Technical University of Denmark



What do Danish children eat, and does the diet meet the recommendations?

Baseline data from the OPUS School Meal Study

Andersen, Rikke; Biltoft-Jensen, Anja Pia; Christensen, Tue; Andersen, Elisabeth Wreford; Ege, Majken; Thorsen, Anne Vibeke; Knudsen, Vibeke Kildegaard; Damsgaard, Camilla T; Sørensen, Louise B; Petersen, Rikke A; Michaelsen, Kim F; Tetens, Inge

Published in: Journal of Nutritional Science

Link to article, DOI: 10.1017/jns.2015.17

Publication date: 2015

Document Version Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Link back to DTU Orbit

Citation (APA):

Andersen, R., Biltoft-Jensen, A. P., Christensen, T., Andersen, E. W., Ege, M., Thorsen, A. V., ... Tetens, I. (2015). What do Danish children eat, and does the diet meet the recommendations? Baseline data from the OPUS School Meal Study. Journal of Nutritional Science, 4, [e29]. DOI: 10.1017/jns.2015.17

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

JNS Journal of nutritional science



doi:10.1017/jns.2015.17

RESEARCH ARTICLE

What do Danish children eat, and does the diet meet the recommendations? Baseline data from the OPUS School Meal Study

Rikke Andersen¹*, Anja Biltoft-Jensen¹, Tue Christensen¹, Elisabeth W. Andersen², Majken Ege¹, Anne V. Thorsen¹, Vibeke K. Knudsen¹, Camilla T. Damsgaard³, Louise B. Sørensen³, Rikke A. Petersen³, Kim F. Michaelsen³ and Inge Tetens¹

¹Division of Nutrition, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Søborg, Denmark ²Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark ³Department of Nutrition, Exercise and Sports, Faculty of Science, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark

(Received 3 July 2014 - Final revision received 18 May 2015 - Accepted 11 June 2015)

Journal of Nutritional Science (2015), vol. 4, e29, page 1 of 9

Abstract

A child's diet is an important determinant for later health, growth and development. In Denmark, most children in primary school bring their own packed lunch from home and attend an after-school care institution. The aim of the present study was to evaluate the food, energy and nutrient intake of Danish school children in relation to dietary guidelines and nutrient recommendations, and to assess the food intake during and outside school hours. In total, 834 children from nine public schools located in the eastern part of Denmark were included in this cross-sectional study and 798 children (95.7 %) completed the dietary assessment sufficiently (August–November 2011). The whole diet was recorded during seven consecutive days using the Web-based Dietary Assessment Software for Children (WebDASC). Compared with the food-based dietary guidelines and nutrient recommendations, 85 % of the children consumed excess amounts of red meat, 89 % consumed too much saturated fat, and 56 % consumed too much added sugar. Additionally 35 or 91 % of the children (depending on age group) consumed insufficient amounts of fruits and vegetables, 85 % consumed insufficient amounts of fish, 86 % consumed insufficient amounts of dietary fibre, 60 or 84 % had an insufficient Fe intake (depending on age group), and 96 % had an insufficient vitamin D intake. The study also showed that there is a higher intake of fruits and bread during school hours than outside school hours; this is not the case with, for example, fish and vegetables, and future studies should investigate strategies to increase fish and vegetable intake during school hours.

Key words: Whole diet: Food-based dietary guidelines: Nutrition recommendations: School lunch

Danish children and adolescents have similar lifestyle-related health issues as other children in many Western countries have, with an increasing prevalence of being overweight⁽¹⁾. Weight issues and obesity among Danish school children are major concerns in which social inequalities have been documented to play an important role⁽²⁾. It has been proposed that a diet not fulfilling the official dietary guidelines⁽³⁾ may contribute to future generations with a higher prevalence of lifestyle-related diseases^(4,5).

In Denmark, most children in primary school bring their own packed lunch from home^(6–8). This packed lunch typically consists of open sandwiches based on rye bread with meat products supplemented with fruit and/or vegetables. Typically, the children drink milk or water with their meal⁽⁶⁾. A previous survey showed that food stands or canteens are present at 64 % of Danish primary and secondary schools and the most frequent food items available from these stands are sandwiches, toast or sausage with bread, yoghurt, fruit and

© The Author(s) 2015. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creative commons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abbreviations: AR, acceptable reporter; DANSDA, Danish National Survey of Diet and Physical Activity; EI, energy intake; E%, percentage energy; FBDG, Food-Based Dietary Guidelines 2013; NNR2012, Nordic Nutrition Recommendations; OPUS, Optimal well-being, development and health for Danish children through a healthy New Nordic Diet; OR, over-reporter; UR, under-reporter; WebDASC, Web-based Dietary Assessment Software for Children.

^{*} Corresponding author: R. Andersen, fax +45 35 88 71 19, email rian@food.dtu.dk

cheese sticks⁽⁹⁾. Only 17 % of schools have a policy on nutrition⁽⁹⁾. Moreover, there is no compulsory regulation at the national level concerning the provision and quality of public school meals in Denmark⁽¹⁰⁾. Vending machines, however, are rarely present at Danish schools (0·2 %) and are not present at all at after-school care institutions⁽⁹⁾. Primary school children often have snacks brought from home before lunch and after school hours; most primary school children attend an after-school care institution, where an afternoon snack is served. The quality of this afternoon snack varies⁽¹¹⁾.

The school and after-school care institutions are important settings for improving the diet of children since they eat lunch and two snack meals at school 5 d per week. Earlier studies have shown that Danish children aged 8–10 years consume 40–45 % of their daily energy intake (EI) during school hours and after school activities⁽¹²⁾. Direct comparisons of lunch types among children in other Western countries are not straightforward because the traditional lunch eaten in Denmark based on open rye sandwiches differs from what is normally eaten in other countries. Due to the importance of children's health, there is a large focus on the dietary quality of children's lunch^(13–18).

Denmark has two sets of official dietary recommendations: the Danish Food-Based Dietary Guidelines 2013 $(FBDG)^{(3)}$ and the Nordic Nutrition Recommendations $(NNR2012)^{(19)}$. The FBDG communicate the concept of a healthy diet to the public and include ten specific key messages to healthy people above 2 years of age and are usually expressed as amounts of foods per d per 10 MJ^(5,20). The NNR2012 provide a basis for evaluating the intake of nutrients in groups of healthy individuals^(19,20).

The aim of the present study was to evaluate the food, energy and nutrient intake of Danish school children in relation to the dietary guidelines and nutrient recommendations, and to assess the food intake during and outside school hours.

Subjects and methods

Design

The OPUS (Optimal well-being, development and health for Danish children through a healthy New Nordic Diet) centre carried out a School Meal Study, which was a clusterrandomised controlled unblinded cross-over study and the present study was a cross-sectional study based on baseline data from the OPUS School Meal Study. The overall design of the OPUS School Meal Study has been described in detail elsewhere⁽²¹⁾. Written informed consent was obtained from parents or custody holders (from here on referred to as 'parent') of the children. The study protocol was approved by the Danish National Committee on Biomedical Research Ethics (H-1-2010-124) and the trial was registered in the database www.clinicaltrials.gov (no. NCT 01457794)⁽²¹⁾.

Subjects

Initially contact was established with thirty-nine schools. Inclusion criteria for each school were location in the eastern



part of Denmark (Zealand and Lolland-Falster), at least four classes in total at 3rd and 4th grade, suitable kitchen facilities available for food production during the school day, and a high motivation for participation as determined by the study team. Out of the thirty-nine schools contacted, nineteen schools did not wish to participate, six schools did not fulfil the inclusion criteria, three schools expressed interest too late for participation, two schools were excluded for logistical reasons, and nine schools (forty-six school classes, 3rd and 4th grade) were included in the OPUS School Meal Study. A total of 1021 children were invited, and 834 children were included in this cross-sectional study. Exclusion criteria for the children were diseases or conditions that might obstruct the measurements or put the children at risk if eating the OPUS school meals served in the intervention period. The recruitment procedure, inclusion and exclusion criteria are described in detail elsewhere⁽²¹⁾.

Background information

Together with at least one parent, each child underwent a 2-h in-depth interview on various background variables by a trained interviewer (including instructions in using the dietary assessment tool), either at the school or in the home⁽²¹⁾. The educational level of the household was categorised according to the standard classifications of Statistics Denmark, i.e. as the highest level of education achieved by a parent in the household. The variable was divided into six groups depending on the educational level (lower secondary education, upper secondary education or equivalent, vocational education, short higher education, bachelor's degree or equivalent, master's degree or higher education). This cross-sectional baseline study is part of a large School Meal Study (intervention), which has been described elsewhere⁽²¹⁾. Many other sociodemographic descriptors were collected; however, only the educational level of the household is described here, as it was the variable included in the statistical analyses of the present paper.

Dietary assessment

The whole diet was recorded during seven consecutive days using the interactive Web-based Dietary Assessment Software for Children (WebDASC) developed for the purpose and validated during the OPUS School Meal Pilot Study⁽²²⁻²⁴⁾. WebDASC is a self-administered Internet-based interactive food record tool to be used by 8- to 11-year-old children with or without the support of a parent⁽²²⁾. WebDASC is an intuitive, cost-effective and engaging dietary assessment method with special consideration given to age-appropriate design issues, where an animated armadillo figure guides the six daily eating occasions⁽²²⁾. respondents through WebDASC was well accepted by the children and their parents⁽²²⁾. WebDASC was validated by measuring EI against energy expenditure⁽²⁴⁾ and by comparing fruit, juice and vegetable intake to plasma carotenoid concentrations⁽²³⁾. Both the parents and the children were instructed in how to use the dietary assessment tool by actually trying the tool together

with the trained interviewer, who also took them through how to log-in and through all steps of the dietary assessment. Reminder emails were sent to the parents if the dietary assessments were not performed on a daily basis during the 7-d assessment periods. Reminder telephone calls were performed when the dietary assessment was not initiated during the first days of the assessment period, or if the registration of the diet stopped during the registration week. A telephone hotline was also available during the period for the families in case of questions. The dietary assessment was performed during the weeks prior to the clinical measurements as described elsewhere⁽²¹⁾. As the schools joined the study one by one, children from the first school performed their dietary assessments in August 2011 and children from the last school performed their dietary assessments in November 2011. Due to ethical reasons and in order to avoid exclusions based on social differences, children without a computer or Internet were also able to participate in the study. Six children without access to a computer or the Internet filled in a paper version of a 7-d pre-coded food record, which was based on the food record used in the Danish National Survey of Diet and Physical Activity (DANSDA) 2003–2008⁽²⁵⁾. The data were transferred to WebDASC by a dietitian.

Estimation of dietary intake

The intake data were processed by the in-house-developed General Intake Estimation System (GIES), a system originally developed for the DANSDA⁽²⁵⁾, which interprets the recorded consumption into ingredients that are the basis for the further calculations and estimations of intake of food, energy and nutrients for each individual. For these calculations, intake was directly collected by querying the WebDASC data tables. GIES used recipes developed for WebDASC and the nutrient data originating from the Danish Food Composition Databank, revision $7^{(26)}$. Dietary intake was estimated for each child as an average of the recorded days. The dietary intake was estimated and reported in thirteen food groups (milk and milk products, cheese and cheese products, bread and other cereal products, potatoes and potato products, vegetable and vegetable products, fruit and fruit products, meat and meat products, poultry and poultry products, fish and fish products, eggs, fats, sugar and candy (i.e. various types of candy, chocolate, marzipan, honey), beverages (excluding milk)), EI, the energy distribution (fat, total carbohydrate, added sugar, protein), nine macronutrients (total fat, saturated fat, trans-fatty acids, monounsaturated fat, polyunsaturated fat, protein, carbohydrate, added sugar (sugar and sugar from candy and chocolate), dietary fibre), and nineteen micronutrients (vitamins A, D, E, B₁, B₂, niacin, B₆, folate, B₁₂ and C; and minerals Ca, P, Mg, Fe, Zn, I, Se, Na and K). Intake from supplements was not included in the intake calculations.

Food was defined as solid food and liquids consumed as food (for example soups and yoghurt). Energy density was calculated as energy (kJ) divided by weight (g) for food and beverages separately. Beverages were defined as both energycontaining (for example milk, sweetened drinks and juice) and non-energy-containing (for example water and artificially sweetened drinks).

Under-, acceptable and over-reporters

Under-reporters (UR), acceptable reporters (AR) and overreporters (OR) were determined by the subjects' EI:BMR ratio (where EI = mean reported EI) and classified by cut-offs suggested by Black⁽²⁷⁾: UR: EI:BMR \leq 1.05; AR: EI:BMR = 1.06–2.27; and OR: EI:BMR \geq 2.28 using a physical activity level of 1.55. BMR was calculated from descriptive equations (Oxford prediction) using height, weight, sex and age⁽²⁸⁾.

Statistical analysis

Analyses included standard descriptive statistics. All means and medians include both eaters and non-eaters (with zero-intake).

Hierarchical mixed models were performed to investigate the effect of sex and grade (3rd or 4th grade) on the intake for all food groups, EI, energy distribution, energy density, and micro- and macronutrients. As the children were nested in classes (the whole class was randomised together), and the classes were nested in schools, the models included two random effects (class and school). The models also included fixed effects: sex (boy/girl); grade (3rd/4th); season (autumn/winter/spring); BMI (in four groups of approximately similar size, BMI \leq 15·6, 15·6 < BMI \leq 16·6, 16·6 <BMI \leq 18·6, BMI > 18·6 kg/m²); and household education (lower secondary education, upper secondary education or equivalent, vocational education, short higher education, bachelor's degree or equivalent, master's degree or higher education).

Some children had zero intake for some food groups (cheese, potatoes, poultry or fish), and these semi-continuous outcomes were analysed using bootstrap methods⁽²⁹⁾, and cluster bootstrap sampling of the schools (the highest level of data)⁽³⁰⁾ was performed with 10 000 replications. The estimated means for sex and grade were calculated and the confidence limits were the 2.5 and 97.5 % percentiles in the bootstrap samples.

UR and OR were included in all models together with the AR; this effect of reporting (UR, AR, OR) was included in separate hierarchical mixed models.

The assumptions underlying the models were tested using residual plots and quantile–quantile (QQ) plots.

The outcomes are all continuous variables, and some variables (fruit, meat, eggs, fats, sugar and candy, beverages, all micronutrients) were transformed using the logarithm (log_2) . All transformed variables were back-transformed using the anti-log when presenting the results.

The dietary intake for each child was divided into during school hours and outside school hours and the differences were assessed. As in previous analyses, the trial was clusterrandomised, resulting in two random effects: a class effect and a school effect. The assumptions underlying the models were tested using residual plots and QQ plots and when the



assumptions were not fulfilled the outcomes were analysed using bootstrap methods as described above.

SAS version 9.3 and Stata version 12 (StataCorp) were used for all statistical analyses. The significance level chosen was P < 0.05.

Results

In total, 834 children were included in this cross-sectional study. Of the children, ten withdrew before the dietary assessment began (reasons for dropouts are described elsewhere⁽²¹⁾, twenty-four fulfilled the dietary assessment insufficiently (0–3 d) and were excluded, and two were excluded due to recording extreme intake (EI below 2000 or above 19 000 kJ/d); thus 798 children (95.7 %) fulfilled the dietary assessment sufficiently (4–7 d) – 381 (47.7 %) girls and 417 (52.3 %) boys. The characteristics of the children are shown in Table 1.

The children's diet in relation to the Danish Food-based Dietary Guidelines and the Nordic Nutrition Recommendations

The observed unadjusted mean or median intakes of food groups, energy, macronutrients and micronutrients are shown in Tables 2–4. In Table 5 the proportions of the children that meet the Danish $FBDG^{(3)}$ or the NNR2012⁽¹⁹⁾ are shown.

Food groups. The intake of fruit and vegetables was below the recommended 300 g/d for 35 % of the children ≤ 9 years, and below the recommended 600 g/d for 91 % of the children ≥ 10 years (Table 5). It is recommended to eat approximately half vegetables and half fruit⁽⁵⁾, which both girls and boys did; however, the intake was too low (Table 2).

It is recommended to eat at least 350 g of fish per week, and of that, 200 g should be fatty fish; 85 % of the children

did not eat enough fish (Table 5). The median intake of fish and fish products was 12 (10th, 90th percentiles 0, 45) g/d (Table 2).

Of the children, 16 % drank less milk than recommended $(<250 \text{ ml/d})^{(31)}$, and 41 % ate too much cheese (>25 g/d)^{(31)} (Table 5). The median intake of milk was 340 (10th, 90th percentiles 132, 626) ml/d and the intake of cheese was 16 (10th, 90th percentiles 5, 39) g/d (Table 2).

The median intake of meat and meat products was 87 (10th, 90th percentiles 42, 160) g/d. Of the children, 85 % ate more than 500 g of meat per week (red meat, poultry not included).

The most important differences between the sexes (*P* values are shown in Table 2) are seen for bread and other cereal products (14 %; 95 % CI -18, -11), meat (19 %; 95 % CI -25, -13), fats (15 %; 95 % CI -21, -9) and sugar and candy (13 %; 95 % -21, -5), where girls had a significantly lower intake than boys. In contrast, girls had a significantly higher intake of fruit than boys (15 %; 95 % CI 2, 28). There was no difference between girls and boys for vegetables, eggs, cheese and fish. The only significant difference between 3rd and 4th graders (*P* values are shown in Table 2) was found for intake of fatty fish (mean difference 2 g/d; 95 % CI 0·3, 4), where 3rd graders had a higher intake than 4th graders. The differences between sex and grade were not affected by whether the children were UR, AR or OR (data not shown).

Energy and macronutrients. The diet of almost all children (91 %) contained between 25–40 % of energy (E%) from fat; however, the diet contained too much saturated fat (\geq 10 E%) for 89 % of the children (Table 5). The mean of saturated fat intake was 26 (sD 8) g/d (Table 3). The diet contained between 10 and 20 E% (recommended) from protein for 97 % of the children, and 45–60 E% (recommended) from carbohydrates for 90 % of the

Table 1. Characteristics of the children

(Mean values and standard deviations, or number of participants and percentages)

				В	oys			Girls			
	All		3rd gr	ade	4th gr	ade	3rd gr	ade	4th gr	ade	
	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	
Participants											
n	798	3	20	1	21	6	18	5	196	3	
%	100)	48	;	52	2	49	1	51		
Age (years)	9.98	0.6	9.5	0.4	10.5	0.4	9.4	0.4	10.4	0.4	
Weight (kg)*	35.0	7.1	32.7	6.2	37.9	7.3	32.5	5.9	36.7	7.2	
Height (cm)†	142.5	7.1	139.6	6.3	145.7	6.7	138.8	6.3	145.2	6.3	
BMI (kg/m ²)*	17.1	2.4	16.7	2.3	17.7	2.5	16.8	2.3	17.3	2.5	
Under-reporters											
n	70		14	Ļ	18	3	16		22		
%	9		7		9		9		11		
Acceptable reporters											
n	708	3	18	181		192		166		169	
%	89		91		89		90		88		
Over-reporters											
n	14		5		5		2		2		
%	2		3		2		1		1		

Food group (g/d)Median10th percentileMedian10th percentileMedian10th percentile90th percentile			AII			Girls			Boys			٩	
Milk, milk products340132626325125590355138676Cheese, cheese products165.039155.1381749391Cheese, cheese products165.039155.1381749391Bread, other cereal products2021412841861342552241513014Vegetables, vegetables, vegetable products1265422712659370.71054Furit, fruit products126542271265922212451230Neat, meat products126542271265922212651230Neat, meat products170561607659224172105Neat, meat products170561607659224124127Otal fish, fish products170561676742729244Total fish, fash products150272102724272938Lean fish, fash products15027242702702938Lean fish, fash products15028270242702936East fish, fash products25027027026<	Food group (g/d)	Median	10th percentile	90th percentile	Median	10th percentile	90th percentile	Median	10th percentile	90th percentile	n_0	Sex	Grade
Cheese, cheese products 16 5.0 39 15 5.1 38 17 49 39 1 Bread, other cereal products 202 141 284 186 134 255 224 151 301 49 39 1 Potatoes, potato products 36 0.8 94 34 1.0 97 47 105 4 Vegetables, vegetable products 126 54 227 126 59 222 126 51 230 Vegetables, vegetable products 87 42 166 76 38 144 17 172 105 74 172 Neat, meat, meat products 17 0 56 16 0 51 122 124 121 127 128 244 172 128 244 172 172 129 124 172 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 129 121	Milk, milk products	340	132	626	325	125	590	355	138	676	0	0.008	0.06
Bread, other cereal products 202 141 284 186134 255 224 151301Potatoes, potato products 36 0.8 94 34 1.0 90 37 0.7 105 4 Potatoes, potato products 36 0.8 94 34 1.0 90 37 0.7 105 4 Vegetables, vegetable products 126 54 227 126 58 224 114 29 244 Furit, fruit products 126 38 244 131 45 222 126 51 230 Meat, meat products 126 38 244 131 45 223 124 29 244 Neat, meat products 17 0 56 16 76 38 140 97 47 172 Poultry, poultry products 17 0 27 2.1 0 27 2.1 0 29 38 Total fish, fash products 1.5 0 27 2.1 0 24 2.2 0 29 38 Fat fish, fash products 1.2 0 27 2.1 0 24 2.2 0 29 36 Fat fish, fash products 1.2 0 27 2.1 0 24 2.2 0 24 Fat fish, fash products 1.2 0 27 2.1 0 24 2.2 2.2 2.2 Fat fish, fash prod	Cheese, cheese products	16	5.0	39	15	5.1	38	17	4.9	39	15	0.27	0.99
Potatoes, potato products 36 0.8 94 34 1.0 90 37 0.7 105 4 Vegetables, vegetable products 126 54 227 126 59 222 126 51 230 Vegetables, vegetable products 126 54 227 126 59 222 126 51 230 Neat, meat products 126 38 244 131 45 243 124 29 244 Neat, meat products 17 0 76 38 140 97 47 172 Poultry, products 17 0 56 16 0 52 18 0 61 12 Poultry, products 12 0 76 38 140 97 47 172 Poultry, products 12 0 27 12 0 29 244 Fat fish, fat fish products 12 0 27 0 27	Bread, other cereal products	202	141	284	186	134	255	224	151	301	0	<0.0001	0.15
Vegetables, vegetable products 126 54 227 126 59 222 126 51 230 Furit, fruit products 126 38 244 131 45 222 126 51 230 Ruit, fruit products 126 38 244 131 45 243 124 29 244 Meat, meat products 17 0 56 160 76 38 140 97 47 172 Poultry, poultry products 17 0 56 16 76 38 140 97 47 172 Total fish, fish products 12 0 27 0 27 0 29 38 I af fish, fat fish products 1-5 0 27 21 0 24 29 38 Lean fish, lean fish products 1-5 0 27 2.1 0 24 30 34 Eggs 14 3.3 13 4.2	Potatoes, potato products	36	0.8	94	34	1.0	06	37	0.7	105	49	0.02	0.41
Furth fruit products 126 38 244 131 45 243 124 29 244 Meat, meat products 87 42 160 76 38 140 97 47 172 Poultry, poultry products 17 0 56 16 7 38 140 97 47 172 Total fish, fish products 17 0 56 16 0 52 18 0 61 12 Total fish, fish products 12 0 27 0 27 0 50 19 Fat fish, har fish products 1-5 0 27 2.1 0 24 2.2 30 34 Lean fish, lean fish products 1-5 0 28 2.2 0 27 0 2.2 0 24 30 34 Eagr 14 3.3 13 4.2 30 14 3.9 36 28 36 36 36 <td>Vegetables, vegetable products</td> <td>126</td> <td>54</td> <td>227</td> <td>126</td> <td>59</td> <td>222</td> <td>126</td> <td>51</td> <td>230</td> <td>0</td> <td>0.96</td> <td>0.43</td>	Vegetables, vegetable products	126	54	227	126	59	222	126	51	230	0	0.96	0.43
Meat, meat products 87 42 160 76 38 140 97 47 172 Poultry, poultry products 17 0 56 16 0 52 18 0 61 12 Total fish, fish products 12 0 45 12 0 57 13 61 12 Fat fish, fish products 1-5 0 27 2.1 0 27 0 50 19 Fat fish, fish products 1-5 0 27 2.1 0 27 0 0 50 19 Lean fish, lean fish products 1-5 0 28 2-5 0 28 30 34 Eggs 14 3.9 33 13 4-2 30 34 35 36 36 33 35 35 33 35 36 34 35 36 34 35 36 34 35 36 36 43 <t< td=""><td>Fruit, fruit products</td><td>126</td><td>38</td><td>244</td><td>131</td><td>45</td><td>243</td><td>124</td><td>29</td><td>244</td><td>-</td><td>0.02</td><td>0.19</td></t<>	Fruit, fruit products	126	38	244	131	45	243	124	29	244	-	0.02	0.19
Poultry, poultry products 17 0 56 16 0 52 18 0 61 12 Total fish, fish products 12 0 45 12 0 40 12 0 50 19 Fat fish, fat fish products 1-5 0 27 2-1 0 27 0 29 38 Lean fish, lean fish products 2-5 0 27 0 0 29 38 Lean fish, lean fish products 2-5 0 27 0 0 29 38 Eggs 14 3-9 33 13 4-2 30 14 3-9 36 36 Fats 23 12 74 38 15 69 43 17 78 Beverages (excluding milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 765 413 1263	Meat, meat products	87	42	160	76	38	140	97	47	172	0	<0.0001	0.77
Total fish, fish products 12 0 45 12 0 50 19 Fat fish, fat fish products 1-5 0 27 2-1 0 27 0 0 29 38 Lean fish, fat fish products 1-5 0 27 2-1 0 27 0 29 38 Lean fish, lean fish products 2-5 0 28 2-5 0 24 2-2 0 30 34 Eggs 14 3-9 33 13 4-2 30 14 3-9 36 36 Fats 23 12 40 21 11 36 26 12 43 Sugar and candy 41 15 74 38 15 69 43 17 78 Beverages (exclution milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 755 413 1263	Poultry, poultry products	17	0	56	16	0	52	18	0	61	125	0.02	0.47
Fat fish, fat fish products 1.5 0 27 0 0 29 38 Lean fish, fat fish products 2.5 0 28 2.5 0 29 38 Lean fish, lean fish products 2.5 0 28 2.5 0 24 2.2 0 30 34 Eggs 14 3.9 33 13 4.2 30 14 3.9 36 36 Fats 23 12 40 21 11 36 26 12 43 Sugar and candy 41 15 74 38 15 69 43 17 78 Beverages (exclution milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 765 413 1263	Total fish, fish products	12	0	45	12	0	40	12	0	50	195	0.06	0.72
Lean fish, lean fish products 2.5 0 24 2.2 0 30 34 Eggs 14 3.9 33 13 4.2 30 14 3.9 36	Fat fish, fat fish products	ן יָּד	0	27	2.1	0	27	0	0	29	387	0.20	0.03
Eggs 14 3.9 33 13 4.2 30 14 3.9 36 Fats 23 12 40 21 11 36 26 12 43 Sugar and candy 41 15 74 38 15 69 43 17 78 Beverages (excluding milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 765 413 1263	Lean fish, lean fish products	2.5	0	28	2.5	0	24	2.2	0	30	342	0.08	0.50
Fats 23 12 40 21 11 36 26 12 43 Sugar and candy 41 15 74 38 15 69 43 17 78 Beverages (excluding milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 765 413 1263	Eggs	14	9.9	33	13	4.2	30	14	9.0	36	7	0.54	0.63
Sugar and candy 41 15 74 38 15 69 43 17 78 Beverages (excluding milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 765 413 1263	Fats	23	12	40	21	11	36	26	12	43	0	<0.0001	0.17
Beverades (excludina milk) 707 397 1176 664 393 1056 765 413 1263	Sugar and candy	41	15	74	38	15	69	43	17	78	-	0.003	0.60
	Beverages (excluding milk)	707	397	1176	664	393	1056	765	413	1263	0	<0.0001	0.16

Table 2. Daily food intake in Danish children aged 8–11 years (n 798) and the effect of sex and grade on the intake*

BMI. household education) חס, Number of children with zero ותומאפ (וופטומוזא וועיוטטי טווועיטיטי איייי איטי איייאיטי). * Analysed by hierarchical mixed models, controlled for random effects (child, class, school) and fixed effects (sex, grade, season, Number of children with zero intake (medians include children with zero intakes).

journals.cambridge.org/jns

children, but the diet of more than half of the children (56 %) contained too much added sugar (\geq 10 E%) and not enough dietary fibre (<3/MJ) for 86 % of the children (Table 5). The mean EI from fat was 32 (sD 4·3) E%; 53 (sD 4·8) E% from total carbohydrate and 15 (sD 2·2) E% from protein (Table 3).

The mean EI was slightly lower than recommended by the NNR2012 for both girls and boys. The mean EI was 7.0 (sD 14) and 8.1 (sD 1.8) MJ/d for girls and boys, respectively (Table 3). Based on average weight and moderate physical activity, the NNR estimates the energy requirements for 8-to 11-year-old girls and boys to be 7.4-8.2 MJ/d and 8.2-9.4 MJ/d, respectively.

Girls had a significantly lower total EI (1.0 MJ/d; 95 % CI -1.3, -0.8; however, there were no differences between boys and girls regarding the energy distribution from total fat, total carbohydrate, added sugar and protein (P values are shown in Table 3). Girls had a significantly lower intake of total fat (9 g/d; 95 % CI -12, -7), saturated fat (3.7 g/d; 95 % CI -4.8, -2.6), monounsaturated fat (3.2 g/d; 95% CI - 4.2,-2.3), polyunsaturated fat (1.3 g/d; 95 % CI -1.7, -0.9) and *trans*-fatty acids (0.2 g/d; 95 % CI - 0.3, -0.1), carbohydrate (32 g/d; 95 % CI - 39, -24), dietary fibre (2.0 g/d;95 % CI -2.7, 1.3) and protein (10 g/d; 95 % CI -12, -7) as well as added sugar (7 g/d; 95 % CI -10, -3) (P values are shown in Table 3). The only significant difference between 3rd and 4th graders was found for dietary fibre (1.3 g/d; 95 %)CI 0.5, 2.1), where 3rd graders had a higher intake than 4th graders (P values are shown in Table 3). The differences between sex and grade were not affected by whether the children were UR, AR or OR (data not shown).

Micronutrients. In order to fulfil the NNR, the most critical micronutrients for Danish children are vitamin D and Fe. The intake of vitamin D was below $10 \,\mu\text{g/d}$ for 96% of the children (Table 5). The intake of Fe was below 9 mg/d for 60% of the children ≤ 9 years, and below 11 mg/d for 84% of the children ≥ 10 years (Table 5).

Girls had a significantly lower intake than boys of all vitamins and minerals (7–15 %), except for vitamin C (P > 0.05) (Table 4). The 3rd graders had a higher intake than the 4th graders of vitamin A, vitamin B₂, vitamin B₁₂, P, Mg, I and K (5–12 %) (Table 4). The differences between sexes and grade were not affected by whether the children were UR, AR or OR, except for K and vitamin A, where the difference between 3rd and 4th graders changed from significant to nonsignificant (data not shown).

The children's diet during and outside school hours

The intake of energy and the food groups outside of school hours (total of breakfast, dinner, and evening snack) and during school hours (total of morning snack, lunch and afternoon snack) were analysed testing the null hypothesis that the intake distributions outside and during school hours are the same. There is a significant difference between intake during and

5



Table 3. Daily energy intake, dietary content and macronutrient intake in Danish children aged 8–11 years (*n* 798) and the effect of sex and grade on the intake*

(Observed unadjusted mean values and standard deviations)

	Al	I	Gir	ls	Boys		Р	
Energy, macronutrient	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Sex	Grade
Energy (MJ/d)	7.6	1.7	7.0	1.4	8.1	1.8	<0.0001	0.20
Total fat (E%)	32	4.3	32	4.2	32	4.4	0.46	0.71
Saturated fat (E%)	13	2	13	2.1	13	2.2	0.67	0.92
Trans-fatty acids (E%)	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.7	0.2	0.79	0.44
Monounsaturated fat (E%)	11	2	11	2.0	11	2.0	0.97	0.62
Polyunsaturated fat (E%)	5	0.9	5	0.9	5	0.9	0.85	0.36
Total carbohydrate (E%)	53	4.8	53	4.7	53	4.9	0.48	0.61
Added sugar (E%)	11	4.5	11	4.2	11	4.7	0.67	0.17
Protein (E%)	15	2.2	15	2.3	16	2.1	0.79	0.81
Total fat (g/d)	66	18	60	16	70	19	<0.0001	0.32
Saturated fat (g/d)	26	8	24	7	28	8	<0.0001	0.30
Trans-fatty acids (g/d)	1.4	0.5	1.3	0.5	1.5	0.6	<0.0001	0.16
Monounsaturated fat (g/d)	24	7	22	6	25	7	<0.0001	0.41
Polyunsaturated fat (g/d)	10	3	9	3	10	3	<0.0001	0.07
Total carbohydrate (g/d)	244	58	226	49	260	60	<0.0001	0.19
Added sugar (g/d)	50	26	47	21	53	29	0.0002	0.65
Protein (g/d)	68	17	63	15	73	17	<0.0001	0.30
Dietary fibre (g/d)	18	5.5	17	4.7	19	5.9	<0.0001	0.002

E%, percentage energy.

* Analysed by hierarchical mixed models, controlled for random effects (child, class, school) and fixed effects (sex, grade, season, BMI, household education).

outside school hours apart from total and lean fish and fish products as well as fats.

About 44 % of the EI was consumed during school hours. The mean intake of energy was significantly (P < 0.0001) lower during school hours compared with the rest of the day (on average 1 MJ/d; 95 % CI 0.8, 1.2 MJ/d).

The mean intake of fruit (P < 0.0001) and bread (P < 0.0001) was significantly higher during school hours compared with the rest of the day. The median intake of fruit was 34 (10th, 90th percentiles 1.8, 106) g/d outside school hours

and 93 (10th, 90th percentiles 17, 204) g/d during school hours. The median intake of bread was 96 (10th, 90th percentiles 56, 156) g/d outside school hours and 106 (10th, 90th percentiles 63, 168) g/d during school hours. No difference was found between the intake outside and during school hours for fish (total and lean) and fats; for the other food groups (milk, cheese, meat, poultry, potatoes, vegetables, eggs, sugar and candy) the intake was higher outside school hours than during school hours. The median intake of sugar and candy was 18 (10th, 90th percentiles 3.4, 45) g/d outside

Table 4. Daily micronutrient intake in Danish children aged 8–11 years (*n* 798) and the effect of sex and grade on the intake* (Observed unadjusted mean values and standard deviations)

	A	11	Gi	rls	Во	ys	F)
Micronutrient	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Sex	Grade
Vitamin A (RE/d)	837	531	766	470	901	573	0.008	0.03
Vitamin D (µg/d)	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.4	2.9	3.0	0.02	0.29
Vitamin E (α-TE/d)	5.9	2.0	5.6	1.8	6.1	2.1	0.002	0.50
Vitamin B ₁ (mg/d)	1.1	0.3	1.0	0.3	1.2	0.3	<0.0001	0.07
Vitamin B_2 (mg/d)	1.4	0.5	1.3	0.4	1.5	0.5	<0.0001	0.02
Niacin (NE/d)	23	5.9	21	5.5	24	6.0	<0.0001	0.25
Vitamin B ₆ (mg/d)	1.2	0.3	1.1	0.3	1.3	0.3	<0.0001	0.15
Folate (µg/d)	241	84	229	89	253	77	<0.0001	0.16
Vitamin B ₁₂ (µg/d)	4.7	2.3	4.3	2.1	5.1	2.4	<0.0001	0.03
Vitamin C (mg/d)	77	39	78	38	76	39	0.18	0.33
Ca (mg/d)	909	296	852	268	961	310	<0.0001	0.11
P (mg/d)	1260	324	1169	284	1343	336	<0.0001	0.02
Mg (mg/d)	262	69	243	59	280	72	<0.0001	0.008
Fe (mg/d)	8.6	2.2	7.9	1.9	9.3	2.3	<0.0001	0.07
Zn (mg/d)	9.6	2.6	8.8	2.3	10	2.6	<0.0001	0.11
I (μg/d)	227	104	207	95	246	109	<0.0001	0.02
Se (µq/d)	39	12	36	11	41	12	<0.0001	0.16
Na (g/d)	3.0	0.8	2.7	0.7	3.2	0.8	<0.0001	0.17
K (g/d)	2.5	0.6	2.3	0.6	2.6	0.6	<0.0001	0.01

RE, retinol equivalents; TE, tocopherol equivalents; NE, niacin equivalents.

* Analysed by hierarchical mixed models, controlled for random effects (child, class, school) and fixed effects (sex, grade, season, BMI, household education).



Table 5. Percentage of children in relation to the Danish Food Based Dietary Guidelines (FBDG) or Nordic Nutrition Recommendations (NNR2012)

Intake*	п	%	FBDG ⁽³⁾ or NNR2012 ⁽¹⁹⁾
Milk and milk products			250–500 ml/d†
<250 ml/d	126	16	·
250–500 ml/d	329	41	
>500 ml/d	343	43	
Cheese and cheese products			Maximum 25 g/d†
<25 a/d	469	59	5
>25 g/d	329	41	
Fruit and vegetables			4-9 years: 300-500 g fruit and vegetables/dt
<9 vears: <300 g/d	138	35	>10 years: 600 g fruit and vegetables/dt
<9 vears: 300–500 g/d	187	47	,
<9 vears: >500 g/d	71	18	
>10 years: <600 g/d	365	91	
>10 years: >600 g/d	37	9	
Meat and meat products (red)	0.	C C	Maximum 500 g/week
<500 g/week	121	15	
>500 g/week	677	85	
Total fish and fish products	011		Minimum 350 g fish/week, 200 g fat fish/week
>350 g/week	120	15	
<u>≥350 g/week</u>	678	85	
Fat fish and fish products	0/0	00	
>200 d/week	118	15	
200 g/week	680	85	
Total fat	000	05	25-40 5%
-05 E%	45	6	25-40 L /8
<20 E /0	40	01	
25-40 E%	120	91	
>40 E%	20	3	10 5%
	00	11	<10 E%
<10 E%	92	11	
≥IUE%	706	69	10,00 5%
	0	0.0	10-20 E%
<10 E%	2	0.3	
10–20 E%	//1	97	
>20 E%	25	3	
Carbonydrates	05		45-60 E%
<45 E%	35	4	
45–60 E%	/16	90	
>60 E%	47	6	
Added sugars			<10 E%
<10 E%	353	44	
≥10 E%	445	56	
Dietary fibre (g/d)			≥3 g/MJ
<3 g/MJ	686	86	
≥3 g/MJ	112	14	
Vitamin D (µg/d)			10 µg/d
≤10 μg/d	765	96	
>10 µg/d	33	4	
Fe (mg/d)			6–9 years: 9 mg/d
≤9 years: <9 mg/d	238	60	10–13 years 11 mg/d
≤9 years: ≥9 mg/d	158	40	
≥10 years: <11 mg/d	338	84	
≥10 years: ≥11 mg/d	64	16	

E%, percentage energy. * The intake is per 10 MJ (as the recommendations are), except for dietary fibre (per MJ), vitamin D (μg/d) and Fe (mg/d).

† Milk and cheese are not quantified in the FBDG; Beck et al. (31) is used instead.

‡ About half fruit and half vegetables is recommended.

school hours and 13 (10th, 90th percentiles 2.3, 33) g/d during school hours.

Discussion

In this cross-sectional study, children aged 8-11 years in the 3rd and 4th grades in general consumed too much red meat, saturated fat and added sugar, but not enough fruit and vegetables, fish, dietary fibre, Fe and vitamin D according to the FBDG and NNR2012. However, the energy distribution from total

fat, total carbohydrate and protein was within the range recommended in the NNR2012⁽¹⁹⁾ and was similar to the energy distribution reported for the much smaller group of children of similar age (n 260) participating in the DANSDA 2003-2008⁽⁶⁾. About 44 % of the daily EI was consumed during the school hours, defined as morning snack, lunch and afternoon snack, which was in line with earlier observations⁽¹²⁾. The total EI of both girls and boys was slightly lower than the reference values for this age group⁽¹⁹⁾, which is most likely due to a general under-reporting commonly found in this age group^(32,33).

The children in the present study ate less fruit, vegetables and fish than the FBDG⁽⁵⁾. The same results were found in other studies^(14,17,18). The importance of eating fruits and vegetables to promote healthier dietary habits among school children was emphasised with the recent European Union school fruit and vegetable programme in the member states^(17,34). The Danish children in the present cross-sectional study had a higher intake of fruit during the school hours than the rest of the day, whereas the same difference was not seen for vegetables. The inconsistent results across studies make it difficult to draw conclusions about the impact of the school environment on the total daily intake of fruit and vegetables; besides, some studies have not utilised the hierarchical structure of the data⁽³⁵⁾. The children reported eating less meat during the school hours compared with the rest of the day; this meat, however, was eaten as topping on sandwiches at lunch and is often processed meat with a high content of Na and fat. The reported intake of bread was higher during the school hours compared with the rest of the day, which is in accordance with the typical lunch in Danish schools consisting of open sandwiches⁽⁶⁾.

The children in the present study had a considerably lower intake of vitamin D than the level of $10 \ \mu g/d$ as recommended by the NNR2012⁽¹⁹⁾. Since exposure to sunlight is limited in the Northern European countries especially during winter, oral intake is important. A recent randomised family-based Danish study showed that fortification of milk and bread may be a useful strategy to increase the vitamin D intake also among children in the winter season⁽³⁶⁾. Strategies other than fortification could be applied to obtain an increased intake of vitamin D, i.e. campaigns encouraging the importance of eating more fish. An increased fish intake could be part of a strategy to improve vitamin D intake substantially.

No sex differences regarding the energy distribution from total fat, total carbohydrate, added sugar and protein were found, but girls had a lower intake than boys of most foods and nutrients, except for fruit, where girls had a higher intake. These sex differences are also found in other studies^(16,18) and may be partly explained by different taste preferences for fruit^(17,37).

For most foods and nutrients there were no differences in intake between the 3rd and 4th graders. However, 3rd graders had a significantly higher intake than 4th graders of fatty fish, dietary fibre, vitamin A, vitamin B_2 , vitamin B_{12} , P, Mg, I and K. It cannot be ruled out that the 3rd graders received more parenting aid with the dietary assessment in WebDASC compared with the 4th graders.

Even though direct comparisons with other studies are difficult due to study designs, different assessment methodologies, etc., the overall picture in the present study of low intake of fruit, vegetable, fish and vitamin D, and high intake of fat and sugar are also found in other studies^(14–18).

The present study is the cross-sectional baseline part of the OPUS School Meal Study. The general strengths and limitations of the OPUS School Meal Study have been described elsewhere⁽²¹⁾. The novel interactive web-based dietary assessment tool developed for children (referred to as WebDASC) was a strength for the participating families, who found it

relatively easy to use⁽²²⁾. It was also a strength that the whole diet was recorded during seven consecutive days and thus making it possible to report on habitual dietary intake. However, it may have been a limitation for a few of the families that they did not have access to a computer with Internet access at home. Besides, the WebDASC was in Danish, which may have been a limitation to the subjects with another language background. It may also have been a limitation that the recording often took place at the end of the day; hence it could possibly be easier to remember meals eaten at the end of the day compared with meals eaten earlier on. It can also be considered as a limitation that the data were collected from August to November instead of all year round. Underand over-reporting of EI may be a bias that might affect the results of dietary surveys⁽¹⁸⁾. In the present study, the EI was below the reference values, which could indicate some degree of under-reporting. Our study population was slightly better educated than the rest of the Danish population; however, schools from various socio-economic characteristics were included⁽²¹⁾.

Different strategies to improve children's diet during school hours could be considered in the future. Serving school meals⁽³⁸⁾ or improving the quality of the packed lunches targeting both parents and children⁽³⁹⁾ could be useful strategies.

Overall, this cross-sectional study showed that compared with the current dietary guidelines and recommendations, 8to 11-year-old children eat insufficient fruit, vegetable, fish, Fe and vitamin D, but they eat excess amounts meat, saturated fat and added sugar. The study also showed that there is a higher intake of fruit and bread during school hours than outside school hours. This is not the case with, for example, fish and vegetables, and future studies should investigate strategies to increase fish and vegetable intake during school hours.

Acknowledgements

All participating children and their parents/custody holders are thanked for their participation in the study. We thank research dietitian Karin Hess Ygil for checking all recipes and meals, and data manager Karsten Kørup for the dietary intake calculations. OPUS is supported by a grant from the Nordea Foundation.

A.B.-J., C. T. D., K. F. M. and I. T. designed the study; R. A., A. B.-J. and I. T. formulated the research questions; R. A. and M. E. collected the dietary intake data; C. T. D., L. B. S., R. A. P. and A. V. T. contributed in collecting other data; E. W. A. undertook the statistical analyses in cooperation with R. A., A. B.-J., V. K. K. and I. T.; T. C. undertook calculations of the dietary intake data in cooperation with R. A. and M. E.; R. A. wrote the manuscript. All contributed to the manuscript. None of the authors had conflicts of interest.

References

 Matthiessen J, Velsing GM, Fagt S, et al. (2008) Prevalence and trends in overweight and obesity among children and adolescents in Denmark. Scand J Public Health 36, 153–160.

- Krue S & Coolidge J (2010) The prevalence of overweight and obesity among Danish school children. Obes Rev 11, 489–491.
- Danish Veterinary and Food Administration (Fødevarestyrelsen) (2013) Kostrådene 2013 (Dietary Guidelines 2013). Copenhagen, Denmark: Ministry of Food, Agriculture and Fisheries.
- Baker JL, Olsen LW & Sorensen TI (2007) Childhood body-mass index and the risk of coronary heart disease in adulthood. N Engl J Med 357, 2329–2337.
- Wedderkopp N, Froberg K, Hansen HS, *et al.* (2004) Secular trends in physical fitness and obesity in Danish 9-year-old girls and boys: Odense School Child Study and Danish substudy of the European Youth Heart Study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 14, 150–155.
- Christensen LM, Kørup K, Trolle E, et al. (2012) Dietary Habits of Children and Adolescent 2005–2008 (in Danish). Søborg, Denmark: Division of Nutrition, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark.
- Eriksen K, Haraldsdottir J, Pederson R, et al. (2003) Effect of a fruit and vegetable subscription in Danish schools. Public Health Nutr 6, 57–63.
- Sabinsky MS, Toft U, Andersen KK, *et al.* (2012) Development and validation of a meal index of dietary quality (Meal IQ) to assess the dietary quality of school lunches. *Public Health Nutr* 15, 2091–2099.
- Lissau I, Hesse U, Juhl M, et al. (2006) Food and Physical Activity in Preschools, Schools and After School Institutions (in Danish). Copenhagen, Denmark: Statens Institut for Folkesundhed.
- He C, Breiting S & Perez-Cueto FJ (2012) Effect of organic school meals to promote healthy diet in 11–13 year old children. A mixed methods study in four Danish public schools. *Appetite* 59, 866–876.
- Christensen LM, Ege M & Thorsen AV (2013) Nutritional Quality of the Meals in After-School Care Institutions (in Danish). Soborg, Denmark: Division of Nutrition, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark.
- Hoppe C, Biltoft-Jensen A, Trolle E, et al. (2009) Dietary Habits of Danish 8- to 10-year old Children. Søborg, Denmark: Division of Nutrition, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark.
- Gatenby LA (2011) Children's nutritional intake as part of the Eat Well Do Well scheme in Kingston-upon-Hull – a pilot study. *Nutr* Bull 36, 87–94.
- Rogers IS, Ness AR, Hebditch K, *et al.* (2007) Quality of food eaten in English primary schools: school dinners vs packed lunches. *Eur J Clin Nutr* 61, 856–864.
- Gould R, Russell J & Barker ME (2006) School lunch menus and 11 to 12 year old children's food choice in three secondary schools in England – are the nutritional standards being met? *Appetite* 46, 86–92.
- Evans CE, Greenwood DC, Thomas JD, et al. (2010) A crosssectional survey of children's packed lunches in the UK: foodand nutrient-based results. J Epidemiol Community Health 64, 977–983.
- Hoppu U, Lehtisalo J, Tapanainen H, et al. (2010) Dietary habits and nutrient intake of Finnish adolescents. Public Health Nutr 13, 965–972.
- Glynn L, Emmett P & Rogers I (2005) Food and nutrient intakes of a population sample of 7-year-old children in the south-west of England in 1999/2000 – what difference does gender make? J Hum Nutr Diet 18, 7–19.
- Nordic Nutrition Recommendations (2012) Integrating Nutrition and Physical Activity, 5th ed. Nord 2014:002. Copenhagen, Denmark: Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Biltoft-Jensen A, Trolle E, Christensen T, et al. (2008) Development of a recommended food intake pattern for healthy Danish adolescents consistent with the Danish dietary guidelines, nutrient recommendations and national food preferences. J Hum Nutr Diet 21, 451–463.

- Damsgaard CT, Dalskov SM, Petersen RA, et al. (2012) Design of the OPUS School Meal Study: a randomised controlled trial assessing the impact of serving school meals based on the New Nordic Diet. Scand J Public Health 40, 693–703.
- 22. Biltoft-Jensen A, Trolle E, Christensen T, et al. (2012) WebDASC: a web-based dietary assessment software for 8–11-year-old Danish children. J Hum Nutr Diet 27, Suppl. 1, 43–53.
- Biltoft-Jensen A, Bysted A, Trolle E, et al. (2012) Evaluation of Web-based Dietary Assessment Software for Children: comparing reported fruit, juice and vegetable intakes with plasma carotenoid concentration and school lunch observations. BrJ Nutr 110, 186–195.
- Biltoft-Jensen A, Matthiessen J, Rasmussen LB, et al. (2009) Validation of the Danish 7-day pre-coded food diary among adults: energy intake v. energy expenditure and recording length. Br J Nutr 102, 1838–1846.
- Pedersen AN, Fagt S, Groth MV, et al. (2010) Dietary Habits in Denmark 2003–2008 (in Danish). Søborg, Denmark: Division of Nutrition, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark.
- Saxholt E, Christensen T, Møller A, et al. (2008) Danish Food Composition Databank, Revision 7. Søborg, Denmark: Division of Nutrition, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark. http://www.foodcomp.dk/
- Black AE (2000) Critical evaluation of energy intake using the Goldberg cut-off for energy intake:basal metabolic rate. A practical guide to its calculation, use and limitations. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord* 24, 1119–1130.
- Henry CJK (2005) Basal metabolic rate studies in humans: measurement and development of new equations. *Public Health Nutr* 8, 1133–1152.
- Efron B (1979) Bootstrap methods: another look at the jackknife. *Ann Statist* 7, 1–26.
- Ren S, Lai H, Tong W, et al. (2010) Nonparametric bootstrapping for hierarchical data. J Appl Statist 37, 1487–1498.
- Beck AM, Hoppe C, Ygil KH, et al. (2010) Vidensgrundlag for rådgivning om indtag af mælk, mælkeprodukter og ost i Danmark (Scientific Background for Danish Dietary Guidelines Regarding Intake of Milk, Milk Products and Cheese). Søborg, Denmark: National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark.
- Bandini LG, Must A, Cyr H, et al. (2003) Longitudinal changes in the accuracy of reported energy intake in girls 10–15 y of age. *Am J Clin Nutr* 78, 480–484.
- Rasmussen LB, Matthiessen J, Biltoft-Jensen A, et al. (2007) Characteristics of misreporters of dietary intake and physical activity. Public Health Nutr 10, 230–237.
- European Commission (2008) School Fruit Scheme. http://ec.eur opa.eu/agriculture/sfs/index_en.htm (accessed June 2013).
- Krolner R, Due P, Rasmussen M, et al. (2009) Does school environment affect 11-year-olds' fruit and vegetable intake in Denmark? Soc Sci Med 68, 1416–1424.
- Madsen KH, Rasmussen LB, Andersen R, et al. (2013) Randomized controlled trial of the effects of vitamin D fortified milk and bread on serum 25-hydroxyvitamin D concentration among families in Denmark during winter: the VitmaD study. Am J Clin Nutr 98, 374–382.
- Cooke LJ & Wardle J (2005) Age and gender differences in children's food preferences. Br J Nutr 93, 741–746.
- Andersen R, Biltoft-Jensen A, Christensen T, et al. (2014) Dietary effects of introducing school meals based on the New Nordic Diet – a randomised controlled trial in Danish children. The OPUS School Meal Study. Br J Nutr 111, 1967–1976.
- Evans CEL, Greenwood DC, Thomas JD, et al. (2010) SMART lunch box intervention to improve the food and nutrient content of children's packed lunches: UK wide cluster randomized controlled trial. J Epidemiol Community Health 64, 970–976.