Technical University of Denmark

Bioflocculation of green microalgae using activated sludge and potential for biogas production

Radovici, Maria; Wágner, Dorottya Sarolta; Angelidaki, Irini; Valverde Pérez, Borja; Plósz, Benedek G.

Publication date:
2016

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Citation (APA):
Radovici, M., Wágner, D. S., Angelidaki, I., Valverde Pérez, B., & Plósz, B. G. (2016). Bioflocculation of green microalgae using activated sludge and potential for biogas production. Poster session presented at 13th IWA Leading Edge Conference on Water and Wastewater Technologies, Jerez da la Frontera, Spain.

Bioflocculation of green microalgae using activated sludge and potential for biogas production

Maria Radovici, Dorottya Sarolta Wágner*, Irini Angelidaki, Borja Valverde-Pérez, Benedek Gy. Plósz

Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej, Building 115, DK-2800, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
*e-mail: dosaw@env.dtu.dk

1. INTRODUCTION

New technologies are developed to recover wastewater resources and increase energy yields in form of biogas [1].

→ Potential energy recovery using microalgae.

Available harvesting methods are costly and energy intensive [2].



Objectives:

- Developing **cost-efficient** way of harvesting microalgae via **bioflocculation** using activated sludge from a short-SRT EBPR system.
- Assess the potential of **energy recovery** via **biogas production** from the harvested **activated sludge-algal biomass**.

2. METHODS

1. Flocculation experiments

Microalgal biomass:

Mixed green microalgal culture cultivated on effluent wastewater:

Chlorella sorokiniana

and *Scenedesmus sp.*



Activated sludge:

Taken from a short SRT (3.5 d) EBPR system [3]:

- Solid-liquid separation after the aerobic phase (AS_{AE})
- Solid-liquid separation after the anaerobic phase (AS_{AN})



Flocculation strategies:

Strategy I:
Flocculation of microalgae and activated sludge



Strategy II:
Step 1: Coagulation of microalgae with a cationic polymer (PDADMAC)
Step 2: Flocculation with activated sludge



2. Biomethane potential tests

Mesophilic conditions (37 ºC)
Digestion scenarios:
I. Algae
II. Algae + polymer (20 mg/g algae)
III. AS_{AE}/AS_{AN} alone (activated sludge removed after the aerobic and after the anaerobic phase)
IV. AS_{AE}/AS_{AN} + algae (10% ratio of algae/AS)
V. AS_{AE}/AS_{AN} + algae + polymer (10% ratio of algae/AS, 20 mg polymer/g algae)

3. Flocculation

1. Polymer dosing

100% algae + polymer



10% algae + 90% activated sludge + polymer



- **27 mg polymer/g algae** dosing results in **92 % microalgal recovery**
- **Restabilization effect** results in lower recovery at high polymer dosages

- **Microalgal recovery with activated sludge** used as flocculant (strategy I) **is low (40%)** → we need a coagulation aid (strategy II)
- **16 mg polymer/g algae** dosing results in **97 % recovery**

2. Mixing ratio

Algae + activated sludge + polymer (16 mg/g algae)



- With **increasing algae/activated sludge** ratios **more polymer dosing is required** to reach optimal recovery
- **Optimum dosing** should be **estimated for the specific operation conditions** of the process

3. Activated sludge settleability



- Bulking events in activated sludge systems cause **poorly settling sludge** → The **biomass volume** after settling is **high**
- The **efficiency of the flocculation** does **not deteriorate**, the microalgal **recovery stays sufficient (>90%)**

4. Biogas potential and energy recovery

1. Biogas potential of biomass



- **Co-digestion** of microalgae **with activated sludge removed** after the **anaerobic phase** produces **significantly higher** (P<0.05) **methane** than co-digestion of **activated sludge** taken after the **aerobic phase** → due to **stored PHA by PAO** in the anaerobic phase of the EBPR and **balanced nutrients** due to co-digestion with microalgae

2. Energy recovery



- Effective **preservation of organic carbon** via the EBPR→ **up to 40%** of the influent organic carbon is converted into methane
- Only **up to 10%** of the incoming COD is **lost to the effluent** of the EBPR

5. CONCLUSIONS

- An **effective** solution is proposed to **harvest microalgal biomass** and to significantly decrease the amount of polymer coagulant required;
- **97% microalgal biomass recovery** was reached with 16 mg polymer/g algae
- **Poorly settling** sludge did not affect microalgal biomass **recovery**, however, due to bulking the **biomass volume was increased**;
- **Optimum polymer dosing** depends on the **mixing ratio** of algae and activated sludge;
- **Co-digestion** with biomass taken after the **anaerobic phase enhanced biogas potential**;
- Up to **40% of the influent COD** of the EBPR **was recovered as methane**;
- Most of the **COD was assimilated into biomass or mineralized** to CO_2 and only up to **10% is lost in the effluent** of the EBPR.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The research was financially supported by the European Commission (E4WATER Project, FP7-NMP-2011.3.4-1 grant agreement 280756) and the Integrated Water Technology (InWaTech) project (http://www.inwatech.org.www6.sitecore.dtu.dk/).

References:
[1] Batstone, D.J., Hülsen, T., Mehta, C.M., Keller, J., 2015. Platforms for energy and nutrient recovery from domestic wastewater: A review. Chemosphere, 140, 2–11.
[2] Gerardo, M.L., Van Den Hende, S., Vervaeren, H., Coward, T., Skill, S.C., 2015. Harvesting of microalgae within a biorefinery approach: A review of the developments and case studies from pilot-plants. Algal Research 11, 248–262.
[3] Valverde-Pérez, B., Wágner, D.S., Lóránt, B., Gülay, A., Smets, B.F., Plósz, B.G., 2016. Short-sludge age EBPR process - microbial and biochemical process characterisation during reactor start-up and operation. Submitted to Water Research.