



d by Repositorio da Produ

### UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL DE CAMPINAS SISTEMA DE BIBLIOTECAS DA UNICAMP REPOSITÓRIO DA PRODUÇÃO CIENTIFICA E INTELECTUAL DA UNICAMP

Versão do arquivo anexado / Version of attached file:

Versão do Editor / Published Version

Mais informações no site da editora / Further information on publisher's website: https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpls.2018.01713/full

DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01713

Direitos autorais / Publisher's copyright statement:

©2018 by Frontiers Research Foundation. All rights reserved.

DIRETORIA DE TRATAMENTO DA INFORMAÇÃO

Cidade Universitária Zeferino Vaz Barão Geraldo CEP 13083-970 – Campinas SP Fone: (19) 3521-6493 http://www.repositorio.unicamp.br





# The Ecology of Plant Chemistry and Multi-Species Interactions in Diversified Agroecosystems

Rodolfo F. Silva<sup>1</sup>, Gabriela B. P. Rabeschini<sup>1</sup>, Giovanna L. R. Peinado<sup>2</sup>, Leandro G. Cosmo<sup>1</sup>, Luiz H. G. Rezende<sup>1</sup>, Rafael K. Murayama<sup>1</sup> and Martín Pareja<sup>3\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Programa de Pós-Graduação em Ecologia, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil, <sup>2</sup> Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil, <sup>3</sup> Departamento de Biologia Animal, Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Estadual de Campinas, Campinas, Brazil

#### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Tara Joy Massad, Rhodes College, United States

#### Reviewed by: Raffaella Balestrini.

Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), Italy David Shaner LeBauer, University of Arizona, United States

> \*Correspondence: Martín Pareja mpareja@unicamp.br

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Plant Metabolism and Chemodiversity, a section of the journal Frontiers in Plant Science

Received: 28 February 2018 Accepted: 05 November 2018 Published: 22 November 2018

#### Citation:

Silva RF, Rabeschini GBP, Peinado GLR, Cosmo LG, Rezende LHG, Murayama RK and Pareja M (2018) The Ecology of Plant Chemistry and Multi-Species Interactions in Diversified Agroecosystems. Front. Plant Sci. 9:1713. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01713 Over the past few years, our knowledge of how ecological interactions shape the structure and dynamics of natural communities has rapidly advanced. Plant chemical traits play key roles in these processes because they mediate a diverse range of direct and indirect interactions in a community-wide context. Many chemically mediated interactions have been extensively studied in industrial cropping systems, and thus have focused on simplified, pairwise and linear interactions that rarely incorporate a community perspective. A contrasting approach considers the agroecosystem as a functioning whole, in which food production occurs. It offers an opportunity to better understand how plant chemical traits mediate complex interactions which can enhance or hinder ecosystem functions. In this paper, we argue that studying chemically mediated interactions in agroecosystems is essential to comprehend how agroecosystem services emerge and how they can be guaranteed through ecosystem management. First, we discuss how plant chemical traits affect and are affected by ecological interactions. We then explore research questions and future directions on how studying chemical mediation in complex agroecosystems can help us understand the emergence and management of ecosystem services, specifically biological control and pollination.

Keywords: volatile organic compounds, indirect interactions, ecosystem services, biological control, pollination, secondary plant chemistry, induced plant responses, agroecosystems

# INTRODUCTION

It is well known that plant mediated interactions strongly influence the structure of natural communities (Connell and Slatyer, 1977; Roughgarden and Diamond, 1986). Resource competition (Connell, 1983; Schoener, 1983), allelopathy (Rice, 1984; Williamson, 1990) and facilitation (Hunter and Aarssen, 1988; Callaway, 1995) affect plant community organization, while plant mutualistic and antagonistic interactions with other organisms may structure communities (Van Zandt and Agrawal, 2004; Lewinsohn et al., 2006; Vizentin-Bugoni et al., 2014; Bergamo et al., 2017). Such interactions occur either by direct effects, when a plant trait affects the physiology or behavior of another organism; or by indirect effects, when an interaction with the plant has knock-on effects on a third organism not initially involved in this interaction (Ohgushi et al., 2007). Therefore, plant

mediated interactions potentially link organisms of different trophic levels and add complexity within community interactions (Utsumi et al., 2010).

Plant-mediated interactions have been studied in agricultural systems due to the impact that herbivores, pathogens and pollinators have on plant production, and the mechanisms behind plant resistance, tritrophic interactions and pollinator attraction are well known (Agrawal and Rutter, 1998; Gatehouse, 2002; Klein et al., 2007; Schiestl, 2015). However, most studies have focused on commodity crops produced in large-scale monocultures. In Neotropical systems, there is surprisingly little focus on smallholder production systems (Pinto-Zevallos et al., 2016; Pinto-Zevallos et al., 2018), while soybean, maize and sugarcane are extensively studied (e.g., Peñaflor et al., 2011, 2017; Michereff et al., 2015). However, staple and horticultural crops are produced overwhelmingly by smallholders (Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO] et al., 2015) who often use intercropping and diversification practices (Altieri et al., 2012). These alternative practices are applied to manage agroecosystems based on ecological knowledge for ecosystem function improvement(Lewis et al., 1997; Vandermeer et al., 2010).

The integration of knowledge from chemical ecology into agroecology has been slow in the last decades, with a few notable exceptions (Cook et al., 2007; Khan et al., 2008, 2014). Chemical ecology can provide mechanistic knowledge of plant mediated interactions, which is essential due to the ecological complexity of agroecosystems. Chemical traits are important in mediating plant interactions because: (1) the high diversity of chemical compounds may confer unique combinations of primary and secondary compounds to species and individual plants (Krischik and Denno, 1989; Hartmann, 1996); (2) their expression is dynamic, changing with plant ontogeny and environmental cues (Barton and Koricheva, 2010; Quintero and Bowers, 2012); (3) they are subject to significant spatial and temporal variation (Feeny, 1970; Edwards et al., 1990; Okolie and Obasi, 1993); and (4) chemical perception is the dominant sensory mode in arthropods and microorganisms; these are the most abundant groups of organisms that interact with plants (de Bruyne and Baker, 2008; Bruce and Pickett, 2011; Junker and Tholl, 2013). Therefore, the plant chemical profile is a dynamic and multifunctional trait involved in a great diversity of interactions (Strauss and Agrawal, 1999; Arnason et al., 2004; Jones and Agrawal, 2016).

When secondary metabolites produced by plants are perceived by other organisms they acquire ecological functions and become subjected to selective pressures (Parachnowitsch and Manson, 2015; Jones and Agrawal, 2016; Petschenka and Agrawal, 2016). Some of these ecological functions are frequently explored in the literature, such as resistance against herbivory and mutualistic interactions (Strauss and Agrawal, 1999; Pichersky and Gershenzon, 2002; Heil and Karban, 2010; Jamieson et al., 2017). Following plant stress, there can be induced changes in plant traits like extrafloral nectar and secondary metabolites, which are tightly linked to other interactions established by plants (Agrawal and Rutter, 1998; Kessler and Baldwin, 2004; Heil, 2008). In particular, herbivore-induced volatile organic compounds (HI-VOCs) are involved in many interactions due to their ease of dispersal and perception by other organisms (Baldwin, 2010; Bruce and Pickett, 2011; McCormick et al., 2012). VOCs are detected by the emitter plants themselves, herbivores, predators, pathogens, parasitoids, hyperparasitoids, soil microorganisms, other plants and pollinators (Kessler and Halitschke, 2009; Junker and Tholl, 2013; Heil, 2014; Jamieson et al., 2017).

The fact that plant chemical traits such as VOCs have many functional roles makes them candidates for research and technology for agriculture. However, we still lack knowledge about the ecological consequences of the manipulation of plant chemical traits in a multitrophic and evolutionary context. Experimental manipulation of HI-VOCs emission by plants in the field, for example, is usually planned considering predators and parasitoids of herbivores as target receivers of these signals (Kaplan, 2012). However, such signals can also be perceived by other organisms in the community that interact with the focal plant, such as pollinators and other herbivores (Halitschke et al., 2008; Kessler and Halitschke, 2009; Jamieson et al., 2017). These interactions are considered non-target effects of HI-VOC manipulation and could result in positive or negative impacts on plant fitness. Due to the complex interactions mediated by volatiles (Dicke and van Loon, 2000; Dicke and Baldwin, 2010), non-target effects should be considered when manipulating volatiles in an agricultural context. Integrating this perspective in agroecosystems may help managing plant chemical traits more efficiently and responsibly.

In this paper, we propose that studying chemically mediated interactions structured by VOCs and phytochemical diversity in agroecosystems is essential to understand how ecosystem functions emerge and can be enhanced in these systems. We explore broad research questions and future directions on how chemical ecology can be studied in diversified agroecosystems and discuss its applications in two of the most studied agroecosystem services: pollination and biological control. By highlighting these perspectives, we hope to help comprehend how chemical ecology can be integrated in agroecosystem management.

# INTEGRATION OF CHEMICAL ECOLOGY IN DIVERSIFIED AGROECOSYSTEMS

Ecological interactions are responsible for providing important agroecosystem services. A goal of chemical ecology should be to help us understand these interactions so that agroecosystems can be managed more efficiently. To achieve this goal, a rigorous application of ecological theory is necessary. It is also fundamental to investigate traditional diversified agroecosystems that have been developed over thousands of years. Traditional cropping systems hold substantial amounts of information that detailed ecological study can make available more generally. For this purpose, we highlight two general interlinked questions that we think will be relevant in guiding future research.

- (1) What are the mechanisms that generate phytochemical diversity in agroecosystems? Agroecosystem diversification can affect phytochemical diversity through three major paths: (1) planned taxonomic diversity, i.e., the plants chosen for inclusion in the agroecosystem; (2) associated diversity, which comprises the organisms that spontaneously appear in the agroecosystem; and (3) changes in plant chemistry resulting from induced responses to environmental cues such as biotic interactions and abiotic stress. Understanding the relevance of each of these processes for chemically mediated interactions is fundamental if we want to comprehend the ecological processes supporting agroecosystem function. VOCmediated plant-plant interactions are promising candidates for these studies since it is known that they affect plant growth and defense (Ninkovic et al., 2002, 2003). It is possible that future research might reveal that specific plant combinations are effective choices for intercropping due to the characteristics of their VOCs-mediated communication and the consequences these VOCs have on multitrophic interactions.
- (2) How does plant chemistry help modulate the interaction web in diversified agroecosystems? Research that focuses on pairwise interactions and/or linear tritrophic chains could lead to underestimation of the impacts of plant chemistry on interaction webs (Ohgushi et al., 2007). Agroecosystems are complex communities, and their management may alter the structure of interaction webs through modifications in plant diversity and, as a consequence, changes in plant chemical traits available to mediate direct and indirect interactions. By incorporating a whole-system approach (Lewis et al., 1997) that explicitly treats the agroecosystem as a complex of interactions, we may begin to understand the web of chemically mediated information superimposed upon the web of ecological interactions. Therefore, we can comprehand how plant chemistry affects the entire web, not only individual interactions (Larue et al., 2016).

The two topics highlighted above emphasize the mechanisms that generate phytochemical diversity and how plant chemistry affects the entire web of interactions. An integration of these two aspects is required to comprehend the emergence of agroecosystem services. This approach could provide knowledge to improve the quality of agroecosystem services through more efficient ecosystem management (e.g., use of plants with attractive compounds for natural enemies and/or pollinators). We can therefore analyze how different agroecosystem management practices affect the community structure and the plant chemical traits specifically related to ecological processes that guarantee such services (Lewis et al., 1997). In the following sections, we apply these general questions to two relevant ecosystem services for food production: pollination and biological control (**Box 1**).

# Pollination

Agroecosystem diversification, through management of flower strips, for example, has shown that increasing plant diversity is positively associated with the richness and abundance of pollinators, pollination, and fruit production (Nicholls and Altieri, 2013; Pereira et al., 2015; Isbell et al., 2017). Moreover, in agroecosystems, flowers are not presented against uniform, monospecific backgrounds, but against variable mosaics of plant odors. Therefore, identifying the role of different processes generating phytochemical variation is fundamental to understand chemical mediation of pollination in agroecosystems.

The landscape of VOCs can be influenced by plant diversity and also by biotic interactions. For example, herbivore-induced changes in plant physiology can affect flower odor (Pareja et al., 2012) and pollinator abundance and behavior (Lucas-Barbosa et al., 2011; Lucas-Barbosa, 2016; Glaum and Kessler, 2017). This happens because the volatile signaling of flower rewards is the most reliable trait pollinators use in selecting flowers, so that when floral volatiles are more distinctive and less variable, pollinators learn to associate them with floral rewards more efficiently (Wright and Schiestl, 2009). Thus, if plants in highly diverse systems suffer lower herbivory (Randlkofer et al., 2010), and damaged plants attract fewer pollinators (Lehtilä and Strauss, 1997; Kessler et al., 2011) plants grown in polyculture may benefit from a more effective attraction of their pollinators (Orford et al., 2016; Isbell et al., 2017).

Floral volatiles are also important in plant-plant communication. They are produced and emitted at higher rates than vegetative VOCs, with over than 1700 known compounds. These compounds can vary quantitatively and qualitatively between species or between floral states within a species (i.e., pollinated vs. unpollinated flowers emit different signals; Knudsen et al., 2006; Raguso, 2008; Ibrahim et al., 2010). A plant receiving VOC signals gains valuable information about its reproductive environment, including signals regarding whether neighboring plants are in anthesis, whether they are hetero- or conspecific, and whether pollinators are present. Detecting the flowering state of neighboring plants can affect the floral traits of receiver plants such as anthesis, floral rewards and floral volatiles (Caruso and Parachnowitsch, 2016). Plant-plant VOC-based interactions require further study and will likely improve the efficiency of plant combinations for pollination management in agroecosystems.

It is also important to ask how phytochemically diverse environments affect the structure of plant-pollinator interaction webs. Each plant has specific floral traits that promote pollinator visitation while limiting non-pollinating visitors, a mechanism known as floral filtering (Junker et al., 2010). VOCs play an important role in floral filtering and present signals associated with flower presence and rewards recognized by flower visitors (Raguso and Willis, 2002; Cunningham et al., 2004; Milet-Pinheiro et al., 2012). Floral odors also act as guides with spatial orientation gradients, depending on the distribution of emitting sources on the vertical stratification of the vegetation (Baldwin et al., 2006; Randlkofer et al., 2010). Thus, choosing plants with diverse architecture in terms of vegetative and reproductive structure creates heterogeneous signals that can be efficiently exploited by multiple pollinators (Farré-Armengol et al., 2013).

BOX 1 | Future directions for studies of chemical ecology in diversified agroecosystems.

The perspectives discussed on how plant chemistry can mediate ecological interactions in a community-wide agroecosystem context lead us to propose a series of research questions to comprehend the emergence of agroecosystem services. We focus on the application of chemical ecology to the study of pollination and biological control.

(1) What are the relative roles of taxonomic diversity, biotic interactions and abiotic stress in generating phytochemical diversity in agroecosystems?

(2) How does phytochemical diversity of VOCs affect pollinator diversity, flower-pollinator interaction webs and plant reproductive output?

(3) Do VOC-mediated plant-plant interactions have an effect on floral biology and agricultural production?

(4) How does phytochemical variation resulting from taxonomic plant diversity, biotic interactions and abiotic stress affect the natural enemies of herbivores in agroecosystems?

- (5) How do VOC-mediated plant-plant interactions make plants more resistant or susceptible to herbivores and affect the structure of interaction webs?
- (6) Are there trade-offs between cascading effects of induced plant responses on biological control and pollination at the agroecosystem level?

(7) Can knowledge of phytochemical traits be applied to improve crop combinations?

## **Autonomous Biological Control**

Researchers have applied chemical ecology primarily in the study of individual pest species focused on pairwise interactions (Rosenheim and Coll, 2008). However, work in diversified agroecosystems shows that top-down and bottom-up ecological interactions can limit the population growth of species that may become pests (Letourneau et al., 2011). This ecosystem service has been termed Autonomous Biological Control (Vandermeer, 2011), Ecological Pest Management (Shennan et al., 2005) and Environmental Pest Management (Coll and Wajnberg, 2017). Chemical ecology has enormous potential for understanding ecological interactions in diversified agroecosystem management and ensure effective biological control.

Plant chemistry influences pest incidence by affecting plant localization and selection by herbivores (Webster et al., 2008) or through indirect defense, by the attraction of predators and parasitoids that may limit herbivory from the top-down (Aljbory and Chen, 2018; Furlong et al., 2018). Damaged plants emit a blend of VOCs that can signal herbivore presence and recruit predators, parasitoids or entomopathogenic nematodes (Rasmann et al., 2005; Filgueiras et al., 2016). Besides VOCs, other plant chemical traits also function to increase predator permanence on plants, like sticky compounds present in glandular trichomes that facilitate the capture of herbivores by immobilizing them (Romero et al., 2008) and extrafloral nectar that serves as an alternative food resource and enhances the presence of predators in the community (Heil, 2014; Rezende et al., 2014).

Despite research focused on the behavior of individual predators and parasitoids in response to volatiles in the laboratory, their response to volatiles in diverse odor backgrounds remains to be studied (Aartsma et al., 2017). VOC signals influence predator and parasitoid search behavior by relaying information about the presence of herbivores, the existence of other food sources (e.g., nectar and pollen), and the presence of plants that provide natural enemy-free space (Rossbach et al., 2005; Meiners, 2016). VOCs thereby lead different predator and parasitoid species to ignore, avoid or prefer particular odor mixtures (Perfecto and Vet, 2003; Wäschke et al., 2013). Therefore, VOC diversity resulting from agroecosystem management can influence the structure of trophic webs and help regulate herbivory by affecting herbivore localization by predators and parasitoids.

Plant-plant communication mediated by volatiles has rarely been included in biocontrol studies (Glinwood et al., 2011).

It is known that signals emitted by plants can be detected by neighboring plants and can activate defense production in the receiving plants (Kost and Heil, 2006; Heil, 2008; Ninkovic et al., 2013). Perception of HI-VOCs by plants can lead to changes in their own VOC profiles, increase extrafloral nectar production, and enhance the attraction of natural enemies, leading to a reduction in herbivore pressure on the receiving plant (Glinwood et al., 2011; Heil, 2014). Undamaged plants also emit chemical signals that change the growth patterns, biomass accumulation, and defense mechanisms in neighboring plants (Glinwood et al., 2011). This communication affects multitrophic interactions as plants responding to volatile signals emit compounds repellent to herbivores and/or attractive to the natural enemies of herbivores (Ninkovic et al., 2013; Vucetic et al., 2014).

Push-pull technology is an example of how chemical ecology has been integrated to small-scale farming to improve pest and weed management (Khan et al., 2016). An example of successful push-pull system is the planting of *Desmodium* spp. among crop plants to act as 'push' components by emitting VOCs repellent to stemborers. Simultaneously, Napier grass is planted surrounding the crop as 'pull' components to chemically attract egg laying stemborers. Additionally, allelopathic compounds emitted by *Desmodium* spp. prevent the emergence of *Striga* ssp., a parasitic plant of maize and sorghum (Khan et al., 2008). The success of push-pull systems demonstrates how knowledge-intensive agricultural management can increase crop production and promote ecological interactions. Approaches like these involve the integration of chemical ecology in agriculture and collaborations between farmers and scientists (Khan et al., 2016).

Push-pull studies have broken new ground on communication between plants and plants and the third trophic level in diversified agroecosystems. A major goal should be to understand whether specific plant chemical traits have consistent effects in enhancing biological control across systems. This could lead to predictions of the effects of plant combinations on pest incidence based on VOC profiles. This information can be used to plan taxonomic and interaction diversity in agroecosystems.

# CONCLUSION

Chemical ecology has long focused on providing technological products for industrial agriculture, but it is now beginning to be recognized that small-scale agroecological systems have great potential to sustainably guarantee food security, particularly to the most vulnerable populations (Chappell and LaValle, 2011; Kremen and Miles, 2012; Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO], 2015). Such small-scale agroecological systems can greatly benefit from the perspectives discussed here: chemical ecology can shed light in the mechanisms of ecological interactions in these agroecosystems and thus build a collective base of transferable knowledge. In the few cases in which this approach has been implemented, it has shown enormous success for food security (Khan et al., 2016). We believe that further work on the chemical ecology of local production systems could contribute both to increase knowledge of chemical mediation of multispecies interactions in complex systems and to the development of more resilient and equitable crop production systems.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

All authors contributed equally to the conception, literature search, and writing of the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- Aartsma, Y., Bianchi, F. J. J. A., van der Werf, W., Poelman, E. H., and Dicke, M. (2017). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles and tritrophic interactions across spatial scales. *New Phytol.* 216, 1054–1063. doi: 10.1111/nph.14475
- Agrawal, A. A., and Rutter, M. T. (1998). Dynamic anti-herbivore defense in ant-plants: the role of induced responses. *Oikos* 83, 227–236. doi: 10.2307/ 3546834
- Aljbory, Z., and Chen, M. S. (2018). Indirect plant defense against insect herbivores: a review. *Insect Sci.* 25, 2–23. doi: 10.1111/1744-7917.12436
- Altieri, M. A., Funes-Monzote, F. R., and Petersen, P. (2012). Agroecologically efficient agricultural systems for smallholder farmers: contributions to food sovereignty. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 32, 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s13593-011-0065-6
- Arnason, J. T., Guillet, G., and Durst, T. (2004). "Phytochemical diversity of insect defenses in tropical and temperate plant families," in *Advances in Insect Chemical Ecology*, eds R. T. Carde and J. G. Millar (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).
- Baldwin, I. T. (2010). Plant volatiles. *Curr. Biol.* 20, R392–R397. doi: 10.1016/j.cub. 2010.02.052
- Baldwin, I. T., Halitschke, R., Paschold, A., Von Dahl, C. C., and Preston, C. A. (2006). Volatile signaling in plant-plant interactions: "talking trees" in the genomics era. *Science* 311, 812–815. doi: 10.1126/science.1118446
- Barton, K. E., and Koricheva, J. (2010). The ontogeny of plant defense and herbivory: characterizing general patterns using meta-analysis. Am. Nat. 175, 481–493. doi: 10.1086/650722
- Bergamo, P. J., Wolowski, M., Maruyama, P. K., Vizentin-Bugoni, J., Carvalheiro, L. G., and Sazima, M. (2017). The potential indirect effects among plants via shared hummingbird pollinators are structured by phenotypic similarity. *Ecology* 98, 1849–1858. doi: 10.1002/ecy.1859
- Bruce, T. J., and Pickett, J. A. (2011). Perception of plant volatile blends by herbivorous insects: finding the right mix. *Phytochemistry* 72, 1605–1611. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.04.011
- Callaway, R. M. (1995). Positive interactions among plants. *Bot. Rev.* 61, 306–349. doi: 10.1007/BF02912621
- Caruso, C. M., and Parachnowitsch, A. L. (2016). Do plants eavesdrop on floral scent signals? *Trends Plant Sci.* 21, 9–15. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2015.09.001
- Chappell, M. J., and LaValle, L. A. (2011). Food security and biodiversity: can we have both? An agroecological analysis. Agric. Hum. Values 28, 3–26. doi: 10.1007/s10460-009-9251-4
- Coll, M., and Wajnberg, E. (2017). "Environmental pest management: a call to shift from a pest-centric to a system-centric approach," in *Environmental Pest Management: Challenges for Agronomists, Ecologists, Economists and Policymakers*, eds M. Coll and E. Wajnberg (Oxford: John Wiley & Sons), 1–18.

## FUNDING

This study was financed in part by the Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior – Brasil (CAPES) – Finance Code 001 and by the Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq) through scholarships to RS, GR, LC, LR and RM. The study was also financed by FAPESP, through project 2014/50316-7 and CNPq through the INCT/HYMPAR/SUDESTE.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the editors of this Research Topic, in particular Massuo Jorge Kato and Lydia Fumiko Yamaguchi, for the invitation to contribute. We also thank the two reviewers and the editor TM for the valuable contributions in the revision process of the manuscript.

- Connell, J. H. (1983). On the prevalence and relative importance of interspecific competition: evidence from field experiments. Am. Nat. 122, 661–696. doi: 10.1086/284165
- Connell, J. H., and Slatyer, R. O. (1977). Mechanisms of succession in natural communities and their role in community stability and organization. *Am. Nat.* 111, 1119–1144. doi: 10.1086/283241
- Cook, S. M., Khan, Z. R., and Pickett, S. A. (2007). The use of push-pull strategies in integrated pest management. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* 52, 375–400. doi: 10.1146/ annurev.ento.52.110405.091407
- Cunningham, J. P., Moore, C. J., Zalucki, M. P., and West, S. A. (2004). Learning, odour preference and flower foraging in moths. J. Exp. Biol. 207, 87–94. doi: 10.1242/jeb.00733
- de Bruyne, M., and Baker, T. C. (2008). Odor detection in insects: volatile codes. J. Chem. Ecol. 34, 882–897. doi: 10.1007/s10886-008-9485-4
- Dicke, M., and Baldwin, I. T. (2010). The evolutionary context for herbivoreinduced plant volatiles: beyond the 'cry for help'. *Trends Plant Sci.* 15, 167–175. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2009.12.002
- Dicke, M., and van Loon, J. J. A. (2000). Multitrophic effects of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in an evolutionary context. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 97, 237–249. doi: 10.1046/j.1570-7458.2000.00736.x
- Edwards, P. B., Wanjura, W. J., and Brown, W. V. (1990). Mosaic resistance in plants. *Nature* 347:434. doi: 10.1038/347434a0
- Farré-Armengol, G., Filella, I., Llusia, J., and Peñuelas, J. (2013). Floral volatile organic compounds: between attraction and deterrence of visitors under global change. *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* 15, 56–67. doi: 10.1016/j.ppees.2012.12. 002
- Feeny, P. (1970). Seasonal changes in oak leaf tannins and nutrients as a cause of spring feeding by winter moth caterpillars. *Ecology* 51, 565–581. doi: 10.2307/ 1934037
- Filgueiras, C. C., Willett, D. S., Moino, A., Pareja, M., Borai, F. E., Dickson, D. W., et al. (2016). Stimulation of the salicylic acid pathway aboveground recruits entomopathogenic nematodes belowground. *PLoS One* 11:e0154712. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0154712
- Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO] (2015). Agroecology for Food Security and Nutrition Proceedings of the FAO International Symposium. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization Publications.
- Food and Agriculture Organization [FAO], International Fund for Agriculture Development [IFAD], and World Food Programme [WFP] (2015). The State of Food Insecurity in the World 2015. Meeting the 2015 International Hunger Targets: Taking Stock of Uneven Progress. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization Publications.
- Furlong, M. J., Ang, G. C. K., Silva, R., and Zalucki, M. P. (2018). Bringing ecology back: how can the chemistry of indirect plant defenses against herbivory

be manipulated to improve pest management? Front. Plant Sci. 9:1436. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2018.01436

- Gatehouse, J. A. (2002). Plant resistance towards insect herbivores: a dynamic interaction. New Phytol. 156, 145–169. doi: 10.1046/j.1469-8137.2002.00519.x
- Glaum, P., and Kessler, A. (2017). Functional reduction in pollination through herbivore-induced pollinator limitation and its potential in mutualist communities. *Nat. Commun.* 8:2031. doi: 10.1038/s41467-017-02072-4
- Glinwood, R., Ninkovic, V., and Pettersson, J. (2011). Chemical interaction between undamaged plants – effects on herbivores and natural enemies. *Phytochemistry* 72, 1683–1689. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.02.010
- Halitschke, R., Stenberg, J. A., Kessler, D., Kessler, A., and Baldwin, I. T. (2008). Shared signals –'alarm calls' from plants increase apparency to herbivores and their enemies in nature. *Ecol. Lett.* 11, 24–34. doi: 10.1111/j.1461-0248.2007. 01123.x
- Hartmann, T. (1996). Diversity and variability of plant secondary metabolism: a mechanistic view. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 80, 177–188. doi: 10.1111/j.1570-7458. 1996.tb00914.x
- Heil, M. (2008). Indirect defence via tritrophic interactions. *New Phytol.* 178, 41–61. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2007.02330.x
- Heil, M. (2014). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles: targets, perception and unanswered questions. New Phytol. 204, 297–306. doi: 10.1111/nph.12977
- Heil, M., and Karban, R. (2010). Explaining evolution of plant communication by airborne signals. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 25, 137–144. doi: 10.1016/j.tree.2009.09.010
- Hunter, A. F., and Aarssen, L. W. (1988). Plants helping plants. *Bioscience* 38, 34-40. doi: 10.2307/1310644
- Ibrahim, M. A., Egigu, M. C., Kasurinen, A., Yahya, A., and Holopainen, J. K. (2010). Diversity of volatile organic compound emissions from flowering and vegetative branches of Yeheb, *Cordeauxia edulis* (Caesalpiniaceae), a threatened evergreen desert shrub. *Flavour Fragr. J.* 25, 83–92. doi: 10.1002/ffj.1971
- Isbell, F. et al. (2017) Benefits of increasing plant diversity in sustainable agroecosystems. *Journal of Ecology*. 105, 871–879. doi: 10.1111/1365-2745. 12789.
- Jamieson, M. A., Burkle, L. A., Manson, J. S., Runyon, J. B., Trowbridge, A. M., and Zientek, J. (2017). Global change effects on plant–insect interactions: the role of phytochemistry. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 23, 70–80. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2017.07. 009
- Jones, P. L., and Agrawal, A. A. (2016). Consequences of toxic secondary compounds in nectar for mutualist bees and antagonist butterflies. *Ecology* 97, 2570–2579. doi: 10.1002/ecy.1483
- Junker, R. R., Höcherl, N., and Blüthgen, N. (2010). Responses to olfactory signals reflect network structure of flower-visitor interactions. J. Animal Ecol. 79, 818–823. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2656.2010.01698.x
- Junker, R. R., and Tholl, D. (2013). Volatile organic compound mediated interactions at the plant-microbe interface. J. Chem. Ecol. 39, 810–825. doi: 10.1007/s10886-013-0325-9
- Kaplan, I. (2012). Attracting carnivorous arthropods with plant volatiles: the future of biocontrol or playing with fire? *Biol. Control* 60, 77–89. doi: 10.1016/j. biocontrol.2011.10.017
- Kessler, A., and Baldwin, I. T. (2004). Herbivore-induced plant vaccination. Part I. The orchestration of plant defenses in nature and their fitness consequences in the wild tobacco *Nicotiana attenuata*. *Plant J*. 38, 639–649. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2004.02076.x
- Kessler, A., and Halitschke, R. (2009). Testing the potential for conflicting selection on floral chemical traits by pollinators and herbivores: predictions and case study. *Funct. Ecol.* 23, 901–912. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01639.x
- Kessler, A., Halitschke, R., and Poveda, K. (2011). Herbivory-mediated pollinator limitation: negative impacts of induced volatiles on plant–pollinator interactions. *Ecology* 92, 1769–1780. doi: 10.1890/10-1945.1
- Khan, Z. R., James, D. G., Midega, C. A. O., and Pickett, J. A. (2008). Chemical ecology and conservation biological control. *Biol. Control* 45, 210–224. doi: 10.1016/j.biocontrol.2007.11.009
- Khan, Z. R., Midega, C. A., Hooper, A., and Pickett, J. (2016). Push-pull: chemical ecology-based integrated pest management technology. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 42, 689–697. doi: 10.1007/s10886-016-0730-y
- Khan, Z. R., Midega, C. A., Pittchar, J. O., and Pickett, J. A. (2014). "Exploiting phytochemicals for developing sustainable crop protection strategies to withstand climate change: example from Africa," in *Advances in Plant Biopesticides*, ed. D. Singh (New Delhi: Springer), 35–46. doi: 10.1007/978-81-322-2006-0\_3

- Klein, A. M., Vaissiere, B. E., Cane, J. H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., et al. (2007). Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proc. R. Soc. London B* 274, 303–313. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2006.3721
- Knudsen, J. T., Eriksson, R., Gershenzon, J., and Ståhl, B. (2006). Diversity and distribution of floral scent. *Bot. Rev.* 72, 1–120. doi: 10.1663/0006-8101(2006) 72[1:DADOFS]2.0.CO;2
- Kost, C., and Heil, M. (2006). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles induce an indirect defence in neighbouring plants. J. Ecol. 94, 619–628. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2745. 2006.01120.x
- Kremen, C., and Miles, A. (2012). Ecosystem services in biologically diversified versus conventional farming systems: benefits, externalities, and trade-offs. *Ecol. Soc.* 17, 40. doi: 10.5751/ES-05035-17 0440
- Krischik, V. A., and Denno, F. R. (1989). Patterns of growth, reproduction, defense, and herbivory in the dioecious shrub baccharis mimifolia (Compositae). *Oecologia* 83, 182–190. doi: 10.1007/BF00317750
- Larue, A. A., Raguso, R. A., and Junker, R. R. (2016). Experimental manipulation of floral scent bouquets restructures flower-visitor interactions in the field. *J. Animal Ecol.* 85, 396–408. doi: 10.1111/1365-2656.12441
- Lehtilä, K., and Strauss, S. Y. (1997). Leaf damage by herbivores affects attractiveness to pollinators in wild radish, *Raphanus raphanistrum*. *Oecologia* 111, 396–403. doi: 10.1007/s004420050251
- Letourneau, D. K., Armbrecht, I., Rivera, B. S., Lerma, J. M., Carmona, E. J., Daza, M. C., et al. (2011). Does plant diversity benefit agroecosystems? A synthetic review. *Ecol. Appl.* 21, 9–21. doi: 10.1890/09-2026.1
- Lewinsohn, T. M., Inácio Prado, P., Jordano, P., Bascompte, J., and Olesen, J. M. (2006). Structure in plant-animal interaction assemblages. *Oikos* 113, 174–184. doi: 10.1111/j.0030-1299.2006.14583.x
- Lewis, W. J., van Lenteren, J. C., Phatak, S. C., and Tumlinson, J. H. (1997). A total system approach to sustainable pest management. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 12243–12248. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.23.12243
- Lucas-Barbosa, D. (2016). Integrating studies on plant-pollinator and plantherbivore interactions. *Trends Plant Sci.* 21, 125–133. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants. 2015.10.013
- Lucas-Barbosa, D., van Loon, J. J., and Dicke, M. (2011). The effects of herbivoreinduced plant volatiles on interactions between plants and flower-visiting insects. *Phytochemistry* 72, 1647–1654. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2011.03.013
- McCormick, C. A., Unsicker, S. B., and Gershenzon, J. (2012). The specificity of herbivore-induced plant volatiles in attracting herbivore enemies. *Trends Plant Sci.* 17, 303–310. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.03.012
- Meiners, T. (2016). "Ecological role of odour diversity," in *Deciphering Chemical Language of Plant Communication*, eds J. Blande and R. Glinwood (Cham: Springer), 137–151. doi: 10.1007/978-3-319-33498-1\_6
- Michereff, M. F. F., Michereff Filho, M., Blassioli-Moraes, M. C., Laumann, R. A., Diniz, I. R., and Borges, M. (2015). Effect of resistant and susceptible soybean cultivars on the attraction of egg parasitoids under field conditions. J. Appl. Entomol. 139, 207–216. doi: 10.1111/jen.12148
- Milet-Pinheiro, P., Ayasse, M., Schlindwein, C., Dobson, H. E., and Dötterl, S. (2012). Host location by visual and olfactory floral cues in an oligolectic bee: innate and learned behavior. *Behav. Ecol.* 23, 531–538. doi: 10.1093/beheco/ arr219
- Nicholls, C. I., and Altieri, M. A. (2013). Plant biodiversity enhances bees and other insect pollinators in agroecosystems. A Review. Agron. Sustain. Dev. 33, 257–274. doi: 10.1007/s13593-012-0092-y
- Ninkovic, V., Ahmed, E., Glinwood, R., and Petterson, J. (2003). Effects of two types of semiochemical on population development of the bird cherry oat aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* in a barley crop. *Agric. Forest Entomol* 5, 27–33. doi: 10.1046/j.1461-9563.2003.00159.x
- Ninkovic, V., Dahlin, I., Vucetic, A., Petrovic-Obradovic, O., Glinwood, R., and Webster, B. (2013). Volatile exchange between undamaged plants - a new mechanism affecting insect orientation in intercropping. *PLoS One* 8:e69431. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0069431
- Ninkovic, V., Olsson, U., and Pettersson, J. (2002). Mixing barley cultivars affects aphid host plant acceptance in field experiments. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 102, 177–182. doi: 10.1046/j.1570-7458.2002.00937.x
- Ohgushi, T., Craig, T. P., and Price, P. W. (2007). "Indirect interaction webs: an introduction," in *Ecological Communities: Plant Mediation in Indirect Interaction Webs*, eds T. Ohgushi, T. P. Craig, and P. W. Price (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 3–15.

- Okolie, P. N., and Obasi, B. N. (1993). Diurnal variation of cyanogenic glucosides, thiocyanate and rhodanese in cassava. *Phytochemistry*. 33, 775–778. doi: 10.1016/0031-9422(93)85273-T
- Orford, K. A., Murray, P. J., Vaughan, I. P., and Memmott, J. (2016). Modest enhancements to conventional grassland diversity improve the provision of pollination services. *J. Appl. Ecol.* 53, 906–915. doi: 10.1111/1365-2664. 12608
- Parachnowitsch, A. L., and Manson, J. S. (2015). The chemical ecology of plantpollinator interactions: recent advances and future directions. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 8, 41–46. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2015.02.005
- Pareja, M., Qvarfordt, E., Webster, B., Mayon, P., Pickett, J., Birkett, M., et al. (2012). Herbivory by a phloem-feeding insect inhibits floral volatile production. *PLoS One* 7:e31971. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031971
- Peñaflor, M. F. G. V., Erb, M., Miranda, L. A., Werneburg, A. G., and Bento, J. M. S. (2011). Herbivore-induced plant volatiles can serve as host location cues for a generalist and a specialist egg parasitoid. *J. Chem. Ecol.* 37, 1304–1313. doi: 10.1007/s10886-011-0047-9
- Peñaflor, M. F. G. V., Gonçalves, F. G., Colepicolo, C., Sanches, P. A., and Bento, J. M. S. (2017). Effects of single and multiple herbivory by host and non-host caterpillars on the attractiveness of herbivore-induced volatiles of sugarcane to the generalist parasitoid *Cotesia flavipes. Entomol. Exp. Appl.* 165, 83–93. doi: 10.1111/eea.1 2623
- Pereira, A. L. C., Taques, T. C., Valim, J. O., Madureira, A. P., and Campos, W. G. (2015). The management of bee communities by intercropping with flowering basil (*Ocimum basilicum*) enhances pollination and yield of bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum*). J. Insect Conserv. 19, 479–486. doi: 10.1007/ s10841-015-9768-3
- Perfecto, I., and Vet, L. E. M. (2003). Effect of a nonhost plant on the location behavior of two parasitoids: the tritrophic system of Cotesia spp. (*Hymenoptera: Braconidae*), Pieris rapae (Lepidoptera: Pieridae), and Brassica oleraceae. Environ. Entomol. 32, 163–174. doi: 10.1603/0046-225X-32.1.163
- Petschenka, G., and Agrawal, A. A. (2016). How herbivores coopt plant defenses: natural selection, specialization, and sequestration. *Curr. Opin. Insect Sci.* 14, 17–24. doi: 10.1016/j.cois.2015.12.004
- Pichersky, E., and Gershenzon, J. (2002). The formation and function of plant volatiles: perfumes for pollinator attraction and defense. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol* 5, 237–243. doi: 10.1016/S1369-5266(02)00251-0
- Pinto-Zevallos, D. M., Bezerra, R. H. S., Souza, S. R., and Ambrogi, B. G. (2018). Species- and density-dependent induction of volatile organic compounds by three mite species in cassava and their role in the attraction of a natural enemy. *Exp. Appl. Acarol.* 74, 261–274. doi: 10.1007/s10493-018-0231-5
- Pinto-Zevallos, D. M., Pareja, M., and Ambrogi, B. G. (2016). Current knowledge and future research perspectives on cassava (*Manihot esculenta* Crantz) chemical defenses: an agroecological view. *Phytochemistry* 130, 10–21. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2016. 05.013
- Quintero, C., and Bowers, M. D. (2012). Changes in plant chemical defenses and nutritional quality as a function of ontogeny in *Plantago lanceolata* (Plantaginaceae). *Oecologia* 168, 471–481. doi: 10.1007/s00442-011-2114-x
- Raguso, R. A. (2008). Wake up and smell the roses: the ecology and evolution of floral scent. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 39, 549–569. doi: 10.1146/annurev. ecolsys.38.091206.095601
- Raguso, R. A., and Willis, M. A. (2002). Synergy between visual and olfactory cues in nectar feeding by naïve hawkmoths, *Manduca sexta. Animal Behav.* 64, 685–695. doi: 10.1006/anbe.2002.4010
- Randlkofer, B., Obermaier, E., Hilker, M., and Meiners, T. (2010). Vegetation complexity - the influence of plant species diversity and plant structures on plant chemical complexity and arthropods. *Basic Appl. Ecol.* 11, 383–395. doi: 10.1016/j.baae.2010.03.003
- Rasmann, S., Kollner, T. G., Degenhardt, J., Hiltpold, I., Toepfer, S., Kuhlmann, U., et al. (2005). Recruitment of entomopathogenic nematodes by insect-damaged maize roots. *Nature* 434, 732–737. doi: 10.1038/nature0 3451
- Rezende, M. Q., Venzon, M., Perez, A. L., Cardoso, I. M., and Janssen, A. (2014). Extrafloral nectaries of associated trees can enhance natural pest control. *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* 188, 198–203. doi: 10.1016/j.agee.2014.02.024
- Rice, E. L. (1984). Allelopathy. Second edition. Orlando. Florida: Academic Press.

- Romero, G. Q., Souza, J. C., and Vasconcellos-Neto, J. (2008). Anti-herbivore protection by mutualistic spiders and the role of plant glandular trichomes. *Ecology* 89, 3105–3115. doi: 10.1890/08-0267.1
- Rosenheim, J. A., and Coll, M. (2008). Pest-centric versus process-centric research approaches in agricultural entomology. Am. Entomol. 54, 70–72. doi: 10.1093/ ae/54.2.70
- Rossbach, A., Löhr, B., and Vidal, S. (2005). Generalism vesus specialism: responses of *Diadegma mollipla* (Holmgren) and *Diadegema semiclausum* (Hellen), to the host shift of the diamondback moth (*Plutella xylostella* L.) to peas. J. Insect Behav. 18, 491–503. doi: 10.1007/s10905-005-5607-9
- Roughgarden, J., and Diamond, J. (1986). "The role of species interactions in community ecology," in *Community Ecology*, eds J. Diamond and T. J. Case (New York: Harper & Row Publishers Inc), 333–343.
- Schiestl, F. P. (2015). Ecology and evolution of floral volatile-mediated information transfer in plants. *New Phytol.* 206, 571–577. doi: 10.1111/nph.13243
- Schoener, T. W. (1983). Field experiments on interspecific competition. *Am. Nat.* 122, 240–285. doi: 10.1086/284133
- Shennan, C., Gareau, T. P., and Sirrine, J. R. (2005). "Agroecological approaches to pest management in the US," in *The Pesticide Detox: Towards a More Sustainable Agriculture*, ed. J. Pretty (Sterling, VA: EarthScan), 193–211.
- Strauss, S. Y., and Agrawal, A. A. (1999). The ecology and evolution of plant tolerance to herbivory. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 14, 17–185. doi: 10.1016/S0169-5347(98)01576-6
- Utsumi, S., Ando, Y., and Miki, T. (2010). Linkages among trait-mediated indirect effects: a new framework for the indirect interaction web. *Popul. Ecol.* 52, 485–497. doi: 10.1007/s10144-010-0237-2
- Van Zandt, P. A., and Agrawal, A. A. (2004). Community-wide impacts of herbivore-induced plant responses in milkweed (*Asclepias syriaca*). *Ecology* 85, 2616–2629. doi: 10.1890/03-0622
- Vandermeer, J., Perfecto, I., and Philpott, S. (2010). Ecological complexity and pest control in organic coffee production: uncovering an autonomous ecosystem service. *BioScience* 60, 516–526. doi: 10.1525/bio.2010.60.7.8
- Vandermeer, J. H. (2011). The Ecology of Agroecosystems. Sudbury, MA: Jones and Bartlett Publishers.
- Vizentin-Bugoni, J., Maruyama, P. K., and Sazima, M. (2014). Processes entangling interactions in communities: forbidden links are more important than abundance in a hummingbird-plant network. *Proc. R. Soc. London B* 281:20132397. doi: 10.1098/rspb.2013.2397
- Vucetic, A., Dahlin, I., Petrovic-Obradovic, O., Glinwood, R., Webster, B., and Ninkovic, V. (2014). Volatile interaction between undamaged plants affects tritrophic interactions through changed plant volatile emission. *Plant Signal. Behav.* 9:e29517. doi: 10.4161/psb.29517
- Wäschke, N., Meiners, T., and Rosta, M. (2013). "Foraging strategies of parasitoids in complex chemical environments," in *Chemical Ecology of Insect Parasitoids*, eds E. Wajnberg and S. Colazza (Chichester: Wiley Blackwell), 37–63. doi: 10.1002/9781118409589.ch3
- Webster, B., Bruce, T., Dufour, S., Birkemeyer, C., Birkett, M., Hardie, J., et al. (2008). Identification of volatile compounds used in host location by the black bean aphid, *Aphis fabae. J. Chem. Ecol.* 34, 1153–1161. doi: 10.1007/s10886-008-9510-7
- Williamson, G. B. (1990). "Allelopathy, Koch's postulates, and the neck riddle," in *Perspectives on Plant Competition*, eds J. B. Grace and D. Tilman (New York: Academic Press), 143–162.
- Wright, G. A., and Schiestl, F. P. (2009). The evolution of floral scent: the influence of olfactory learning by insect pollinators on the honest signalling of floral rewards. *Funct. Ecol.* 23, 841–851. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2435.2009.01627.x

**Conflict of Interest Statement:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2018 Silva, Rabeschini, Peinado, Cosmo, Rezende, Murayama and Pareja. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.