

European Journal of Cancer Vol. 32A, No. 5, pp. 831–835, 1996 Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved Printed in Great Britain 0959–8049/96 \$15.00+0.00

0959-8049(95)00642-7

Original Paper

Substantial Variation in Therapy for Colorectal Cancer Across Europe: EUROCARE Analysis of Cancer Registry Data for 1987

G. Gatta,¹ M. Sant,¹ J.W. Coebergh,^{2,3} T. Hakulinen^{4,5} and the EUROCARE Working Group*

¹Epidemiology Unit, Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, via Venezian 1, 20133 Milano, Italy; ²Eindhoven Cancer Registry, Comprehensive Cancer Centre South, Eindhoven; ³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatics, Erasmus University, Rotterdam, The Netherlands; ⁴Finnish Cancer Registry, Liisankatu 21 B, Helsinki, Finland; and ⁵Unit of Cancer Epidemiology, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

To provide a quantitative description of the treatments applied to malignant colorectal cancer across Europe, we analysed all cases (11 333) of colorectal cancer registered in 1987 by 15 Cancer Registries in eight European countries. In a third of cancer registries, therapy was known for all cases, in the others 1–15% of registrations lacked treatment information. Eighty per cent of all patients received surgical resection, ranging from 58% (Estonia) to 92% (Tarn). The proportion of resections decreased with advancing age (85–73% for colon cancer; 85–70% for rectal cancer for <65 years to >74 years, respectively). Only 4% of colon cancer patients received adjuvant or palliative chemotherapy, range 1–12%. Sixteen per cent of rectal cancer patients received adjuvant or palliative the proportion of surgically resected patients correlated positively with the 5-year relative survival probability reported by the recently published EUROCARE study, this may be part of the explanation for the major differences in survival for these cancers among different European populations. The most likely determinant of this correlation is stage at diagnosis, but, quality of, and access to surgery, as well as access to endoscopy, may differ among countries and registry areas, and these may also contribute to inter-country survival differences. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd Key words: rectal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, treatment, colon and rectal surgery, registries,

survival

Eur J Cancer, Vol. 32A, No. 5, pp. 831-835, 1996

INTRODUCTION

BASED ON combined data collected by 30 population-based cancer registries for cases diagnosed during 1978–1985 and analysed by EUROCARE, the 5-year relative survival for colorectal cancer patients in Europe was approximately 40%

[1]. However, there were conspicuous geographical variations in this statistic: from over 45% in cancer registries from Switzerland, South-east Netherlands and Finland to less than 35% in cancer registries from England and Poland [1].

The aim of the present study was to provide a quantitative description of the types of treatment applied to colorectal cancer in European countries, based on information routinely collected by cancer registries during 1987. This may help us to understand why there are marked between-country differences in survival from these cancers across Europe. The study was carried out within the EUROCARE network of cancer registries, under the auspices of an EC Concerted Action programme, responsible for evaluating and standardising population-based cancer survival data and reporting on cancer patient survival and care practices in Europe.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

The study analysed all the colorectal (ICD-9 153,154) [2] cancers occurring in 1987 in 15 European populations, for whom relatively complete data on treatment were available,

Correspondence to G. Gatta.

Received 31 Aug. 1995; revised 17 Nov. 1995; accepted 24 Nov. 1995.

^{*}The EUROCARE working group for this study is: Estonia: T. Aareleid (Estonian Cancer Registry). Finland: T. Hakulinen (Finnish Cancer Registry). France: J. Faivre (Côte d'Or Digestive Cancer Registry), P. Grosclaude (Tarn Cancer Registry), D. Pottier (Calvados Digestive System Cancer Registry), N. Raverdy (Somme Cancer Registry), S. Schraub (Doubs Cancer Registry). Germany: H. Ziegler (Saarland Cancer Registry). Italy: F. Berrino (project leader), G. Gatta, M. Sant (Lombardy Cancer Registry). The Netherlands: J.W.W. Coebergh (Eindhoven Cancer Registry IKZ), R.A.M. Damhuis (Rotterdam Cancer Registry IKR). Poland: J. Pawlega (Cracow Cancer Registry). Switzerland: F. Comba (Genève Cancer Registry), J. Torhorst (Basel Cancer Registry). United Kingdom: J. Bell (Thames Cancer Registry), J. Youngson (Mersey Cancer Registry).

G. Gatta et al.

covered by cancer registries in eight countries. Only malignant invasive tumours, as defined by ICD-O behaviour code 3 or higher [3] were considered; *in situ*, uncertain and borderline tumours were excluded, as were carcinoids and non-epithelial tumours. Both microscopically verified and non-verified cases were included, but cases known to registries by death certificate only (DCO) or discovered incidentally at autopsy, were excluded.

Table 1 shows a breakdown of the total 11 333 colorectal cancer cases according to participating cancer registry and country. The registries of Finland and Estonia cover the entire populations of those countries. France, The Netherlands, Switzerland and the U.K. are represented by two or more registries. Italy and Poland are represented by single registries covering small areas. Most of the cases were from registries in Northern Europe and almost 50% were from the two U.K. registries. Table 1 also provides information on the distribution by sex, age and cancer site according to registry. The proportion of males ranged from 44% (Finland) to 59% (Côte d'Or). 43% of cases were over 74 years of age, varying between 28% (Estonia and Cracow) and 46% (Geneva and Basel). The number of colon cancer cases was usually slightly higher than that of rectal cancer. The proportion of cases confirmed either histologically or cytologically ("microscopically verified") generally ranged between 80% (Mersey) and 99% (Basel and Eindhoven), but in Cracow only 45% of cases were "microscopically" confirmed.

The major sources of information for most cancer registries

Table 1. Colorectal cancer cases by registry, sex, age, site and microscopic verification (MV)

Registry	No. case (%)	of es)	Males %	>74 years of age %	Colon %	MV%
Estonia	415 ((4)	45	28	54	87
Finland*	1422 ((13)	44	38	58	95
France Côte d'Or Calvados Doubs Tarn Amiens Italy Varese The Netherla Eindhoven Besterdom	244 (249 (175 (200 (249 (421 (nds 389 (732 ((2) (2) (2) (2) (2) (4) (3) (6) 	59 54 53 53 53 49 52	43 41 37 45 37 39 36 42	69 57 56 59 59 62 68 68	98 98 97 95 90 99
Poland Cracow	200	(2)	45	28	51	45
Basel Geneva	485 184	(4) (2)	54 48	46 46	63 71	99 95
U.K. Mersey Thames*	1163 4805	(10) (42)	49 48	40 44	60 62	80 87
Total	11 333	(100)	49	43	61	90

*No re-check of therapy data.

are medical records and pathology files and sometimes death certificates, to collect a minimal set of information for routine cancer incidence studies.

Cancer registries were asked to provide information on whether patients underwent surgical resection and/or radiotherapy, chemotherapy or other treatment. If surgical resection, radiotherapy, chemotherapy or other treatment was mentioned in the registry file, it was entered as such; if none were mentioned, the item was entered as "therapy not known". Detailed information on type of surgery, or radiotherapeutic or chemotherapeutic regimen adopted was not requested. However, to provide as complete a picture as possible on therapy, the participating registries were asked to re-check the clinical records of patients for whom no information on treatment had been recorded. Two large registries (Finland and Thames) did not perform this check.

Surgical resection was defined as any surgical intervention which removed the tumour, whether with radical or palliative intent. When only by-pass surgery was performed, it was classified as "other treatment", although the Finnish cancer registry could not separate by-pass surgery from resection as defined above.

Because the age-distributions of patients differed between registries, the proportions of patients given particular types of treatment were age-adjusted using the direct method, i.e. the proportion of cases treated in each 10-year age group (<35, 35–44, 45–54, 55–64, 65–74, 75+) for each registry was applied to the age distribution of the total series of cases. The age-stratified homogeneity test was used for testing treatment differences between cancer registries or between countries [4].

RESULTS

Table 2 shows the (age-adjusted) percentages of colorectal cancer patients who received surgical resection either alone or in association with chemotherapy or radiotherapy, and the percentages of patients given "other treatments" (symptomatic or palliative, including chemotherapy, radio-therapy and by-pass surgery). In 8% of cases, there was no information on therapy. Overall, 80% of patients received surgical resection, varying from 58% (Estonia) to 92% (Tarn) by registry, with Estonia, Amiens, Cracow and Mersey cancer registries less than 70%.

Tables 3 and 4 show, for colon and rectum, respectively, the percentages of patients treated surgically, according to registry and age. The proportion treated surgically decreased with advancing age: from 85 to 73% for colon cancer, and from 85 to 70% for rectal cancer. This trend was evident for both cancer sites in most cancer registries. The proportion of treated patients aged over 74 ranged from 43% (Estonia) to 94% (Doubs) for colon cancer, and from 33% (Amiens) to 82% (Tarn, Rotterdam and Basel) for rectal cancer. Fairly large differences between registries, with respect to proportions receiving surgery, were also evident in the younger and in the middle age-group.

In addition to between-country variability, there was considerable variability within countries, which was particularly significant in France, Switzerland and the U.K. for both colon and rectal cancers (all ages, P < 0.005). No treatment differences in relation to sex were observed (not reported in Table).

Table 5 shows the age-standardised percentages of colon cancer patients who received chemotherapy, and rectal cancer patients given radiotherapy. Overall, only 4% of patients with

	Therapy						
Registry	Surgical resection* %	Other treatments† %	Not known (%)				
Estonia	58	39	2				
Finland‡	82	13	6				
France Côte d'Or Calvados Doubs Tarn Amiens Italy Varese The Netherlands Eindhoven Rotterdam	86 81 89 92 67 72 89 87	14 16 11 4 29 27 10 12	3 				
Poland Cracow	60	25	15				
Switzerland Basel Geneva	89 79	10 21	1				
U.K. Mersey Thames‡	66 83	27 3	7 14				
Total	80	12	8				

 Table 2. Colorectal cancer cases according to registry and therapy.

 Age-standardised percentages

Table 3. Surgical resection in colon cancer patients according to registry and age. Age-standardised percentages

	<u></u>	Age-groups	<u>.</u>	
Registry	<65 %*	65–74 %	>74 %	All %†
Estonia	74	68	43	59
Finland‡	91	87	70	81
France Côte d'Or Calvados Doubs Tarn Amiens Italy Varese The Netherlands Eindhoven	92 92 100 92 100 80 84 90 88	91 96 93 96 93 79 87 92 94	80 78 89 94 91 54 56 85 85 87	87§ 87 93 94 94 69 73 89 90
Poland Cracow Switzerland Basel Geneva U.K. Mersey Themest	92 52 97 96 84 73	55 93 96 88 86 75	65 78 82 67 73 56 77	88 59 88§ 90 81 80§ 66
Total	87 85	89	73	83 80¶

*Surgery with or without radiotherapy or chemotherapy.†Includes 5 radiotherapy or chemotherapy when given alone, as well as other 3 symptomatic treatments. ‡No re-check of therapy data.

colon cancer received chemotherapy: varying from about 1% in Côte d'Or, The Netherlands and Mersey to 12% in Estonia and Doubs. Almost all colon cancer cases treated with chemotherapy also received surgical resection. Sixteen per cent of rectal cancer patients received radiotherapy, with great variability between registries (1–43%). Overall, radiotherapy for rectal cancer was associated with surgical resection in 75% of cases. The proportion of colon cancer patients receiving chemotherapy decreased with advancing age (from 11% under 65 years, to 1% over 75 years) as did the proportion of rectal cancer patients receiving radiotherapy (from 20% under 65 years to 10% over 75 years).

DISCUSSION

This study reveals that the proportion of colorectal cancer patients who received surgical resection varied widely within Europe in 1987. Surgical resection was performed in over 80% of colon and rectal cancer cases in four of the five French areas, The Netherlands, one of the two Swiss areas, Thames (U.K.) and Finland. By contrast, Estonia, Cracow, Mersey (U.K.) and Amiens reported that less than 70% of patients underwent surgical treatment, while Varese and Geneva had intermediate figures.

In the Finnish cancer registry, with a high proportion of surgical resections, this category may include a small number *Age-standardised percentages (four age categories: <35, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64). †Age-standardised percentages (six age categories: <35, 35-44, 45-54, 55-64, 65-74 and >74). ‡No re-check of therapy data. §Test for homogeneity within country: P < 0.005. ||Test for homogeneity within country: P = 0.396. ||Test for homogeneity between countries: P < 0.001, between registries: P < 0.001.

of cases that received a by-pass operation only. Note that, although this registry was unable to re-check its "therapy not known" cases (6% of total), 76% of these were histologically verified, suggesting that some of them had been resected. In contrast, the Cracow registry had a low proportion of surgical resections (60%) and the highest proportion of "therapy not known" (15%). Before verification, these figures were 54% and 30%, respectively, suggesting that the remaining 15% of unknown therapy patients included very few who were resected.

Cases notified to the registries by death certificate only were excluded from this analysis. These are patients who were not admitted to hospital, and for whom only palliative or no therapy was given; generally they remain at home for the terminal phase of their illness. Some cancer registries with a low proportion of DCOs actively sought clinical information on cases initially identified through death certificates, leading to the inclusion of unfavourable cases. The proportion of DCO cases was highest in Poland and Thames (U.K.) and lowest in Switzerland and Varese, so it is unlikely that the proportion of DCO cases substantially affected the proportion of treated patients. Furthermore, a recent study has provided

Registry	<65 %*	65–74 %	>74 %	All %†
Estonia	75	67	35	57
Finland†	91	85	76	84
France Côte d'Or Calvados Doubs Tarn Amiens Italy Varese The Netherlands Eindhoven Rotterdam	87 86 81 86 94 85 76 90 94 90	88 94 76 100 89 86 82 82 89 97 85	59 73 44 68 82 33 53 80 76 82	77§ 84 66 83 88 66 69 86 88 88
Poland Cracow	72	60	58	63
Switzerland Basel Geneva	90 92 83	86 88 79	76 82 58	86§ 87 72
U.K. Mersey Thames‡	85 78 88	83 70 86	72 54 76	80§ 66 83
Total	85	82	70	78¶

Table 4. Surgical resection in rectal cancer patients according to registry and age. Age-standardised percentages

evidence that the proportion of DCO cases does not affect colon cancer survival figures [5].

In most registries, the frequency of surgical treatment decreased with advancing age, while the proportion of patients treated symptomatically, or for whom no information on therapy was recorded, increased with age. Stage is not necessarily more unfavourable for elderly patients with colorectal cancer [6], but concomitant disease may more frequently contraindicate surgery.

This study gives an indication of the application of chemotherapy and radiotherapy for colon and rectal cancers, respectively. However, registries often could not distinguish between the adjuvant or palliative intent of these therapies. In 1987, radiotherapy was known to be efficacious in rectal cancer [7], mainly to decrease the risk of local recurrence in Dukes' B and C, which constitute up to 60% of cases. The large variation between registries in the application of radiotherapy for rectal cancer (range 1-43%) certainly reflects different clinical attitudes, but may also be related to the availability of radiotherapy facilities. Studies showing that adjuvant chemotherapy could be efficacious in colon cancer appeared only after 1987 [8]. Chemotherapy was, in fact, only given to 1-12% of patients.

Τa	able 5.	Chemot	therapy	(CT)	in	colon	and	radiotherapy	(RT)
in	rectal	cancer	patients	accon	rdin	ig to i	registr	y. Age-stande	ardised
percentages (in parentheses CT or RT associated with resection)									

	Co	olon CT	Rectum RT	
Registry	%	%	%	%
Estonia	12	(9)	15	(14)
Finland*	6	(5)	14	(13)
France Côte d'Or Calvados Doubs Tarn Amiens Italy Varese The Netherlands	7† 1 9 12 9 8 3 1‡	(6) (1) (9) (12) (8) (5) (2) (1)	28† 26 43 37 18 14 22 23‡	 (19) (16) (20) (30) (16) (12) (15) (18)
Rotterdam	1	(1) (1)	27 22	(24) (14)
Poland Cracow	2	(1)	2	(0)
Switzerland Basel Geneva	3§ 3 5	(2) (2) (2)	17† 12 34	(10) (8) (14)
U.K. Mersey Thames*	4† 1 5	(3) (1) (4)	13† 1 16	(10) (1) (12)
Total	4	(4)	16	(12)

*No re-check of therapy data. †Test for homogeneity within country: P < 0.001. ‡Test for homogeneity within country: P = 0.183(RT), P = 0.574(CT). §Test for homogeneity within country: P = 0.275. ||Test for homogeneity between countries: P < 0.001, between registries: P < 0.001.

Our findings on the proportion of surgical resections for colorectal cancers in 1987 can help the interpretation of the EUROCARE finding of significant between-country differences in colon and rectal cancer survival (cases occurring between 1978 and 1985). Within the 15 cancer registry areas of this study, the age-adjusted proportion of patients who received surgical resection correlated positively with the 5year relative survival probability (Pearson's r = 0.7, P = 0.02for colon and Pearson's r = 0.6, P = 0.05 for rectum). The most likely determinant of this correlation is stage at diagnosis: both proportion of resected patients and survival probability correlate with this variable. However, quality of, and access to surgery, as well as access to endoscopy, may differ among countries and registry areas [9], and these may also contribute to inter-country survival differences. Unfortunately, the staging information routinely available to registries is incomplete and insufficiently standardised, and more detailed comparisons are not possible.

Because cancer registries aim to record all the colorectal cancer cases in defined areas, the findings of this study are not influenced by the socio-demographic factors that often affect access to individual hospitals. These population-based results therefore probably reflect the real health care situation in the areas considered, although one small caveat would be that registries, particularly small ones, may be more likely to be present in areas where the local medical community has above-average interest in oncology, and this could positively influence the standard and availability of care in those areas.

In conclusion, the proportion of patients undergoing surgical resection for colorectal cancers varied widely across Europe in 1987. Since this variation correlates positively with the 5year survival probability for these cancers (as reported in the EUROCARE study [1]), it may be part of the explanation for the major differences in survival for these cancers among European populations. Factors such as diagnostic procedures and their relation to stage, which determine treatment and in particular whether a patient is resected, are currently being investigated by the EUROCARE group.

2. International Classification of Diseases, 9th revision. Geneva, WHO, 1977.

- 3. International Classification of Diseases for Oncology, 1st edition. Geneva, WHO, 1976.
- Breslow NE, Day NE. Classical methods of analysis of grouped data. In *Statistical Methods in Cancer Research*, Vol. 1: *The Analysis* of *Case-Control Studies*. Lyon. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Sci. Publ. No. 32, 1980, 122–159.
- Sant M, Capocaccia R, Verdecchia A, et al. Comparison of colon cancer survival among European countries. The EUROCARE study. Int J Cancer 1995, 63, 43-48.
- Kronborg O. Staging and surgery for colorectal cancer. Eur J Cancer 1993, 29A, 575-583.
- Abulafi AM, Williams NS. Local recurrence of colorectal cancer: the problem, mechanisms, management and adjuvant therapy. Br *J Surg* 1994, 81, 7–19.
- Moertel CG, Fleming TR, Macdonald JS, et al. Levamisole and fluorouracil for adjuvant therapy of resected colon cancer. N Engl *J Med* 1990, 322, 352–358.
- McArdle CS, Hole D. Impact of variability among surgeons on postoperative morbidity and mortality and ultimate survival. Br Med J 1991, 302, 1501-1505.

Acknowledgements—We would like to thank Mr D. Ward and Ms E.P. Taussig for editorial assistance. The EUROCARE Project is supported as a European Union Concerted Action (Contract MR4*-0226-I); project leader is Dr Franco Berrino.

Berrino F, Sant M, Verdecchia A, Capocaccia R, Hakulinen T, Estève J, eds. Survival of Cancer Patients in Europe. Lyon. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Sci. Publ. No 132, 1995.