

High Performance Nano-Ceria Electrodes for Solid Oxide Cells - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

High Performance Nano-Ceria Electrodes for Solid Oxide Cells

In solid oxide electrochemical cells, the conventional Ni-based fuel-electrodes provide high electrocatalytic activity but they are often a major source of long-term performance degradation due to carbon deposition, poisoning of reaction sites, Ni mobility, etc. Doped-ceria is a promising mixed ionic-electronic conducting oxide that could solve these issues if it can be integrated into an appropriate electrode structure. Two new approaches to obtain high-performance nanostructured doped-ceria electrodes are highlighted. The first is an infiltration-based architecture with $\text{Ce}_{0.8}\text{Pr}_{0.2}\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ forming the active surfaces on a porous backbone with embedded electronic current collector material, yielding one of the highest performances reported for an electrode that operates either on fuel or oxidant. The second is a nano- $\text{Ce}_{0.9}\text{Gd}_{0.1}\text{O}_{2-\delta}$ thin film prepared by spin-coating, which provides an unprecedented electrode polarization resistance of $\sim 0.01 \Omega \text{ cm}^2$ at 650 °C in $\text{H}_2/\text{H}_2\text{O}$. These results demonstrate that nano-ceria has the ability to achieve higher performance than Ni-based electrodes and show that the main challenge is obtaining sufficient electronic current collection without adding too much inactive material.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Applied Electrochemistry, Ceramic Engineering & Science

Authors: Graves, C. R. (Intern), Martinez Aguilera, L. (Intern), Sudireddy, B. R. (Intern)

Number of pages: 10

Pages: 183-192

Publication date: 2016

Conference: The 229th ECS Meeting, San Diego, CA, United States, 29/05/2016 - 29/05/2016

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: E C S Transactions

Volume: 72

Issue number: 7

ISSN (Print): 1938-5862

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 1

BFI (2016): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 0.4 SJR 0.231 SNIP 0.246

BFI (2015): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 0.214 SNIP 0.257 CiteScore 0.36

BFI (2014): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 0.214 SNIP 0.246 CiteScore 0.36

BFI (2013): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 0.192 SNIP 0.237 CiteScore 0.27

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed no

BFI (2012): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 0.24 SNIP 0.263 CiteScore 0.29

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed no

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 0.262 SNIP 0.284 CiteScore 0.36

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed no

BFI (2010): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 0.247 SNIP 0.245

BFI (2009): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.241 SNIP 0.266

BFI (2008): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.253 SNIP 0.25

Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.213 SNIP 0.206

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.135 SNIP 0.062

Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1149/07207.0183ecst

Source: PublicationPreSubmission

Source-ID: 123882745

Publication: Research - peer-review › Conference article – Annual report year: 2016

