Technical University of Denmark



Co-digestion of microalgae and activated sludge following a novel bioflocculation method

Wágner, Dorottya Sarolta; Radovici, M.; Angelidaki, Irini; Valverde Pérez, Borja; Plósz, Benedek G.

Publication date: 2016

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Wágner, D. S., Radovici, M., Angelidaki, I., Valverde Perez, B., & Plósz, B. G. (2016). Co-digestion of microalgae and activated sludge following a novel bioflocculation method. Poster session presented at Young Algaeneers Symposium, 2016, Malta.

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Co-digestion of microalgae and activated sludge following a novel bioflocculation method

Dorottya Sarolta Wágner*, Maria Radovici, Irini Angelidaki, Borja Valverde-Pérez, Benedek Gy. Plósz

*dosaw@env.dtu.dk, DTU Environment, Department of Environmental Engineering, Technical University of Denmark, Miljøvej, Building 113, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, DENMARK



1. INTRODUCTION

 New technologies are developed to recover wastewater resources and increasing energy yields in form of biogas.

3. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mixed green microalgal culture cultivated on effluent wastewater:

Activated sludge from a short SRT (3.5

- \rightarrow Potential energy recovery using microalgae.
- Available harvesting methods are costly and energy intensive.



2. OBJECTIVES

- **Cost-efficient** way of harvesting microalgae via **bioflocculation** using wasted activated sludge.
- Assess the biogas potential from the harvested activated sludge-algal biomass.

10% algae : 90% activated sludge

10

Dosing (mg PDADMAC / g algae)

sludge used as flocculant (strategy I) is

low $(40\%) \rightarrow$ we need a coagulation

• 16 mg polymer /g algae dosing results

Microalgal recovery with activated

15 20 25 30

Algae+AS_{AE}+polymer

Algae+ASAN+polymer

Chlorella sorokiniana and Scenedesmus sp.





d) EBP2R system: Two wasting strategies: Solid-liquid separation after the aerobic phase (AS_{AF})

phase (AS_{AN})

and after the anaerobic



Strategy II **1. step:** Algae is coagulated with cationic polymer (PDADMAC) **2. step:** Activated sludge is added to enhance the flocculation

Biogas potential

- Mesophilic conditions (37 °C)
- Digestion scenarios:
 - I. Algae

II. Algae + polymer (20 mg/g algae) III. AS alone (aerobic and anaerobic sludge) IV. AS_{AF}/AS_{AN} + algae (ratio 10% of algae/AS)

V. AS_{AE}/AS_{AN} + algae + polymer (ratio 10% of algae/AS, 20 mg polymer/g algae)

AS

polymer



4. RESULTS

Effect on microalgal recovery of: (ii) Activated sludge settleability

Recovery SBH 1.0 80 0.8 (%) 0.6 J 60 Recovery 0.4 Has 40 0.2 20 0.0 600 400 800 200 1000 SVI (ml/g)

- Bulking events in activated sludge systems cause poorly settling sludge → The sludge blanket height (SBH) increases
- The efficiency of the flocculation does not deteriorate, the microalgal recovery stays sufficient (>90%)

80 80 80

(i) Polymer dosing

100

60

20

Recovery



100% algae



Restabilization effect results in lower recovery



 Co-digestion with AS wasted after the anaerobic phase produces significantly higher (P<0.05) methane than when AS taken after the aerobic phase is used → effective preservation of organic carbon via the EBP2R, by the PHA stored by PAO in the anaerobic phase → up to 40% of the influent organic carbon is converted into

aid (strategy II)

in 97 % recovery

methane

100

80

____ Algae ____ AS_{AE} ____ AS_{AN} _<u>→</u> Algae+AS_{AN}+polymer

Sample	Methane yield at day 27 (ml CH ₄ /gVS)
Algae	331± 76
AS _{AE}	363± 68
AS _{AN}	449± 17
Algae + AS _{AE}	400± 22
Algae + AS _{AN}	560± 24
Algae + poly	341 ± 121
Algae + AS _{AE} + poly	424± 14
Algae + AS _{AN} + poly	528± 28

(iii) Mixing ratio

Algae + activated sludge + polymer (16 mg/g algae)



With increasing algae /activated sludge ratios more polymer dosing is needed

 Optimum dosing should be estimated for the specific operation conditions of the process

5. CONCLUSION

- An effective solution is proposed to harvest the microalgae
- •97% microalgal biomass recovery was reached
- **Poorly settling** sludge did not improve microalgal biomass **recovery**
- Optimum polymer dosing should be estimated for specific operational conditions
- Co-digestion with AS wasted after the anaerobic



phase enhanced biogas potential

• Up to 40% of the COD was recovered as methane

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT



