1 **BENCHMARKS**

2	
3	A comparison of mitochondrial DNA isolation methods in frozen post-mortem human brain
4	tissue: applications for studies of mitochondrial genetics in brain disorders.
5	
6	Matthew Devall ¹ , Joe Burrage ¹ , Richard Caswell ¹ , Matthew Johnson ¹ , Claire Troakes ² , Safa Al-
7	Sarraj ² , Aaron R Jeffries ^{1,2} , Jonathan Mill ^{1,2} , Katie Lunnon ^{1,3}
8	
9	
10	¹ University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Devon, UK.
11	² Institute of Psychiatry, King's College London, De Crespigny Park, London, UK.
12	³ Corresponding author
13	
14	Corresponding author: Dr Katie Lunnon, University of Exeter Medical School, RILD, Barrack Road,
15	University of Exeter, Devon, UK. UK. Tel: + 44 1392 408 298 Email address: <u>k.lunnon@exeter.ac.uk</u>
16	
17	Keywords: mitochondria, genetics, epigenetics, isolation, DNA, mtDNA, brain, post-mortem
18	
19	Word count for abstract: 124 words
20	Word count for method summary: 36 words
21	Word count for body of manuscript: 1724 words

Abstract

23	Given that many brain disorders are characterized by mitochondrial dysfunction, there is a growing
24	interest in investigating genetic and epigenetic variation in mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA). One major
25	caveat for such studies is the presence of nuclear-mitochondrial pseudogenes (NUMTs), which are
26	regions of the mitochondrial genome that have been inserted into the nuclear genome over evolution
27	and, if not accounted for, can confound genetic studies of mtDNA. Here we show the first systematic
28	study to compare methods for isolating mtDNA from frozen post-mortem human brain tissue, and
29	show that a commercial method from Miltenyi Biotech, which magnetically isolates mitochondria
30	using antibodies raised against TOM22, gives a significant enrichment of mtDNA, and should be
31	considered the method of choice for mtDNA studies in frozen brain tissue.
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	Method Summary
38	Here we compare five methods of isolating mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) to standard phenol-
39	chloroform DNA extraction (that isolates nuclear DNA (ncDNA) and mtDNA) to determine the
40	optimal method for enriching mtDNA from frozen post-mortem human brain tissue.
4.4	
41	
42	
43	

Main Text

46 Mitochondria generate ATP, regulate calcium homeostasis [1, 2], mediate apoptosis [3], and produce 47 reactive oxygen species (ROS). Mitochondrial dysfunction has been implicated in a number of diseases, including in the pathogenesis of brain disorders such as Alzheimer's disease [4-6]. 48 Mitochondria are unique mammalian organelles in that they contain their own genome; the 49 mitochondrial genome is ~16.6kb of circular DNA (mtDNA) [7], separate to the nuclear genome 50 51 (ncDNA) and inherited in a maternal, non-Mendelian fashion. The mitochondrial genome comprises 37 genes; 13 encode for electron transport chain polypeptides, two for rRNAs and 22 for tRNAs. 52 Because of its role in ROS production, mtDNA has a higher mutation rate (10-17 fold) than ncDNA 53 54 [8]. Mutations in mtDNA are relatively common, with at least one in 200 healthy humans harboring a 55 potentially pathogenic mtDNA mutation [9]. Indeed more than 300 point mutations in mtDNA are associated with disease risk and pathology in MitoMAP [10]. Interestingly, as each mitochondrion 56 57 contains 2-10 copies of mtDNA and there are multiple mitochondria in any given cell, somatic mutations result in a mosaic of different mtDNA sequences within a given tissue. This phenomenon is 58 59 known as mitochondrial heteroplasmy and is linked to various mitochondrial diseases [11]. Such 60 heterogeneity is a potential confounder in studies of mitochondrial diseases, because inter- and intraindividual heteroplasmic variation can confuse the association between a haplogroup and its 61 62 corresponding phenotype. Therefore, unlike studies of ncDNA variation, it is important to use the 63 specific tissue of interest for etiological research. Another interesting feature of the mitochondria is 64 that over evolution sequences of mtDNA have translocated to the nuclear genome. Traditional mitochondrial genetic research, and more recently studies of mitochondrial epigenetics, can be 65 hampered by the presence of these nuclear-mitochondrial pseudogenes (NUMTs) as they share a high 66 67 homology with their mitochondrial paralogs [12, 13]. Given the interest in studying mtDNA genetic 68 and epigenetic changes in the pathology of brain diseases characterized by mitochondrial dysfunction, it is imperative that NUMTs are correctly accounted for [14]. 69

The specific isolation of mitochondria prior to downstream processing is vital to fully exclude issues
relating to *NUMT* contamination. For this purpose, a number of methods have been developed to

72 specifically isolate mtDNA, although few of these approaches have been specifically optimized for 73 use on post-mortem tissue, a major resource in many epidemiological studies. In fact most studies 74 investigating mtDNA use fresh animal tissue or cell lines. The insult of freezing tissue prior to isolation will potentially alter the effectiveness of these techniques and increase the risk of NUMT 75 76 inclusion in downstream analysis. In this study we compared the effectiveness of five different mitochondrial isolation methods on post-mortem brain tissue using quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-77 PCR), to determine the optimal method for the specific enrichment of mtDNA, which was 78 subsequently validated by next generation sequencing (NGS). We tested protocols based on A) 79 Percoll gradients, B) linear DNA digestion, C) differential centrifugation, D) rapid differential 80 centrifugation using a commercial kit and E) magnetic isolation of mitochondria using anti-TOM22 81 82 antibodies.

83 Method A was a modification of the method by Sims and Anderson (3). 150mg of tissue was 84 dissociated using the gentleMACS dissociator (Miltenyi Biotech:130-093-235) and a mitochondrial 85 extraction kit (Miltenyi Biotech:130-097-340). After removal of the nuclear fraction, the supernatant 86 was spun at 13,000xg for 30 minutes at 4°C to form a crude mitochondrial pellet. The pellet was 87 homogenized in a 12% Percoll solution and added above two layers (26% and 40%) of Percoll solution. Samples were spun at 30,700xg for 5 minutes at 4°C with the lower band containing the 88 89 enriched mitochondrial fraction. Each mitochondrial fraction was diluted in four volumes of isolation 90 buffer and centrifuged at 16,700xg for 10 minutes at 4°C to form a loose mitochondrial fraction. The supernatant was discarded, and mtDNA extracted using a DNA Mini kit (Qiagen:51304). Method B 91 was based on the method by Zhou et al [15] that digests linear DNA but leaves circular DNA intact. 92 93 20ug genomic DNA (previously extracted using a phenol-chloroform protocol) was treated with 4ul 94 lambda exonuclease (5 U/µl) (New England Biolabs:M0262S) and 12µl RecJf (30 U/µl) (New 95 England Biolabs:M0264S) in 400µl 1x lambda exonuclease buffer (New England Biolabs:B0262S) at 37°C for 16 hours. Samples were incubated at 65°C for 10 minutes to inactivate the enzymes and 96 97 subsequently purified using a DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen:51304). Method C was based on the method by 98 Clayton and Shadel [16]. 100mg brain tissue was homogenized in 1ml chilled homogenization buffer

99 (0.25M sucrose/10mM EDTA/30mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5). The homogenate was centrifuged at 1,000xg 100 for 15 minutes at 4°C and supernatant removed. The pellet was re-homogenized in 600µl chilled 101 homogenization buffer and spun at 1,000xg for 10 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was combined 102 with the supernatant from the previous step and centrifuged at 12,000xg for 30 minutes at 4°C to 103 pellet the mitochondria. MtDNA was extracted using a DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen:51304). Method D 104 was a modification of the method by Clayton and Shadel [16] and purchased as a commercial kit (Promokine:PK-CA577-K280). 100mg of brain tissue was homogenized with the reagents provided, 105 according to the manufacturer's instructions. In Method E 200mg of tissue was dissociated using the 106 gentleMACS dissociator and a mitochondrial extraction kit (Miltenvi Biotech:130-097-340) according 107 to the manufacturer's protocol, with the exception of using an increased quantity of extraction buffer 108 109 (40µl Solution 1 and 1ml 1x Solution 2). After homogenization the sample was spun at 200xg for 30 110 seconds and passed through a 70um pre-separation filter (Miltenvi Biotec:130-095-823) and washed with Solution 3. The homogenate was spun at 500xg for 5 minutes at 4°C and the supernatant 111 removed. The supernatant was magnetically labelled with 100µl anti-human TOM22 antibody-112 113 microbeads (Miltenyi Biotech:130-094-532) for 1 hour at 4°C under continuous agitation. The eluate 114 was added to a LS column (Miltenyi Biotech:130-042-401) and placed in a MACS separator 115 (Miltenyi Biotech:130-042-302) and washed. Upon removing the column from the magnetic field the 116 mitochondria were pelleted by centrifugation at 13,000xg for 2 minutes at 4°C, washed in 1ml 117 Storage Buffer and centrifuged at 13,000xg for 2 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant was discarded and mtDNA extracted using a DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen:51304). We compared these approaches to DNA we 118 119 had previously isolated [17] using a phenol-chloroform protocol, which isolates both ncDNA and 120 mtDNA We assessed the purity of each method using qRT-PCR as previously described (6). Briefly, the number of copies of mtDNA relative to ncDNA was determined by dividing the calculated 121 number of copies of mtDNA (MT-CYB assay) by the calculated number of copies of ncDNA (B2M 122 123 assay).

Our data showed that Method B (linear DNA digestion) gave the lowest purity (1,242 mtDNA copies/ncDNA copy), and Method E (magnetic-microbeads) the highest purity (14,654 mtDNA 126 copies/ncDNA copy) (Figure 1A; Table 1). Of particular interest to the study was the relative 127 enrichment compared to a standard phenol-chloroform extraction (Figure 1B). The only method to show no enrichment was Method B (linear DNA digestion). All other techniques showed a positive 128 enrichment of mtDNA compared to phenol-chloroform. Methods A (Percoll), C (differential 129 130 centrifugation) and D (rapid differential centrifugation) all gave modest positive enrichments of 2.4-, 1.7- and 2.9- fold respectively. Although giving one of the lowest yields (3.2µg), the optimal method 131 for enrichment relative to ncDNA was Method E (magnetic-microbeads), which gave a 10.7-fold 132 enrichment, and was the only method to show significantly more copies of mtDNA/ncDNA copy 133 compared to phenol-chloroform extraction (P=1.88x10⁻³). Using this method we saw a significant 134 enrichment of mtDNA/ncDNA compared to Methods A (P=0.019), B (P=6.97x10⁻⁴) and C 135 (P=8.48x10⁻³). To validate our enrichment, two of the biological replicates from Method E were 136 137 compared to a non-enriched standard from phenol-chloroform extraction using NGS. DNA samples were fragmented by sonication using a Bioruptor (Diagenode:UCD-200) to an average size of 138 ~240bp. Sequencing libraries were prepared using the NEXTflex Rapid DNA-Seq kit (Bioo 139 140 Scientific) and ligated to pre-indexed adapters (NEXTflex-96 DNA Barcodes; Bioo Scientific). 141 Adapter-ligated DNA was amplified for 10 cycles using Herculase II Fusion DNA Polymerase 142 (Agilent Technologies) and NEXTflex PCR primer mix, then pooled for sequencing on an Illumina 143 HiSeq2500 (100bp paired-end, rapid run mode). Raw reads were quality and adaptor trimmed using 144 TrimGalore! (http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/trim_galore/) before being aligned to GRCH37. Only high quality (Phred <20) reads, uniquely mapping to the genome were considered 145 146 and total read counts were taken. For the non-enriched standard 1.1% of reads mapped to the mitochondrial genome, compared to an average of 18.7% (16.2% and 21.2% respectively) of reads 147 with Method E, demonstrating an average 16.8 fold enrichment. 148

149

Despite Method E providing a greater enrichment than Method C (differential centrifugation) in
mouse liver [18] and a similar enrichment to Percoll in an osteosarcoma cell line [19], higher levels of
mitochondrial enrichment have previously been reported [20]. However, this method, like Method B

153 in our study, relies on the circular nature of the intact mitochondrial genome, which, whilst present in 154 cell lines and blood, may be more degraded in frozen, archived brain. In the context of genomic studies of mtDNA, where the exclusion of NUMTs is imperative, the relative enrichment of mtDNA is 155 of far greater importance than the yield. Thus, although we saw a lower yield with magnetic-156 157 microbeads (Method E) compared to the majority of methods tested, we observed the greatest purity with this method. The reasons for the observed greater enrichment of mtDNA/ncDNA relative to 158 Percoll (Method A) in our study compared to the analysis by Hornig-Do and colleagues potentially 159 may include i) our use of qRT-PCR, which is more sensitive than western blot, ii) our use of frozen 160 samples, rather than fresh samples and iii) the use of brain, rather than a cell line, as brain has high 161 162 levels of mitochondria. To our knowledge our study represents the first to systematically compare and 163 contrast methods for isolating mtDNA from small quantities of frozen, post-mortem human brain. Our 164 findings suggest that magnetic-microbeads provide a significant enrichment of mtDNA compared to 165 any other method tested. This may be due to a number of reasons, for example the automated 166 homogenization of tissue in this protocol could provide a more consistent and gentle approach than 167 other techniques and the use of magnetically labelled antibodies provides a specific capture of intact 168 mitochondria, which may also contain less degraded mtDNA. We recommend that given the current 169 interest in studying the mitochondrial genome in human brain, that the magnetic-microbead method 170 from Miltenyi Biotech is used prior to DNA extraction to minimize the inclusion of NUMTs in downstream analyses. 171

172

173

174

Author Contributions

M.D. J.M. and K.L. conceived the idea for the study. M.D., J.B., R.C., M.J. and A.R.J. performed the
experiments. C.T. and S.A-S provided tissue from the London Neurodegenerative Disease Brain
Bank. M.D. and K.L. analyzed the data and drafted the manuscript. All authors approved of the final
manuscript prior to submission.

179		Acknowledgements									
180	This work was funded by an Alzheimer's Research UK grant to K.L. (ARUK-PPG2013A-5). The										
181	authors would like to thank the London Neurodegenerative Disease Brain Bank and Brains for										
182	Dementia Research (BDR) and their donors for provision of tissue for the study.										
183											
184		Competing Interests									
185	The a	uthors declare that they have no conflicts of interest in regard to this work.									
186											
187		References									
188	1.	Fu, W., et al., Activity and metabolism-related Ca2+ and mitochondrial dynamics in co-									
189		cultured human fetal cortical neurons and astrocytes. Neuroscience, 2013. 250: p. 520-35.									
190	2.	Chan, S.L., et al., Mitochondrial uncoupling protein-4 regulates calcium homeostasis and									
191		sensitivity to store depletion-induced apoptosis in neural cells. J Biol Chem, 2006. 281(49): p.									
192		37391-403.									
193	3.	Pradelli, L.A., M. Beneteau, and J.E. Ricci, Mitochondrial control of caspase-dependent and -									
194		independent cell death. Cell Mol Life Sci, 2010. 67(10): p. 1589-97.									
195	4.	Devi, L. and M. Ohno, Mitochondrial dysfunction and accumulation of the beta-secretase-									
196		cleaved C-terminal fragment of APP in Alzheimer's disease transgenic mice. Neurobiol Dis,									
197		2012. 45 (1): p. 417-24.									
198	5.	Pinto, M., et al., Mitochondrial DNA damage in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease									
199		decreases amyloid beta plaque formation. Neurobiol Aging, 2013. 34(10): p. 2399-407.									
200	6.	Lunnon, K., et al., Mitochondrial dysfunction and immune activation are detectable in early									
201		Alzheimer's disease blood. J Alzheimers Dis, 2012. 30(3): p. 685-710.									
202	7.	Anderson, S., et al., Sequence and organization of the human mitochondrial genome. Nature,									
203		1981. 290 (5806): p. 457-65.									

- Tuppen, H.A., et al., *Mitochondrial DNA mutations and human disease*. Biochim Biophys
 Acta, 2010. **1797**(2): p. 113-28.
- 206 9. Elliott, H.R., et al., *Pathogenic mitochondrial DNA mutations are common in the general*207 *population.* Am J Hum Genet, 2008. 83(2): p. 254-60.
- 208 10. Kogelnik, A.M., et al., *MITOMAP: a human mitochondrial genome database*. Nucleic Acids
 209 Res, 1996. 24(1): p. 177-9.
- 210 11. Wallace, D.C. and D. Chalkia, *Mitochondrial DNA genetics and the heteroplasmy conundrum*211 *in evolution and disease.* Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol, 2013. 5(11): p. a021220.
- Hazkani-Covo, E., R.M. Zeller, and W. Martin, *Molecular Poltergeists: Mitochondrial DNA Copies (numts) in Sequenced Nuclear Genomes.* Plos Genetics, 2010. 6(2).
- Bensasson, D., et al., *Mitochondrial pseudogenes: evolution's misplaced witnesses*. Trends in
 Ecology & Evolution, 2001. 16(6): p. 314-321.
- 216 14. Devall, M., J. Mill, and K. Lunnon, *The mitochondrial epigenome: a role in Alzheimer's disease?* Epigenomics, 2014. 6(6): p. 665-75.
- 218 15. Zhou, J., L. Liu, and J. Chen, *Method to purify mitochondrial DNA directly from yeast total*219 DNA. Plasmid, 2010. 64(3): p. 196-9.
- 220 16. Clayton, D.A. and G.S. Shadel, *Isolation of mitochondria from tissue culture cells*. Cold
 221 Spring Harb Protoc, 2014. 2014(10): p. pdb prot080002.
- 17. Lunnon, K., et al., *Cross-tissue methylomic profiling in Alzheimer's disease implicates a role for cortex-specific deregulation of ANK1 in neuropathology*. Nat Neurosci, 2014. Sept; 17(9):
 p. 1164-70.
- 18. Franko, A., et al., *Efficient isolation of pure and functional mitochondria from mouse tissues*using automated tissue disruption and enrichment with anti-TOM22 magnetic beads. PLoS
- **227** One, 2013. **8**(12): p. e82392.
- 19. Hornig-Do, H.T., et al., *Isolation of functional pure mitochondria by superparamagnetic microbeads*. Anal Biochem, 2009. **389**(1): p. 1-5.
- 230 20. Jayaprakash, A.D., et al., *Stable heteroplasmy at the single-cell level is facilitated by*231 *intercellular exchange of mtDNA*. Nucleic Acids Res, 2015. 43(4): p. 2177-87.

Method	Α	В	С	D	0	F			
Overview	Percoll	DNAse	Differential	Rapid differential	Magnetic microbeads	Phenol-chloroform			
		digestion	centrifugation	centrifugation	(anti-TOM22)				
Quantity of starting Material	150mg	20µg	100mg	100mg	200mg	100mg			
	(tissue)	(DNA)	(tissue)	(tissue)	(tissue)	(tissue)			
Number of samples	4	6	4	3	5	5			
Average concentration (ng/µl) DNA collected (±SEM)	162.4 (11.6)	40.3 (10.1)	23.7 (8.0)	257 (138.4)	32.4 (7.0)	N/A			
Average yield (µg) DNA collected (±SEM)	8.1 (0.58)	2.0 (0.50)	4.7 (1.60)	13.7 (6.35)	3.2 (0.70)	N/A			
Average copies of mtDNA (±SEM)	41,530	12,092,501	28,886,594	403,381	5,321,960	459,264			
	(15,468)	(7,742,804)	(12,405,939)	(194,557)	(1,246,724)	(751,075)			
Average copies of ncDNA (±SEM)	155 (85)	8,768 (3,731)	20,746 (11,256)	720 (449)	402 (86)	4,011 (585)			
Average ratio mtDNA/ncDNA (±SEM)	3,337 (1,988)	1,242 (309)	2,270 (960)	3,949 (3,424)	14,654 (2,922)	1,367 (35)			
Fold Enrichment mtDNA/ncDNA relative to phenol-	2.44 (0.297)	0.91 (0.725)	1.66 (0.320)	2.89 (0.342)	10.72 (1.88x10 ⁻³)	N/A			
chloroform (P-value)									
In brief we compared five methods of isolating	; mtDNA in po	st-mortem huma	in brain tissue; (A)	discontinuous Perco	oll gradient, (B) DNase	e digestion of linear			
DNA, (C) differential centrifugation, (D) rapid (commercial) mitochondrial isolation via differential centrifugation (E) magnetic labelling and pull-down of									
mitochondria using an antibody to TOM22. We compared the yield and enrichment to a non-enriched standard (phenol-chloroform) using an unpaired two-									
tailed t-test.									

Table 1. An overview of starting material for each isolation technique and resulting yield.



Figure 1: Enrichment of mtDNA relative to ncDNA. In total five methods (Percoll (A), DNase digestion (B), differential centrifugation (C), rapid differential centrifugation (D) and magnetic microbeads (E) were compared to a non-enriched standard (phenol-chloroform (F)). Shown is the ratio of mtDNA/ncDNA (\pm SEM) (**Fig 1A**) and the relative enrichment compared to phenol-chloroform (**Fig 1B**). *** = P<0.005