Technical University of Denmark

On Solving Large-Scale Free Material Optimization Problems

Weldeyesus, Alemseged Gebrehiwot; Stolpe, Mathias

Publication date: 2015

[Link back to DTU Orbit](http://orbit.dtu.dk/en/publications/on-solving-largescale-free-material-optimization-problems(014db3f6-136c-4427-8fa5-8f97a65eb55c).html)

Citation (APA): Weldeyesus, A. G., & Stolpe, M. (2015). On Solving Large-Scale Free Material Optimization Problems [Sound/Visual production (digital)]. 11th World Congress of Structural and Multidisciplinary Optimisation, Sydney, Australia, 07/06/2015

DTU Library Technical Information Center of Denmark

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

• Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.

- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

On Solving Large-Scale Free Material Optimization Problems

Alemseged Gebrehiwot Weldeyesus, Mathias Stolpe

Technical University of Denmark (DTU)

$$
P=\frac{1}{2}\rho Av^{3}C_{p}\left(\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a}^{b}\frac{\sqrt{17}}{12(2.718288283)^{3}a^{2}}\right)
$$

DTU Wind Energy Department of Wind Energy

Free Material Optimization (FMO)

• The design variable is the entire material tensor \boldsymbol{E}

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}(x) = \boldsymbol{E}_{ijkl}(x) \boldsymbol{e}_{kl}(x)
$$

- allowed to vary freely at each point of the design domain
- the only requirement is that the material tensors should be symmetric and positive semidefinite

M. P. Bendsøe, J. M. Guedes, R. B. Haber, P. Pedersen, and J. E. Taylor. An analytical model to predict optimal material properties in the context of optimal structural design. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 61:930–937, 1994. U. T. Ringertz. On finding the optimal distribution of material properties. Structural Optimization, 5:265–267, 1993. M. Kočvara, M. Stingl, and J. Zowe. Free material optimization: recent progress. Optimization, 57(1):79-100, 2008. J. Haslinger, M. Ko˘cvara, G. Leugering, and M. Stingl. Multidisciplinary free material optimization. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 70(7):2709-2728, 2010.
2 **DTU Wind Energy** 2 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

Free Material Optimization (FMO)

• The design variable is the entire material tensor E

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}(x) = \boldsymbol{E}_{ijkl}(x) \boldsymbol{e}_{kl}(x)
$$

- allowed to vary freely at each point of the design domain
- the only requirement is that the material tensors should be symmetric and positive semidefinite

M. P. Bendsøe, J. M. Guedes, R. B. Haber, P. Pedersen, and J. E. Taylor. An analytical model to predict optimal material properties in the context of optimal structural design. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 61:930–937, 1994. U. T. Ringertz. On finding the optimal distribution of material properties. Structural Optimization, 5:265–267, 1993. M. Kočvara, M. Stingl, and J. Zowe. Free material optimization: recent progress. Optimization, 57(1):79-100, 2008. J. Haslinger, M. Ko˘cvara, G. Leugering, and M. Stingl. Multidisciplinary free material optimization. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 70(7):2709-2728, 2010.
2 **DTU Wind Energy** 2 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

Free Material Optimization (FMO)

• The design variable is the entire material tensor E

$$
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{ij}(x) = \boldsymbol{E}_{ijkl}(x) \boldsymbol{e}_{kl}(x)
$$

- allowed to vary freely at each point of the design domain
- the only requirement is that the material tensors should be symmetric and positive semidefinite

- obtains conceptual optimal structures regarded as ultimately best designs.
- can be used to generate benchmark solutions for other models and besides to propose novel ideas for new design situations.

M. P. Bendsøe, J. M. Guedes, R. B. Haber, P. Pedersen, and J. E. Taylor. An analytical model to predict optimal material properties in the context of optimal structural design. Journal of Applied Mechanics, 61:930–937, 1994. U. T. Ringertz. On finding the optimal distribution of material properties. Structural Optimization, 5:265–267, 1993. M. Kočvara, M. Stingl, and J. Zowe. Free material optimization: recent progress. Optimization, 57(1):79-100, 2008. J. Haslinger, M. Ko˘cvara, G. Leugering, and M. Stingl. Multidisciplinary free material optimization. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics, 70(7):2709-2728, 2010.
2 **DTU Wind Energy** 2 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

Motivation

FMO problems

- demand fine finite element discretization, hence large problems
- involve matrix inequities/variables
- are modeled as nonlinear (mostly non convex) semidefinite programming
- are computationally expensive and more difficult than standard optimization problems.

Most today's methods are based on first-order method leading to many iterations.

Objective

• To develop special purpose second order interior point method that can robustly and efficiently solve large-scale FMO problems.

Objective

- To develop special purpose second order interior point method that can robustly and efficiently solve large-scale FMO problems.
- To investigate numerically the performance of
	- some equivalent FMO problem formulations
	- the most common directions (AHO, HRVW/KSH/M, and NT directions) for solving FMO problems.

Primal problems (SAND)

Minimum compliance

minimize u*`*∈R*n,*E∈E \sum *`*∈*L* $w_{\ell} \bm{f}_{\ell}^T \bm{u}_{\ell}$ subject to $\quad \bm{A}(\bm{E})\bm{u}_{\ell} = \bm{f}_{\ell}, \; \ell \in L,$ $\sum_{ }^{m}$ *i*=1 $Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i) \leq V.$

where the discrete set of admissible materials

$$
\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{E} \in (\mathbb{R}^{dm \times d}) | \boldsymbol{E}_i = \boldsymbol{E}_i^T \succeq 0, \underline{\rho} \leq Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i) \leq \bar{\rho}, i = 1, \ldots, m \right\}
$$

Primal problems (SAND)

Minimum compliance

minimize $u_\ell \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $E \in \mathbb{E}$ subject to

$$
\sum_{\ell \in L} w_\ell \bm{f}_\ell^T \bm{u}_\ell \\ \bm{A}(\bm{E}) \bm{u}_\ell = \bm{f}_\ell, \; \ell \in L, \\ \sum_{i=1}^m Tr(\bm{E}_i) \leq V.
$$

Minimum weight

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \sum\limits_{u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^n, E \in E} \sum\limits_{i=1}^m Tr(E_i) \\ \text{subject to} & A(E)u_{\ell} = f_{\ell}, \ \ell \in \mathcal{L}, \\ & \sum\limits_{\ell \in L} w_{\ell} f_{\ell}^T u_{\ell} \leq \gamma, \end{array}
$$

where the discrete set of admissible materials

$$
\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{E} \in (\mathbb{R}^{dm \times d}) | \boldsymbol{E}_i = \boldsymbol{E}_i^T \succeq 0, \underline{\rho} \leq Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i) \leq \bar{\rho}, i = 1, \ldots, m \right\}
$$

Primal problems (Nested)

i=1

Minimum compliance minimize
 $\mathbf{E}\in\mathbb{E}$ \sum *`*∈*L* $w_{\ell} \bm{f}_{\ell}^T \bm{A}^{-1}(\bm{E}) \bm{f}_{\ell}$ subject to $\sum_{n=1}^{m}$ Minimum weight minimize $\sum_{\boldsymbol{E} \in \mathbb{E}}^m Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i)$ *i*=1

subject to \sum

where the discrete set of admissible materials

 $Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i) \leq V.$

$$
\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{E} \in (\mathbb{R}^{dm \times d}) | \boldsymbol{E}_i = \boldsymbol{E}_i^T \succeq 0, \underline{\rho} \leq Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i) \leq \bar{\rho}, i = 1, \ldots, m \right\}
$$

Primal problems (Linear)

Primal problems (Linear)

Minimum compliance

Minimum weight

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text{minimize} & \sum_{\ell \in L} w_{\ell} \varrho_{\ell} & \text{minimize} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} Tr(E_{i})\\ \text{subject to} & \sum_{i=1}^{m} Tr(E_{i}) \leq V, & \text{subject to} & \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{L}} w_{\ell} \tau_{\ell} \leq \bar{\gamma},\\ & \left(\begin{matrix} \varrho_{\ell} & \pmb{f}_{\ell}^T \\ \pmb{f}_{\ell} & \pmb{A}(E) \end{matrix}\right) \succeq 0, \ \forall \ell \in L. & \left(\begin{matrix} \tau_{\ell} & \pmb{f}_{\ell}^T \\ \pmb{f}_{\ell} & \pmb{A}(E) \end{matrix}\right) \succeq 0, \ \forall \ell \in \mathcal{L}.\end{array}
$$

$$
\mathbb{E} := \left\{ \boldsymbol{E} \in (\mathbb{R}^{dm \times d}) | \boldsymbol{E}_i = \boldsymbol{E}_i^T \succeq 0, \underline{\rho} \leq Tr(\boldsymbol{E}_i) \leq \bar{\rho}, i = 1, \ldots, m \right\}
$$

Very large-scale matrix inequalities which are difficult to deal within computations.

Dual problems

DTU \mathfrak{m}

The minimum compliance primal problem

minimize
\n
$$
u_{\ell} \in \mathbb{R}^n, E
$$

\nsubject to
\n $A(E)u_{\ell} = f_{\ell}, \ell \in L,$
\n $E_i = E_i^T \succeq 0, i = 1, ..., m,$
\n $\underline{\rho} \leq Tr(E_i) \leq \bar{\rho}, i = 1, ..., m,$
\n $\sum_{i=1}^m Tr(E_i) \leq V.$

has the dual problem given by

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\max_{\mathbf{u}_1,\dots,\mathbf{u}_{n_L} \in \mathbb{R}^n} & -\alpha \bar{V} + 2 \sum_{\ell \in L} w_{\ell} \mathbf{f}_{\ell}^T \mathbf{u}_{\ell} + \rho \sum_{i=1}^m \underline{\beta}_i - \bar{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^m \bar{\beta}_i \\
\text{subject to} & \sum_{\ell \in L} \sum_{k=1}^{n_G} w_{\ell} \mathbf{B}_{i,k}^T \mathbf{u}_{\ell} \mathbf{u}_{\ell}^T \mathbf{B}_{i,k} - (\alpha - \underline{\beta}_i + \bar{\beta}_i) \mathbf{I} \preceq 0 \,, i = 1, \dots, m \\
& \alpha \ge 0, \bar{\beta} \ge 0, \underline{\beta} \ge 0.\n\end{aligned}
$$

X*m*

8 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

X*m*

Minimum complinace problems (SAND, Nested, and Dual)

DTU \mathfrak{m}

Minimum complinace problems (SAND, Nested, and Dual)

DTU Ξ

Primal-dual interior point method

Consider the nonlinear semidefinite problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{minimize} & f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) \\
\text{x} \in \mathbb{S}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n \\
\text{subject to} & g_j(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) \le 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \\
& \mathbf{X}_i \ge 0, \qquad i = 1, \dots m,\n\end{array}
$$

 $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{d_m}$ and $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m,$

(P)

Primal-dual interior point method

Consider the nonlinear semidefinite problem

 $\min_{\mathbf{X} \in \mathbb{S}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ $f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})$ $\textsf{subject~to} \quad g_j(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{u}) \leq 0, \;\; j=1,\ldots,k,$ $X_i \succeq 0, \qquad i=1,\ldots m,$ (P)

 $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{S}^{d_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{S}^{d_m}$ and $(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_m) \in \mathbb{N}^m,$ We introduce the slack variables $\boldsymbol{s} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and barrier parameter $\mu > 0$ and formulate the associated barrier problem as

$$
\begin{array}{ll}\n\text{minimize} & f(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u}) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{m} \ln(\det(\boldsymbol{X}_i)) - \mu \sum_{j=1}^{k} \ln(s_j) \\
\text{subject to} & g_j(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u}) + s_j = 0, \ \ j = 1, \dots, k.\n\end{array} \tag{BP}
$$

The central idea in interior point methods is that to solve the barrier problem (BP) for a sequence of barrier parameter $\mu_k \to 0$ 10 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

Optimality conditions

The first-order optimality conditions of the barrier problem (BP) are

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} f(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u}) - \boldsymbol{Z} + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{X}} (g(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \nabla_{\boldsymbol{u}} f(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{u}} g(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \boldsymbol{S} \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{e} - \mu \boldsymbol{e} \\ g(\boldsymbol{X}, \boldsymbol{u}) + \boldsymbol{s} \\ \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{Z} - \mu \boldsymbol{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

Optimality conditions

The first-order optimality conditions of the barrier problem (BP) are

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{Z} + \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} (g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \mathbf{S} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{e} - \mu \mathbf{e} \\ g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{s} \\ H_P(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{Z}) - \mu \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

where $H_{\boldsymbol{P}}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$, defined by $H_{\boldsymbol{P}}(\boldsymbol{Q}) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1} + (\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1})^T\right)$.

Optimality conditions

The first-order optimality conditions of the barrier problem (BP) are

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) - \mathbf{Z} + \nabla_{\mathbf{X}} (g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda}) \\ \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) + \nabla_{\mathbf{u}} g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u})^T \boldsymbol{\lambda} \\ \mathbf{S} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{e} - \mu \mathbf{e} \\ g(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{u}) + \mathbf{s} \\ H_P(\mathbf{X} \mathbf{Z}) - \mu \mathbf{I} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

where

 $H_{\boldsymbol{P}}: \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^n$, defined by $H_{\boldsymbol{P}}(\boldsymbol{Q}) := \frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1} + (\boldsymbol{P}\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{P}^{-1})^T\right)$.

- $P = I$, AHO direction
- \bullet $P = Z^{1/2}$, HRVW/KSH/M direction (H.K.M.)
- P = X[−]1*/*² , dual HRVW/KSH/M direction

•
$$
P = W^{-1/2}
$$
, NT direction
\n
$$
W = X^{1/2} (X^{1/2} Z X^{1/2})^{-1/2} X^{1/2}
$$

Applying Newton's method we solve the reduced system

$$
\left\vert \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{G} & \boldsymbol{A} \\ \boldsymbol{A}^T & \boldsymbol{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{r}_d \\ \tilde{r}_p \end{pmatrix} \right\vert
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{G} &= \boldsymbol{G}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}), \boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{A}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}), \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}) \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_d &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_d(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}), \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_p = \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_p(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}) \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}} = \nabla^2_{\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{E}
$$

Applying Newton's method we solve the reduced system

$$
\left\vert \begin{pmatrix} \boldsymbol{G} & \boldsymbol{A} \\ \boldsymbol{A}^T & \boldsymbol{B} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \boldsymbol{u} \\ \Delta \boldsymbol{\lambda} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_d \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_p \end{pmatrix} \right\vert
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{G} &= \boldsymbol{G}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}), \boldsymbol{A} = \boldsymbol{A}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}), \boldsymbol{B} = \boldsymbol{B}(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}) \\ &\tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_d &= \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_d(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}), \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_p = \tilde{\boldsymbol{r}}_p(\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}}^{-1}) \end{aligned}
$$

$$
\tilde{\boldsymbol{H}} = \nabla^2_{\boldsymbol{X}\boldsymbol{X}} \mathcal{L}_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{X},\boldsymbol{u},\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{\lambda}) + \mathcal{F}^{-1}\mathcal{E}
$$

The other search directions (∆*X, ∆*s*, ∆*Z) are then determined

2D problems

Figure: Design domains, boundary conditions, and external loads for the Cantilever benchmark problem (a), the Michell beam problem (b), the L-shape problem (c), and the two load case problem (d).

Optimal designs and numerical results

Figure: Optimal density distributions obtained by solving the minimum compliance problem for the Cantilever IV benchmark problem (a), the Michell IV beam problem (b), the L-shape IV problem (c), and the two load case IV $problem(d)$.

Optimal designs and numerical results

Optimal designs and numerical results

Figure: Optimal density distributions obtained by solving the minimum compliance problem for the Cantilever IV benchmark problem (a), the Michell IV beam problem (b), the L-shape IV problem (c), and the two load case IV problem (d).

Numerical results/problem formulations

(b) CPU time as performance measure

Figure: Performance profiles for the minimum compliance problem.

DTU

Numerical results/problem formulations

(a) Number of iterations as performance measure

1 1.2 1.4 1.6 1.8 2

NESTED SAND DUAL

DTU

 $\frac{1}{\tau}$ 1.6

Figure: Performance profiles for the minimum compliance problem.

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1

Fraction of problems solved
 $\begin{matrix} \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \circ & \circ & \circ \\ \circ & \circ & \circ \end{matrix}$

(b) CPU time as performance measure

Figure: Performance profiles for the minimum weight problem.

16 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

Numerical results/search directions

DTII

Moreover, we compare the numerical performance of the

- AHO direction
- HRVW/KSH/M direction
- NT direction

for solving the minimum compliance problem of the SAND formulation.

Figure: Performance profiles for the search directions.

17 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)

Conclusions

- Efficient second order primal-dual interior point for FMO is developed.
- The method requires modest number of iterations and almost independent of problem size.
- The method has obtained solutions of good quality to the largest FMO problems to date.
- There is no clear distinction in the performance of the standard FMO problem formulations.
- We recommend the NT direction for solving FMO problems for its efficiency and robustness .

A. G. Weldeyesus and M. Stolpe. A primal-dual interior point method for large-scale free material optimization. Computational Optimization and Applications, 61(2):409–435, 2015.

Thank you for your attention!

19 **DTU Wind Energy** [WCSMO-11, 7-12 June 2015, Sydney, Australia](#page-1-0)