and (2.27),

$$\begin{aligned} a(t)A_1(t) &\sim tf'_1(t)A_1(t) \\ &= t^2f''_1(t) - (\gamma - 1)tf'_1(t) \\ &= (\gamma + 1)t^{\gamma+1}g'_1(t) + t^{\gamma+2}g''_1(t) \\ &= t^{\gamma+1}g'_1(t) \left\{ \frac{tg''_1(t)}{g'_1(t)} + \gamma + 1 \right\} \\ &\sim \gamma t^{\gamma+1}g'_1(t) \sim c(t) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Hence (2.21), (2.22), (2.23), and (2.19) hold.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose f satisfies (2.1) for $\gamma = \rho = 0$ and some choice of the functions a and c . Then for all $x > 0$,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left(\left(\frac{f(tx^{1/2}) - f(tx^{-1/2})}{\log x} - a(t) \right) / c(t) \right) = 0, \quad (2.28)$$

and for all x and $y > 0$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(txy) - f(tx) - f(ty) + f(t)}{c(t)} = xy. \quad (2.29)$$

So both a and c can be expressed in a simple way as functionals of f .

Proof. Relation (2.29) has been proved by Omey and Willekens [7]. Relations (2.28) and (2.29) are easily verified.

Remark. Similar statements can be made for $\gamma \neq 0$ and/or $\rho \neq 0$, based on Theorem 2 [5]. They differ from case to case, so they are omitted.

THEOREM 4. *Suppose f satisfies (2.1) for $\gamma = \rho = 0$. Suppose the function f_1 satisfies the following property: for each $x > 0$, $a > 1$ there exists t_0 such that for $t \geq t_0$*

$$f(tx/a) - f(t/a) < f_1(tx) - f_1(t) < f(tax) - f(ta),$$

then

$$f_1 - f = o(c) \quad (t \rightarrow \infty),$$

so that f_1 satisfies (2.1) with the same functions a and c as the function f and with $\gamma = \rho = 0$.

Remark. Relation (2.30) means that for all $x > 0$ the functions

$$g_x^{(1)}(t) := f_1(tx) - f_1(t)$$

and $g_x(t) := f(tx) - f(t)$ are inversely asymptotic ($g_x^{(1)} \overset{*}{\sim} g_x$; see Geluk and de Haan [6, p. 32]).

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{f_1(tx) - f_1(t) - a(t)\log x}{c(t)} \\ & < \frac{f(tax) - f(t) - a(t)\log(ax)}{c(t)} - \frac{f(ta) - f(t) - a(t)\log a}{c(t)} \\ & \rightarrow \frac{(\log ax)^2 - (\log a)^2}{2} \end{aligned}$$

($t \rightarrow \infty$) and the right-hand side tends to $(\log x)^2/2$ as $a \downarrow 1$. A similar lower inequality is easily obtained.

Remark. Similar statements can be made for $\gamma \neq 0$ and/or $\rho \neq 0$, based on Theorem 2 [5]. They differ from case to case and are rather complicated so they are omitted.

Inverses

Property 5. Suppose f is nondecreasing, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t) =: f(\infty) \leq \infty$ and g is its right-continuous or left-continuous inverse function. Then (2.11) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} \left(\left(\frac{g(t + x\alpha(t))}{g(t)} - (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma} \right) / \beta(t) \right) \\ & = -(1 + \gamma x)^{(1/\gamma)-1} H((1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma}) \\ & = -(1 + \gamma x)^{(1/\gamma)-1} \int_0^x \int_0^s (1 + \gamma u)^{\rho/\gamma-1} du ds \\ & = -(1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma} \int_0^x (1 + \gamma s)^{-2} \int_0^s (1 + \gamma u)^{\rho/\gamma} du ds, \quad (2.31) \end{aligned}$$

locally uniformly for $x \in (-1/\max(0, \gamma), -1/\max(0, -\gamma))$ with $\alpha(t) := a(g(t))$ and $\beta(t) = c(g(t))$.

Proof. This is Theorem 3 of de Haan and Stadtmüller [5]. The last equality can be checked by applying the operator

$$\frac{d}{dx} (1 + \gamma x)^2 \frac{d}{dx}$$

to both sides.

THEOREM 5. *Suppose the function g is twice differentiable and let $g' > 0$. Set*

$$g_1 := \frac{g}{g'}.$$

Suppose further that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} g_1'(t) = \gamma \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} \frac{\gamma - g_1'(t + xg_1(t))}{\gamma - g_1'(t)} = (1 + \gamma x)^{\rho/\gamma} \tag{2.33}$$

locally uniformly on $(-1/\max(0, \gamma), 1/\max(0, -\gamma))$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}, \rho \leq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} \frac{g(t + xg_1(t))/g(t) - (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma}}{\gamma - g_1'(t)} \\ &= (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma} \int_0^x (1 + \gamma s)^{-2} \int_0^s (1 + \gamma u)^{\rho/\gamma} du ds. \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Conversely, suppose (2.31) holds for some α, β, γ , and ρ ; $\lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} \beta(t) = 0$; and the function g_1 is monotone, then (2.17) and (2.18) hold.

Proof. In de Haan and Resnick [4, Prop. 2.2] it is proved that the conditions on g_1 are fulfilled if and only if the conditions on A_1 in Theorem 1 are fulfilled. What remains is to show the specifics of (2.34). So suppose the conditions on g_2 are fulfilled. Now $\lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} g_1'(t) = \gamma$ implies

$$\lim_{t \uparrow f(\infty)} \frac{g(t + xg_1(t))}{g(t)} = (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma} \tag{2.35}$$

locally uniformly. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{g(t + xg_1(t))}{g(t)} - (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma} &\sim (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma} \{ \log g(t + xh_1(t)) \\ &\quad - \log g(t) - \gamma^{-1} \log(1 + \gamma x) \} \end{aligned}$$

($t \uparrow f(\infty)$). Write $S := \log g$. Then $g_1(t) = 1/S'(t)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (1 + \gamma x)^{-1/\gamma} \frac{g(t + xg_1(t))/g(t) - (1 + \gamma x)^{1/\gamma}}{\gamma - g'_1(t)} \\
 & \sim \frac{S(t + xg_1(t)) - S(t) - \gamma^{-1} \log(1 + \gamma x)}{\gamma - g'_1(t)} \\
 & = \int_0^x \left(\frac{S'(t + sg_1(t))}{S'(t)} - \frac{1}{1 + \gamma s} \right) ds / (\gamma - g'_1(t)) \\
 & = \int_0^x \frac{S'(t + sg_1(t))}{S'(t)(1 + \gamma s)} \int_0^s \frac{\gamma - g'_1(t + ug_1(t))}{\gamma - g'_1(t)} du ds \\
 & \rightarrow \int_0^x (1 + \gamma s)^{-2} \int_0^s (1 + \gamma u)^{\rho/\gamma} du ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

1. A. A. Balkema and L. de Haan, A convergence rate in extreme-value theory, *J. Appl. Probab.* **27** (1990), 577–585.
2. N. H. Bingham, C. M. Goldie, and J. L. Teugels, Regular variation, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1987.
3. L. de Haan, “On Regular Variation and Its Application to the Weak Convergence of Sample Extremes,” Mathematical Centre Tract 32, 1970.
4. L. de Haan and S. I. Resnick, Second order regular variation and rates of convergence in extreme value theory, *Ann. Probab.* (1996), to appear.
5. L. de Haan and U. Stadtmüller, Generalized regular variation of second order, *Australian Math. Soc.* (1996), to appear.
6. J. L. Geluk and L. de Haan, “Regular Variation, Extensions and Tauberian Theorems,” CWI Tract 40, CWI, Amsterdam, 1987.
7. E. Omeij and E. Willekens, II-variation with remainder, *J. London Math. Soc. Ser. 2* **37** (1988), 105–118.