

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 27, No. 3, pp. 297–298, 1991.  
Printed in Great Britain  
0277-5379/91 \$3.00 + 0.00  
© 1991 Pergamon Press plc

## Letters

### Expression of *mdr1* and *mdr3* Multidrug-Resistance Genes in Hairy Cell Leukaemia

Hans Herweijer, Kees Nooter, Auke  
Beishuizen, Pieter Sonneveld, Robert G.  
Oostrum, Arjenne L. W. Hesseling-Janssen  
and Jacques J.M. van Dongen

CHEMOTHERAPY REMAINS an important treatment modality for cytoreduction of leukaemias. However, drug effectiveness can be greatly reduced as a result of the occurrence of cellular drug resistance. Some of the most widely used cytotoxic drugs (i.e. anthracyclines, vinca alkaloids, podophyllotoxins) have *in vitro* been linked to the so-called multidrug-resistance (MDR) phenotype. MDR cells are characterised by a lowered intracellular drug accumulation that is due to activity of an energy dependent unidirectional drug efflux pump with broad substrate specificity [1]. This drug pump is composed of a transmembrane glycoprotein (P-glycoprotein) which is encoded by the human *mdr1* gene [2]. In man, a second highly homologous gene has been found, which is named alternatively *mdr2* [3], or *mdr3* [4].

The *mdr1* gene has been reported to be expressed in human cancers, including a variety of haematological malignancies [5–7]. Expression of the *mdr3* gene is mainly found in normal liver [4]. Recently, we found *mdr3* expression in leukaemia samples. These studies suggested that *mdr3* expression is exclusively found in B-cell type leukaemias [7, 8]. In the present study, we further investigated the expression of both *mdr1* and *mdr3* in the peripheral blood or spleen cells from adult patients with B-hairy cell leukaemia (HCL). A highly specific and sensitive RNase protection assay was used, with a lower limit of detection of approximately 0.2 units [7]. Levels of *mdr1* and *mdr3* expression found in peripheral blood or spleen cells from 8 patients with HCL are given in Table 1. Expression of both *mdr1* and *mdr3* was detected in all 8 samples studied. In general, *mdr3* levels were higher than *mdr1* levels. For the 8 samples

Table 1. Expression of *mdr1* and *mdr3* multidrug-resistance genes in hairy cell leukemia

Patient no.	Expression (units)	
	<i>mdr1</i>	<i>mdr3</i>
1	0.3	15
2	0.5	50
3	1	9
4	2	10
5	4	3
6	9	20
7	11	50
8	35	15

Expression levels were determined using an RNase protection assay [7]. The levels were quantitated relative to the expression of KB-8-5 MDR cell line, as proposed in [5].

studied, the mean level of expression of *mdr1* and *mdr3* were 8 U and 22 U, respectively. The *mdr1* expression levels varied between 0.3 and 35 units (U); the *mdr3* levels between 3 and 50 U, with no apparent correlation between the two.

Treatment of HCL is usually started with splenectomy, followed by prolonged interferon- $\alpha$ , and/or deoxycoformycin administration. Prolonged, control of disease is possible following this scheme [9, 10]. Intensive chemotherapy with cytotoxic drugs, such as anthracyclines, is considered as third line therapy [10]. The results presented in this study show low to intermediate expression of the *mdr1* gene (related to the classical MDR phenotype) and moderate to high expression of the *mdr3* gene (presumably also involved in drug resistance [7, 8]) in all studied HCL samples. Therefore, it may be anticipated that results of chemotherapy with MDR-related drugs in HCL patients will be disappointing due to the frequent occurrence of the MDR phenotype. Combination of MDR-related drugs with MDR reversal agents (such as verapamil, cyclosporin and many others) are now evaluated in clinical trials for improved treatment of acute myelocytic leukaemia and multiple myeloma [11, 12]. In these malignancies, elevated levels of *mdr1* expression have been found, and the acquisition of clinical drug resistance is frequently encountered [6, 7, 11]. When proven successful, such a combination therapy might be a good alternative for third line therapy of hairy cell leukaemia.

Correspondence to K. Nooter.

H. Herweijer is at the Rotterdam Cancer Institute, Rotterdam and the Institute of Applied Radiobiology and Immunology TNO, Rijswijk; P. Sonneveld is at the Dijkzigt University Hospital, Rotterdam; J.J.M. van Dongen and A. Beishuizen are at the Department of Immunology, Erasmus University, Rotterdam; and K. Nooter, R.G. Oostrum and A.L.W. Hesseling-Janssen are at the Institute of Applied Radiobiology and Immunology, TNO, Department of Experimental Chemotherapy and Pharmacology, P.O. Box 5815, 2280 HV Rijswijk, The Netherlands. Received 5 Dec. 1990; accepted 14 Dec. 1990.

- van der Blik AM, Borst P. Multidrug-resistance. In: GF vande Woude, G. Klein, eds. *Advances in Cancer Research*. New York, Academic Press, 1989, Vol. 52, 165–203.
- Ueda K, Cardarelli C, Gottesman MM, Pastan I. Expression of a full-length cDNA for the human "MDR1" gene confers resistance to colchicine, doxorubicin, and vinblastine. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1987, **84**, 3004–3008.
- Roninson IB, Chin JE, Choi K, et al. Isolation of human *mdr* DNA sequences amplified in multidrug-resistant KB carcinoma cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 1986, **83**, 4538–4542.
- van der Blik AM, Baas F, ten Houte-de Lange T, Kooiman PM, van der Velde-Koerts T, Borst P. The human *mdr3* gene encodes a novel P-glycoprotein homologue and gives rise to alternatively spliced mRNAs in liver. *EMBO J* 1987, **6**, 3325–3331.
- Goldstein LJ, Galski H, Fojo AT, et al. Expression of a multidrug resistance gene in human cancers. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1989, **81**, 116–124.

6. Nooter K, Sonneveld P, Oostrum R, Herweijer H, Hagenbeek A, Valerio D. Overexpression of the *mdr1* gene in blast cells from patients with acute myelocytic leukemia is associated with decreased anthracycline accumulation that can be restored by cyclosporin-A. *Int J Cancer* 1990, **45**, 263–268.
7. Herweijer H, Sonneveld P, Baas F, Nooter K. Expression of *mdr1* and *mdr3* multidrug-resistance genes in human acute and chronic leukemias and association with stimulation of drug accumulation by cyclosporine. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1990, **82**, 1133–1140.
8. Nooter K, Sonneveld P, Janssen A, *et al.* Expression of the *mdr3* gene in prolymphocytic leukemia: association with cyclosporin-A-induced increase in drug-accumulation. *Int J Cancer* 1990, **45**, 626–631.
9. Golomb HM. The treatment of hairy cell leukemia. *Blood* 1987, **69**, 979–983.
10. Catovsky D, Foa R. *The Lymphoid Leukaemias*. London, Butterworths, 1990.
11. Dalton WS, Grogan TM, Meltzer PS, *et al.* Drug-resistance in multiple myeloma and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: detection of P-glycoprotein and potential circumvention by addition of verapamil to chemotherapy. *J Clin Oncol* 1989, **7**, 415–424.
12. Sonneveld P, Nooter K. Reversal of drug-resistance by cyclosporin-A in a patient with acute myelocytic leukaemia. *Br J Haematol* 1990, **75**, 208–211.

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 27, No. 3, p. 298, 1991.

Printed in Great Britain  
0277-5379/91 \$3.00 + 0.00  
© 1991 Pergamon Press plc

## Lymph-node Irradiation in Operable Breast Cancer and Statistical Power

Rodrigo Arriagada and Lars-Erik Rutqvist

PROFESSOR Veronesi *et al.* (vol. 26, pp. 668–670) recently updated results of the Milan breast conservation trial. Patients with positive axillary nodes were included in a second randomisation during the first 3 years of the trial to evaluate the effect of adjuvant radiotherapy to supraclavicular and internal mammary nodes. Results did not show improved survival of treated compared with non-treated patients. Veronesi *et al.* did not state the number of randomised patients. However, if we assume that accrual was constant during the period of patients' entry (88 per year) and that all node positive patients were included (26%), we have estimated that approximately 70 patients may have been studied. If lymph-node irradiation can improve long-term survival by 10% (similar to other adjuvant treatments) the number of patients needed to test this hypothesis is more than 700 ( $\alpha$  risk = 0.05,  $\beta$  risk = 0.10). A trial of this size would have a statistical power of 90%—i.e. only a 1:10 chance of concluding that there is no difference when actually there is. The second randomisation of the Milan trial has a statistical power of only 10%—i.e. a 9:10 chance of concluding that there is no difference when really there is. Therefore, the trial is too small to give a definite answer to the question raised.

There is retrospective [1] and prospective [2, 3] evidence that megavoltage lymph-node irradiation with adequate doses can

decrease the distant metastasis rate, and consequently may have an effect on overall survival in node positive patients. Because of low statistical power, the Milan trial does not add much knowledge to this issue. Unfortunately, a quick reading of the Milan paper might result in conclusions that are not supported by reported data [4].

1. Arriagada R, Lê MG, Mouriesse H, *et al.* Long term effect of internal mammary chain treatment. Results of a multivariate analysis of 1204 patients with operable breast cancer and positive axillary node. *Radiother Oncol* 1988, **11**, 213–222.
2. Høst H, Brennhovd IO, Loeb M. Postoperative radiotherapy in breast cancer. Long-term results from the Oslo study. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1986, **12**, 727–732.
3. Rutqvist LE, Cedermark B, Glass U, *et al.* Radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and tamoxifen as adjuncts to surgery in early breast cancer: a summary of three randomized trials. *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 1989, **16**, 629–639.
4. Yarnold JR. Breast conservation and management of early breast cancer. *Eur J Cancer* 1990, **26**, 653–655.

*Eur J Cancer*, Vol. 27, No. 3, p. 298, 1991.

Printed in Great Britain  
0277-5379/91 \$3.00 + 0.00  
© 1991 Pergamon Press plc

## Reply by U. Veronesi *et al.*

THE TRIAL was designed to compare Halsted mastectomy and quadrantectomy plus radiotherapy (QUART) in terms of relapse-free and overall survival.

In our analysis we focused on overall survival, regardless of adjuvant therapies. 4 subgroups have been identified and the log rank test has been carried out accordingly (Table 1).

We agree with Dr Arriagada and Dr Rutqvist that in our paper the sentence concerning radiotherapy might be confusing. However, 16/35 unfavourable events have been observed in subset B radiotherapy and 20/33 in subset C adjuvant radiotherapy; these findings are at the basis of our statement to which, however, no statistical relevance was attributed in the paper.

Table 1.

	A N-	B N+ no adjuvant	C N+ +adjuvant regional RT	D N+ + adjuvant CT
Halsted	263	15	15	56
QUART	257	20	18	57
Total	520	35	33	113

N- = node negative; N+ = node positive; RT = radiotherapy; CT = chemotherapy.

Correspondence to U. Veronesi, Istituto Nazionale Tumori, Via Venezian 1, 20133 Milan, Italy.

Received and accepted 28 Nov. 1990.

Correspondence to R. Arriagada, Oncological Center, Radiumhemmet, Karolinska Hospital, S-104 01 Stockholm, Sweden.

R. Arriagada is at the Institut Gustave-Roussy, Villejuif, France, and L.-E. Rutqvist is at the Oncological Center, Radiumhemmet, Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm, Sweden.

Received 19 Nov. 1990; accepted 28 Nov. 1990.