Socio-Economic and Cultural Determinants of Use of Modern Healthcare Facilities for Delivery by Mothers in Nigeria

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

Fasina, Fagbeminiyi Faniyi (Matric. No.:CUGP060181)

Department of Economics and Development Studies, College of Business and Social Sciences, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State, Nigeria

Being

A Ph.D Thesis Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements For the Award of Ph.D in Demography and Social Statistics of Covenant University, Ota.

Supervisor: Prof. Gbolahan Oni

Co-supervisor: Dr. Bamiwuye Samson.

CERTIFICATION

It is hereby certified that this Ph.D Thesis is an original work written by Mr. FASINA, FAGBEMINIYI FANIYI, supervised and submitted to the Department of Economics and Development Studies, College of Business and Social Sciences, Covenant University, Ota, Ogun State.

| Prof. Gbolahan Oni (Supervisor) Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University, Canaan-Land, Ota. | Signature and Date |
|--|--------------------|
| Dr. Bamiwuye Samson (Co-supervisor) Department of Demography and Social Statistics, Obafemi Awolowo University, Ile-Ife, Osun State | Signature and Date |
| Dr. Henry Okodua Head, Department of Economics and Development Studies, Covenant University, Canaan-Land, Ota. | Signature and Date |
| Prof. Shalom Chinedu Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies Covenant University, Canaan-Land, Ota. | Signature and Date |
| Prof. Afolabi Bamgboye (External Examiner) Department of Epidemiology and Medical Statistics, University of Ibadan | Signature and Date |

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to the Almighty God for the successful completion of this Ph.D programme and to the memory of my Father, Mr F. Fasina and my mother, Mrs R. Fasina. It is also dedicated to my loved ones.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I specially give Glory to Almighty God who in His infinite mercy saw me through the rigour of this work. Thus, I would like to sincerely and deeply appreciate those who God has used to make one contribution or the other to the success of this thesis.

To begin with, I will like to thank the Board of Regents and the Management of Covenant University led by the Chancellor, Dr. David Oyedepo, for a tuition free programme and provision of learning facilities. The encouraging words, counsels and prayers of the Vice-Chancellor, Prof. C.K. Ayo, Deputy Vice-Chancellor, Prof. T. Abioye, the Registrar, Pst. Olamide O. Olusegun, Dean, School of Postgraduate Studies, Prof. Shalom Chinedu, Dean, College of Business and Social Sciences, Prof. Francis Iyoha, immediate past Deputy Dean, School of Social Sciences, Prof. D.E. Gberevbie, all are highly and respectfully appreciated. I also like to appreciate specially my supervisors, Prof. Gbolahan Oni and Dr S.O. Bamiwuye for their useful suggestions, fatherly advice and guidance throughout the period of writing this thesis and who despite their tight schedules, created valuable time for the work.

My appreciation also goes to the members of faculty and staff in the Department of Economics and Development Studies for their assistance and words of encouragement, including the Head of Department, Dr. H. Okodua for his fatherly advice; Dr. D. Azuh; Dr. Mrs O. Matthew; Dr. E. Urhie; Dr. O.O. Ewetan; Dr. M.A. Adewole; Dr. E.O. Emmanuel; Dr. M. Akanbi; Dr. Ojeaga; Dr. Evans; P. Adekola; J. Odebiyi; S. Oluwatobi; A. Ogundipe; A. Alejo; Mrs. Amalu Temitope; Dr. (Mrs.) O. Ola-David; Mrs. T. Ogundipe; Mrs. B. Olawole-Isaac; Miss O. Akinyemi; Miss I. Beecroft; Mrs. G. Samuel; Mrs. M. Agboola for their useful contributions to

this work. I also wish to appreciate persons like Dr. L. Ogunnaike; Dr. (Mrs). Obigbemi and a host of others who have in one way or the other contributed to the success of this work.

To my late father, Chief F. Fasina, who despite all odds made my education his utmost priority. To my lovely mother, Mrs R. Fasina, for her motherly love and advice. I also want to thank my brothers and sisters, Kunle, Gbenro, Wuyi, Kayode, Torera, Joke, Tayo, and Taiwo.

Finally, I will like to express my sincere thanks to my lovely wife, Mrs. Afolake Fasina and to my precious children, Folakunmi; Fopefoluwa; and Forefoluwa Fasina.

F. F. Fasina

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| TITLE | E PAGE | i |
|-------------------|---|------|
| CERTIFICATION | | ii |
| DEDI | DEDICATION | |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | iv |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | | vi |
| LIST OF TABLES | | X |
| LIST | OF FIGURES | xii |
| LIST | OF ACRONYMS | xiii |
| ABST | TRACT | xiv |
| CHAI | PTER ONE: INTRODUCTION | |
| 1.1 | Background to the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 | Statement of the Research Problem | 10 |
| 1.3 | Justification for the study | 12 |
| 1.4 | Research Questions | 14 |
| 1.5 | Objectives of the Study | 14 |
| 1.6 | Research Hypotheses | 15 |
| 1.7 | Study Data | 15 |
| 1.8 | Organisation of the Study | 16 |
| 1.9 | Definition of Variables and Key Terms | 17 |
| CHAI | PTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW | 20 |
| 2.1 | Preamble | 20 |
| 2.2 | Overview of Healthcare Utilization | 22 |
| 2.2. | .1 Global Pattern of Health Utilization | 23 |
| 2.2. | .2 Healthcare Utilization in Africa countries | 24 |
| 2.2. | .3 Maternal Healthcare Situation in Nigeria | 25 |
| 2.3 | Maternal Healthcare in Nigeria | 27 |

| 2.3.1 Antenatal Care (Quality of Reproductive Healthcare Service) | 28 |
|---|----|
| 2.3.2 Place of Delivery | 29 |
| 2.3.3 Assistance During Delivery | 31 |
| 2.3.4 Access to Healthcare Facilities | 34 |
| 2.3.5 Care (at pregnancy, delivery, postpartum) | 35 |
| 2.4 Socio-Economic and Demographic Factors Determining Maternal | |
| Healthcare Facility Utilization | 38 |
| 2.4.1 Women's Education | 38 |
| 2.4.2 Husband's Status | 40 |
| 2.4.3 Women's Exposure to Mass Media | 42 |
| 2.4.4 Women's Employment Status | 42 |
| 2.4.5 Maternal Age | 44 |
| 2.4.6 Place of Residence | 44 |
| 2.5 Family Size and Behavioural Attitude towards Maternal Healthcare | 45 |
| 2.6 Socio-economic Factors and Utilization of Modern Healthcare Services | 50 |
| | |
| CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY | 53 |
| 3.1 Preamble | 53 |
| 3.2 Theoretical Framework | 54 |
| 3.2.1 Mosley and Chen Analytical Theory | 55 |
| 3.2.2 Liberal Feminism Theory | 55 |
| 3.2.3 Modernization Theory | 56 |
| 3.2.4 Gender Stratification Theory | 57 |
| 3.3 Research Method | 59 |
| 3.3.1 Conceptual Framework for the Study | 59 |
| 3.3.2 Model Specification | 64 |
| 3.3.3 Relationship between Dependent, Independent and Proximate Variables | 65 |
| 3.3.4 Technique of Model Estimation | 67 |
| 3.3.5 Creating Dummy Variables from Categorical Variables | 69 |
| 3.3.6 Model Estimation | 73 |

| | 3.3.7 Problem of Multi-Collinearity among Independent Variables in Regression | |
|---|---|-----|
| | Analysis | 76 |
| | 3.3.8 Fitting of Logistic Regression Models | 77 |
| | 3.3.9 Sources of Data | 78 |
| | 3.4 Study Area | 80 |
| | | |
| C | CHAPTER FOUR: DATA ANALYSES AND PRESENTATION | 83 |
| | 4.0 Preamble | 83 |
| | 4.1 Background Characteristics of the Respondents | 83 |
| | 4.1.1 Percentage Distribution of Women by Various Proximate Determinants and | |
| | Delivery in Healthcare Facilities | 86 |
| | 4.2 Analysis of Relationship Between Background, Proximate and Outcome | |
| | Variables | 89 |
| | 4.2.1 Results from Fitting Model 1 | 92 |
| | 4.2.2 Bivariate Analyses for Model 2 | 98 |
| | 4.2.3 Test of Association Between Background Variables and Proximate | |
| | Determinants | 102 |
| | 4.2.4 Cost of Accessing Healthcare Facility and Background Variables | 102 |
| | 4.2.5 Distance to Healthcare Facility and Background Variables | 110 |
| | 4.2.6 Decision Making Autonomy and Background Variables | 114 |
| | 4.2.7 Trust Healthcare Services (Efficacy) and Background Variables | 118 |
| | 4.2.8 Number of Antenatal Visits and Background Variables | 122 |

CHAPTER FIVE: DISCUSSIONS, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS 131 5.1 Preamble 131 5.2 Discussions of Results 133 5.3 Conclusion 137 5.4 Specific Recommendations 138 5.4.1 Increase Antenatal Care Visits 138 5.4.2 Women Empowerment for Decision-Making 138 5.5 Limitations of the Study 140 5.6 Contributions to Knowledge 142 References 143 Appendix 1 159 Appendix 2 163

LIST OF TABLES

| Table 3.1: | List of Geopolitical Zones of Nigeria | 79 |
|------------|---|-----|
| Table 4.1: | Percentage Distribution of Women by Selected Indicators | 84 |
| Table 4.2: | Percentage Distribution of Women by Place of Delivery and Selected | |
| | Proximate Determinants of Healthcare Facilities for Delivery | 87 |
| Table 4.3: | Correlation Matrix of the Predictor Variables in the Model | 91 |
| Table 4.4: | Bivariate Association of Socio-economic and Demographic Characteristics | |
| | With Place of Delivery | 95 |
| Table 4.5: | Binary Logistic Regression Model for Place of Delivery Controlling for | |
| | Selected Background Characteristics | 97 |
| Table 4.6: | Relationships between Proximate Variables and Place of Delivery | 100 |
| Table 4.7: | Relationships between Background Variables and Cost of Accessing | |
| | Healthcare Facility | 104 |
| Table 4.8: | Binary Logistic Regression Model for Affordability of Healthcare Facility | |
| | (cost) Controlling for Selected Background Characteristics | 107 |
| Table 4.9: | Relationships between Socio-economic and Demographic Characteristics | |
| | and Distance in Accessing Maternal Healthcare | 111 |
| Table 4.10 | : Binary Logistic Regression Model for Accessibility to Healthcare | |
| | Facility (Distance) Controlling for Selected Background Characteristics | 112 |
| Table 4.11 | : Relationships between Socio-Economic and Demographic Characteristics | |
| | and Decision Making (Autonomy) | 115 |

| Γable 4.12: Binary Logistic Regression Model for Decision Making Autonomy | |
|--|-----|
| (Final say) Controlling for Selected Background Characteristics | 116 |
| Table 4.13: Relationships between Socio-economic and Demographic Characteristics and | |
| Belief in Efficacy (Trust Health Service) in Accessing Healthcare Facility | 120 |
| Γable 4.14: Binary Logistic Regression Model for Belief in Efficacy to Healthcare | |
| Facility (Trust) Controlling for Selected Background Characteristics | 121 |
| Γable 4.15: Relationships between Background Variables and Number of | |
| Antenatal Visits | 123 |
| Γable 4.16: Binary Logistic Regression Model for Adequate Antenatal Clinic Visits | |
| Controlling for Selected Background Characteristics | 124 |
| Γable 4.17: Binary Logistic Regression Model for Place of Delivery Controlling for | |
| Selected Background Characteristics and Proximate Determinants of | |
| Healthcare Facility | 127 |

LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure 1 | : Conceptual Framework Showing Relationship between Background | |
|----------|---|----------|
| | characteristics, Access to Healthcare Facility and Delivery in Healthcare | ; |
| | Facility | 63 |

LIST OF ACRONYMS

ANC: Antenatal Care

ICD-10: International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems,

10th Edition

ICD-MM: Application of ICD-10 to Deaths during Pregnancy, Childbirth and the

Puerperium: ICD Maternal Mortality

HF: Health Facility

HIV: Human Immunodeficiency Virus

NDHS: Nigerian Demographic and Health Survey

MDG: Millennium Development Goals MHCS: Maternal Healthcare Services

PNC: Postnatal Care
PoD: Place of Delivery

SBA: Skilled Birth Attendant

STI: Sexually Transmitted Infections

UNDP: United Nations Development ProgrammeUNFPA: United Nations Fund for Population ActivitiesUNICEF: United Nations Children Endowment Fund

USAID: United States Agency for International Development

WHO: World Health Organization WRA: Women of Reproductive Age

HCF: HealthCare Facility

ABSTRACT

This study examined the socio-economic and cultural determinants of use of modern healthcare facilities for delivery by mothers in Nigeria. The objectives of the study are to identify the socio-economic, demographic and cultural factors (referred to as background factors or variables), that are influencing mothers to deliver in modern healthcare facilities, and to identify those more direct factors (called proximate determinants) through which the background factors are operating to influence mothers' delivery in modern healthcare facilities. The study used quantitative data from the 2008 Nigeria Demographic and Health Surveys (NDHS). Data on 17,635 women aged 15-49 years who had a live birth within the five years preceding the survey were extracted from the 33,385 women sampled in the survey. Using STATA 12 computer software, data analyses were done and presented in three stages, that is, Univariate, Bivariate and Multivariate analyses. In the study, 36.6% of all the deliveries took place in modern healthcare facilities while the remaining 63.4% deliveries took place outside of healthcare facilities. Data analysis at the bivariate level showed that all the selected background variables were significantly related to healthcare facility delivery. The variables are current age, marital status, children ever born, educational attainment, occupation, wealth index, rural/urban residence, region, ethnic group and religion. At the multivariate level, some of the background variables (i.e., mothers' education, children ever born, region, marital status, and wealth status of the woman) still maintained significant relationship with the outcome variable (i.e., delivery in healthcare facilities). Increase in mother's level of education and wealth status corresponds to increased use of modern healthcare facilities for delivery. Also, there is significant variation in the use of modern healthcare facilities for delivery among mothers in different geopolitical zones of the country. More mothers in the Southern zones were delivering their babies in healthcare facilities than the mothers in the Northern zones. Five proximate determinants were proposed to be examined in the study but only two could be fully used due to limited data on the other three. The two used were antenatal clinic attendance and decision-making autonomy of the woman. The other three that could not be fully utilized were cost of service, accessibility to service and trust of healthcare system. The two proximate determinants used significantly helped to explain the indirect effects of the background variables on modern healthcare facility delivery. Mothers who had adequate antenatal clinic attendance delivered their babies in healthcare facilities more than those mothers who did not attend or whose attendance were inadequate. Also, mothers who had autonomy in decision-making on their use of healthcare services delivered in healthcare facilities more than those mothers who did not have such autonomy. We therefore conclude that antenatal clinic attendance and women's decisionmaking autonomy are important proximate factors through which the socioeconomic, demographic and cultural factors influence mothers to deliver their babies in modern healthcare facilities. Hence, policies that are targeted to ensure adequate antenatal clinic attendance by pregnant women and those that also encourage women's autonomy in decision-making on their healthcare services utilization would go a long way in increasing the level of utilization of healthcare facilities for delivery in Nigeria.

KEYWORDS: Socio-economic, Demographic and Cultural Factors, Proximate Determinants, Healthcare Facility Delivery, Nigeria