



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DESIGN, ANALYSIS AND FABRICATION OF PLASTIC INJECTION
MOULD FOR TENSILE TEST SPECIMEN**

MOHD KHAIROL ANUAR BIN MOHD ARIFFIN

FK 2001 37

**DESIGN, ANALYSIS AND FABRICATION OF PLASTIC INJECTION
MOULD FOR TENSILE TEST SPECIMEN**

By

MOHD KHAIROL ANUAR BIN MOHD ARIFFIN

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of
Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

September 2001



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**DESIGN, ANALYSIS AND FABRICATION OF PLASTIC INJECTION
MOULD FOR TENSILE TEST SPECIMEN**

By

MOHD KHAIROL ANUAR MOHD ARIFFIN

September 2001

Chairman: Associate Professor Shamsuddin Sulaiman, Ph.D.

Faculty: Engineering

The aim of this project is to design, analyse and produce the plastic injection mould for tensile test specimen. It is also concerned with presenting the technique, method, and theory for producing the plastic injection mould. This project is divided into three sections, namely design, analysis and fabrication. Each of the previous mentioned section is illustrated in proper order to ensure that the readers have a good understanding on how the process is done.

The design of the mould is governed first by its intended function and is restricted by the specification limitation of the injection moulding process. Two other factors have to be considered such as material specification and mould condition. The total design method was used in this project to design, analyse and fabricate the mould. This concept allows us to produce the best conceptual design. The techniques in Total Design Method used were Product Design Specification, Quality Function Deployment and Matrix Evaluation System.



In the design section, Unigraphics CAD/CAM system is used to produce the detail design and the numerical control codes that are needed to assist machining mould components. Careful consideration has to be taken during selection of various machines that can be used to manufacture the mould. In this project a Computer Numerical Control (CNC), milling, drilling, grinding and Electrode Discharge Machining (EDM) machines were used to machine the mould components.

The rework cost is a major problem to the mould making industries, therefore by integrating the Moldflow analysis software (part advisor version 4) into the mould fabrication process this problem can be avoided. This software simulates how the molten plastic enters the mould during the injection process and also the possible defects that might occur. This step will eliminate the rework cost and time as all the possible errors are eliminated before it actually occur in the actual production process.

The quality of the mould and injected product depend on the processing conditions such as melt temperature, mould temperature and injection pressure as well as the machines used to fabricate the mould.

As a conclusion the aim of the project to create a mould for tensile test specimen has been achieved.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**REKABENTUK, ANALISA DAN MEMBUAT ACUAN SUNTIKAN PLASTIK
UNTUK PRODUK UJIAN KETEGANGAN**

Oleh

MOHD KHAIROL ANUAR MOHD ARIFFIN

September 2001

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Shamsuddin Sulaiman, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Matlamat utama projek ini adalah membuat acuan suntikan plastik untuk spesimen ujian ketegangan dengan menggunakan kaedah rekabentuk, pemesanan dan analisa terhadap pengaliran cecair plastik. Projek ini mempersembahkan pelbagai teknik yang digunakan untuk menjana idea-idea baru dengan menggunakan teknik-teknik, teori-teori dan juga metodologi dalam merekabentuk acuan suntikan plastik. Projek ini dibahagikan kepada tiga bahagian iaitu: bahagian rekabentuk, bahagian pemesanan dan bahagian analisa seperti yang dinyatakan di atas. Setiap bahagian diperalusi dengan teliti supaya setiap pembaca memahami isi kandungan yang hendak disampaikan.

Proses rekabentuk dibuat adalah berdasarkan kepada fungsi produk tersebut dengan mengambil kira kesesuaian dan had penggunaan pada mesin suntikan yang tertentu dan juga menepati kos yang dianggarkan. Faktor-faktor lain yang perlu diambil kira ialah faktor bahan-bahan yang digunakan dan juga keadaan permukaan pada acuan tersebut. Keseluruhan cara

rekabentuk telah diguna pakai sepenuhnya dalam projek ini yang akhirnya akan menghasilkan konsep rekabentuk yang terbaik. Konsep-konsep yang telah diguna pakai adalah kaedah Tetentuan Rekabentuk Produk, Fungsi Mutu serta Penilaian Matrik.

Bantuan komputer (CAD/CAM) 'Unigraphics' digunakan untuk merakabentuk produk, acuan dan seterusnya menjanakan beberapa kod kawalan berangka untuk proses pemesinan. Kerja-kerja memilih cara pemesinan untuk acuan dijalankan dengan teliti supaya acuan yang dihasilkan bermutu tinggi. Dalam projek ini mesin-mesin yang digunakan adalah mesin Kawalan Berangka Berkomputer (CNC)', mesin pengisar, mesin gerudi dan mesin 'Electrode Discharge Machining (EDM) untuk meghasilkan acuan.

Analisa terhadap pengaliran cecair plastik dijalankan dengan menggunakan perisian (MoldFlow – Part Advisor version 4). Kaedah ini digunakan adalah untuk mendapatkan idea bagaimana cecair plastik ini bertindak kepada acuan yang direka. Kaedah ini menjimatkan kos dimana kerja-kerja pubahsuaian tidak lagi diperlukan kerana segala masalah yang mungkin timbul telah diselesaikan terlebih dahulu sebelum kerja-kerja pemesinan dijalankan.

Mutu acuan dan produk selepas suntikan yang dihasilkan adalah berdasarkan kepada pemilihan bahan-bahan dan cara-cara pemesinan yang digunakan dan juga cara pemprosesan seperti suhu lebur, suhu acuan dan tekanan suntikan untuk menghasilkan produk suntikan tersebut.

Sebagai kesimpulan matlamat utama projek ini untuk membuat acuan suntikan plastik bagi spesimen ujian ketegangan telah berjaya.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to express his gratitude and appreciation to **Associate Professor Dr. Shamsuddin Sulaiman** as a project supervisor for his helpful advice, guidance, suggestion, support and valuable opinion throughout the presentation and upon completion of this thesis.

Thanks are also express to **Dr. Megat Mohammad Hamdan Megat Ahmad** as the Co-supervisor for his kindness information and suggestion during the project research. The author would like to acknowledge **Mr. Zulkiffle Leman and Dr. Napsiah Ismail** as the members examining committee for their valuable comments and suggestion.

The authors would like to thank **Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM)** for providing the research grant. Also to the staff of the CAD/CAM unit of **University Of Malaya**, Department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering for their help and assistance in the preparation of this thesis. In addition the author would like to express his gratitude to **Mr. Chong Joo Kwong, Mr.Goh Chin Hooi**, as member of research and **Mr. Lee Seng Leng**, Technical service Engineer of The Titan Group for their cooperation, help and information on the plastic injection moulding.

Finally, the author would like to express his hearties sincere appreciation to his wife and family as their continued support and encouragement throughout the preparation of this thesis.

M.K.A Mohd Ariffin



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 17th September 2001 to conduct the final examination of Mohd Khairul Anuar bin Mohd Ariffin on his Master of Science thesis entitled "Design, Analysis and Fabrication of Plastic Injection Mould for Tensile Test Specimen" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) regulation Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulation 1981. The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Napsiah binti Ismail, Ph.D.
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairperson)

Shamsuddin Sulaiman, Ph.D.
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Megat Mohammad Hamdan Megat Ahmad, Ph.D.
Head Department of Mechanical & Manufacturing Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Zulkiffle Leman
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



MOHD GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 19 NOV 2001

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.



AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.
Professor/
Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 0 JAN 2002

DECLARATION FORM

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that if it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



Mohd Khairol Anuar Mohd Ariffin

Date: 12 November 2001

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	2
ABSTRAK	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	7
APPROVAL	8
DECLARATION	10
LIST OF TABLES	15
LIST OF FIGURES	16
LIST OF PLATES	23
LIST OF NOTATION/GLOSSARY OF TERMS	24

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	25
1.1	Introduction	25
1.2	Mould	25
1.3	Problem Statement	27
1.4	Objctives	28
1.5	Scope of The Study	28
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	29
2.1	Introduction	29
2.2	Plastic	29
2.3	Classification of Plastic	31
2.3.1	Thermoplastic	31
2.3.2	Thermosets	32
2.4	Differences between Thermoplastic and Thermosets	33
2.5	Injection Moulding Machine	34
2.5.1	Conventional Injection Moulding Machine	35
2.5.2	Piston Type Preplastifying Machine	36
2.5.3	Screw Type Preplastifying Machine	36
2.5.4	Reciprocating Screw Injection Machine	37
2.6	Mould Basic Construction	38
2.7	Types of Mould	41
2.7.1	Two Plate Mould	42
2.7.2	Three Plate mould	42
2.7.3	The Hot Runner Mould	43
2.8	Gate	45
2.8.1	Gate Types	47
2.9	Mould Venting	48
2.10	Mould Cooling	49
2.10.1	Mould Cooling Design Consideration	53
2.10.2	Design of Cooling Channel	53
2.11	Runner System	54
2.11.1	Runner Balancing	57
2.11.2	Runner Design	59



2.11.3	Cold Solid Slug Well	59
2.12	Number of Cavities	60
2.13	Sprue	60
2.14	Ejector	61
2.15	CAD/CAM for Mould Design	62
2.15.1	Introduction	62
2.15.2	The Advantages of CAD/CAM	63
2.15.3	CAD/CAM Modelling	64
2.16	Analysis	68
2.16.1	MoldFlow Analysis	68
2.16.2	How a Plastic Fill The Mould	68
2.16.3	Gate Location	72
2.16.4	Weld Line	73
2.16.5	Meld Line	73
2.16.6	Air Trap	74
2.16.7	Confidence of Fill	75
2.16.8	Hesitation	76
2.16.9	Overpacking	77
2.16.10	Material Parameters	79
2.17	Machining	79
2.17.1	Introduction	79
2.17.2	Machining operation	79
3	MEHODOLOGY	88
3.1	Introduction	88
3.2	Objective Tree	88
3.3	Product Design Specification	90
3.3.1	Performance	90
3.3.2	Size	91
3.3.3	Safety	91
3.3.4	Product Cost	91
3.3.5	Life Service	91
3.3.6	Material	92
3.3.7	Ergonomic	92
3.3.8	Environment	93
3.3.9	Aesthetics	93
3.4	Quality Function Deployment (QFD)	93
3.5	Conceptual Design	94
3.6	Concept Generation	94
3.7	Idea Generation Technique	94
3.7.1	Brainstorming	94
3.7.2	Morphology Chart	95
3.8	Concept Evaluation	96
3.9	Product Geometry Defined	100
3.10	Application of CAD/CAM and The Methods for Mould Design	100
3.11	Moldflow (Part advisor 4)	106
3.12	Research Design	109

3.13	Machining Process	110
3.14	Modification	110
3.15	Verification	112
4	DETAIL DESIGN, ANALYSIS AND FABRICATION	113
4.1	Introduction	113
4.2	Determination of Mould Size	114
4.3	Numbers of Cavities	116
4.4	Determination of The Parting Line	117
4.5	Gating System Design	118
4.6	Design of Runner System	118
4.7	Product Weight Calculation	121
4.8	Air Ventilation System Design	121
4.9	Mould Cooling System Design	123
4.10	Mechanical Design of Mould	126
4.11	Ejection Mechanism Design	128
4.12	Behaviour of Parts Ejection	128
4.13	The Number and Location of Ejector Pin	129
4.14	Type of Ejector Pin	129
4.15	Sprue Bushing	130
4.16	Locating Ring	131
4.17	Spacer	131
4.18	Shrinkage	131
4.19	Computer Aided Design	133
	4.19.1 Computer Aided Part Programming	137
4.20	Analysis	137
	4.20.1 Gate Location	138
	4.20.2 Gate, Runner and Sprue	139
4.21	Limitation of MoldFlow (Part Advisor Version 4)	140
4.22	Result	140
	4.22.1 Moldflow Analysis	140
	4.22.2 Actual Injection Moulding Parameters Setting	141
4.23	Machining	142
4.24	Cost Calculation	149
5	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	150
5.1	Introduction	150
5.2	Result	150
	5.2.1 Analysis Result	150
5.3	Discussion	166
6	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	175
6.1	Conclusion	175
6.2	Recommendation	177
	REFERENCES/BIBLIOGRAPHY	179

APPENDICES	182
A Material Properties	182
B Detail Drawing of The Component	186
C Machine Specification	208
D Component Price list	216
E Setting The Numerical Control	219
F Simulation Result	237
G Tool Specification	280
H Tool Path simulation	287
I Standard Dimension (ASTM) for Plastic Tensile Test	289
BIODATA OF AUTHOR	299



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Comparison between Thermoplastic and Thermosets	34
2.2	Cooling Flow Type According to Re range	51
2.3	Detail Interpretation on The Confidence of Fill Result	76
3.1	A Morphology Chart for Mould Design	98
3.2	Matrix Evaluation for Gating System	98
3.3	Matrix Evaluation for Cooling System	98
3.4	Matrix Evaluation for Runner Layout	99
3.5	Example of Parameter Setting	108
3.6	Example of Matrix Evaluation System for MoldFlow Software & Injection Moulding Machine Parameters Setting.	109
4.1	Mould Base Dimension	114
4.2	Standard Gate Size	118
4.3	Kinematics Viscosity	125
4.4	Estimate Part Cost	149
5.1	Moldflow Parameters Setting	153
5.2	Experiment on Injection Moulding Machine	161



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.1	Flow chart of the traditional design cycle of an injection process	27
2.1	Stress Strain Curve of a Plastic	30
2.2	Refers to the Load-elongation Curve for Thermoplastic	31
2.3	Microstructure of Various Plastic	33
2.4	The Conventional Injection Moulding Machine (The Stuffing Plunger Injection Moulding Machine)	35
2.5	Reciprocating Screw Injection Moulding Machine	37
2.6	Mould Component	40
2.7	Types of Injection Moulds	44
2.8	Weld Line Formation due to Poor Design of Gate System	46
2.9	Jetting due to Sharp Edge	46
2.10	Manually Trimmed Gates	47
2.11	Automatically Trimmed Gates	48
2.12	Method of Venting Thermoplastic Injection Mould	49
2.13	Proper and Efficient Cooling Improves Part Quality and Productivity	50
2.14	Laminar Flow and Turbulent Flow	51
2.15	Cooling-channel Configurations	52
2.16	Typical Dimensions for Cooling Channel Diameter	56
2.17	Common Runner Shape (Crosssectional Area)	56
2.18	Runner Diameter Chart for PP, PA, PE Material	56
2.19	Effect of Runner Length and Length Coefficient on Diameter	57

2.20	Basic Runner System Layout	58
2.21	Filling Pattern From Various Injection Rate in Unbalanced Runner System	58
2.22	Illustrate The Cold Slug Well	60
2.23	Curve Area of Contact between Nozzle Tip and Sprue Bush	61
2.24	Type of injector Pin	62
2.25	Mould Wire Frame Modelling	65
2.26	Plastic Moulding Modelled in Surfaces Modelling	67
2.27	Solid Model with Hidden Line Removed and Associated Mass Properties	67
2.28	Shows How The Plastic Enter and Solidify in The Mould	70
2.29	Difference between Filling and Pressurize Phase	71
2.30	Suitable Gate Location	72
2.31	Weld Line	73
2.32	Meld Line	74
2.33	Air Trap (Flow Distance)	74
2.34	Air Trap (Wall Thickness)	74
2.35	Confidence of Fill	75
2.36	Hesitation	77
2.37	Overpacking	77
2.38	CNC Milling machine	84
2.39	Flow chart Data transfer from CAD to CNC	85
2.40	EDM machine	86
2.41	Principle process for EDM	87

3.1	Objective Tree	89
3.2	Process Flow Chart	103
3.3	Parallel Flow Chart	104
4.1	Uniform Flow Condition	119
4.2	Air Venting System	122
4.3	Parallel Cooling System for Core Plate	125
4.4	Series Cooling System for Cavity Plate.	126
4.5	Parallel Coupling	128
4.6	Series Coupling	128
4.7	Original Dimension for Tensile Test Specimen	133
4.8	Cavity Plate Design in 3D Modelling Wire Frame	134
4.9	Core Plate Design in 3D Modelling Wire Frame	134
4.10	Cavity Plate Design in Solid Modelling	135
4.11	Core Plate Design in 3D Solid Modelling	135
4.12	The 3D Solid Modelling Representation of Plastic Injection Mould	136
4.13	The 3D Solid Modelling of The Desired Moulded Part	136
4.14	Specimen with Shrinkage Allowance	138
4.15	Gate, Runner, Sprue and Specimen Model	139
4.16	Drawing Part for Cavity Plate	143
5.1	Suggested Gate Location for One Product	151
5.2	Best Gate Location	152
5.3	Injection Pressure	154

5.4	Confidence of Fill	155
5.5	Fill Time Result	155
5.6	Quality Prediction	156
5.7	Weld Line	157
5.8	Air Traps	157
5.9	Injection Pressure	158
5.10	Confidence of Fill	158
5.11	Fill Time Result	159
5.12	Quality Prediction	159
5.13	Weld Line	160
5.14	Air Traps	160
E.1	3D Model Represented for Copper Part	219
E.2	2D Represented for Cavity Plate Surface	219
E.3	Operation Manager Dialog Menu	222
E.4	Planner Mill Dialog Menu	223
E.5	The Selected Boundary is Indicated by Red Boundary	225
E.6	Point to Point Dialog Menu	228
E.7	Point 1 and Point 2 Indicate The Selected Point	228
E.8	Selected Boundary (Red Boundary)	233
E.9	CLSF Specification Dialog Menu	235
E.10	CLSF Manager Dialog Menu	236
F.1.1	Injection Pressure	237
F.1.2	Confidence of Fill	238
F.1.3	Fill Time Result	239



F.1.4	Quality Prediction	240
F.1.5	Weld Line	241
F.1.6	Air Traps	242
F.2.1	Injection Pressure	243
F.2.2	Confidence of Fill	244
F.2.3	Fill Time result	245
F.2.4	Quality Prediction	245
F.2.5	Weld Line	246
F.2.6	Air Traps	246
F.3.1	Injection Pressure	247
F.3.2	Confidence of Fill	248
F.3.3	Fill Time Result	248
F.3.4	Quality Prediction	249
F.3.5	Weld Line	249
F.3.6	Air Traps	250
F.4.1	Injection Pressure	251
F.4.2	Confidence of Fill	252
F.4.3	Fill Time Result	252
F.4.4	Quality Prediction	253
F.4.5	Weld Line	253
F.4.6	Air Traps	254
F.5.1	Injection Pressure	255
F.5.2	Confidence of Fill	256
F.5.3	Fill Time Result	256
F.5.4	Quality Prediction	257

F.5.5	Weld Line	257
F.5.6	Air Traps	258
F.6.1	Injection Pressure	259
F.6.2	Confidence of Fill	260
F.6.3	Fill Time Result	260
F.6.4	Quality Prediction	261
F.6.5	Weld Line	261
F.6.6	Air Traps	262
F.7.1	Injection Pressure	263
F.7.2	Confidence of Fill	264
F.7.3	Fill Time Result	264
F.7.4	Quality Prediction	265
F.7.5	Weld Line	266
F.7.6	Air Traps	266
F.8.1	Injection Pressure	267
F.8.2	Confidence of Fill	268
F.8.3	Fill Time Result	268
F.8.4	Quality Prediction	269
F.8.5	Weld Line	270
F.8.6	Air Traps	270
F.9.1	Injection Pressure	271
F.9.2	Confidence of Fill	272
F.9.3	Fill Time Result	272
F.9.4	Quality Prediction	273
F.9.5	Weld Line	273



F.9.6	Air Traps	274
F.10.1	Injection Pressure	275
F.10.2	Confidence of Fill	276
F.10.3	Fill Time Result	277
F.10.4	Quality Prediction	278
F.10.5	Weld Line	278
F.10.6	Air Traps	279



LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
4.1	Drilling Process at The Part of Water Channel	146
4.2	Setting the Datum Position	146
4.3	Set the Electrode Parallel with Table Movement	147
4.4	Actual Cavity Plate	148
4.5	Actual Core Plate	148
5.1	Short Shot Appear at The First Stroke	163
5.2	Flashing at The Final Product	164
5.3	Test result no 6 (Complete product with a good quality)	166

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PE	POLYETHYLENE
PP	POLYPROPYLENE
NC	NUMERICAL CONTROL
PDS	PRODUCT DESIGN SPECIFICATION
QFD	QUALITY FUNCTION DEPLOYMENT
UG	UNIGRAPHIC
3-D	THREE DIMENSIONAL
2-D	TWO DIMENSIONAL
CL	CUTTER LOCATION
CNC	COMPUTER NUMERICAL CONTROL
EDM	ELECTRO DISCHARGE MACHINING
CLSF	CUTTER LOCATION SPECIFICATION FILE
PTP	POINT TO POINT
STL	CERTIFICATE TRUE LIST FILE EXTENSION
MDF	MACHINING DEFINED FILE

