Transfusion Medicine, 1998, 8, 319-324

Quality and standardization in blood component preparation with an automated blood processing technique

D. J. van Rhenen,*† J. Vermeij,* J. de Voogt,* J. C. Bernes* and J. M. Payrat‡ *Bloodbank Rotterdam, †Department of Haematology, University Hospital Rotterdam, the Netherlands, and ‡Baxter R & D Europe SC, Nivelles, Belgium

Received 26 January 1998; accepted for publication 10 July 1998

SUMMARY. The use of automated blood processors in combination with bottom and top blood containers has been found to improve the standardization and quality of blood components. A study was performed to validate a new type of processor (Optipress® II) and compare its performance with a first generation processor (Optipress® I).

Primary separation on the Optipress II was investigated on 570 mL (\pm 10%) of anticoagulated blood in a nonpaired study. In addition, the quality of the products in routine production was compared between the results of the Optipress I and Optipress II. The whole blood units were kept overnight at room temperature ($20\pm2\,^{\circ}\text{C}$). Separation was performed under conditions to obtain 55 mL buffy coats with a 50% haematocrit (ht). Platelet concentrate preparation was investigated in a paired study and compared to the routine manual method using PAS II additive solution. Parameters studied were volume, red cell, white

cell and platelet counts, ht, haemoglobin (hb, total and free).

Primary separation was more efficient in the Optipress II because the platelet count was lower in the erythrocyte concentrates (P < 0.0001), platelets were lower in plasma (P < 0.0001) and platelet counts were higher in buffy coats (P < 0.0001). Buffy coat volume showed less variation (Optipress II VC=4%, Optipress I VC=7.4%). Secondary separation did not show differences between the Optipress II and manual method but was advantageous because of the automatic termination of the procedure.

Further improvement of standardization in blood component preparation is possible with an automated blood processor, leading to improvement of the quality of blood products for patient care.

Key words: automated blood processors, blood component preparation, standardization.

Blood component preparation has become a routine procedure worldwide (Heaton *et al.*, 1997). Basically whole blood is collected in anticoagulant solutions and centrifuged to produce components adapted to the user's need. The aim can be to optimize buffy coat separation, to maximize plasma harvest or to maximize red cell recovery with an acceptable plasma harvest. Generally the recovered red cells are suspended in additive solution (AS) (Lovric *et al.*, 1977; Högman *et al.*, 1978) to optimize storage conditions and maximize plasma harvest. A similar approach of AS addition is increasingly applied to platelet concentrates prepared from buffy coats (Gulliksson *et al.*, 1995; van Rhenen *et al.*, 1995).

An ever increasing quality of blood components can thus be offered to the hospitals, produced in an efficient way (Molijn *et al.*, 1994). The basic principle of this development is standardization. The whole blood volume is collected within specified borders (\pm 10%) and the

Correspondence: D. J. van Rhenen, MD PhD, Bloodbank Rotterdam, PO Box 23370, 3001 KJ Rotterdam, the Netherlands.

component preparation is performed according to GMP rules and standardized within specified limits regarding volume, haematocrit, white cell and platelet count.

The use of bottom and top containers in combination with automated component separation devices has been found to improve further standardization and the quality of blood components (Heaton *et al.*, 1997).

In this study we compared the performance of one of the first component separation devices available (Optipress® I) with a recently developed automated device (Optipress® II) to investigate whether standardization and quality of blood components could be improved further.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Blood packs

Optipac® (Baxter Inc., La Châtre, France) was used for collecting $500 \pm 50\,\text{mL}$ blood from volunteer donors.

The blood pack consists of a primary 'bottom and top' bag filled with 70 mL CPD anticoagulant solution, a secondary conventional bag filled with 110 mL SAG-M solution and an empty transfer bag. Packs are made of PL 2209 plastic material.

Blood processors

A machine called Optipress II was developed for automated blood processing by Baxter R and D, Nivelles, Belgium. This device incorporates the functions available on its predecessor (Optipress I) but is enhanced by several modifications. For instance the machinery is not, as is the Optipress I, dependent on compressed air. Furthermore, the detection of the interface between cells and plasma has been improved and automated sealing can be performed.

Study design

The study was structured in four phases:

- 1 equipment performance validation for the primary and the secondary separation;
- 2 determination of the maximum press plate force setting;
- 3 Optipress II efficiency study;
- 4 comparison with Optipress I during routine production.

Primary separation study

Thirty-one blood units of $500 \, \text{mL} \pm 10\%$ were collected from volunteer donors in $70 \, \text{mL}$ CPD anticoagulant solution using a 'bottom and top' system (Optipac). Selection of donors as well as blood donation procedures were based on local standard practices. All blood units were actively cooled to $20 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and kept overnight at room temperature ($20 \pm 2 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$) before they were centrifuged at high speed (4657g) for $10 \, \text{min}$.

The Optipress II was equipped with a 500 Opti 30 mid backplate for the primary separation of 570 mL of anti-coagulated blood using the following parameters: buffy coat volume: 55 mL, buffy coat level: 6·7, pressplate force: 25 kg. The same Optipress II was equipped with a flat backplate for the secondary separation of platelets from pooled buffy coats using the following parameters: time: 5 min, sensitivity: 40, red cell level (RCL): 40, pressplate force: 8 kg.

The results used for comparison in the routine production study were those obtained in routine quality testing of the blood components produced on Optipress I during quarters 3 and 4 in 1995 and produced on Optipress II during quarters 3 and 4 in 1997.

Primary separation was performed under conditions defined to obtain $55\,\mathrm{mL}$ buffy coats with a 50% haematocrit.

Sampling was performed to obtain the following data: 1 whole blood donation: volume, red blood cell/white blood cell/platelet counts, haematocrit, total haemoglobin and free haemoglobin;

- 2 red cell concentrate: volume, total haemoglobin, red blood cell/white blood cell/platelet counts and haemato-crit. Free haemoglobin was assessed at day 1 (n = 31), day 14 (n = 31) and day 35 of storage (n = 10);
- 3 plasma product: volume, total haemoglobin concentration, white cells and platelet counts;
- 4 buffy coat product: volume, red blood cell/white blood cell/platelet counts, total haemoglobin and haematocrit.

Automatic cell counting was performed for haemoglobin, red blood cell, white blood cell and platelets with a Cell Dyn 3500 cell counter (Abbott, Chicago, USA).

Leukocyte counting in plasma was performed in the Nageotte counting chamber technique.

Secondary separation study

This study was designed as a paired study comparing 12 separation processes on Optipress II vs. 12 manual separation processes. The Optipress II was equipped with a flat backplate for the separation of platelets from pooled buffy coats using the following parameters: time: 5 min, sensitivity: 40, red cell level (RCL): 40, pressplate force: 8 kg.

The contents of 10 ABO-identical CPD buffy coats were mixed and split equally into two 600-mL pooling containers. A volume of \approx 300 mL of acetate containing additive solution (PAS II) was added to each pool (containing the equivalent of five buffy coats). The pools were sampled for cell counts and haematocrit measures, connected to a platelet storage container and centrifuged (655g) for 5 min. From each pair of pools one platelet concentrate was prepared using the Optipress II as extractor while the other pool was processed with a manual method using a conventional plasma extractor. Sealing was in both cases performed with a hand-held sealer. Each platelet concentrate has been assessed for volume, all cell counts and free haemoglobin at the time of separation.

Determination of the maximum pressplate force setting

Primary separation was performed with machine settings defined as mentioned above except for the press plate force. The pressplate force was adjusted to the 50-kg setting instead of the 25-kg setting evaluated in the primary separation study in order to investigate whether the speed of blood processing could be increased. The

© 1998 Blackwell Science Ltd, Transfusion Medicine, 8, 319-324

Table 1. Results of the primary separation study with the Optipress® II

			Optipress [®] II			
		Units	\overline{n}	Mean	SD	
Whole blood	volume	mL	31	561.9	8	
	leukocytes	109 per unit	31	3.34	0.88	
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	31	122	26	
	erythrocytes	10 ¹² per unit	31	2.35	0.19	
Red cell concentrate	volume	mL	31	311.5	13.3	
	leukocytes	109 per unit	31	0.59	0.46	
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	31	1.35	0.37	
	erythrocytes	10 ¹² per unit	31	2.04	0.18	
	haematocrit	%	31	61	2	
	free Hb (day 35)	mg per unit	31	77.05	26.96	
Plasma	volume	mL	31	307.8	14.58	
	leukocytes	10 ⁶ per unit	31	1.19	1.17	
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	31	3.36	1.01	
	free Hb	mg per unit	31	4.78	3.85	
Buffy coats	volume	mL	31	54.4	1.7	
·	leukocytes	109 per unit	31	2.77	0.58	
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	31	91.7	18.3	
	erythrocytes	10 ¹² per unit	31	0.29	0.02	
	haematocrit	%	31	51.2	3.7	

sampling was performed as mentioned above in the primary separation study.

Optipress II efficiency study

Upon definition of the force parameter setting mentioned for the primary separation study, Optipress II machines were set with 25 kg pressplate force.

The machines were then used on a routine basis for 6 weeks to allow an estimation of machine efficiency and its impact on product quality.

Comparison has been established with the Optipress I equipment on the following aspects: duration of the separation process, total time for the centrifugation, the separation and the tube sealing of a quantity of blood packs corresponding to eight centrifuge machine loads (96 units) and the scrap levels of the separation process.

Comparison with Optipress I during routine production

The Optipress II was then used in production with parameter settings defined during the primary separation study.

Routine quality control results of the blood components produced with the Optipress II during quarters 3 and 4 in 1997 were compared with the same data generated during the routine usage of the Optipress I during quarters 3 and 4 in 1995.

© 1998 Blackwell Science Ltd, Transfusion Medicine, 8, 319-324

Data treatment

For the primary separation study the data of routine production on Optipress II were compared to those obtained from quality control testing during the last two quarters of 1995 using a t-test (difference between two means Statistica for Windows, version 4.5).

For the secondary separation study platelet characteristics were evaluated using a t-test (paired two samples for means Microsoft Excel, version 5.0). The Optipress II efficiency study results were analysed using a t-test (two samples for means Microsoft Excel, version 5.0). P values for the comparison of routine results were calculated using a significance test difference between two means (Statistica for Windows version 5-1 StatSoft Inc.).

RESULTS

Primary separation study

The results of the primary separation study with Optipress II are shown in Table 1. Comparison of the quality control results in routine production of Optipress I and of Optipress II is shown in Table 2.

Altough routine quality control data do not include cell counts on donation and consequently do not allow us to draw definite conclusions on the trial results, the

Table 2. Comparison of the quality control results in routine production of the Optipress® I and of the Optipress® II

		Units	Optipress [®] I			Optipress [®] II			
			\overline{n}	Mean	SD	\overline{n}	Mean	SD	P
Whole blood	volume leukocytes platelets erythrocytes	mL 10 ⁹ per unit 10 ⁹ per unit 10 ¹² per unit	42780	574	10	43.784	568	10	< 0.0001
Red cell concentrate	volume	mL	474	307	17	520	302	16	< 0.0001
	leukocytes	10 ⁹ per unit	474	0.52	0.36	520	0.64	0.39	< 0.0001
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	474	3.2	0.8	520	0.7	0.7	< 0.0001
	erythrocytes	10 ¹² per unit	474	2	0.19	520	1.99	0.19	NS
	haematocrit	%	474	60	3	520	59	2	< 0.0001
	free Hb (day 35)	mg per unit	120	67.8	36.3	135	21	16	< 0.0001
Plasma	volume	mL	474	319	17	520	314	17	< 0.0001
	leukocytes	10 ⁶ per unit	120	2.6	3	135	2.6	2.7	NS
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	475	5	2.6	520	3	1.7	< 0.0001
	free Hb	mg per unit	475	4.7	5.1	519	5.2	4.9	NS
Buffy coats	volume	mL	490	54	4	515	55	2	< 0.0001
	leukocytes	109 per unit	490	3.03	0.73	515	2.28	0.64	< 0.0001
	platelets	10 ⁹ per unit	490	96	20	515	106	25	< 0.0001
	erythrocytes	10 ¹² per unit	490	0.29	0.04	515	0.30	0.03	< 0.0001
	haematocrit	%	420	50	4	515	49	3	< 0.0001

following appears from the data analysis:

- The platelet content of red cell concentrates $(0.7 \pm 0.7 \times 10^9 \text{ per unit})$ produced with the new extractor in routine practice is significantly (P < 0.0001) lower than the values with Optipress I $(3.20 \pm 0.08 \times 10^9 \text{ per unit})$
- Haemolysis in the red cell concentrates was assessed by measure of free haemoglobin and was found to be within acceptable limits with respective average values of 0.05% (n = 31 at day 1), 0.14% (n = 31 at day 14) and 0.22% (n = 10 at day 35).
- The platelet content of the plasma fractions produced routinely with the Optipress II appears significantly lower than that seen with the Optipress I $(5 \pm 2.6 \times 10^9)$ platelets per unit P < 0.0001).

Finally, the new separator has a better reproducibility (P < 0.005 - Fisher test) in buffy coat volume $(55 \pm 2 \text{ mL})$ compared to $54.0 \pm 4.0 \text{ mL}$) than the actual model in use in the bloodbank laboratory.

Platelet concentrate preparation study

Statistical analysis shows equivalence of data obtained on platelet concentrates separated with the Optipress II compared to platelet concentrates prepared using the manual method from the bloodbank laboratory. Quality of blood components prepared with pressplate force at maximum setting of 50 kg

Comparing results on blood quality components produced with the maximum pressplate force (50 kg setting value) with similar data obtained from the first part of the Optipress II study revealed a greater variation in buffy coat volume, significantly higher platelet content and haemolysis in the red cell concentrates (data not shown).

Duration of the separation process

Mean separation time for the Optipress II is 340 s compared to 324 s for the similar operation on the Optipress I, but statistical analysis shows no significant difference either in the distribution or in the mean value

Total time for the separation processing

The total time to process eight centrifuge loads using the Optipress II was compared to the time needed for the same operation using the Optipress I. This comparison (1 h, 24 min and 10 s for the Optipress II and 1 h 24 min and 36 s for the Optipress I) does not reveal a difference between the two machines.

© 1998 Blackwell Science Ltd, Transfusion Medicine, 8, 319-324

Table 3. Characteristics of five donor unit platelet concentrates produced by a blood processor in comparison with the manual method (n = 12)

	Plt conc.	Plt conc.	Plt conc.	Plt conc.	Plt conc.
	volume	RBC	WBC	Plt	free Hb
	(mL)	(10 ¹² per unit)	it) (10 ⁹ per unit)	(10 ⁹ per unit)	day 1 (mg L-1)
Optipress [®] II					
Mean	352.9	0.01	0.09	368-6	101.0
SD	6.4	0.00	0.03	29.5	64.9
Max.	367-2	0.01	0.13	419-2	280.0
Min.	343.4	0.00	0.06	319.7	48.6
Manual method					
Mean	353.4	0.01	0.09	368-6	128.8
SD	6.5	0.00	0.03	30.8	84.6
Max.	366.2	0.01	0.13	414.2	370.0
Min.	343.4	0.00	0.05	314-2	57.4

Scrap level comparison

A comparison of defect rates was made over a period of 6 weeks during which the Optipress II was used on a routine basis against data gathered in 1996 during use of Optipress I and manual tubes sealing for an equivalent period of time (see Table 4).

Two parameters were used for the comparison:

- 1 incidence of leaking seals;
- 2 incidence of incorrect position of the buffy coat interface level at the end of the separation process resulting in inappropriate buffy coat composition (haematocrit, white blood cells, red blood cells and platelet content).

The results of the comparison are shown in Table 3.

DISCUSSION

The application of good manufacturing practices requires standardized methods of production. The use of automated blood processing techniques reduces the impact of human factors in blood component preparation and improves standardization and quality of blood products.

The primary separation process using bottom and top

Table 4. Scrap level comparison between the two automated blood processors

	Quantity of donations	Leaking seals	Incorrect BC level
1996 Optipress [®] I	9281	41 (0·44%)	178 (1·92%)
Optipress [®] II	9432	0 (0·00%)	12 (0·31%)

^{© 1998} Blackwell Science Ltd, Transfusion Medicine, 8, 319-324

containers allowed the production of blood components with characteristics within tight limits. Using the second generation blood processor, red cell concentrates were produced with a mean leucocyte reduction of 82%, a platelet reduction of 99% and a red cell loss of only 13% compared with whole blood (Heaton et al., 1997). The platelet concentrates produced from buffy coats contained 63% of the original whole blood platelet content and only 3% of residual leucocytes (without filtration).

Together with the very low leucocyte and platelet content of the plasma, a very efficient component separation is achieved in this way and compares favourably with the existing literature (Meryman & Hornblower, 1986; Loos, 1988: Högman et al., 1992).

The same second generation blood processor that is used for primary separation of blood components can be used for the secondary separation of platelet concentrates from pooled buffy coats, further reducing the influence of the human factor on the variability of product characteristics in routine large-scale platelet concentrate production (Pietersz et al., 1990; Rebulla et al., 1990; American Association of Blood Banks, 1994; Council of Europe, 1995; Zoon et al., 1996).

The results obtained demonstrate the advantage of a full separation process of automation with a drastic reduction of tube sealing defects. The improvement in the optical detection system also has a positive effect on the consistency of the buffy coat contents.

Further improvements in machine efficiency can still be made. However, the quality of blood components prepared with pressplate force at maximum setting of 50 kg did not result in optimal products. Further optimization of the operating parameters and some adaptations

of the disposable design may be necessary to operate the machine at maximum force without inducing higher haemolysis. On the other hand, the results of the comparison on time needed to handle several centrifuge loads through the separation process may indicate that the machine processing time is not the limiting factor in the separation laboratory efficiency.

In conclusion, improvement in standardization of blood component preparation is possible with an automated blood processor, leading to improvement in the quality of blood products for patient care.

REFERENCES

- American Association of Blood Banks. (1994) 16th Edition Standards for Blood Banks and Transfusion Services. Bethesda. MD
- Council of Europe. (1995) Guide to the Preparation, Use and Quality Assurance of Blood Components, Strasbourg. Council of Europe Press.
- Gulliksson, H., Eriksson, L., Högman, C.F., *et al.* (1995) Buffy-coat-derived platelet concentrates prepared from half-strength citrate CPD and CPD whole-blood units. Comparison between three additive solutions: in vitro studies. *Vox Sanguinis*, **68**, 152–159.
- Heaton, W.A.L., Rebulla, P., Pappalettera, M. & Dzik, W.H.A. (1997) Comparative analysis of different methods for routine blood component preparation. *Transfusion Medicine Reviews*, **11**, 116–129.
- Högman, C.F., Eriksson, L. & Ring, M. (1992) Automated blood component preparation with the Opti-system: three years experience. *Transfusionsmedizin: Beitr Infusionsther*, **30**, 100–107.

- Högman, C.F., Hedlund, K. & Zetterstrom, H. (1978) Clinical usefulness of red cells preserved in protein-poor mediums. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 299, 1377–1382.
- Loos, J.A. (1988) Standardization procedures required by automation of blood component preparation. In: Automation in Blood Transfusion. Proceedings of the Thirteenth International Symposium on Blood Transfusion. Kluwer, Dodrecht, the Netherlands: 105–107.
- Lovric, V.A., Bryant, J. & Parker, A. (1977) Improved quality of packed cells. *Medical Journal of Australia*, 2, 183–186.
- Meryman, H.T. & Hornblower, M. (1986) The preparation of red cells depleted of leukocytes. *Transfusion*, **26**, 101–106.
- Molijn, M.H.J., van Rhenen, D.J., van Toledo, P.J. & Vermeij, J. (1994) An increase in blood component preparation by 15000 a year without staff expansion by introduction of Optipac *Optipress*. Vox Sanguinis, 67 (S2), 94, no. 0163.
- Pietersz, R.N.I., Prins, H.K., Dekker, W.J.A. et al. (1990) Leukocyte-poor platelet concentrates from buffy coats. The Dutch Experience. Transfusion Science, 11, 349–351.
- Rebulla, P., Bertolini, P., Riccardia, D., *et al.* (1990) Platelet concentrates prepared from pooled buffy coats and stored in a glucose-free crystalloid medium. The Milan Experience. *Transfusion Science*, **11**, 357–362.
- van Rhenen, D.J., Vermeij, J., Kappers-Klunne, M.C. & Payrat, J.M. (1995) Evaluation of a new citrate-acetate-NaCl platelet additive solution for the storage of white cell reduced platelet concentrates obtained from half strength CPD pooled buffy coats. *Transfusion*, **35**, 50–53.
- Zoon, K.C. (ed.) (1996) Recommendations and licensure requirements for leukocyte-reduced blood products. Memorandum from director, Center of Biologics Evaluation and Research Department of Health, Human Services, United States Food and Drug Administration. Washington, DC.