

ERRATUM

Open Access



Erratum to: Web searching for systematic reviews: a case study of reporting standards in the UK Health Technology Assessment programme

Simon Briscoe*

**Erratum to: BMC Research Notes (2015) 8:153
DOI 10.1186/s13104-015-1079-y**

Unfortunately, the original version of this article [1] contained an error. In both the Abstract and the Results section the number of HTA reports containing the name of the website, ($n = 54$) should have read ($n = 83$).


This also affects the data in Figure 3 (Fig. 1 here), where “Website name” should also have read 83 on the chart rather than 54. A correct version of Figure 3 can be seen below.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1186/s13104-015-1079-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-015-1079-y).

Received: 2 March 2016 Accepted: 2 March 2016
Published online: 15 March 2016

Reference

1. Briscoe S. Web searching for systematic reviews: a case study of reporting standards in the UK Health Technology Assessment programme. *BMC Res Notes*. 2015;8:153.



*Correspondence: s.briscoe@exeter.ac.uk

Evidence Synthesis & Modelling for Health Improvement (ESMI),
University of Exeter Medical School, South Cloisters, St Luke's Campus,
Exeter EX1 2LU, UK