Technical University of Denmark



### Evaluation of Dynamical Downscaling Resolution Effect on Wind Energy Forecast Value for a Wind Farm in Central Sweden

Rosgaard, Martin Haubjerg; Hahmann, Andrea N.; Nielsen, Torben Skov; Giebel, Gregor; Sørensen, Poul Ejnar; Madsen, Henrik

Publication date: 2014

Document Version Peer reviewed version

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Rosgaard, M. H., Hahmann, A. N., Nielsen, T. S., Giebel, G., Sørensen, P. E., & Madsen, H. (2014). Evaluation of Dynamical Downscaling Resolution Effect on Wind Energy Forecast Value for a Wind Farm in Central Sweden. Poster session presented at European Geosciences Union General Assembly 2014, Vienna, Austria.

### DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





## MOTIVATION

For any energy system relying on wind power, accurate forecasts of wind fluctuations are essential for efficient utilisation in the power grid. Statistical wind power prediction tools [1] use numerical weather prediction (NWP) model data along with measurements and can correct magnitude errors operationally. It is, however, entirely up to the NWP input to describe the timing of fluctuations correctly.

Wind power is nonlinearly transformed wind speed, and the two are monotonically dependent up till wind speeds of  $\sim 25$  m/s, which is the typical wind farm cut-out. Thus, an improvement in the correlation accuracy metric evaluated for wind speed data consistently translates to an improvement for wind power. For two time series describing the temporal development of the same variable, though by different means, one can assume that phase errors account for most of the departure from perfect correlation between the two time series.

Results on limited-area model (LAM) performance, with focus on the 12th to 48th forecast hour horizon relevant for Elspot auction bidding on the Nord Pool Spot market [2], are presented.

# LIMITED-AREA NWP MODEL DOMAINS

Using the mesoscale NWP model WRF [4], the extent to which model resolution affects wind power forecasts is sought quantified in the form of correlation between forecasted and measured wind speed. 30km (99x96), 10km (117x132),



- 60°E
- 55°E
- 50°E
- 45°E
- 40°E
- 35°E



The smoothing method employed is local 2nd order polynomial regression fitting [3]. The dotted vertical line at 23 hours seems to be a good compromise across horizons. All LAM optimal correlation smoothing-windows are wider for horizon groups (12,24]h and (24,36]h, while after the 36th leadtime hour optimal correlation smoothing-window widths are more narrow.







Although differences between optimal correlation and no timelag are no larger than 0.001, the plot above indicates a consistent tendency of the unsmoothed 10-minutely forecast data to fall behind observed dynamics as the leadtime increases. Consequently the performance metric may gradually fail to capture correctly predicted severe wind speed fluctuations slightly off in timing.

## FUTURE WORK

The correlation metric sharply penalises phase errors and unless there is a systematic timelag between observations and forecasts the metric cannot reward correct severe-fluctuation predictions a bit displaced temporally. Therefore it is natural to expand the present study with ramp-metrics inspired by previous work, e.g. [6] and [7], in order to attribute value to timelagged but otherwise correct forecasts by tolerating phase errors up to 1-2 hours.

## REFERENCES

- Prediction of wind power usi Nielsen et al. Presented at, Congress on Automatic Contr
- [2] http://www.nordpoolspot.com
- **Robust Locally Weighted Reg** Cleveland. Journal of the Amer
- A Description of the Advance Skamarock et al. NCAR/TN?42
- http://www.emc.ncep.noaa.go
- Verification of temporal varia *Rife et al.* Monthly weather rev
- Forecasting uncertainty relate Bossavy et al. European Wind ume 2, pp. 1099-1107 (2010).

and in proceedings of, the 15th IFAC World rol, Barcelona, Spain (2002)
gression and Smoothing Scatterplots.
rican Statistical Association, pp. 829-836 (1979).
ed Research WRF Version 3.
75+STR. NCAR Technical Note (2008)
ov/
ations in mesoscale numerical wind forecasts.
view, Volume 133, Issue 11, pp. 3368-3381 (2005).
ed to ramps of wind power production.
Energy Conference and Exhibition, EWEC, Vol-