

The ideal dimensions of a Halbach cylinder of finite length - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

The ideal dimensions of a Halbach cylinder of finite length

In this paper the smallest or optimal dimensions of a Halbach cylinder of a finite length for a given sample volume and desired flux density are determined using numerical modeling and parameter variation. A sample volume that is centered in and shaped as the Halbach cylinder bore but with a possible shorter length is considered. The external radius and the length of the Halbach cylinder with the smallest possible dimensions are found as a function of a desired internal radius, length of the sample volume and mean flux density. It is shown that the optimal ratio between the outer and inner radius of the Halbach cylinder does not depend on the length of the sample volume. Finally, the efficiency of a finite length Halbach cylinder is considered and compared with the case of a cylinder of infinite length. The most efficient dimensions for a Halbach cylinder are found and it is shown that the efficiency increases slowly with the length of the cylinder.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Energy Conversion and Storage, Electrofunctional materials

Authors: Bjørk, R. (Intern)

Number of pages: 7

Publication date: 2011

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Journal of Applied Physics

Volume: 109

Issue number: 1

Article number: 013915

ISSN (Print): 0021-8979

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 1

Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 1.72 SJR 0.632 SNIP 0.815

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 0.618 SNIP 0.84 CiteScore 1.57

Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes

BFI (2014): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.005 SNIP 1.18 CiteScore 2.04

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.165 SNIP 1.317 CiteScore 2.24

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.305 SNIP 1.294 CiteScore 2.13

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.373 SNIP 1.318 CiteScore 2.24

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.47 SNIP 1.195

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.518 SNIP 1.238

Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes

BFI (2008): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 1.667 SNIP 1.338

Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.708 SNIP 1.395

Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.947 SNIP 1.649

Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2005): SJR 2.034 SNIP 1.627

Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2004): SJR 2.097 SNIP 1.602

Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2003): SJR 2.019 SNIP 1.525

Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2002): SJR 2.225 SNIP 1.674

Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2001): SJR 2.079 SNIP 1.554

Web of Science (2001): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2000): SJR 2.338 SNIP 1.543

Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (1999): SJR 2.071 SNIP 1.517

Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1063/1.3525646

Source: FindIt

Source-ID: 162065774

Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2011