Co-digestion of food and garden waste with mixed sludge from wastewater treatment in continuously stirred tank reactors - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

Co-digestion of food and garden waste with mixed sludge from wastewater treatment in continuously stirred tank reactors

Co-digestions of urban organic waste were conducted to investigate the effect of the mixing ratio between sludge, food waste, grass clippings and green waste at different hydraulic retention times (HRTs). Compared to the digestion of 100% sludge, the methane yield increased by 48% and 35%, when co-digesting sludge with food waste, grass clippings and garden waste with a corresponding % VS of 10:67.5:15.75:6.75 (R1) and 10:45:31.5:13.5 (R2), respectively. The methane yield remained constant at around 425 and 385 NmL CH4/g VS in R1 and R2, respectively, when the reactors were operated at HRTs of 15, 20 and 30 days. However, the methane yield dropped significantly to 356 (R1) and 315 (R2) NmL CH4/g VS when reducing the HRT to 10 days, indicating that the process was stressed. Since the methane production rate improved significantly with decreasing HRT, the trade-off between yield and productivity was obtained at 15 days HRT.

General information

State: Published
Organisations: Department of Environmental Engineering, Residual Resource Engineering
Authors: Fitamo, T. M. (Intern), Boldrin, A. (Intern), Boe, K. (Intern), Angelidaki, I. (Intern), Scheutz, C. (Intern)
Pages: 245-254
Publication date: 2016
Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Bioresource Technology
Volume: 206
ISSN (Print): 0960-8524
Ratings:
BFI (2017): BFI-level 2
Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes
BFI (2016): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 5.94 SJR 2.191 SNIP 1.91
Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes
BFI (2015): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2015): SJR 2.255 SNIP 1.908 CiteScore 5.47
Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes
BFI (2014): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2014): SJR 2.41 SNIP 2.104 CiteScore 5.3
Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes
BFI (2013): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2013): SJR 2.412 SNIP 2.503 CiteScore 5.97
ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes
Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes
BFI (2012): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2012): SJR 2.389 SNIP 2.465 CiteScore 5.25
ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes
Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes
BFI (2011): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2011): SJR 2.314 SNIP 2.508 CiteScore 5.56
ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes
Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes
BFI (2010): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2010): SJR 2.086 SNIP 2.355
Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes
BFI (2009): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.912 SNIP 2.231
Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes
BFI (2008): BFI-level 2
Scopus rating (2008): SJR 1.734 SNIP 2.732

Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.529 SNIP 2.423
Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.315 SNIP 1.98
Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2005): SJR 1.269 SNIP 2.006
Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.197 SNIP 1.659
Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.948 SNIP 1.639
Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.882 SNIP 1.3
Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes
Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.541 SNIP 1.208
Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.464 SNIP 1.049
Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.669 SNIP 1.061
Original language: English
Anaerobic digestion, Urban organic waste, Sewage sludge , Hydraulic retention time, Biochemical methane potential
DOIs:
10.1016/j.biortech.2016.01.085
Source: FindIt
Source-ID: 2291709226
Publication: Research - peer-review › Journal article – Annual report year: 2016