

Surveillance indicators and their use in implementation of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive

Shephard, Samuel; Greenstreet, Simon P. R.; Piet, GerJan J.; Rindorf, Anna; Dickey-Collas, Mark

Publication date:
2015

Document Version
Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):
Shephard, S., Greenstreet, S. P. R., Piet, G. J., Rindorf, A., & Dickey-Collas, M. (2015). Surveillance indicators and their use in implementation of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive. Abstract from ICES Annual Science Conference 2015, Copenhagen, Denmark.

DTU Library

Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Surveillance indicators and their use in implementation of the Marine Strategy Framework Directive

Samuel Shephard, Simon P.R. Greenstreet, Gerjan J. Piet, Anna Rindorf, and Mark Dickey-Collas

The European Union Marine Strategy Framework Directive (MSFD) uses indicators to track ecosystem state in relation to Good Environmental Status (GES). These indicators were initially expected to be ‘operational’; i.e., to have well understood relationships between state and specified anthropogenic pressure(s), and to have defined targets. Recent discussion on MSFD implementation has highlighted an additional class of ‘surveillance’ indicators. Surveillance indicators monitor key aspects of the ecosystem for which there is: firstly, insufficient evidence to define targets and support formal state assessment; and/or secondly, where links to anthropogenic pressures are either weak or not sufficiently well understood to underpin specific management advice. Because of this, these indicators cannot qualify as ‘operational’ indicators. However, surveillance indicators are not expected to directly track state in relation to GES, but may provide complementary information (including warning signals) that informs and supports science, policy and management. In this paper, we (1) present a framework for including surveillance indicators into the Activity-Pressure-State-Response (APSR) process, (2) consider a range of possible indicators that could perform this surveillance role, and (3) suggest criteria for assessing the performance of candidate surveillance indicators, which might guide selection of the most effective indicators to perform this function.

Keywords: Operational indicators, indicator-based management frameworks, policy reaction, management response, good environmental status, assessment and reporting.