Ontogeny and growth of early life stages of captive-bred European eel - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

Ontogeny and growth of early life stages of captive-bred European eel

Captive breeding of European eel, Anguilla anguilla is challenged by the complex hormonal control of Anguillid eel reproduction and the distinctive ontogeny of the leptocephalus larvae that are unique to the Elopomorph superorder. Recent experimental research has succeeded in the production of viable eggs and larvae of European eel, providing the basis for studies on early life stages of this species in captivity. In this study, we describe and illustrate morphological characteristics of eggs, embryos, and larvae from fertilization to termination

of the yolk sac stage and provide a comparison with additional commercially important eel species. Furthermore, we model growth during the critical first phase in larval ontogeny, i.e. the yolk sac stage, and test for maternal effects. The eggs of A. anguilla typically have numerous oil droplets that coalesce into a single large oil droplet, while the zygote forms a large perivitelline space, reaching an egg diameter of 1.45 ± 0.12 mm at 3.0 to 3.5 h post fertilization. Embryonic development from fertilization to larval hatch lasted ~46–48 h at 20 °C with the larvae emerging in a relatively undeveloped stage with a protuberant yolk sac. During the period of yolk and oil absorption, the larvae undertook significant changes in head and body morphology. At the completion of yolk sac absorption, the largely transparent larvae had a set of protruding teeth, pigmented eyes and tail, and a simple alimentary tract. Larvae appeared capable of feeding at ~12 days post hatch at 20 °C, and were able to

survive another ~10 dayswithout feeding. Larval length approached and symptotic maximum of 6.8mma round day 10 in non-fed larvae. Larval batches from different maternal origins varied in yolk sac size and the extent of yolk sac resources influenced larval size at the end of the yolk sac stage. The ontogenetic description presented here fills a gap in knowledge about the yet undiscovered early life stages of native European eel, which can provide a framework of reference for the development of hatchery technology. Such progress is urgently needed for a self-sustained aquaculture of this high-value and critically endangered species. Statement of relevance: European eel is a high-value species in aquaculture, however, production is presently

hampered by reliance on wild caught fry. Captive production of glass eels will reopen markets in Europe and Asia, benefiting European eel producers. The results presented here document recent progress within assisted reproduction and larval culture of this species in aquaculture and aid establishing hatchery technology of this species

General information

State: Published

Organisations: National Institute of Aquatic Resources, Section for Marine Ecology and Oceanography, Section for Marine Living Resources, Billund Aquakulturservice A/S, Danish Aquaculture Organisation

Authors: Sørensen, S. R. (Intern), Tomkiewicz, J. (Intern), Munk, P. (Intern), Butts, I. A. (Intern), Nielsen, A. (Intern), Lauesen, P. (Ekstern), Graver, C. (Ekstern) Pages: 50-61 Publication date: 2016

Publication date: 2016 Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: Aquaculture Volume: 456 ISSN (Print): 0044-8486 Ratings: BFI (2017): BFI-level 2 Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes BFI (2016): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 2.75 SJR 1.101 SNIP 1.524 Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes BFI (2015): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.103 SNIP 1.254 CiteScore 2.12 Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes BFI (2014): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.002 SNIP 1.34 CiteScore 2.16 Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes BFI (2013): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.136 SNIP 1.3 CiteScore 2.18 ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes BFI (2012): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.212 SNIP 1.487 CiteScore 2.32 ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes

Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes BFI (2011): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.294 SNIP 1.542 CiteScore 2.39 ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes BFI (2010): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.151 SNIP 1.394 Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes BFI (2009): BFI-level 1 Scopus rating (2009): SJR 0.941 SNIP 1.263 Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes BFI (2008): BFI-level 2 Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.909 SNIP 1.173 Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2007): SJR 1.019 SNIP 1.318 Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2006): SJR 1.008 SNIP 1.689 Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.915 SNIP 1.236 Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2004): SJR 1.016 SNIP 1.627 Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2003): SJR 1.121 SNIP 1.926 Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.992 SNIP 1.418 Web of Science (2002): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2001): SJR 1.049 SNIP 1.317 Web of Science (2001): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2000): SJR 0.908 SNIP 1.113 Web of Science (2000): Indexed yes Scopus rating (1999): SJR 1.049 SNIP 1.251 Original language: English DOIs: 10.1016/j.aquaculture.2016.01.015 Source: FindIt Source-ID: 2291618145 Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2016