# The fast-slow continuum and reproductive strategies structure plant life history variation worldwide

Roberto Salguero-Gómez<sup>a,b</sup>, Owen R. Jones<sup>c,d</sup>, Eelke Jongejans<sup>e</sup>, Simon P. Blomberg<sup>a</sup>, David Hodgson<sup>f</sup>, Cyril Mbeau-Ache<sup>g</sup>, Pieter A. Zuidema<sup>h</sup>, Hans de Kroon<sup>e,§</sup> & Yvonne M. Buckley<sup>i,§</sup>

<sup>a</sup>School of Biological Sciences. Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science. The University of Queensland, St. Lucia QLD 4072, Australia;<sup>b</sup>Evolutionary Demography Laboratory, Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research, Rostock 18057, Germany;<sup>c</sup>Max Planck Odense Center on the Biodemography of Aging, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M 5230, Denmark; <sup>d</sup>Department of Biology, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M 5230, Denmark; <sup>e</sup>Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Departments of Animal Ecology & Ecophysiology and Experimental Plant Ecology, Radboud University Nijmegen 6500 GL, the Netherlands;<sup>f</sup>Centre for Ecology and Conservation, University of Exeter, Tremough TR10 9EZ, United Kingdom; <sup>g</sup>School of Biological Sciences, Plymouth University, Plymouth PL4 8AA, United Kingdom; <sup>h</sup>Forest Ecology and Forest Management Group, Wageningen University, Wageningen 6700 AA, the Netherlands; <sup>i</sup>School of Natural Sciences & Trinity Centre for Biodiversity Research, Zoology, Trinity College Dublin, University of Dublin, Ireland,§ Joint senior authors

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

The identification of patterns in life history strategies across the tree of life is essential to our prediction of population persistence, extinction and diversification. Plants exhibit a wide range of patterns of longevity, growth, and reproductive strategies, but the general determinants of this enormous life history variation are poorly understood. We use demographic data from 418 plant species in the wild, from annual herbs to super-centennial trees, to examine how growth form, habitat and phylogenetic relationships structure plant life histories, and develop a framework to predict population performance. We show that 55% of the variation in plant life history strategies is adequately characterised using two independent axes: the fast-slow continuum, including fastgrowing, short-lived plant species at one end and slow-growing, long-lived species at the other, and a reproductive strategy axis, with highly reproductive, iteroparous species at one extreme, and poorly reproductive, semelparous plants with a propensity to shrink at the other. Our findings remain consistent across major habitats, and are minimally affected by plant growth form, height or phylogenetic ancestry, suggesting that the relative independence of the fast-slow and reproduction strategy axes is general throughout the plant kingdom. Our findings have similarities with how life history strategies are structured in mammals, birds and reptiles. The position of plant species' populations in the twodimensional space produced by both axes predicts their population growth rate and rate of recovery from disturbances. This life history framework could be used to complement trait-based frameworks on leaf and wood economics, which together may allow prediction of responses of plants to anthropogenic disturbances and changing environments.

comparative biology | life history strategy | iteroparity | generation time | matrix population model

Demographic schedules of survival, growth and reproduction, which comprise life history strategies, are fundamental to our understanding of a range of ecological and evolutionary processes, such as invasions and local extinctions (1-3), community structure (4, 5), and species diversification (6, 7). Consequently, the development and careful testing of theory on how organisms allocate resources to survival, growth and reproduction are important goals for evolutionary biology, ecology, and conservation biology (8). Indeed, calls for the development of a "periodic table" to classify species based on their life history strategies, and to predict population dynamics and community composition go back to the early development of evolutionary biology as a discipline (9).

A main axiom of life history theory is that trade-offs (*i.e.* budgetary compromises) between different aspects of an organism' demographic schedules, such as survival, growth and/or reproduction, constrain and optimise the range of possible life history strategies that can evolve across the tree of life (10, 11). Yet, the plant kingdom encompasses a vast amount of life history variation; plant longevity, for instance, ranges from weeks to millennia (12). Many plant species' life cycles include cryptic life stages such as seedbanks (13) or dormant adults (similar to animal hibernation) (14). Reproduction too can be highly variable among plants, with seed mass and per-capita seed production ranging six orders of magnitude (15). Previous classifications of plant life history strategies have been limited in geographic (16, 17), taxonomic and phylogenetic scales (17), as well as the inability to differentiate life history trade-offs (17-19).

Here we propose an analogous approach to that developed decades ago for (vertebrate) animals (20) to study the drivers behind plant life history variation. We combine demographic, phylogenetic and ecological data from natural populations of 418 plant species worldwide (Figure1; Supporting Information) to address the following questions: (i) what are the main axes of variation of plant life history strategies? and, (ii) to what extent do phylogenetic ancestry, habitat, growth form, and size constrain plant life-history variation? We then test (iii) whether the position of a species on these axes predicts two important metrics of population performance: population growth rate and speed of recovery from disturbances. If clear patterns emerge, they may form the basis for a satisfactory classification and predictive framework of plant responses to the changing environment, and to make cross-taxonomic comparisons.

## Significance

Schedules of survival, growth and reproduction define life history strategies across species. Understanding how life history strategies are structured is fundamental to our understanding of the evolution, abundance and distribution of species. We found that life history strategies of 418 plant species worldwide can be explained by an axis representing the "pace of life" and an additional axis representing the wide range of reproductive strategies that plants employ. This framework predicts population growth rate and response to perturbations, showing great promise as a predictive tool for plant population responses to environmental change.

**Reserved for Publication Footnotes** 



Fig. 1. Coverage of the studied species. The 418 vascular plant species represent (A) all major terrestrial habitats and (B) growth forms; the latter scheme categorises vascular plants according to the position of its shoot apical meristems in relation to ground level. Tissue in gray is typically renewed every year, whereas black is perennial.

We use the COMPADRE Plant Matrix Database (21) to address these questions, drawing from the demographic, biogeographic, anatomic and phylogenetic information of the 418 plant species covering 105 families (Supporting Information). Together, the selected species represent 825 natural populations worldwide across all major terrestrial habitats and vascular plant growth forms (Figure 1), and for which at least four years of high-resolution demographic field data exist. For each species, we use their population matrix models (22) to calculate a set of representative life history traits that inform on schedules of survival, growth and reproduction (11) (Table 1), and we then evaluate the variation in these traits along major axes using phylogenetically-corrected principal components analyses (PCA) (23).

### Results

### Two life history axes: the fast-slow continuum and reproduction The repertoire of life histories among vascular plants is satisfactorily captured by the first two PCA axes, which together explain 55% of the variation. Following the Kaiser criterion (24), we retain PCA axes 1 and 2 in our global analyses because only for these axes the associated eigenvalues are >1, followed by a sharp drop in amount of variance explained with the third and further axes (Supporting Information). PCA 1 and 2 explain 34% and 21% of the variation in plant life history strategies, respectively. The life history traits most closely aligned with PCA axis 1 are related to the fast-slow continuum (11): generation time (T) is

the life history trait with greatest loading onto PCA 1 (Table 1),

closely followed by the mean sexual reproduction ( $\phi$ ) and the

rate of growth of individual plants (progressive growth,  $\gamma$ ). The

positive loading of T onto PCA 1 had an opposite sign to the negative loadings for both growth and mean sexual reproduction, supporting the well-established trade-off between fast growing, highly reproductive species, and population turnover (11, 25). Two additional life history traits that inform on longevity and mortality schedules also loaded positively onto PCA 1, i.e. the shape of the survivorship curve (H), and mean age at maturity  $(L_{\alpha}; Table 1; Figure 2)$ . The majority of the traits closely aligned with PCA axis 2 represent dimensions of a plant's reproductive strategy not captured by mean sexual reproduction: the net reproductive rate  $(R_{o})$ , and frequency of reproduction throughout an individual's life expectancy (*i.e.* degree of iteroparity; S) are positively loaded onto PCA 2. The rate of shrinking individual plants (retrogressive growth,  $\rho$ ) is negatively loaded onto PCA 2. Mature life expectancy  $(L_{\omega})$ , the period between age of sexual maturity  $(L_{\alpha})$  and mean life expectancy (21), is a poor contributor to PCA 1 or 2, and is the main driver of PCA 3 (loading = -0.84; Supporting Information).

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222 223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

253

262

From negative to positive scores on PCA 1 (fast-slow axis, hereafter), plants increase investment in longevity-related life history traits and decrease in population turnover (i.e. greater generation time), at the expense of growth and production of new recruits (Figure 2). From negative to positive scores on PCA 2 (reproductive strategy axis, hereafter), plants attain greater lifetime reproductive success and frequency of reproduction, and tend to shrink less. The fact that size is typically measured differently in herbs (helophytes, geophytes and hemicryptophytes) vs. trees (nano-/meso-/megaphanerophytes) (21, 22, 26, 27) does not appear to be responsible for the orientation of retrogressive growth in the PCA space, as this pattern remains consistent in analyses for either group separately (Supporting Information). More generally, a robust and consistent association and loadings of the life history traits described above emerges when different subsets of plant growth forms (27), major habitats (28), and taxonomic classes are considered separately (Supporting Information), suggesting that this is a global pattern thoughout the plant kingdom. Interestingly, PCA 3 is retained (its associated eigenvalue > 1(24)) only in certain groups, *i.e.* herbs, but not others (shrubs or trees), and for species in the Liliopsida and Magnoliopsida (Supporting Information). In these groups, mature life expectancy  $L_{\omega}$ is the main driver of PCA 3. Randomisation tests suggest that the pattern is robust to spurious correlations that might have been expected from coercing life history traits onto sequentially orthogonal axes with the PCA (24) (Supporting Information).

249 Major habitat is alone a weak predictor of the position of 250 plant species along the reproductive strategy axis ( $F_{3.395} = 2.46$ ; P 251 = 0.06), but a significant predictor for the fast-slow axis ( $F_{3,395}$  = 252 4.83; P = 0.003). Tropical and subtropical species seem to attain greater longevities than species in arid, temperate, and alpine 254 or arctic regions, a result that may be due to the dominance 255 of long-lived trees in tropical communities (29) and/or the non-256 random sampling of demographic studies in these habitats (16, 21; 257 S5 Table). Tall plants such as megaphanerophytes (> 25 m; e.g. 258 Canadian hemlock, Tsuga canadensis) and mesophanerophytes 259 (10-25 m maximum height; e.g. black pine, Pinus nigra) tend 260 to have greater fast-slow axis scores than smaller species like 261 hemicryptophytes (whose shoot apical meristems are at ground level; e.g. Mead's milkweed, Asclepias meadii) and geophytes 263 (whose shoot apical meristems are belowground; e.g. garlic, Al-264 *lium sativum*; Figure 2.B;  $F_{7,395} = 34.88$ ; P < 0.001). Growth form 265 is also significantly associated with the reproductive strategy axis 266  $(F_{7.395} = 17.43; P < 0.001)$ , whereby PCA scores also increase 267 sequentially with growth form size, from helophytes (shoot apical 268 meristems resting below water) and geophytes having the lowest 269 reproductive scores, to phanerophytes (shrubs and tall succulent 270 cacti) having the highest reproduction scores (Figure 2.B). Epi-271 phytes (species growing upon other plants; e.g. forest babyboot 272

Footline Author

Table 1. Loadings of the life history traits grouped by their relation to turnover, and strategies to longevity, growth and reproduction onto the first two PCA axes. Loadings in bold (>|±0.50|) indicate high contribution of the life history trait to the PCA axis.

	Life history trait	Symbol	Definition	PCA 1	PCA 2
Turnover	Generation time	Τ	Number of years necessary for the individuals of a population to be fully replaced by new ones	0.85	0.17
Longevity	Survivorship curve type	Н	Shape of the age-specific survivorship curve $I_x$ as quantified by Keyfitz' entropy ( <i>H</i> ). <i>H</i> values >1, =1, <1 correspond to survivorship curves types I, II and III, respectively	0.55	0.23
	Age at sexual maturity	Lα	Number of years that it takes an average individual in the population to become sexually reproductive	0.71	0.29
Growth	Progressive growth	Y	Mean probability of transitioning forward to a larger/more developed stage in the life cycle of the species, weighted by the stable stage distribution (SSD)	-0.73	-0.05
	Retrogressive growth	ρ	Mean probability of transitioning back to a smaller/less developed stage in the life cycle of the species, SSD-weighted	0.07	-0.77
Reproduction	Mean sexual reproduction	Φ	Mean per-capita number of sexual recruits across stages in the life cycle of the species, weighted by the SSD	-0.83	0.30
	Degree of iteroparity	s i	Spread of reproduction throughout the lifespan of the individual as quantified by Demetrius' entropy (S). High/low S values correspond to iteroparous/semelparous populations	-0.23	0.51
	Net reproductive rate	Ro	Mean number of recruits produced during the mean life expectancy of an individual in the population	0.04	0.75
	Mature life expectancy	L <sub>ω</sub>	Number of years from the mean age at sexual maturity $(L_\alpha)$ until the mean life expectancy $(\eta_e)$ of an individual in the population	0.15	0.27
			Percentage of explained variation Cumulative percentage of explained variation	34.06% 34.06%	21.23% 55.38%

orchid, *Lepanthes rubripetala*) do not differ in their reproductive strategy axis scores from the rest of herbs.

Phylogenetic relationships play a rather weak role in explaining the repertoire of life history strategies. In our analysis, Pagel's  $\lambda$ , a scaling parameter for the correlation in traits between species ranging from 0 (no correlation) to 1 (the correlation expected under Brownian motion) (30), is  $0.20 \pm 0.09$  (95% CI), suggesting a rather minor role of overall phylogenetic ancestry in our analyses. However, some exceptions exist: species in the Magnoliopsida have lower fast-slow scores (shorter lives, higher growth) than Cycadophyta and Pinopsida (Supporting Information). The phylogenetic signal of species within the same taxonomic class (Liliopsida:  $0.18 \pm 0.02$ , Magnoliopsida:  $0.20 \pm 0.04$ ) is greater than those grouped by growth forms (herbs: 0.03, shrubs: 0.00or trees: 0.00; Supplementary Information), implying some infraclass structuring of life history strategies.

Life history strategies may overlap regardless of plant growth form and size

While Raunkiær's growth forms (27) take somewhat different positions along the fast-slow axis and reproductive strategy axis, the overlap is considerable such that species with different growth forms may occupy the same life history space. Similar survival, growth and reproduction schedules can be realised through different anatomic structures. For example, shorter-lived trees and shrubs (like *Cecropia obtusifolia*, *Acer saccharum*; Figure 2.B) occupy a life history space on the fast slow axis that overlaps with herbaceous perennials (particularly helophytes, geophytes, and epiphytes Figure 2.B). The life history strategies of herbs range from short-lived ephemerals to the tree-like lifestyles of the cushion pink (*Silene acaulis*) or thyme (*Thymus vulgaris*). Strikingly, the amount of variation shown on the reproductive strategy axis for herbs and trees is similar. Both groups display a similar range of life histories in the timing of reproduction (iteroparity) and lifetime reproductive potential, regardless of their position on the fast-slow axis (Figure 2). For example, the reproductive strategy axis values of short-lived herbs, such as goldenrod (*Solidago mollis*) or scarlet monkeyflower (*Mimulus cardinalis*), are similar to those of woody species like black pine, scotch broom (*Cytisus scoparius*) or hoop pine (*Araucaria cunninghamii*). In contrast to comparative animal demography (11, 25), a uniform measure of "body size" does not exist for plants across the plant kingdom. We have therefore presented the results (Fig. 2, Table 1) without allometric scaling. Yet, Raunkiær growth forms clearly differ in size, amongst other crucial functional attributes. By using Raunkaier growth form height thresholds, we have attempted to more explicitly include size in the analyses (Supplementary Information). When life history traits are rescaled by plant height, the results do not change qualitatively. The amount of variation explained by PCA 1 (32.99%) and PCA 2 (19.73%), which also corresponds to the fast-slow continuum and to reproductive strategies, respectively, adds to ca. 53%. This suggests that either plant size does not have a strong effect in the structuring of plant life histories, or that using Raunkiær growth form height thresholds as our proxy of plant size is not accurate enough. Compared with the results with no allometric scaling (Fig. 2, Table 1), the phylogenetic signal is completely lost (Pagel's  $\lambda = 0.00$ ), likely due to the fact that Raunkiær's growth forms are phylogenetically conserved in our data ( $\lambda = 0.96 \pm 0.02, P <$ 0.001).

Two orthogonal axes to predict population performance

PNAS | Issue Date | Volume | Issue Number | 3



Fig. 2. Life history variation in vascular plants is characterised by a high degree of independence of life history traits associated with the fast-slow continuum and reproductive strategies. Phylogenetically corrected principal component analyses (PCA) of the life history traits described in Table 1 with population turnover (black arrow), and traits related to longevity (gray arrows), growth (dark blue), and reproduction (red). Arrow length indicates the loading of each life history trait onto the PCA axes. Points represent the position of species along the first two PCA axes, are colour-coded according to (A) major habitat, and (B) Raunkiær's growth form. Box-and-whisker plots on the top and right of each panel represent median (thick bar), upper and lower quartiles (edge of rectangle) maximum and minimum (outer bars) excluding outliers (empty circles; >3/2 of absolute value of quartile) of the PCA axis 1 and 2, respectively. Groups with different letters are statistically significant.

The fast-slow/reproductive strategy framework predicts population performance, including both short-term response to per-



Fig. 3. The value of any species along the fast-slow continuum, together with its reproduction strategy, predicts population performance including (A) damping ratio, the rate at which a population returns to equilibrium after disturbance, and (B) population growth rate ( $r = log(\lambda)$ ), the rate of population size change through time. Redder tones mean higher value of population metrics. Bluer colours of r reflect population decline. It is not always possible to calculate the damping ratio (n = 389 species).

turbation and long-term asymptotic dynamics (Fig. 3). While many metrics of short-term (transient) dynamics are available (31, 32), we use the damping ratio here to illustrate the predictive capacity of our life history framework. Damping ratio can be thought of as the rate at which transient responses to disturbance fade away, or its rate of recovery to asymptotic dynamics (22). A species' rate of recovery is associated with its scores on the fastslow ( $F_{1,384} = 96.99, P < 0.001$ ) and the reproductive strategy axis  $(F_{1,384} = 53.3, P < 0.001)$ . Natural populations with faster recovery are found on the top-left and bottom of Figure 3.A, suggesting that rapid recovery can be attained via a fast growth, high reproduction, short generation time strategy, or alternatively via a strategy of low reproduction and frequent shrinkage (33). Asymptotic population growth rates  $(r = \log(\lambda))$  are strongly differentiated along both axes (fast-slow axis:  $F_{1,374} = 145.79, P <$ 0.001; reproductive strategy axis:  $F_{1,374} = 177.80, P < 0.001$ ), with high population growth rates for fast-growing  $(\gamma)$ , iteroparous, highly reproductive species ( $\Phi$ ,  $R_{\theta}$ ) (Figure 3.B). Lower population growth rates are typical of species that delay maturity  $(L_{\alpha})$ , have low senescence associated with their survivorship curve (H)and/or undergo frequent shrinkage ( $\rho$ ).

### Discussion

### Quantifying life history strategies

The diversity of growth forms, functions and ecological roles of species have long puzzled biologists. A key question has been whether there are key combinations of survival, growth, and reproduction strategies that can only exist in certain habitats but not others (9), or whether nature is a random trait assemblage (34). To address these questions, several frameworks have been developed that aim to classify and predict species' responses to biotic and abiotic agents (9). Perhaps the most widely acknowl-edged framework in this respect is the fast-slow continuum (11), which states that, because trade-offs between reproduction and survival are pervasive, the repertoire of life history strategies are constrained and can thus be accurately described along a single axis of high allocation to reproduction on one end, and high allocation to survival on the other. While the fast-slow continuum has received substantial empirical support, explaining 60-80% of the variation among mammals (25, 35, 36), birds (37), and reptiles (38), analyses going back over 30 years have also pointed out the existence of a secondary axis related to reproductive strategies. For instance, Stearns (20) found that while 68-75% of covariation in life history traits of 162 mammals is explained the first axis, corresponding to the fast-slow continuum, an important second axis describing a continuum from altricial to precocial species, explains an additional 12-20%. Gaillard et al. (39) found for 80

mammals and 114 birds that 74-85% of variation is explained by the fast-slow axis, but that a second important axis related to iteroparity absorbs 5-15%.

545

546

547

548

549

550

551

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

564

577

579

591

Our analysis of over 400 globally distributed plants finds qualitatively similar results to these studies for vertebrates (20, 35-40), albeit suggesting greater relative importance of the reproductive strategy axis. We find two independent axes of life history variation in plants: one corresponding to the fast-slow continuum and another to characteristics of reproductive strategy not captured by mean sexual reproduction. When we account for the potential allometric effects of size in these relationships, the percent variation explained only decreased minimally (1.1%)for PCA 1, and 1.6% for PCA 2), and the phylogenetic signal remained low. In contrast to analogous comparative approaches for animals (20, 39, 40), we find very little phylogenetic signal in our results, nor indications for a structurally important role of adult size.

562 Typically around 80% of the variation in animal life history 563 strategies can be captured with two axes, whereas here we captured just over 50% of the observed variation. The reason for 565 this difference might be that plants are typically characterised by 566 more complex life cycles than vertebrates. For instance, plants 567 often have dormant stages (14), and long-term seedbanks (13) 568 whereas animals usually do not. Furthermore, in contrast to 569 many of the species considered in these animal-based studies, 570 all plants are indeterminate growers (42), whereby cellular fate 571 is not determined early in life, so the allocation of meristems to 572 survival (e.g. wood), growth (leaf) or reproduction (flower) can 573 be continuously adjusted, as well as overall plant size. This totipo-574 tency has resulted in strategies such as resource-dependent sex-575 switching (43), and the rejuvenating abilities of some trees (44, 576 45). Furthermore, all vascular plants are modular constructions based on the repetition of basic units (46-48) enabling some plants 578 to shrink in adverse conditions (33, 48), or reproduce clonally (49). We find that retrogressive growth (shrinkage) correlates 580 negatively with reproductive traits, which is in agreement with 581 the frequent increase in reproductive output with plant size (42). 582 However, these complex life history traits are not exclusive to 583 the plant kingdom; many animals experience dormancy (i.e., 584 hibernation (50), diapause (51), estivation (52) or brumation (53), 585 clonal reproduction (54), organ/tissue regeneration (55), or mod-586 ular growth forms (e.g., corals (56)). Demographic comparative 587 analyses including complex life history traits across both plant and 588 animal kingdoms will help determine whether, and for which taxa, 589 multiple axes are needed to capture inter-specific patterns of life 590 history variation.

Life history analyses and population performance

592 The life history traits analysed here are derived from natural 593 populations examined in the field, and these studies therefore 594 capture population performance as a product of life history strat-595 egy and the particular a/biotic conditions experienced by that 596 population over the course of the study. Clearly, no species can 597 persist with populations operating at a population growth rate 598  $log(\lambda) < 0$  indefinitely. Furthermore, some areas of life history 599 space remain unfilled; in the 418 plant species of our data set 600 there are no species with low scores on both the fast-slow axis 601 and the reproductive strategy axis (bottom left; Fig. 3B), or with 602 high fast-slow scores but low reproductive strategy scores (bottom 603 right), suggesting that such combinations of life history traits 604 are unsustainable. Interestingly, we have found species with high 605 scores on both axes (top right). Rather than defying basic life his-606 tory trade-offs, these species likely represent very successful cases 607 of expanding populations. Several of these species correspond to 608 invasive plants such as black pine in New Zealand (57), or scotch 609 broom in Australia (58). The reproductive strategy axis includes 610 populations of invasive species at the top, where the population 611 growth rate  $log(\lambda) >> 0$  (Figure 3.B), and endangered species 612

like the fragrant prickly apple (Harrisia fragrans) or Mead's 613 milkweed Asclepias meadii at the low end (Figure 2.B). Given 614 the restricted spatial replication of plant demographic studies 615 (21), we are unable to discern how much of the values on the 616 reproductive strategy axis, and low population growth rates, are 617 driven by habitat quality or other conditions favouring population 618 growth, and this remains a promising avenue of research. Also, 619 future steps in the applicability of this framework need to focus 620 on the classification of endangered and invasive species along this 621 622 axis, and to take advantage of open access resources (15, 59) to 623 discern the role of propagule quantity vs. quality (e.g. seed mass 624 (60)) in structuring the reproduction strategy axis.

625 Population responses to future environmental change and anthropogenic disturbances depend on the species-specific life 626 627 history strategy (61, 62). Our analyses reveal that populations from even distantly related plant taxa worldwide can have similar 628 combinations of life history traits, with a modest influence of habi-629 630 tat and growth form. Therefore, the framework of life histories 631 presented here is a necessary addition to current plant trait-based 632 concepts such as the leaf (63) and wood (64) economics spectra, 633 since traits can only be considered truly functional if they affect the critical fitness components of reproduction and survival (66). This 634 635 framework, based on the fast-slow continuum and reproductive strategies, presents strong empirical support for the expansion of 636 classical quantification and classifications of life history strategies 637 638 of animals well into the plant kingdom. Furthermore, it provides 639 a sound basis for future work untangling the associations in plant 640 and animal functional traits with demographic processes and 641 among physiological and life history trade-offs.

642

643

644

645

646

647

648

649

650

651

652

653

654

655

656

### Materials and Methods

COMPADRE. We used the COMPADRE Plant Matrix Database (21) to obtain demographic, biogeographic, and growth form data from an initial list of over 1,000 plant species. The demographic data therein are compiled as state-structured population models, which incorporate accurate information on the rates of survival, growth, and reproduction from natural populations where individuals are typically classified by stage and/or size (22). We only considered whole individual (genet) demography, and omitted studies that treated different parts of the genetic individual as independent units (ramets). Non-natural vegetation types such as forestry plantations and crop fields were not included. Only size-based matrices were chosen, or ontogeny-based models for which higher stages of development would also correspond to larger sizes. Due to these and other strict selection criteria used to allow comparative analyses (Supplementary Information), we narrowed down our initial list to 418 plant species. For each of these species, we calculated the arithmetic element-by-element mean of all available matrices under nonmanipulated conditions, resulting in a single matrix that summarises the population dynamics of that species under natural conditions.

657 Phylogeny. We constructed a species-level phylogenetic tree for the 658 species in our dataset (http://www.onezoom.org/FWifhj38wjf/Salguero-Gomez\_et\_al\_2014.htm (66)) with branch length transformations applied to 659 simultaneously estimate and account for phylogenetic signal, estimated by 660 Pagel's  $\lambda$  (30). To do so, first, the identity of each individual species and 661 its corresponding taxonomic family was validated in The Plant List website 662 (http://www.theplantlist.org/). The specific names used by the authors, however, have been retained to facilitate the replicability, testing and usage 663 of the fast-slow, reproductive strategy framework (See Extended Data). 664 Second, we obtained an approximate phylogeny with PHYLOMATIC (67). 665 Resolution below the level provided by phylomatic, which varies from family 666 to family, was achieved by manually sorting individuals species in MESQUITE 667 (68) by reference to published sources of phylogenetic information (See a full reference list for each taxonomic family in Supplementary Methods 668 2). This is because many species did not have information in GenBank 669 to allow us to construct a phylogeny from DNA data. Moreover, closely 670 related species that could be used as temporary surrogates could often not be obtained. Also, because "the ultimate authoritative source for the 671 nomenclature and classification is the primary taxonomic literature itself 672 (69), we followed Federhen's practice at NCBI and use the available published 673 information to determine the topological position of each species in the tree. 674 Once the maximally resolved topology that we produced with the available information was obtained, branch lengths were interpolated employing the 675 function bladi of PHYLOCOM (70) given node ages in (73). 676

Analysis. From each species' matrix population model, we derived nine 677 basic life history traits typically used in comparative analyses of life histories 678 grouped a priori according to their guantification of the timing and mag-679 nitude of turnover, longevity, growth and reproduction (11, 16, 22, 35-41). We calculated these with methods described in detail elsewhere (22) and 680

681 in the Supplementary Materials. The corresponding life history traits (LHTs, below) broadly correspond to overall population turnover (T), longevity (H 682 and  $L_{\alpha}$ ), growth ( $\gamma$  and  $\rho$ ), and reproduction ( $\phi$ , *S*,  $R_{o}$ ,  $L_{\omega}$ ; see Table 1). LHTs 683 were log-transformed to fulfill normality assumptions in posterior analyses. 684 After the allometric size correction, LHTs were scaled to mean = 0 and S.D. 685 = 1 for PCA (24). We then carried out a phylogenetically-informed PCA (23, 72) on these LHTs to determine the primary axes of demographic variation 686 while simultaneously taking into account and assessing non-independence 687 of lineages. We used the Kaiser criterion (23) after optimisation through 688 varimax rotations to determine the number of axes necessary to explain a 689 substantial amount of variation. To explore the role and possible interactions growth form, matrix dimension (73) and habitat, we used a three-way 690 ANOVA (Supplementary Information) followed by post-hoc Tukey's honest 691 significant difference (HSD) tests on the phylogenetically-informed PCA 692 scores of the species. The major habitat classification (28) informs on the 693 abiotic conditions to which populations are exposed while the growth form information describes potential anatomical constraints. We used Raunkiær's 694 growth form classification (27), indicating the distance of the plant's shoot 695 apical meristems to the ground. Matrix dimension was positively correlated 696 with both axes PCA 1 ( $t_{417}$  = 85.51, P < 0.001,  $R^2$  = 16.85%) and PCA 2 ( $t_{417}$  = 17.72, P < 0.001,  $R^2$  = 3.85%); however, this effect was driven by the 697 fact that long-lived trees achieve larger sizes (29) and thus require larger 698 matrices to accommodate their dynamics: the ordered ranks of Raunkiær 699 700

> Morris WF & Doak DF (2002) Quantitative Conservation Biology: Theory and Practice of Population Viability Analysis (Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, MA, USA).

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

- 2. Silvertown J, Miguel F, & Menges E (1996) Interpretation of elasticity matrices as an aid to
- the management of plant populations for conservation. *Conservation Biology* 10(2):591-597. 3. Jongejans E, de Vere N, & de Kroon H (2008) Demographic vulnerability of the clonal and
- endangered meadow thistle. *Plant Ecology* 198(2):225-240. 4. Svenning JC, Kinner DA, Stallard RF, Engelbrecht BMJ, & Wright SJ (2004) Ecologi-
- Steining JC, Kinier DA, stanard K, Engenteent DBJ, & Wight S (2004) Econgecal determinism in plant community structure across a tropical forest landscape. *Ecology* 85(9):2526-2538.
- West GB, Enquist BJ, & Brown JH (2009) A general quantitative theory of forest structure and dynamics. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 106(17):7040-7045.
- Chesson P (2000) Mechanisms of maintenance of species diversity. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 31:343-366.
- Blair C, Heckman KL, Russell AL, & Yoder AD (2014) Multilocus coalescent analyses reveal the demographic history and speciation patterns of mouse lemur sister species. *Bmc Evolutionary Biology* 14.
- Metcalf CJE & Pavard S (2007) Why evolutionary biologists should be demographers. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 22(4):205-212.
- 9. Southwood TRE (1988) Tactics, strategies and templets. Oikos 52(1):3-18.
- 10. Lande R (1982) A quantitative genetic theory of life history evolution. Ecology 63(3):607-615.
- Stearns SC (1999) The Evolution of Life Histories (Oxford University Press, New York, USA) p 249.
- Peñuelas J & Munné-Bosch S (2010) Potentially immortal? New Phytologist 187(3):564-567.
   Baskin CC & Baskin JM (2001) Seeds. Ecology, biogeography, and evolution of dormancy and
- Bassin Ce & Bassin SM (2007) seeds. Ecology, horgeography, and evolution of domainey and germination (Academic Press, San Diego, CA) p 667.
   Shefferson RP (2009) The evolutionary ecology of vegetative domaincy in mature herbaceous
- perennial plants. *Journal of Ecology* 97:100-1009.
   Kattge J, et al. (2011) TRY a global database of plant traits. *Global Change Biology*
- 17(9):2905-2935.16. Franco M & Silvertown J (1990) Plant demography: what do we know. *Evolutionary Trends*
- in Plants 4(2):74-76.
  17. Franco M & Silvertown J (1997) Life history variation in plants: An exploration of the fast-slow continuum hypothesis (Cambridge University Press, The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge CB2 1RP, England 40 W. 20th Street, New York, New York 10011-4211, USA) pp 210-227.
- Shea K, Rees M & Simon NW (1994) Trade-offs, elasticities and the comparative method. Journal of Ecology 82(4):951-957.
- Franco M & Silvertown J (1994) On trade-offs, elasticities and the comparative method: a reply to Shea, Rees and Wood. *Journal of Ecology* 82(4):958-958.
- Stearns SC (1983) The influence of size and phylogeny on paterns of covariation among lifehistory traits in the mammals. *Oikos* 41(2):173-187.
- Salguero-Gómez R, et al. (2015) The COMPADRE Plant Matrix Database: an open online repository for plant demography. Journal of Ecology 103:202-208.
- Caswell H (2001) Matrix Population Models: Construction, Analysis, and Interpretation (Sinauer Associates, Inc.) 2nd edition Ed.
- 23. Revell LJ (2013) R package phytools.
- 24. Legendre P & Legendre L (2012) Numerical Ecology (Elsevier, London) 3 Ed p 1006.
- Gaillard JM, Yoccoz NG, Lebreton JD, Bonenfant C, Devillard S, Loison A, Pontier D, Allaine D (2005) Generation time: a reliable metric to measure life-history variation among mammalian populations. *American Naturalist* 166(1):119-123.
- Crone EE, et al. (2011) How do plant ecologists use matrix population models? Ecology Letters 14(1):1-8.
- Raunkiær C (1934) The Life Forms of Plants and Statistical Plant Geography (Clarendon Oxford, UK).
- Olson DM, et al. (2001) Terrestrial ecoregions of the worlds: A new map of life on Earth. Bioscience 51(11):933-938.
- 29. Moles AT, et al. (2009) Global patterns in plant height. Journal of Ecology 97(5):923-932.
- 30. Freckleton R & Orme D (2002) Phylogenetic analyses and comparative data: A test and

growth forms successfully predicted PCA scores on both PCA 1 ( $F_{7,410} = 34.40$ , 749 P < 0.001,  $R^2 = 35.93\%$ ) and PCA 2 ( $F_{7,410} = 12.13$ , P < 0.001,  $R^2 = 15.74\%$ ). We checked for the consistency of our results by re-running the analyses on subsets of the data. We defined subsets by plant type (herbaceous perennials, shrubs and trees), major habitat (temperate, tropical & subtropical) and taxonomic class (Pinopsida, Liliopsida, Magnoliopsida); other subsets and levels were not tested because of the large data requirements for the model to converge (Supplementary Information). We also tested for the robustness of the results to spurious correlations using randomisation tests with two approaches described in the Supplementary Information. Total describer of the describer of the product of the produ

Finally, we derived the damping ratio (rate at which populations recover from disturbance (22, 31)) and the rate of change of the population (22) ( $r = log(\lambda)$ ) to test the usefulness of the suggested framework for plant species classification via 2-way ANOVAs with PCA 1 and 2 scores as explanatory variables.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. M. Franco provided the phylogenetic tree. We thank H. Possingham, D. Koons, and F. Colchero for feedback, and the COMPADRE Plant Matrix Database team for data digitalisation and error-checking. This work was supported by the Max Planck Institute for Demographic Research and the Australian Research Council DE140100505 (R.S.-G.) and Marie-Curie Career Integration Grant (Y.M.B.)..

review of evidence. American Naturalist 160:712-726.

- Stott I, Franco M, Carslake D, Townley S & Hodgson D (2010) Boom or burst? A comparative analysis of transient population dynamics in plants. *Journal of Ecology* 98:302-311.
   Gamelon M, Gimenez O, Baubet E, Coulson T, Tuljapurkar S & Gaillard JM (2014) Influ-
- Gamelon M, Gimenez O, Baubet E, Coulson T, Tuljapurkar S & Gaillard JM (2014) Influence of life-history tactics on transient dynamics: a comparative analysis across mammalian populations. *American Naturalist* 184(5):673-683.
- Salguero-Gómez R & Casper BB (2010) Keeping plant shrinkage in the demographic loop.
- Journal of Ecology 98(2):312-323.
  34. Hubbell SP (2001) The Unified Neutral Theory of Biodiversity and Biogeography (Princeton University Press).
- Oli MK (2004) The fast-slow continuum and mammalian life-history patterns: an empirical evaluation. *Basic and Applied Ecology* 5(5):449-463.
- Bielby J, et al. (2007) The fast-slow continuum in mammalian life history: An empirical reevaluation. American Naturalist 169(6):748-757.
- Saether BE (1987) The influence of body weight on the covariation between reproductive traits in European birds. *Olico* 48:79-88
- Bauwens D & DiazUriarte R (1997) Covariation of life-history traits in lacertid lizards: A comparative study. *American Naturalist* 149(1):91-111.
- Gaillard JM, Pointer D, Allainé D, Lebreton JD, Trouvilliez J, Clobert J (1989) An analysis of demographic tactics in birds and mammals. *Oikos* 56(1):59-76.
- Western D (1979) Size, life history and ecology in mammals. African Journal of Ecology 17:185-204.
- Dunham AE & Miles DB (1985) Patterns of covariation in life history traits of squamate reptiles: the effects of size and phylogeny reconsidered. *American Naturalist* 126:231-257.
- Harper JL & White J (1974) The demography of plants. Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics 5:419-463.
- Bierzychudek P (1982) The demography of Jack-in-the-pulpit, a forest perennial that changes sex. *Ecological Monographs* 52(4):335-351.
- Del Tredici P (1999) Aging and rejuvenation in trees. *Amoldia* (Winter):11-16.
   Chen YT, *et al.* (2013) Small RNAs of Sequoia sempervirens during rejuvenation and phase change. *Plant Biology* 15(1):27-36.
- Watkinson AR & White J (1986) Some life-history consequences of modular construction in plants. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London Series B-Biological Sciences* 313(1159):31-51.
- Espino S & Schenk HJ (2009) Hydraulically integrated or modular? Comparing whole-plantlevel hydraulic systems between two desert shrub species with different growth forms. *New Phytologist* 183(1):142-152.
- Salguero-Gómez R & Casper BB (2010) A hydraulic explanation for size-specific plant shrinkage: developmental hydraulic sectoriality. *New Phytologist* 189:229-240.
- de Kroon H & van Groenendael J (1997) The ecology and evolution of clonal growth in plants (Backhuys Publishers, Leiden, The Netherlands).
- Toien O, et al. (2011) Hibernation in Black Bears: Independence of Metabolic Suppression from Body Temperature. Science 331(6019):906-909.
- Sandrelli F, et al. (2007) A molecular basis for natural selection at the timeless locus in Drosophila melanogaster. Science 316(5833):1898-1900.
- Besansky NJ (2014) MALARIA How vector mosquitoes beat the heat. Nature 516(7531):334-336.
- Brasfield SM, Talent LG, & Janz DM (2008) Reproductive and thyroid hormone profiles in captive Western fence lizards (Sceloporus occidentalis) after a period of brumation. *Zoo Biology* 27(1):36-48.
- Matsuura K, *et al.* (2009) Queen succession through asexual reproduction in termites. *Science* 323(5922):1687-1687.
   Sanchez Alvarado A (2009) Developmental biology: A cellular view of regeneration. *Nature*
- Sanchez Alvarado A (2009) Developmental biology: A cellular view of regeneration. *Nature* 460(7251).
   Hall VR & Hughes TP (1996) Reproductive strategies of modular organisms: Comparative 812
- Hall VR & Hughes IP (1996) Reproductive strategies of modular organisms: Comparative 812 studies of reef-building corals. *Ecology* 77(3):950-963.
- 57. Buckley YM, *et al.* (2005) Slowing down a pine invasion despite uncertainty in demography and dispersal. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42(6):1020-1030.
- and dispersal. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 42(6):1020-1030.
   58. Neubert MG & Parker IM (2004) Projecting rates of spread for invasive species. *Risk Analysis* 815
   816

6 | www.pnas.org --- ---

814

24(4):817-831.

- Moles AT & Westoby M (2004) Seed survival and seed size: a synthesis of the literature. Journal of Ecology 92:372-383.
- Rees M (1993) Trade-offs among dispesal strategies in British plants. *Nature* 366(6451):150-152.
- Morris WF, et al. (2008) Longevity can buffer plant and animal populations against changing climatic variability. *Ecology* 89(1):19-25.
- Doak DF & Morris WF (2010) Demographic compensation and tipping points in climateinduced range shifts. *Nature* 467(7318):959-962.
- 63. Wright IJ, et al. (2004) The worldwide leaf economics spectrum. Nature 428(6985):821-827.
   64. Chave J, et al. (2009) Towards a worldwide wood economics spectrum. Ecology Letters 12(4):351-366.
- Adler PB, et al. (2014) Functional traits explain variation in plant life history strategies. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA 111:740-745.
- Rosindell J & Harmon LJ (2012) OneZoom: A Fractal Explorer for the Tree of Life. Plos Biology 10(10).

- Webb CO & Donoghue MJ (2005) Phylomatic: tree assembly for applied phylogenetics. *Molecular Ecology Notes* 5(1):181-183.
- Maddison WP & Maddison DR (2009) Mesquite: a modular system for evolutionary analysis.
   Federhen S (2012) The NCBI Taxonomy database. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40(D1):D136-D143.
- Webb CO, Ackerly DD, & Kembel SW (2008) Phylocom: software for the analysis of phylogenetic community structure and trait evolution. *Bioinformatics* 24(18):2098-2100.
- Wikstrom N, Savolainen V, & Chase MW (2001) Evolution of the angiosperms: calibrating the family tree. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences* 268(1482):2211-2220.
   Revell LJ (2009) Size-correction and principal components for interspecific comparative
- studies. Evolution 63(12):3258-3268.
  73. Salguero-Gómez R & Plotkin JB (2010) Matrix dimensions bias demographic inferences: implications for comparative plant demography. American Naturalist 176(6):710-722.

## Submission PDF