

Unravelling the role of quantum interference in the weak-field laser phase modulation control of photofragment distributions - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

Unravelling the role of quantum interference in the weak-field laser phase modulation control of photofragment distributions

The role played by quantum interference in the laser phase modulation coherent control of photofragment distributions in the weak-field regime is investigated in detail in this work. The specific application involves realistic wave packet calculations of the transient vibrational populations of the $\text{Br}_2(B, v_f)$ fragment produced upon predissociation of the $\text{Ne-Br}_2(B)$ complex, which is excited to a superposition of overlapping resonance states using different fixed bandwidth pulses where the linear chirps are varied. The postpulse transient phase modulation effects observed on fragment populations for a long time window are explained in terms of the mechanism of interference between overlapping resonances. A detailed description of how the interference mechanism affects the magnitude and the time window of the phase control effects is also provided. In the light of the results, the conditions to maximize phase modulation control on fragment distributions are discussed.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Chemistry, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Cientificas

Authors: García-Vela, A. (Ekstern), Henriksen, N. E. (Intern)

Number of pages: 8

Pages: 4772-4779

Publication date: 2016

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics

Volume: 18

Issue number: 6

ISSN (Print): 1463-9076

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 2

Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 4.06 SJR 1.678 SNIP 1.117

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.771 SNIP 1.244 CiteScore 4.45

Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes

BFI (2014): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.772 SNIP 1.253 CiteScore 4.29

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.715 SNIP 1.216 CiteScore 4.05

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.916 SNIP 1.184 CiteScore 3.67

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.697 SNIP 1.203 CiteScore 3.6

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.802 SNIP 1.196

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 2.127 SNIP 1.369

Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes

BFI (2008): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 2.158 SNIP 1.211

Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.84 SNIP 1.138

Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.467 SNIP 1.128

Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2005): SJR 1.389 SNIP 1.104

Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.173 SNIP 1.007

Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2003): SJR 1.093 SNIP 0.925

Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2002): SJR 1.122 SNIP 0.973

Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2001): SJR 1.09 SNIP 0.914

Web of Science (2001): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.948 SNIP 1.068

Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.121 SNIP 0

Original language: English

DOIs:

10.1039/c5cp06094j

Source: FindIt

Source-ID: 2290434628

Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2016