Oscillatory squeeze flow for the study of linear viscoelastic behavior - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

Oscillatory squeeze flow for the study of linear viscoelastic behavior

The squeezing of a sample between parallel plates has been used for many years to characterize the rheological behavior of soft, purely viscous materials, and in recent times, small-amplitude oscillatory squeezing has been proposed as a means to determine the linear viscoelastic properties of molten polymers and suspensions. The principal advantage of squeeze flow rheometer over rotational devices is the simplicity of the apparatus. It has no air bearing and is much less expensive and easier to use. Accuracy may be somewhat reduced, but for quality control purposes, it could be quite useful. It might also find application as the central component of a high-throughput rheometer for evaluating experimental materials. The deformation is not simple shear, but equations have been derived to show that the oscillatory compressive (normal) force that is measured can serve as a basis for calculating the storage and loss moduli. These theories as well as instruments that have been developed to generate the required deformation are described, and applications to a variety of materials are described.

General information

State: Published Organisations: Department of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, The Danish Polymer Centre, Drexel University, McGill University Authors: Wingstrand, S. L. (Intern), Alvarez, N. J. (Ekstern), Hassager, O. (Intern), Dealy, J. M. (Ekstern) Pages: 407-418 Publication date: 2016 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Journal of Rheology Volume: 60 Issue number: 3 ISSN (Print): 0148-6055 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 2 Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 3.1 SJR 1.414 SNIP 1.553 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.413 SNIP 1.573 CiteScore 2.67 Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes BFI (2014): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.692 SNIP 1.584 CiteScore 3.29 BFI (2013): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.297 SNIP 1.583 CiteScore 2.96 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.347 SNIP 1.62 CiteScore 2.72 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes BFI (2011): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.974 SNIP 1.824 CiteScore 3.34 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.821 SNIP 1.504 Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes BFI (2009): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.762 SNIP 1.526 Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes BFI (2008): BFI-level 1

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 1.909 SNIP 2.504 Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.762 SNIP 1.78 Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.455 SNIP 1.638 Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2005): SJR 1.903 SNIP 1.652 Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.704 SNIP 1.785 Scopus rating (2003): SJR 1.793 SNIP 1.745 Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2002): SJR 1.856 SNIP 1.994 Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2001): SJR 2.571 SNIP 1.942 Web of Science (2001): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2000): SJR 2.024 SNIP 1.799 Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes Scopus rating (1999): SJR 2.005 SNIP 2.053 Original language: English DOIs: 10.1122/1.4943984 Source: FindIt Source-ID: 2303140937 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2016