### Technical University of Denmark



### Modelling alternative fuel production technologies for the future Danish energy and transport system

Venturini, Giada; Pizarro Alonso, Amalia Rosa; Münster, Marie

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

### Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Venturini, G., Pizarro Alonso, A. R., & Münster, M. (2016). Modelling alternative fuel production technologies for the future Danish energy and transport system. Poster session presented at wholeSEM Annual Conference, United Kingdom.

### DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Modelling alternative fuel production technologies for the future Danish energy and transport system

Giada Venturini<sup>a</sup>, Amalia Rosa Pizarro Alonso<sup>a</sup>, Marie Münster<sup>a</sup> <sup>a</sup>DTU Management Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark



The bottom-up optimization model TIMES-DK covers the Danish energy system, allowing electricity and fuel exports, and it optimizes under the assumption of perfect foresight from 2010 through 2050. No primary imports of biomass are

Objective function
$$\min \sum_{r \in R} \sum_{y \in Y} (1 + d_{ry})^{(y_0 - y)} \cdot Cost_{ry}$$
 $ct to$ Resource bounds $\leq A_{cry}$  $\forall c \in C, r \in R, y \in Y$ Fulfilment of service demands

set of years with  $y_0$  reference year discount rate with  $r \in R$  and  $y \in Y$ total cost in year  $y \in Y$  and region  $r \in R$ availability of resource  $c \in C$ , with  $r \in R, y \in Y$ demand for service  $s \in S$ , with  $r \in R, y \in Y$  $Target_{ry}$ : emission target in region  $r \in R$ , year  $y \in Y$ input flow of commodity  $c \in C$  in process  $p \in P$ output flow of commodity  $c \in C$  in process  $p \in P$  $CO_2$  eq emissions from process  $p \in P$ 

### Petroleum Liquid Petrol Gas Biodiesel G1 (Rapeseed) Bioethanol G1 (Corn. Sugarbeet) Bioethanol G2 (Woodchips) BioNaphta Biodiesel G2 (Straw) Bioethanol G2 (Straw) Biokerosene G2 (Straw)

Synthetic Natural Gas

### BTL Biokerosene

- BTL Bioethanol
- Bioethanol 2G
- Biogas AD
- CHP plant
- Boiler
- Left on field

- system.

# sector?

### What are the policy implications?

# Literature

Bolat, P., & Thiel, C. (2014). Hydrogen supply chain architecture for bottomup energy systems models. Part 2: Techno-economic inputs for hydrogen production pathways. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy, 39 (17), 8898-8925.

Evald, A., Hu, G., & Hansen, M. T. (2013). Technology data for advanced bioenergy fuels. Danish Energy Agency.

Hamelin, L., Naroznova, I., & Wenzel, H. (2014). Environmental consequences of different carbon alternatives for increased manure-based biogas. Applied Energy, 114, 774–782.

Sigurjonsson, H. Æ., Elmegaard, B., Clausen, L. R., & Ahrenfeldt, J. (2015). Climate effect of an integrated wheat production and bioenergy system with Low Temperature Circulating Fluidized Bed gasifier. Applied Energy, 160, 511-520.



# Conclusions

• The analysis on the optimal use of straw suggests that a combination of technologies (BTL and biogas) is the most cost efficient while using straw for heat and power is the least attractive solution. However, the choice has a sensible impact only on the future configuration of the transport and heat sectors, with minor effects on the rest of the energy

• While uncertainty on cost and efficiencies of emerging technologies remains, further sensitivity analyses performed show no changes in the optimal combination associated with smaller or larger costs of investment and operation for the winning technologies.

• Given the current political debate on the optimal use of this unutilized resource, the analysis offers an objective and comprehensive comparison of the different options.

## **Further work**

How does plant location affect the final use of straw? Recovery of process heat in district heating network • Geographical and temporal availability of biomass • Transportation of biomass from the field

### How do we measure costs and benefits within the agriculture

• Soil carbon stock: direct and indirect land use changes • Soil treatment: reutilization of process by-products • Future food production and dietary developments

• Shaping the non-ETS CO<sub>2</sub> guota market

