

## Effect of Fe ion concentration on fatigue life of carbon steel in aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> environment - DTU Orbit (09/11/2017)

### Effect of Fe ion concentration on fatigue life of carbon steel in aqueous CO<sub>2</sub> environment

In this work, the corrosion fatigue behaviour of steel armours used in the flexible pipes, in aqueous solutions initially containing different concentrations of Fe<sup>2+</sup>, was investigated by four-point bending testing under saturated 1 bar CO<sub>2</sub> condition. Corrosion fatigue results were supported with ex situ measurements of Fe<sup>2+</sup> and pH. Characterisation of the corrosion scales and crack formations was performed using microscopic and diffraction techniques. Fatigue results showed two times better fatigue life, at the stress ranges of 250 MPa, for samples tested in solutions containing the concentration of Fe<sup>2+</sup> marginally above the solubility limit of FeCO<sub>3</sub> compared to the samples tested in highly supersaturated solution of Fe<sup>2+</sup>. Results revealed that the impact of the alternating stresses on the corrosion behaviour of samples reduces with lowering the applied stresses. At the stress range of 100 MPa, fatigue samples experienced the same corrosion rate as samples that were not subjected to dynamic loading.

### General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Mechanical Engineering, Materials and Surface Engineering, National Oilwell Varco Denmark I/S

Authors: Rogowska, M. (Ekstern), Gudme, J. (Ekstern), Rubin, A. (Ekstern), Pantleon, K. (Intern), Ambat, R. (Intern)

Pages: 90-103

Publication date: 2016

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

### Publication information

Journal: Corrosion Engineering, Science and Technology

Volume: 51

Issue number: 2

ISSN (Print): 1478-422X

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 1

Web of Science (2017): Indexed Yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2016): SJR 0.382 SNIP 0.588 CiteScore 0.86

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 0.345 SNIP 0.673 CiteScore 0.92

BFI (2014): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 0.38 SNIP 0.885 CiteScore 0.89

BFI (2013): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 0.335 SNIP 0.608 CiteScore 0.62

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.284 SNIP 0.642 CiteScore 0.62

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.411 SNIP 1.058 CiteScore 0.72

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.333 SNIP 0.63

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.396 SNIP 0.786

Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes

BFI (2008): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.331 SNIP 0.634

Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.481 SNIP 0.693

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.561 SNIP 0.659

Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.537 SNIP 0.817

Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.594 SNIP 0.763

Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.672 SNIP 1.409

Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.795 SNIP 1.437

Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.771 SNIP 0.912

Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.795 SNIP 1.169

Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.513 SNIP 0.581

Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1179/1743278215Y.0000000035

Source: FindIt

Source-ID: 277583558

Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2016