Submitted to Proceedings of the Royal Society B: For Review Only

Accepted paper. Bradshaw, C. J. A., B. W. Brook, D. A. Fordham, S. Herrando-Pérez, P. Cassey, R. Early, C. H. Sekercioglu and M. B. A. Araújo (2014). "Range-restricted and sparsely distributed birds demonstrate highest temporal range-size lability." <u>Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B</u> **281**(1786). doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0744



Predictors of contraction and expansion of area of occupancy for British birds

Journal:	Proceedings B
Manuscript ID:	RSPB-2014-0744.R1
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Bradshaw, Corey; The University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences; South Australian Research and Development Institute, Brook, Barry; University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences Delean, Steven; University of Adelaide, Earth and Environmental Science Fordham, Damien; Research Institute for Climate Change and Sustainability, University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences Herrando-Pérez, Salvador; The University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences Cassey, Phill; University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences; University of Adelaide, School of Earth and Environmental Sciences; University of Birmingham, Centre for Ornithology; The University of Adelaide, School of Environmental and Earth Sciences Early, Regan; University of Évora, CIBIO Sekercioglu, Cagan; University of Utah, Department of Biology Araujo, Miguel; CSIC, National Museum of Natural Sciences;
Subject:	Ecology < BIOLOGY, Environmental Science < BIOLOGY
Keywords:	biogeography, body size, climate, demography, dispersal, life history
Proceedings B category:	Population and community Ecology



Predictors of contraction and expansion of area of occupancy for British birds

Corey J. A. Bradshaw^{1,*}, Barry W. Brook¹, Steven Delean¹, Damien A. Fordham¹, Salvador Herrando-Pérez^{1,2}, Phillip Cassey¹, Regan Early^{2,3,4}, Cagan H. Sekercioglu^{5,6}, Miguel B. Araújo^{2,4,7}

¹The Environment Institute and School of Earth and Environmental Science, The University of Adelaide, Adelaide, South Australia 5005, Australia
²Departamento de Biodiversidad y Biología Evolutiva, Museo Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), C/José Gutierrez Abascal, 2, 28006 Madrid, Spain
³Centre for Ecology and Conservation Biosciences, College of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Exeter, Penryn Campus, Treliever Road, Penryn, Cornwall TR10 9FE, United Kingdom
⁴InBio/CIBIO, University of Évora, Largo dos Colegiais, 7000 Évora, Portugal
⁵Department of Biology, University of Utah, 257 S. 1400 E. Rm 201, Salt Lake City, Utah 84112, USA
⁶KuzeyDoga Dernegi, Ortakapi Mah. Şehit Yusuf Cad., No: 93/1, 36100 Kars, Turkey
⁷Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot SL5 7PY, Berkshire, United Kingdom

* Author for correspondence (corey.bradshaw@adelaide.edu.au).

1	Geographical range dynamics are driven by the joint effects of abiotic factors, human
2	ecosystem modifications, biotic interactions, and the intrinsic organismal responses to
3	these. However, the relative contribution of each component remains largely unknown.
4	Here, we compare the contribution of life-history attributes, broad-scale gradients in
5	climate, and geographical context of species' historical ranges, as predictors of recent
6	changes in area of occupancy for 116 terrestrial British breeding birds (74 contractors, 42
7	expanders) between the early 1970s and late 1990s. Regional threat classifications
8	demonstrated that the species of highest conservation concern showed both the largest
9	contractions and the smallest expansions. Species responded differently to climate
10	depending on geographic distribution – northerly species changed their area of occupancy
11	(expansion or contraction) more in warmer and drier regions, whereas southerly species
12	changed more in colder and wetter environments. Species with slow life history (larger body
13	size) tended to have a lower probability of changing their area of occupancy than species
14	with faster life history, whereas species with greater natal dispersal capacity resisted
15	contraction and, counter-intuitively, expansion. Higher geographic fragmentation of species'
16	range also increased expansion probability, possibly indicating a release from a previously
17	limiting condition, for example through agricultural abandonment since the 1970s. After
18	accounting statistically for the complexity and non-linearity of the data, our results
19	demonstrate two key aspects of changing area of occupancy for British birds: (i) climate is
20	the dominant driver of change, but direction of effect depends on geographic context, and
21	(ii) all of our predictors generally had a similar effect regardless of the direction of the
22	change (contraction versus expansion). Although we caution applying results from Britain's
23	highly modified and well-studied bird community to other biogeographical regions, our
24	results do indicate that a species' propensity to change area of occupancy over decadal

- 25 scales can be explained partially by a combination of simple allometric predictors of life-
- 26 history pace, average climate conditions, and geographical context.
- 27
- 28 **Keywords:** agricultural abandonment; biogeography; body size; climate; demography;
- 29 dispersal; forest recovery; life history; threatened species
- 30

31 1. INTRODUCTION

32 Understanding the complexities of range dynamics — why (and by what amount) a species' 33 range changes over time — is an essential component for predicting future human 34 influences on biodiversity. Range dynamics vary across species and space owing to the joint 35 effects of abiotic factors, biotic interactions, human ecosystem modification, and intrinsic 36 organismal responses to these [1, 2]. However, a mismatch exists between studies seeking 37 to improve understanding of the historical and ecological determinants of range dynamics, 38 and those predicting future range changes. With increasing emphasis in ecology to predict 39 the future distributions of species in response to climate disruption and environmental 40 degradation [3, 4], projections are typically based on expected changes in climatic factors 41 that correlate with species' distributions (e.g., [5], but see [6]). This contrasts starkly with 42 historical reconstructions of species' distributions that generally infer range determinants 43 based on species-specific demographic and life-history traits [e.g., 1, 7, 8, 9]. 44 A vexing question therefore remains – which drivers of range dynamics, if any, are more important for predicting patterns of distributional change? While ecological and life-45 46 history traits appear to predispose certain species to range contraction or expansion as local 47 conditions change [10-12], a species' area of occupancy and its configuration, such as the 48 proximity to barriers, niche breadth, local climate severity and landscape or population

49	fragmentation, can potentially override a species' susceptibility to changing its range [13-
50	15]. The problem is that there are few sufficiently comprehensive datasets that combine
51	distributional, extrinsic (e.g., climate, land-use change) and intrinsic (e.g., life
52	history/ecology) attributes for enough species to lend themselves to broad-scale analyses
53	that can tease apart the relative influence of specific drivers.
54	Fortunately in this regard, British breeding birds are one of the best-studied species
55	assemblages for assessing the determinants of vertebrate range dynamics, especially given
56	the breadth and relatively low bias of the sampling effort, and high proportion of the
57	species monitored [16, 17]. Since the late 1960s, there has been 98 to 100 % sampling
58	coverage of all 2861 of Britain's mainland 10-km ² grid cells [16, 17], thus providing a unique
59	insight into the changing distributions of this taxon. Further, birds in general are one of the
60	best-studied taxa in terms of life-history traits, with detailed data available for many
61	European species in particular [18]. Combining this information, here we explore the joint
62	effects of potential intrinsic and extrinsic determinants of changing area of occupancy for
63	British breeding birds. Unlike most other studies that have investigated such questions using
64	predictions of future extinction risk [19, 20], we use observed recent patterns of changing
65	area of occupancy over approximately 30 years (between the early 1970s and late 1990s) as
66	the response variable, taking the constraints of expansion limitation into account
67	statistically for wide-ranging species.
68	Given the comprehensiveness of the available data, we are able to test three
69	hypotheses simultaneously: (i) we tested whether variation in changing area of occupancy
70	can be explained partly by life-history attributes that are expected to reflect ecological
71	responsiveness. Here we consider both body mass as a correlate of the pace of life history
72	('fast' versus 'slow' species) and natal dispersal (capacity to recolonize). In the face of rapid,

73	human-induced global change, we predict that species with 'slower' life histories (larger-
74	bodied, longer-lived species with slower reproductive rates) will be more prone to range
75	contraction, while species with faster life histories will have a greater ability to expand their
76	ranges [21, 22]. We predict that natal dispersal capacity will be positively related to range
77	expansion (i.e., species with higher dispersal have a greater capacity to expand if conditions
78	permit), and negatively related to range contraction (i.e., a low dispersal capacity increases
79	a species' susceptibility to habitat fragmentation, and further contraction of its area of
80	occupancy). Likewise, a species' threat status might indicate its propensity to change its area
81	of occupancy, with threatened species more likely to contract, and non-threatened species
82	more likely to expand their range.
83	We also tested whether (<i>ii</i>) broad-scale spatial gradients in climate (annual extremes
84	in temperature, precipitation) [23] modify a species' likelihood of changing its area of
85	occupancy. We predict that mean minimum winter temperature mainly limits a species'
86	capacity to expand geographically, whereas species with lower drought tolerance are mainly
87	limited by annual precipitation [14]. Finally, we tested whether (iii) the geographical context
88	of a species, such as the degree of fragmentation of its subpopulations, which might reflect
89	various unmeasured constraints like species interactions and human impact, dictate its
90	propensity to change in area of occupancy. We predict that species with highly fragmented
91	populations will be more susceptible to range contraction [24].
02	

93 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

94 (a) Bird distribution data

We compiled the area of occupancy of British birds for two periods of time, spaced nearly three decades apart: 1968-1972 (T_1) and 1995-1999 (T_2). We accumulated a total of 116

97	species (representing the orders Accipitriformes [$n = 14$ species], Columbiformes [$n = 5$],
98	Galliformes $[n = 8]$, Passeriformes $[n = 83]$ and Strigiformes $[n = 6]$) after excluding
99	predominately aquatic (especially marine) birds due to the difficulty in defining explicit
100	areas of occupancy in these groups [25]. We denoted the area of occupancy as the number
101	of 10-km ² cells of occupied on the mainland (see Introduction) in T_1 and T_2 as R_1 and R_2 ,
102	respectively.
103	
104	(b) Species threat status
105	We compiled the global threat status of each species based on the IUCN Red List
106	assessments (www.iucnredlist.org) to determine whether the direction and magnitude of
107	changing area of occupancy was related to the severity of threat – in our dataset, 112
108	species were 'Least Concern', 2 were 'Near Threatened', 1 was 'Data Deficient' and 1 was
109	unrated. We also compiled the regional (i.e., UK-specific) conservation status of each
110	species on the British birds assessment [26] where species are classified as 'Red' (high
111	conservation concern; $n = 24$), 'Amber' (moderate conservation concern; $n = 36$) or 'Green'
112	(low conservation concern; $n = 41$; $n = 15$ species in our dataset were not assessed).
113	
114	(c) Range fragmentation ('clumpiness')
115	Initially we examined a previously published [27] index of nearest-neighbour 'contagion' (C_i)
116	as a measure of population fragmentation, but this was highly correlated (Spearman's $ ho$ =
117	0.965) with R_1 (see correlations and calculation of C_i in supplementary material table S1).
118	We therefore opted for another measure of distributional 'clumpiness' (Cl), calculated using
119	FRAGSTATS Version 4 [28]. Whereas other measures of habitat fragmentation are highly
120	correlated with area of occupancy (e.g., contagion), clumpiness is independent of R_1

121	(Spearman's $ ho$ = -0.020; supplementary material table S1). Clumpiness is calculated using
122	the proportion of occupied cells in \mathcal{T}_1 that lie adjacent to other occupied cells in \mathcal{T}_1
123	('adjacencies'). The calculation is relative to the expected number of adjacencies given a
124	spatially random distribution of the same number of occupied cells, which largely accounts
125	for the positive correlation between the number of adjacencies and total area of occupancy
126	Larger patches with compact shapes contain a higher proportion of like adjacencies than
127	landscapes dominated by smaller patches with complex shapes. A highly contagious
128	(aggregated) landscape will contain a higher percentage of like adjacencies (maximum = 1),
129	whereas a highly fragmented (disaggregated) landscape will contain proportionately fewer
130	like adjacencies (minimum value = -1). A landscape with clumpiness = 0 indicates a spatially
131	random distribution [see more details in 28]. There was no evidence for either a monotonic
132	or monomodal (quadratic) relationship of the clumpiness index with the logit-transformed
133	(see below) proportional R_1 (i.e., expressed as a proportion of the total number of available
134	grid cells) (supplementary material Table S2).

136 *(d) Bird life-history data*

137 We compiled life-history traits for birds using a comprehensive survey of the ornithological 138 literature [18] that is updated regularly [e.g., 29]. A description of the online sources of the 139 information collected is available [18, 29]. To maximize sample size (the number of species 140 for which trait data exist), we focussed initially on clutch size, body mass (g) and longevity 141 (months) traits only, all of which are among the most robust predictors of slow to fast life-142 history variation in birds [30]. However, body mass, clutch size and longevity were all highly 143 correlated (supplementary material table S1), so we present further analyses with mass 144 alone. For each species, we used data on species-typical values of adult body mass from the

145	literature [31, 32]. We also considered mean natal dispersal estimates (D_n , in km) [data from
146	33] as a predictor of range shift to account for the hypothesis that D_n affects changes in
147	range size [33-35]. Mean natal dispersal is the arithmetic mean straight-line distance (km) between
148	the location of birds ringed in their year of birth and the location of their subsequent recovery at
149	breeding age [34], defined as the minimum age at first breeding [36]. Mean natal dispersal
150	distance was poorly correlated with the other predictors we considered (supplementary
151	material table S1).
152	
153	(e) Environmental data
154	We derived mean values of three predictor climate variables from the Climate Research Unit
155	(CRU) monthly climate data [37] for the initial period (T_1) plus six years more before the
156	start of the surveys (1962–1972). We considered the inclusion of these additional years
157	desirable because distributions of birds in a particular breeding season are not independent
158	of the climate conditions in the previous years. Further, we were interested in examining
159	the influence of <i>climate</i> and not <i>weather</i> on range dynamics, requiring a sufficient number
160	of years over which to derive variables. It is important to note that we are not testing
161	climate change per se; rather, we are interested in the spatial variation in climate among
162	species' areas of occupancy. We calculated all means from the grid cells occupied by each
163	species during the years indicated. Climate variables included: mean minimum temperature
164	in February (°C), mean average temperature in July (°C), and mean total annual precipitation
165	(mm). Our choice of variables reflects those known to impose constraints on bird
166	distributions as a result of widely shared physiological limitations [e.g., 38, 39].
167	

168 *(f) Model structure*

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/prsb

169	Given the strong correlations among life history attributes ($ \rho $ = 0.377 to 0.595) and among
170	climate variables ($ ho $ = 0.599 to 0.788) (supplementary material table S1), we chose to
171	incorporate only the following plausible variables: body mass as an allometric predictor [40,
172	41], and the minimum temperature of the coldest month (February), in various model
173	combinations with clumpiness (i.e., as single-term, additive and interaction models).
174	Further, we repeated predictor combinations in a model set where natal dispersal replaced
175	body mass because these two variables were not strongly correlated (supplementary
176	material table S1), and because they potentially represent different mechanisms explaining
177	biogeographic patterns (using them in separate model sets also maximized our sample
178	sizes). For example, body mass is strongly correlated with the pace of vital rates (e.g.,
179	reproductive output, age at primiparity), whereas natal dispersal capacity represents a
180	species' capacity to alter distribution in response to a changing environment. Despite this
181	correlation, range expansion might be jointly affected by both reproductive potential (clutch
182	size) and dispersal capacity. We therefore considered two more model sets with both body
183	mass (a proxy for clutch size) and natal dispersal (and their interaction) together. Finally, we
184	contrasted the model set by replacing February minimum temperature with annual
185	precipitation to account for the water-limitation aspect of climate that might influence area
186	of occupancy. This substitution approach to define different model sets was necessary to
187	avoid over-parameterizing models with all variables for the relatively small sample size of
188	species considered.
189	Our model set expressed the change in area of occupancy (response) as a function of
190	clumpiness, climate and life history (predictors). We first transformed the counts of grid
191	cells occupied at T_1 and T_2 (R_1 and R_2 , respectively) to proportions by dividing the counts by
192	the total number of UK available grid cells (= 2861). We were primarily interested in

193	explaining variation around the $R_2 \sim R_1$ relationship given an assumption of temporal stability
194	in area of occupancy whereby the future area occupied will be primarily a function of initial
195	area occupied. We therefore included the logit-transformed proportional R_1 in all models as
196	an offset (see below), using proportional R_2 as the response. This accounts for the limitation
197	of range expansion for wide-ranging species.
198	Species represent phylogenetic units with shared evolutionary histories and so
199	treating them as statistically independent in models can violate underlying assumptions and
200	potentially bias results [42]. This was particularly so with our dataset, with approximately
201	70% of species included in the final datasets in the order Passeriformes (see Results). There
202	are currently no maximum-likelihood methods available to incorporate a full phylogeny with
203	a binomial response variable (cf. binary data) [43]. We therefore constructed phylogenetic
204	generalized least-squares models implemented by the ${\tt pgls}$ function in the <code>caper</code> library
205	[44] in R [45], which included phylogenetic structure as a covariance matrix in the linear
206	models. We used a recently published molecular phylogeny for 106 British breeding bird
207	species [46] to obtain the variance-covariance matrix representation of the phylogenetic
208	tree. We assumed a model of trait evolution based on Brownian motion for which trait
209	variance accumulates linearly with time, and the expected covariances of related species are
210	proportional to the amount of shared evolutionary history (phylogenetic branch length) [47,
211	48]. From this matrix, we estimated Pagel's λ , a branch-length scaling parameter that varies
212	between 0 and 1 (low to high phylogenetic signal) [49].
213	The response R_2 is weighted by the total number of available cells in the UK and logit-
214	transformed. We are primarily interested in the effect of the life history, climate and
215	biogeographic fixed effects, so we set the logit-transformed proportional R_1 as an offset

variable. The residuals from such a model structure represent the change in area of

217	occupancy such that covariates can be included in the model to explain additional variation
218	in changing area occupied. The model structure can account for the mean-variance
219	relationship changes at the boundaries of the parameter space associated with species
220	occupying small areas being more likely to expand, and species with a large area of
221	occupancy more likely to contract (i.e., because they are already at or near range
222	saturation).
223	We tested the null hypothesis that each potential predictor would have similar effects
224	on the magnitude of range expanders and contractors. To test this, we included interactions
225	between predictor variables and a binary 'direction' factor in the model sets (0 = range
226	contraction; 1 = expansion); statistical support for an interaction effect indicates that the
227	strength or direction (or both) of a predictor in question depends on whether species
228	expanded or contracted in area of occupancy.
229	Examining the residuals of the intercept-only model relative to each predictor
230	considered (supplementary material figure S1) demonstrated a possible non-linear
231	relationship for the two climate variables for both contracting and expanding species. We
232	hypothesized that this non-linearity could arise if more northerly, cold-adapted species
233	responded differently to climate than southern taxa adapted to a warmer climate. Without
234	any obvious biogeographical boundary with which to split the dataset, we elected to
235	examine 'northern' versus 'southern' species separately based on the latitude where
236	approximately half of the species' distributional centroids were to the north (and the other
237	half, to the south). This latitude was 53° 41′ 12″ N (just south of the city of Leeds), giving 48
238	'northern' and 49 'southern' species. Boxplots of the predictor variables based on this split
239	(supplementary material figure S2) confirmed that these two groups had different values for
240	mean February minimum temperature and annual precipitation (but overlap for mass, natal

241	dispersal and clumpiness) for these two groups. To account for this geographic non-linearity
242	without imposing an arbitrary latitudinal split (and to maximise degrees of freedom), we
243	included a quadratic term in the models for both February minimum temperature and
244	precipitation (implemented using a second-order $poly$ function in <i>R</i>).
245	
246	(g) Model comparisons
247	We compared and ranked models using Akaike's information criterion corrected for finite
248	samples (AIC _c) [50]. We quantified the strength of support for each model relative to the
249	entire model set using AIC_c weights (= model probabilities, $wAIC_c$), and its structural
250	goodness-of-fit with per cent deviance explained (%DE). We then calculated standardized
251	coefficients (β_n/SE_n) for each term in each model of the set [51], and then averaged these
252	across all models based on wAIC _c (re-calculating Σ wAIC _c = 1 over the models in which each
253	term appeared). The value of these model-averaged, standardized effect sizes provided a
254	relative rank of the importance of each predictor.
255	
256	3. RESULTS
257	The subset of British terrestrial birds with area of occupancy information was 116 species,
258	where 74 species contracted and 42 expanded (figure 1) from 1968-1972 to 1995-1999. We
259	included all directional changes, no matter how small, because the intensive sampling effort
260	at the coarse scale of $10 imes 10$ km grid cells likely means that even small changes in area of
261	occupancy over nearly three decades are biologically meaningful.
262	

263 *(a) Conservation concern*

264	Nearly all species we considered are classified globally as 'Least Concern' by the IUCN Red
265	List of Threatened species (iucnredlist.org): of the species with contracting area of
266	occupancy, 65 (97 %) were Least Concern, 1 was Data Deficient (Loxia scotica) and 1 was not
267	rated (Carduelis cabaret); for species that expanded area of occupancy, 47 (96 %) were
268	Least Concern, and 2 were Near-Threatened (Milvus milvus, Sylvia undata). However, many
269	species were considered as regionally threatened [26]: for contracting species, 36.1 % were
270	'Red' (high conservation concern in the U.K.), 29.5 % 'Amber' (moderate conservation
271	concern) and 34.4 % were 'Green' (low conservation concern); for expanding species, 5.0 %
272	were Red, 45.0 % were Amber, and 50.0 % were Green. Thus, contracting species were, on
273	average, of higher conservation concern than expanders based on the UK-specific threat
274	classification criteria (full criteria given in supplementary material). This assessment also
275	followed expectations for the relative proportional change in range (ΔR); for range-
276	contracting species, those listed as 'Red' had a more negative ΔR than Amber and Green
277	species (figure 2, top panel). Likewise, expanding species in the Red category had the lowest
278	mean ΔR relative to Amber and Green species (figure 2, top panel). The model-averaged,
279	standardized coefficients for the British threat status by direction interaction on R_2 (the
280	proportional area of occupancy at Time 2 = 1995-1999) indicated that area of occupancy
281	contracted more for Red-listed species, and expanded more for Amber- and Green-listed
282	species) (figure 2, bottom panel; electronic supplementary material table S3).
283	

284 (b) Phylogenetic generalised least-squares models

For the 106 species for which a molecular phylogeny was available, estimated Pagel's λ was

- 286 0.29 (95% CI: 0.035 to 0.661) and was statistically both > 0 and < 1 (mid-range phylogenetic
- signal). After accounting for this signal (table 1) in the phylogenetic generalised least-

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/prsb

288	squares models, our predictors explained up to > 50% of the deviance in bird area of
289	occupancy across model combinations (note: final sample size after accounting for missing
290	data was 97 species, of which 57 contracted and 40 expanded; table1). Coefficients for the
291	saturated model of each combination are presented in supplementary material table S4.
292	Overall, models including temperature explained around double the deviance in
293	changing area of occupancy than models including precipitation (table 1). Accordingly, the
294	quadratic term for minimum February temperature had the strongest effect on changing
295	area of occupancy across models in a set (table 1 & figure 3), but the direction of its effect
296	varied depending on whether the species were cold- (northerly) or warm-adapted
297	(southerly). Warmer winters resulted in a greater probability of both contracting and
298	expanding for northerly species, but a lower probability of changing the area of occupancy
299	for southerly species (figure 3 and supplementary material figure S4). In contrast, greater
300	annual precipitation resulted in less changing area of occupancy in northerly species, but
301	both greater contraction and expansion in southerly species (table 1). Our prediction of
302	changing area of occupancy being limited by temperature and precipitation appears to be
303	upheld, but with this being conditional on whether UK bird species are cold- (northerly
304	distributed) or warm-adapted (southerly distributed). Southerly species showed reduced
305	change in area of occupancy in drier and warmer climates, whereas northerly species
306	changed the least in colder, wetter environments.
307	Body mass and dispersal had comparatively weaker (but consistent) effects on range
308	shift; increasing body mass and natal dispersal resulted in a reduced probability of both
309	contracting or expanding area of occupancy (figure 3). There was little evidence for an

- 310 interaction between body mass and natal dispersal on change both in terms of model
- 311 ranking and deviance explained (supplementary material table S5). Finally, the degree of

312 population fragmentation as measured by the clumpiness index consistently demonstrated 313 that greater fragmentation led to a higher probability of expanding area of occupancy, but 314 the effect on contraction was weaker (figure 3). Overall, models including interactions with 315 direction (contraction or expansion) and the fixed effects considered were poorly supported 316 (table 1).

317

318 4. DISCUSSION

319 After accounting statistically for the complexity and non-linearity of the data, our results 320 demonstrate two key aspects of changing area of occupancy for British birds between the 321 1970s and the 1990s. First, the predictors with the most influence on range shift were those 322 describing climate. This relationship depended on the distribution of the species considered, 323 and therefore likely reflects a degree of local adaptation. Northern species were more likely 324 to contract or expand their ranges when minimum winter temperatures were warmer and 325 annual precipitation lower, whereas southern species changed more under opposite 326 conditions (colder and wetter). This could suggest that warming winters [52] might be 327 leading more cold-adapted (northern) species to change their area of occupancy, such as 328 can occur when birds alter their northern and southern range margins differentially in 329 response to a warming climate [53]. The effect of precipitation also suggests that drought 330 could potentially play a similar role in changing the area of occupancy of cold- and wet-331 adapted species. 332 The second, and somewhat surprising, main finding was that the predictors we

considered generally had similar effects regardless of the direction of the change

334 (contraction versus expansion). Our prediction that faster life histories should result in a

335 greater capacity for expansion was upheld (figure 3), and we found that species with slower

336	life histories resisted range contraction. The latter trend might indicate that having a slow
337	life history could buffer a species from environmental change, whereas species with more
338	rapid turn-over could be strongly forced to alter distributions [54].
339	On the other hand, a higher natal dispersal capacity appeared to limit range
340	contractions as expected, but entirely counter-intuitive to our predictions, it also correlated
341	with a lower probability of range expansion. The former result here adheres to accepted
342	conservation-biology principles [55]. In contrast, the latter result appears to contradict
343	known mechanisms of range expansion [56, 57], and supports the idea that local context is
344	more important than life-history or ecological traits per se in determining decadal patterns
345	of changing area of occupancy in birds. Indeed, rapid expansion can arise when a previously
346	limiting condition is removed [e.g., forest pest expansion as winter severity decreases; 58].
347	In Britain, as in many parts of Europe, large areas of former agricultural land have been
348	abandoned during the last 50 years [59, 60], leading to an increase in secondary forest and
349	shrubland cover. For some forest and shrubland bird species, this landscape change might
350	have provided increasing areas of suitable habitat and/or improved connectivity for
351	previously fragmented and isolated subpopulations. The obvious exception is farmland
352	birds, where agricultural abandonment is thought to be a substantial cause of decline [59].
353	In our sample, a similar percentage of species in both change categories were classed as
354	'forest', 'woodland' or 'shrub' species (expanders = 64.0 %; contractors = 64.1 %;
355	classifications from [18, 29]), even though contractors had a higher percentage of 'artificial'
356	(i.e., including farms, plantations, suburban, and other such modified habitats), 'grassland'
357	and 'plains' species than expanders (26.9 and 14 %, respectively). Such differences suggest
358	that 'farmland' birds might have responded negatively to agricultural abandonment,
359	whereas other habitat specialists could have benefited.

360	Contrary to expectation however, population clumpiness affected the change in area
361	of occupancy only for contracting species. Thus, species with more fragmented ranges
362	tended to have greater range expansions, even when the effects of initial area of occupancy
363	were offset. Scattered populations are often separated into isolated, small subpopulations
364	subject to high probability of extirpation as a result of localized stochastic events [61, 62]. In
365	contrast, the influence of fragmentation on expanding birds might be mechanistically
366	different. For species with highly fragmented sub-populations that become more connected
367	following some favourable environmental condition, a rapid expansion might become
368	possible as local sub-population limitations (e.g., Allee effects, inbreeding depression) [63]
369	are overcome [64].
370	Although none of the species we assessed is listed as globally threatened, this region-
371	specific threat assessment showed species of highest UK conservation concern to exhibit
372	either the greatest range contractions or lowest range expansions (figure 2) compared to
373	species in low threat categories. Admittedly, this result is partially expected given that at
374	least some of the assessments are based partially on range contractions ('Red' species: at
375	least 50 % contraction of UK breeding range; 'Amber' species: 25 to 49 % contraction of UK
376	breeding range) [26]. However, UK threatened species did not always contract during the
377	interval we investigated, nor did expanding species universally belong to the lowest threat
378	categories.
379	Despite relying on data describing changing area of occupancy for one of the best-
380	studied vertebrate assemblages, there are several caveats regarding the transferability of
381	our results to other biogeographical regions. First, the more widespread a species, the lower
382	the probability that its range will expand further. As species occupy an increasing proportion
383	of their UK range, their overall susceptibility to UK range-wide depletions diminishes, thus

384	buffering them from range contraction. These same widespread species are also ultimately
385	limited by the amount of remaining suitable habitat and by decreasing habitat suitability at
386	range margins [65, 66], such that expansion becomes more and more unlikely as the
387	realized niche is gradually used up given the available landscape. While we accounted for
388	this problem partially using the logit of proportional area of occupancy, focussing strictly on
389	UK populations somewhat limits inference. Second, the large extent to which British
390	landscapes had already been modified prior to the 1970s means that British bird species'
391	distributions have already been shaped by human-dominated or managed landscapes. This
392	suggests that the area of occupancy contractions between the 1970s and 1990s might
393	represent late-stage processes for the remaining, most-resilient species that persisted
394	through the worst environmental changes of the last few centuries to millennia.
395	Distributional attributes that reflect isolation, local extinction debt [67] and Allee effects
396	[68] are probably more important once the most disturbance-sensitive species have already
397	disappeared (as they have in the UK). Third, most British bird species are migratory [31] in
398	contrast to most bird species globally that are sedentary, particularly in the tropics [69].
399	Future investigations into the processes governing the change in area of occupancy by
400	birds would be aided by data measuring contemporaneous land-use change between study
401	periods, because this would permit a further categorization of species in terms of the
402	change in relative habitat availability. In our study, detailed land-use data were unavailable
403	for the earlier period (1968-1972), so we were unable to assess this component. Further,
404	global metrics of threat (most of our study species were IUCN Least Concern) might fail to
405	encapsulate regional changes in area of occupancy, emphasizing the importance of using
406	robust regional metrics of threat [including IUCN regional categories, e.g., 70] as proxies for
407	regional range dynamics whenever they are available. Overall, our study provides a valuable

408	guide for predicting future range dynamics, and/or modifying expectations from species
409	distribution models, especially for taxa within already highly modified landscapes with few
410	associated life-history or local climate data. We have shown that a relatively simple
411	assessment of body mass, mean climate attributes, area of occupancy and distributional
412	clumpiness can explain a large component of the variation in species' range dynamics,
413	without need for recourse to difficult-to-measure traits. This potential short-cut needs
414	verification on other taxa and biogeographical regions to be helpful for resource-limited
415	conservation projects.
416	
417	Funded by Australian Research Council Future Fellowships FT110100306 (CJAB),
418	FT100100200 (BWB) and FT0991420 (PC), and Discovery Project grant DP1096427 (DAF,
419	BWB). CHS acknowledges the support of the Christensen Fund, the University of Utah, and
420	the dozens of students and volunteers who helped create the World Bird Ecology Database.
421	MBA acknowledges the Integrated Program of IC&DT Call No 1/SAESCTN/ALENT-07-0224-
422	FEDER-001755, and the Imperial College London's Grand Challenges in Ecosystems and
423	Environments initiative for support of his research. RE is supported by an FCT Post Doctoral
424	Grant (BPD/63195/2009) and acknowledges the 'Rui Nabeiro/Delta' Biodiversity Chair at the
425	University of Évora for support.
426	

427 **REFERENCES**

428 1 Angert, A. L., Crozier, L. G., Rissler, L. J., Gilman, S. E., Tewksbury, J. J. & Chunco, A. J.

429 2011 Do species' traits predict recent shifts at expanding range edges? *Ecol. Lett.* **14**,

430 677-689. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01620.x)

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/prsb

- 431 2 Walther, G. R. 2004 Plants in a warmer world. Perspect. Plant. Ecol. Evol. Syst. 6, 169-
- 432 185.
- 433 3 Araújo, M. & Peterson, A. T. 2012 Uses and misuses of bioclimatic envelope modelling.
 434 *Ecology* 93, 1527-1539.
- 435 4 Franklin, J. 2010 Mapping Species Distributions: Spatial Inference and Prediction.
- 436 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- 437 5 Hof, C., Araujo, M. B., Jetz, W. & Rahbek, C. 2011 Additive threats from pathogens,
- 438 climate and land-use change for global amphibian diversity. *Nature* **480**, 516-519.
- 439 (doi:10.1038/nature10650)
- 440 6 Fordham, D. A., Akçakaya, H. R., Araújo, M. B., Elith, J., Keith, D., Pearson, R., Auld, T. D.,
- 441 Mellin, C., Morgan, J. W., Regan, T. J., et al. 2012 Plant extinction risk under climate
- 442 change: are forecast range shifts alone a good indicator of species vulnerability to global
- 443 warming? *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 1357-1371. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02614.x)
- 444 7 Fritz, S. A., Bininda-Emonds, O. R. P. & Purvis, A. 2009 Geographical variation in
- 445 predictors of mammalian extinction risk: big is bad, but only in the tropics. *Ecol. Lett.* **12**,
- 446 538-549. (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2009.01307.x)
- 447 8 Nogués-Bravo, D. 2009 Predicting the past distribution of species climatic niches. *Glob.*
- 448 *Ecol. Biogeogr.* **18**, 521-531. (doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2009.00476.x)
- 449 9 Svenning, J.-C., Fløjgaard, C., Marske, K. A., Nógues-Bravo, D. & Normand, S. 2011
- 450 Applications of species distribution modeling to paleobiology. *Quaternary Science*
- 451 *Reviews* **30**, 2930-2947. (doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.quascirev.2011.06.012)
- 452 10 Warren, M. S., Hill, J. K., Thomas, J. A., Asher, J., Fox, R., Huntley, B., Roy, D. B., Telfer,
- 453 M. G., Jeffcoate, S., Harding, P., et al. 2001 Rapid responses of British butterflies to

- 454 opposing forces of climate and habitat change. *Nature* **414**, 65-69.
- 455 (doi:10.1038/35102054)
- 456 11 Nathan, R., Horvitz, N., He, Y., Kuparinen, A., Schurr, F. M. & Katul, G. G. 2011 Spread of
- 457 North American wind-dispersed trees in future environments. *Ecol. Lett.* **14**, 211-219.
- 458 (doi:10.1111/j.1461-0248.2010.01573.x)
- 459 12 Devictor, V., van Swaay, C., Brereton, T., Brotons, L., Chamberlain, D., Heliola, J.,
- 460 Herrando, S., Julliard, R., Kuussaari, M., Lindstrom, A., et al. 2012 Differences in the
- 461 climatic debts of birds and butterflies at a continental scale. *Nature Climate Change* **2**,
- 462 121-124. (doi:10.1038/nclimate1347)
- 463 13 Travis, J. M. J. 2003 Climate change and habitat destruction: a deadly anthropogenic
- 464 cocktail. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 270, 467-473. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2246)
- 465 14 Broennimann, O., Thuiller, W., Hughes, G., Midgley, G. F., Alkemade, J. M. R. & Guisan,
- 466 A. 2006 Do geographic distribution, niche property and life form explain plants'
- 467 vulnerability to global change? *Glob. Change Biol.* **12**, 1079-1093. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 468 2486.2006.01157.x)
- 469 15 Williams, P. H., Araújo, M. B. & Rasmont, P. 2007 Can vulnerability among British
- 470 bumblebee (*Bombus*) species be explained by niche position and breadth? *Biol. Conserv.*
- 471 **138**, 493-505. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2007.06.001)
- 472 16 Sharrock, J. T. R. 1976 *The Atlas of Breeding Birds of Britain and Ireland*. Berkhamsted:
- 473 Poyser.
- 474 17 Gibbons, D. W., Reid, J. B. & Chapman, R. A. 1993 The New Atlas of Breeding Birds in
- 475 Britain and Ireland: 1988-1991. London: Poyser.
- 476 18 Sekercioglu, C. H., Daily, G. C. & Ehrlich, P. R. 2004 Ecosystem consequences of bird
- 477 declines. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **101**, 18042-18047. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0408049101)

- 478 19 Purvis, A., Gittleman, J. L., Cowlishaw, G. & Mace, G. M. 2000 Predicting extinction risk
- 479 in declining species. Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 267, 1947-1952. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2000.1234)
- 480 20 Cardillo, M., Mace, G. M., Gittleman, J. L., Jones, K. E., Bielby, J. & Purvis, A. 2008 The
- 481 predictability of extinction: biological and external correlates of decline in mammals.
- 482 Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B. 275, 1441-1448. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0179)
- 483 21 Lensink, R. 1997 Range expansion of raptors in Britain and the Netherlands since the
- 484 1960s: testing an individual-based diffusion model. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **66**, 811-826.
- 485 (doi:10.2307/5997)
- 486 22 Cardillo, M., Huxtable, J. S. & Bromham, L. 2003 Geographic range size, life history and
- 487 rates of diversification in Australian mammals. *J. Evol. Biol.* **16**, 282-288.
- 488 (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003.00513.x)
- 489 23 Tingley, M. W., Koo, M. S., Moritz, C., Rush, A. C. & Beissinger, S. R. 2012 The push and
- 490 pull of climate change causes heterogeneous shifts in avian elevational ranges. *Glob.*
- 491 *Change Biol.* **18**, 3279-3290. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02784.x)
- 492 24 Hanski, I. 1999 Habitat connectivity, habitat continuity, and metapopulations in dynamic
- 493 landscapes. *Oikos* **87**, 209-219. (doi:10.2307/3546736)
- 494 25 Jetz, W., Sekercioglu, C. H. & Watson, J. E. M. 2008 Ecological correlates and
- 495 conservation implications of overestimating species geographic ranges. *Conserv. Biol.*
- 496 **22**, 110-119. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2007.00847.x)
- 497 26 Gregory, R. D., Wilkinson, N. I., Noble, D. G., Robinson, J. A., Brown, A. F., Hughes, J.,
- 498 Proctor, D., Gibbons, D. W. & Galbraith, C. A. 2002 The population status of birds in the
- 499 United Kingdom, Channel Islands and Isle of Man: an analysis of conservation concern.
- 500 Br. Birds. **95**, 410-448.

- 501 27 Araújo, M. B., Williams, P. H. & Fuller, R. J. 2002 Dynamics of extinction and the
- selection of nature reserves. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **269**, 1971-1980.
- 503 (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.2121)
- 504 28 McGarigal, K., Cushman, S. A. & Ene, E. 2012 FRAGSTATS v4: Spatial Pattern Analysis
- 505 Program for Categorical and Continuous Maps. Amherst, MA, USA. (available from
- 506 http://www.umass.edu/landeco/research/fragstats/fragstats.html)
- 507 29 Kissling, W. D., Sekercioglu, C. H. & Jetz, W. 2012 Bird dietary guild richness across
- 508 latitudes, environments and biogeographic regions. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **21**, 328-340.
- 509 (doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00679.x)
- 510 30 Gaillard, J. M., Pontier, D., Allainé, D., Lebreton, J. D., Trouvilliez, J. & Clobert, J. 1989 An
- analysis of demographic tactics in birds and mammals. *Oikos* **56**, 59-76.
- 512 31 del Hoyo, J., Elliott, A. & Christie, D. A. 1992-2011 Handbook of the Birds of the World 1-
- 513 *16*. Barcelona: Lynx Press.
- 514 32 Dunning, J. H. 2007 CRC Handbook of Avian Body Masses (Second Edition). Boca Raton,
- 515 Florida: CRC Press.
- 516 33 Barbet-Massin, M., Thuiller, W. & Jiguet, F. 2012 The fate of European breeding birds
- 517 under climate, land-use and dispersal scenarios. *Glob. Change Biol.* **18**, 881-890.
- 518 (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2011.02552.x)
- 519 34 Paradis, E., Baillie, S. R., Sutherland, W. J. & Gregory, R. D. 1998 Patterns of natal and
- 520 breeding dispersal in birds. J. Anim. Ecol. 67, 518-536. (doi:10.1046/j.1365-
- 521 2656.1998.00215.x)
- 522 35 Anderson, B. J., Akcakaya, H. R., Araujo, M. B., Fordham, D. A., Martinez-Meyer, E.,
- 523 Thuiller, W. & Brook, B. W. 2009 Dynamics of range margins for metapopulations under
- 524 climate change. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **276**, 1415-1420. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.1681)

- 525 36 Cramp, S. & Simmons, K. E. L. 1977-1994 The Birds of the Western Palearctic, Volumes 1-
- 526 8. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- 527 37 Mitchell, T. D., Carter, T. R., Jones, P. D., Hulme, M. & New, M. 2004 A comprehensive
- 528 set of high-resolution grids of monthly climate for Europe and the globe: the observed
- 529 record (1901-2000) and 16 scenarios (2001-2100). Norwich. (available from
- 530 38 Crick, H. Q. P. 2004 The impact of climate change on birds. *Ibis.* **146**, 58-58.
- 531 (doi:10.1111/j.1474-919X.2004.00327.x)
- 532 39 Lennon, J. J., Greenwood, J. J. D. & Turner, J. R. G. 2000 Bird diversity and environmental
- 533 gradients in Britain: a test of the species-energy hypothesis. J. Anim. Ecol. 69, 581-598.
- 534 (doi:10.1046/j.1365-2656.2000.00418.x)
- 40 Cardillo, M., Mace, G. M., Jones, K. E., Bielby, J., Bininda-Emonds, O. R. P., Sechrest, W.,
- 536 Orme, C. D. L. & Purvis, A. 2005 Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal
- 537 species. *Science* **309**, 1239-1241.
- 538 41 Duncan, R. P., Bomford, M., Forsyth, D. M. & Conibear, L. 2001 High predictability in
- 539 introduction outcomes and the geographical range size of introduced Australian birds: a
- 540 role for climate. J. Anim. Ecol. **70**, 621-632.
- 541 42 Felsenstein, J. 1985 Phylogenies and the comparative method. *Am. Nat.* **125**, 1-15.
- 542 (doi:10.1086/284325)
- 43 Ives, A. R. & Garland, T. 2010 Phylogenetic Logistic Regression for Binary Dependent
- 544 Variables. *Syst. Biol.* **59**, 9-26. (doi:10.1093/sysbio/syp074)
- 545 44 Orme, D., Freckleton, R., Thomas, G., Petzoldt, T., Fritz, S., Isaac, N. & Pearse, W. 2012
- 546 caper: Comparative Analyses of Phylogenetics and Evolution in R. *R package version 0.5*
- 547 http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=caper.

- 548 45 R Development Core Team. 2011 R: A language and environment for statistical
- 549 computing. Vienna, Austria. (available from http://www.R-project.org)
- 46 Thomas, G. H. 2008 Phylogenetic distributions of British birds of conservation concern.
- 551 *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B.* **275**, 2077-2083. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2008.0549)
- 552 47 Felsenstein, J. 1973 Maximum likelihood and minimum-steps methods for estimating
- evolutionary trees from data on discrete characters. *Syst. Biol.* **22**, 240-249.
- 554 (doi:10.1093/sysbio/22.3.240)
- 48 Hansen, T. F. & Martins, E. P. 1996 Translating between microevolutionary process and
- 556 macroevolutionary patterns: the correlation structure of interspecific data. *Evolution* **50**,
- 557 1404-1417. (doi:10.2307/2F2410878)
- 49 Pagel, M. 1999 Inferring the historical patterns of biological evolution. *Nature* 401, 877884.
- 560 50 Burnham, K. P. & Anderson, D. R. 2002 Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A
- 561 Practical Information-Theoretic Approach. 2nd ed. New York, USA: Springer-Verlag; 488
- 562 p.
- 563 51 Bradshaw, C. J. A., McMahon, C. R., Miller, P. S., Lacy, R. C., Watts, M. J., Verant, M. L.,
- 564 Pollak, J. P., Fordham, D. A., Prowse, T. A. A. & Brook, B. W. 2012 Novel coupling of
- 565 individual-based epidemiological and demographic models predicts realistic dynamics of
- 566 tuberculosis in alien buffalo. J. Appl. Ecol. 49, 268-277. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-
- 567 2664.2011.02081.x)
- 568 52 Cattiaux, J., Vautard, R., Cassou, C., Yiou, P., Masson-Delmotte, V. & Codron, F. 2010
- 569 Winter 2010 in Europe: a cold extreme in a warming climate. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37,
- 570 L20704. (doi:10.1029/2010GL044613)

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/prsb

- 571 53 Thomas, C. D. & Lennon, J. J. 1999 Birds extend their ranges northwards. *Nature* **399**,
- 572 213-213.
- 573 54 Perry, A. L., Low, P. J., Ellis, J. R. & Reynolds, J. D. 2005 Climate change and distribution
- shifts in marine fishes. *Science* **308**, 1912-1915. (doi:10.1126/science.1111322)
- 575 55 Sodhi, N. S., Brook, B. W. & Bradshaw, C. J. A. 2009 Causes and consequences of species
- 576 extinctions. In *The Princeton Guide to Ecology* (ed. Levin S.A.), pp. 514-520. Princeton,
- 577 USA, Princeton University Press.
- 578 56 Thomas, C. D., Bodsworth, E. J., Wilson, R. J., Simmons, A. D., Davies, Z. G., Musche, M.
- 579 & Conradt, L. 2001 Ecological and evolutionary processes at expanding range margins.
- 580 *Nature* **411**, 577-581.
- 57 Duckworth, R. A. & Badyaev, A. V. 2007 Coupling of dispersal and aggression facilitates
- the rapid range expansion of a passerine bird. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 104, 15017-
- 583 15022. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0706174104)
- 584 58 Kurz, W. A., Dymond, C. C., Stinson, G., Rampley, G. J., Neilson, E. T., Carroll, A. L., Ebata,
- 585 T. & Safranyik., L. 2008 Mountain pine beetle and forest carbon feedback to climate
- 586 change. *Nature* **452**, 987-990.
- 587 59 Stoate, C., Báldi, A., Beja, P., Boatman, N. D., Herzon, I., van Doorn, A., de Snoo, G. R.,
- 588 Rakosy, L. & Ramwell, C. 2009 Ecological impacts of early 21st century agricultural
- 589 change in Europe a review. J. Environ. Manag. 91, 22-46.
- 590 (doi:10.1016/j.jenvman.2009.07.005)
- 591 60 MacDonald, D., Crabtree, J. R., Wiesinger, G., Dax, T., Stamou, N., Fleury, P., Gutierrez
- 592 Lazpita, J. & Gibon, A. 2000 Agricultural abandonment in mountain areas of Europe:
- 593 environmental consequences and policy response. J. Environ. Manag. 59, 47-69.
- 594 (doi:10.1006/jema.1999.0335)

- 595 61 Caughley, G. 1994 Directions in conservation biology. J. Anim. Ecol. 63, 215-244.
- 596 (doi:10.2307/5542)
- 597 62 Traill, L. W., Brook, B. W., Frankham, R. & Bradshaw, C. J. A. 2010 Pragmatic population
- viability targets in a rapidly changing world. *Biol. Conserv.* **143**, 28-34.
- 599 (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2009.09.001)
- 600 63 Frankham, R. 2005 Genetics and extinction. *Biol. Conserv.* **126**, 131-140.
- 601 64 Gilbert-Norton, L., Wilson, R., Stevens, J. R. & Beard, K. H. 2010 A meta-analytic review
- of corridor effectiveness. *Conserv. Biol.* 24, 660-668. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-
- 603 1739.2010.01450.x)
- 604 65 Caughley, G., Grice, D., Barker, R. & Brown, B. 1988 The edge of the range. J. Anim. Ecol.

605 **57**, 771-785.

- 606 66 Lawton, J. H. 1993 Range, population abundance and conservation. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 8,
- 607 409-413. (doi:10.1016/0169-5347(93)90043-o)
- 608 67 Tilman, D., May, R. M., Lehman, C. L. & Nowak, M. A. 1994 Habitat destruction and the
- 609 extinction debt. *Nature* **371**, 65-66. (doi:10.1038/371065a0)
- 610 68 Berec, L., Angulo, E. & Courchamp, F. 2007 Multiple Allee effects and population
- 611 management. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **22**, 185-191. (doi:10.1016/j.tree.2006.12.002)
- 612 69 Sekercioglu, C. H. 2007 Area trumps mobility in fragment bird extinctions. *Curr. Biol.* 17,
- 613 R283-R286. (doi:10.1016/j.cub.2007.02.019)
- 614 70 Kotiaho, J. S., Kaitala, V., Komonen, A. & Päivinen, J. 2005 Predicting the risk of
- extinction from shared ecological characteristics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 1963-
- 616 1967.
- 617

Table 1. Five top-ranked generalized least-squares models incorporating phylogenetic nonindependence to explain variation in R_2 (proportional area of occupancy at $T_2 = 1995-1999$), incorporating life history (mass [M] or natal dispersal [D_n]), climate (quadratic February minimum temperature [F+F²] or precipitation [P+P²] term) and clumpiness (Cl) as predictors. Main model combinations are (*i*) M + (F+F²) + Cl, (*ii*) M + (P+P²) + Cl, (*iii*) D_n + (F+F²) + Cl and (*iv*) D_n + (P+P²) + Cl, with all variants testing for interactions with the direction (Dir) of change (contraction or expansion). All models included the logit-tranformed proportional R₁ (range size at T₁ = 1968-1972) as an offset variable. Listed are maximum log-likelihood (LL), parameter count (*k*), change in Akaike's information criterion (corrected for sample size) relative to the top-ranked model (Δ AIC_c), model probabilities (*w*AIC_c) and per cent deviance explained (%DE) relative to the R₂~1 'null' model. Analyses include 97 British birds (57 contractors, 40 expanders) for which full data (including a molecular phylogeny) were available.

Model	LL	k	ΔAIC_c	wAIC _c	%DE
(i) <u>Mass+Temp+Clumpiness</u>					
~(F+F ²)+Dir	-168.571	4	0	0.386	48.5
~M+(F+F ²)+Dir	-167.890	5	0.863	0.251	48.6
~(F+F ²)+Cl+Dir	-168.563	5	2.208	0.128	47.9
~(F+F ²)×Dir	-167.874	6	3.104	0.082	48.1
~M+(F+F ²)+Cl+Dir	-167.890	6	3.136	0.080	48.1
(ii) Mass+Precip+Clumpiness					
~(P+P ²)+Dir	-186.169	4	0	0.235	25.9
~(P+P ²)+Cl+Dir	-185.094	5	0.074	0.226	26.7
~M+(P+P ²)+Cl+Dir	-184.437	6	1.033	0.140	26.9
~M+(P+P ²)+Dir	-185.674	5	1.233	0.127	25.9
~M+(P+P ²)+(Cl×Dir)	-183.399	7	1.284	0.124	27.7
(iii) Natal Disp+Temp+Clumpiness					
~D _n +(F+F ²)+Dir	-165.109	5	0	0.464	51.5
~(D _n ×Dir)+(F+F ²)+Cl	-163.749	7	1.880	0.181	51.8
~D _n +(F+F ²)+Cl+Dir	-165.106	6	2.267	0.149	51.0
~D _n +((F+F ²)×Dir)+Cl	-163.709	8	4.176	0.058	51.3
~D _n +(F+F ²)+(Cl×Dir)	-164.920	7	4.221	0.056	50.6
(<i>iv</i>) Natal Disp+Precip+Clumpiness					
~D _n +(P+P ²)+Cl+Dir	-182.970	6	0	0.219	29.1
~D _n +(P+P ²)+Dir	-184.114	5	0.014	0.218	28.2
~D _n +(P+P ²)+(Cl×Dir)	-181.931	7	0.246	0.194	29.8
~(D _n ×Dir)+(P+P ²)+Cl	-182.325	7	1.034	0.131	29.3
~(P+P ²)+Dir	-186.169	4	1.900	0.085	25.9

Figure Captions

Figure 1. Histogram of the relative proportional change in area of occupancy (x-axis numbers refer to the change in number of occupied 10×10 km geographical cells) of 116 terrestrial bird species in the United Kingdom between 1968-1972 and 1995-1999. Negative or positive ΔR indicates species whose area of occupancy contracted or expanded between the two periods, respectively.

Figure 2. (top panel) Mean (± standard error) percentage change in area of occupancy (ΔR) for contracting (negative ΔR , 74 species) and expanding (positive ΔR , 42 species) birds according to their United Kingdom regional threat assessment [26]: Red = high conservation concern; Amber = moderate concern; Green = least concern. (bottom panel) Model-averaged, standardized effect sizes (β_n/SE_n) of threat status and direction (contraction or expansion) interactions on range shift (i.e., proportional area of occupancy at Time 2 (R_2) after controlling for proportional area of occupancy at Time 1 (R_1)). See supplementary material Table S2 for model ranking.

Figure 3. Model-averaged, standardized coefficients for each interaction considered in the phylogenetic generalized least-squares model sets. Negative values indicate a negative relationship to proportional area of occupancy at Time 2 (R_2). β_n estimated model term (n) coefficient, SE_n = term standard error, M = body mass (g, log₁₀-transformed), F & F² = quadratic mean minimum February temperature (°C), Cl = clumpiness (proportion of adjacencies), P & P² = quadratic annual precipitation (mm), D_n = natal dispersal (km). Analyses include 116 British birds (57 contractors, 40 expanders). See modelling metrics in Table 1. Shown in text are the directions of the most influential predictors of change for contractors and expanders (for climate variables, directions shift according to the quadratic relationship, which approximates a north (N)-south (S) split). Greyed text indicates weaker influence.



Histogram of the relative proportional change in area of occupancy (x-axis numbers refer to the change in number of occupied 10 \times 10 km geographical cells) of 116 terrestrial bird species in the United Kingdom between 1968-1972 and 1995-1999. Negative or positive ΔR indicates species whose area of occupancy contracted or expanded between the two periods, respectively. 171x126mm (300 x 300 DPI)



(top panel) Mean (\pm standard error) percentage change in area of occupancy (Δ R) for contracting (negative Δ R, 74 species) and expanding (positive Δ R, 42 species) birds according to their United Kingdom regional threat assessment [26]: Red = high conservation concern; Amber = moderate concern; Green = least concern. (bottom panel) Model-averaged, standardized effect sizes (β n/SEn) of threat status and direction (contraction or expansion) interactions on range shift (i.e., proportional area of occupancy at Time 2 (R2) after controlling for proportional area of occupancy at Time 1 (R1)). See supplementary material Table S2 for model ranking. 77x54mm (300 x 300 DPI)



Model-averaged, standardized coefficients for each interaction considered in the phylogenetic generalized least-squares model sets. Negative values indicate a negative relationship to proportional area of occupancy at Time 2 (R2). βn estimated model term (n) coefficient, SEn = term standard error, M = body mass (g, log10-transformed), F & F2 = quadratic mean minimum February temperature (°C), Cl = clumpiness (proportion of adjacencies), P & P2 = quadratic annual precipitation (mm), Dn = natal dispersal (km).
 Analyses include 116 British birds (57 contractors, 40 expanders). See modelling metrics in Table 1. Shown in text are the directions of the most influential predictors of change for contractors and expanders (for climate variables, directions shift according to the quadratic relationship, which approximates a north (N)-south (S) split). Greyed text indicates weaker influence.

176x128mm (300 x 300 DPI)