

Global guidance on environmental life cycle impact assessment indicators: Progress and case study - DTU Orbit (08/11/2017)

Global guidance on environmental life cycle impact assessment indicators: Progress and case study

Purpose The life cycle impact assessment (LCIA) guidance flagship project of the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP)/Society of Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry (SETAC) Life Cycle Initiative aims at providing global guidance and building scientific consensus on environmental LCIA indicators. This paper presents the progress made since 2013, preliminary results obtained for each impact category and the description of a rice life cycle assessment (LCA) case study designed to test and compare LCIA indicators.

Methods The effort has been focused in a first stage on impacts of global warming, fine particulate matter emissions, water use and land use, plus cross-cutting issues and LCAbased footprints. The paper reports the process and progress and specific results obtained in the different task forces (TFs). Additionally, a rice LCA case study common to all TF has been developed. Three distinctly different scenarios of producing and cooking rice have been defined and underlined with life cycle inventory data. These LCAs help testing impact category indicators which are being developed and/or selected in the harmonisation process. The rice LCA case study further helps to ensure the practicality of the finally recommended impact category indicators.

Results and discussion The global warming TF concludes that analysts should explore the sensitivity of LCA results to metrics other than GWP. The particulate matter TF attained initial guidance of how to include health effects from PM_{2.5} exposures consistently into LCIA. The biodiversity impacts of land use TF suggests to consider complementary metrics besides species richness for assessing biodiversity loss. The water use TF is evaluating two stress-based metrics, AWaRe and an alternative indicator by a stakeholder consultation. The cross-cutting issues TF agreed upon maintaining disabilityadjusted life years (DALY) as endpoint unit for the safeguard subject "human health". The footprint TF defined main attributes that should characterise all footprint indicators. "Rice cultivation" and "cooking" stages of the rice LCA case study contribute most to the environmental impacts assessed.

Conclusions The results of the TF will be documented in white papers and some published in scientific journals. These white papers represent the input for the Pellston workshop ™, taking place inValencia, Spain, from24 to 29 January 2016, where best practice, harmonised LCIA indicators and an update on the general LCIA framework will be discussed and agreed on. With the diversity in results and the multi-tier supply chains, the rice LCA case study is well suited to test candidate recommended indicators and to ensure their applicability in common LCA case studies.

General information

State: Published

Organisations: Department of Management Engineering, Quantitative Sustainability Assessment, treeze Ltd., Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Irstea, Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, Institut de Recerca i Tecnologia Agroalimentàries, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, University of California at Berkeley, United Nations Environmental Programme, ETH Zurich, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, SETAC, University of Michigan

Authors: Frischknecht, R. (Ekstern), Fantke, P. (Intern), Tschümperlin, L. (Ekstern), Niero, M. (Intern), Anton, A. (Ekstern), Bare, J. (Ekstern), Boulay, A. (Ekstern), Cherubini, F. (Ekstern), Hauschild, M. Z. (Intern), Henderson, A. (Ekstern), Levasseur, A. (Ekstern), McKone, T. E. (Ekstern), Michelsen, O. (Ekstern), i Canals, L. M. (Ekstern), Pfister, S. (Ekstern), Ridoutt, B. (Ekstern), Rosenbaum, R. K. (Ekstern), Verones, F. (Ekstern), Vigon, B. (Ekstern), Jolliet, O. (Ekstern)

Pages: 429–442 Publication date: 2016

Main Research Area: Technical/natural sciences

Publication information

Journal: International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment

Volume: 21

ISSN (Print): 0948-3349

Ratings:

BFI (2017): BFI-level 2

Web of Science (2017): Indexed yes

BFI (2016): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2016): CiteScore 3.43 SJR 1.328 SNIP 1.423

Web of Science (2016): Indexed yes

BFI (2015): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2015): SJR 1.504 SNIP 1.554 CiteScore 3.49

Web of Science (2015): Indexed yes

BFI (2014): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2014): SJR 1.736 SNIP 1.738 CiteScore 3.65

Web of Science (2014): Indexed yes

BFI (2013): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2013): SJR 1.666 SNIP 1.979 CiteScore 3.35

ISI indexed (2013): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2013): Indexed yes

BFI (2012): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2012): SJR 1.515 SNIP 1.701 CiteScore 2.89

ISI indexed (2012): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2012): Indexed yes

BFI (2011): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2011): SJR 1.581 SNIP 1.716 CiteScore 2.82

ISI indexed (2011): ISI indexed yes Web of Science (2011): Indexed yes

BFI (2010): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2010): SJR 1.447 SNIP 1.861

Web of Science (2010): Indexed yes

BFI (2009): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2009): SJR 1.201 SNIP 1.592

Web of Science (2009): Indexed yes

BFI (2008): BFI-level 2

Scopus rating (2008): SJR 0.863 SNIP 1.33 Web of Science (2008): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2007): SJR 0.8 SNIP 1.22 Web of Science (2007): Indexed yes Scopus rating (2006): SJR 0.6 SNIP 1.387 Web of Science (2006): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2005): SJR 0.633 SNIP 1.742

Web of Science (2005): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2004): SJR 0.64 SNIP 1.439

Web of Science (2004): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2003): SJR 0.509 SNIP 1.733

Web of Science (2003): Indexed yes

Scopus rating (2002): SJR 0.295 SNIP 0.977 Scopus rating (2001): SJR 0.478 SNIP 1.481 Scopus rating (2000): SJR 1.101 SNIP 1.864 Scopus rating (1999): SJR 0.421 SNIP 1.289

Original language: English

Electronic versions:

Frischknecht_2016a.pdf. Embargo ended: 01/06/2017

DOIs:

10.1007/s11367-015-1025-1 Source: PublicationPreSubmission

Source-ID: 120810640

Publication: Research - peer-review > Journal article - Annual report year: 2016