



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**DISTRIBUTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DISEASES OF
DRAGON FRUIT (*Hylocereus* SPP.) IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

**MASANTO MASYAHIT
FP 2010 1**

**DISTRIBUTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DISEASES OF DRAGON
FRUIT (*Hylocereus* SPP.) IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

By

MASANTO MASYAHIT

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, University Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

October 2010



Dedication

“I dedicated this thesis to my beloved families

As a written evidence which

Proved that I had been able to do the useful thing

Because of

Their struggle obstinacy

Which wishful me

Keep going to

Reach my dream “

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of University Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

DISTRIBUTION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF DISEASES OF DRAGON FRUIT (*Hylocereus* SPP.) IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

MASANTO MASYAHIT

October 2010

Chair : Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD

Faculty : Agriculture

Field surveys were conducted from December 2007 to August 2008 at 43 dragon fruit-orchards in Peninsular Malaysia with 50 posts of sampled plants per location. The results revealed that among recorded diseases, anthracnose, brown spot and necrotic spot were encountered in almost all sampled states with the range of frequency around 50 to 100%; whereas fruit brown rot was only found in Negeri Sembilan with frequency of 33.33%. Meanwhile, other diseases such as fruit fungal soft rot, stem end rot and bacterial soft rot occurred in 2, 5 and 6 surveyed states, respectively. Statistical analysis showed that the occurrence of anthracnose and necrotic spot diseases were maximum in Malacca with incidence and severity level around 58.00%; 21.20% and 72.00%; 30.00%, respectively. Meanwhile, the maximum occurrences of bacterial soft rot, brown spot and stem end rot were documented in Johore, Negeri Sembilan and Kelantan with incidence and severity levels of about 17.33%; 4.53%, 94.00%; 25.87%, and 14.00%; 4.20%, respectively. These diseases have strong relationships of incidence and severity with the range of

R^2 value around 0.8995 to 0.9978. Pearson correlation analysis resulted in the incidence of anthracnose which was significantly correlated with necrotic spot (r value of 0.852 at 0.05 level); whereas bacterial soft rot and brown spot were significantly interrelated with each other at the 0.01 level with r value of 0.515. Similarly, the Pearson coefficient correlation also revealed that bacterial soft rot was negatively correlated with temperature (r value of -0.478 at 0.01 level). The positive correlations were shown by bacterial soft rot and brown spot against altitude with r value of 0.508 and 0.523, respectively at 0.01 level. These diseases were categorized according to the characteristics of symptoms found on diseased plants and group of pathogenic agents. Although a number of bacteria and fungi species could be isolated and identified correspondingly based on their responses to biochemical sources within BiOLOG® Microplate as well as their cultural and morphological characteristics, the pathogenicity test showed that only some (e.g. *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Bipolaris* sp., *Botryosphaeria* sp., *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, and *Monilinia* sp.) positively resulted in similar symptoms with diseased plants in the field. In the mean time, the presence of viral infection was successfully detected on young stems with necrotic small mottle or spot symptoms. The spindle-shaped inclusion bodies of filamentous and rod-shaped *Cactus virus X* were observed at 4,000 and 20,000 X magnifications under transmission electronic microscopy (TEM). The findings derived from *in vitro* assays demonstrated that a temperature of 35°C could inhibit the colony diameter of the tested fungi up to around 7.36 mm for *Pestalotiopsis* sp. to 34.63 mm for *Fusarium* sp. during the final incubation period. Although pH 4 might restrict the colony diameter around 43.71 mm for *Botryosphaeria* sp. and 74.87 mm for *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* on the last day of incubation, no significant effect of all pH levels against *Monilinia* sp. was found

after 4 days of incubation (DAI). For the effect of salinity, only the colony growth of *Bipolaris* sp. and *Botryosphaeria* sp. was affected by 100 ppm of salinity level up to 57.50 and 68.26 mm in diameter, respectively at 10 DAI; whereas other fungi could grow well under all salinity treatments. Meanwhile, the test carried out for antagonistic bacteria revealed that the ability of *Burkholderia cepacia*, *B. multivorans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in inhibiting the growth of *Bipolaris* sp., *C. gloeosporioides* and *Pestalotiopsis* sp. fungi was almost maximum at 8 DAI ranging from 61.80 to 85.11%. The effect of the employed bacteria was also found to be maximum against *Fusarium* sp. at 6 DAI with the range of inhibition percentage around 74.79 to 80.67% and this was maximum against *Botryosphaeria* sp. during the final incubation period with the range of 50.18 to 65.63%. The most maximum inhibition was shown by *B. cepacia* against *Monilinia* sp. since the first incubation period. In conclusion, anthracnose, brown spot and necrotic spot were three predominant diseases which have been nationwide found to occur on dragon fruit in Peninsular Malaysia. Statistically, temperature was found to negatively influence the occurrence of bacterial soft rot disease; whereas the altitude was positively correlated with the incidence of bacterial soft rot and brown spot diseases. Some fungal, bacterial and viral plant pathogenic have been proven as the causal agents of these diseases which mostly infected stem and fruit. Under *in vitro* condition, the colony growth of most fungi was affected by the temperature of 35°C, pH 4 and 100 ppm of NaCl concentration; whereas the maximum inhibition ability of antagonistic bacteria against the tested fungi commenced at 2 and 8 DAI. Good agricultural practices can decrease or even prevent some orchards from definite diseases. In addition, proper combination of environmental modification may be useful for the growth of this crop in the fields and its storage life at post harvest preservation. Nevertheless, further

study is required to investigate the probability of disease-resistant species among the dragon fruits species cultivated in Peninsular Malaysia which are not infected by certain diseases.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**TABURAN DAN PENCIRIAN PENYAKIT-PENYAKIT PADA BUAH MATA
NAGA (*Hylocereus SPP.*) DI SEMENANJUNG MALAYSIA**

Oleh

MASANTO MASYAHIT

Oktober 2009

Pengerusi : Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD

Fakulti : Pertanian

Tinjauan-tinjauan lapangan dilakukan dari Disember 2007 sehingga Ogos 2008 di 43 ladang penanaman buah mata naga di Semenanjung Malaysia dengan sample yang mempunyai 50 tiang tanaman di setiap lokasi. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa antraknos, tompok coklat dan bintik nekrotik adalah di antara penyakit-penyakit yang tercatat dan dijumpai di hampir semua negeri pensampelan dengan rentang kekerapan sekitar 50 sehingga 100%; manakala penyakit reput coklat pada buah hanya ditemui di Negeri Sembilan dengan kekerapan 33.33%. Penyakit-penyakit lainnya seperti reput lembik coklat pada buah, reput hujung batang dan reput lembik bakteria masing-masingnya berlaku pada 2, 5 dan 6 negeri-negeri yang ditinjau. Analisis statistik menunjukkan bahawa kejadian penyakit antraknos dan bintik nekrotik adalah maksima di Melaka dengan taraf kejadian dan keterukan masing-masingnya sekitar 58.00%; 21.20% dan 72.00%; 30.00%. Sementara itu, kejadian maksima penyakit reput lembik bakteria, tompok coklat dan reput hujung batang dicatat di Johor, Negeri Sembilan dan Kelantan dengan taraf kejadian dan keterukan masing-masingnya sekitar 17.33%; 4.53%, 94.00%; 25.87%, dan 14.00%; 4.20%.

Penyakit-penyakit ini mempunyai hubungan yang kuat antara taraf kejadian dan keterukannya dengan nilai R^2 di antara 0.8995 hingga 0.9978. Sementara itu, analisis pertalian Pearson menunjukkan kejadian antraknos yang secara nyata saling berkaitan dengan bintik nekrotik (nilai r 0.852 pada taraf 0.05); manakala penyakit reput lembik bakteria dan tompok coklat didapati berhubung-kait secara terus dengan satu sama yang lain pada taraf 0.01 dengan nilai r 0.515. Koefisien pertalian Pearson juga menyatakan bahawa penyakit reput lembik bakteria berhubung-kait secara negatif dengan suhu (nilai r -0.478 pada taraf 0.01. Hubungan positif yang ditunjukkan oleh penyakit reput lembik bakteria dan tompok coklat terhadap altitud adalah dengan nilai r masing-masingnya 0.508 dan 0.523 pada taraf 0.01. Penyakit-penyakit ini dikategorikan mengikut ciri-ciri gejala pada tanaman yang sakit dan kelompok ejen-ejen patogenik. Walaupun sejumlah spesies bakteria dan kulat dapat diasingkan dan dikenalpasti secara bersesuaian berasaskan kepada sumber-sumber biokimia dalam Microplate BiOLOG® dan ciri-ciri kultural dan morfologinya, ujian patogenisiti menunjukkan bahawa hanya sesetengah daripadanya seperti *Enterobacter cloacae*, *Bipolaris* sp., *Botryosphaeria* sp., *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides*, dan *Monilinia* sp. menghasilkan gejala yang sama secara positif seperti tanaman-tanaman yang sakit di lapangan. Dalam pada itu, kehadiran jangkitan virus juga telah berjaya dikesan pada batang muda dengan pertanda bintik atau tompok kecil nekrotik. Tubuh-tubuh inklusi berbentuk gelendong daripada *Cactus virus X* yang berbentuk filamen dan batang panjang diamati pada pembesaran 4,000 dan 20,000X dibawah mikroskop transmisi elektronik (TEM). Penemuan-penemuan ujian *in vitro* menunjukkan bahawa suhu 35°C dapat menjejaskan garis tengah koloni kulat yang diuji sehingga berkisar pada 7.36 mm bagi *Pestalotiopsis* sp. dan sehingga 34.63 mm bagi *Fusarium* sp. pada jangkamasa pengeraman

terakhir. Walaupun pH 4 boleh menyekat garis tengah koloni pada kisaran 43.71 mm bagi *Botryosphaeria* sp. dan 74.87 mm bagi *Colletotrichum gloeosporioides* pada hari terakhir pengeraman, tiada kesan nyata daripada semua peringkat pH terhadap *Monilinia* sp. dijumpai daripada semua peringkat pH selepas 4 hari pengeraman (HSP). Untuk kesan paras kandungan garam, hanya pertumbuhan koloni daripada *Bipolaris* sp. dan *Botryosphaeria* sp. didapati telah dipengaruhi oleh paras kandungan garam 100 ppm sehingga masing-masing garis tengah koloninya 57.50 dan 68.26 mm pada 10 HSP; sedangkan kulat lainnya mampu tumbuh dengan baik dibawah semua perlakuan paras kandungan garam berkenaan. Ujian bakteria antagonistik menunjukkan bahawa kemampuan *Burkholderia cepacia*, *B. multivorans* dan *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* dalam menjejaskan pertumbuhan kulat *Bipolaris* sp., *C. gloeosporioides* dan *Pestalotiopsis* sp. yang kebanyakannya maksima pada 8 HSP dengan peratusan di antara 61.80 hingga 85.1%. Kesan bakteria-bakteria yang diuji tersebut juga maksima terhadap *Fusarium* sp. pada 6 HSP dengan peratusan penghambatan sekitar 74.79 hingga 80.67% dan ini juga didapati maksima terhadap *Botryosphaeria* sp. pada pengeraman terakhir dengan peratusan sekitar 50.18 hingga 65.63%. Penghambatan yang paling maksima ditunjukkan oleh *B. cepacia* terhadap *Monilinia* sp. sejak jangkamasa pengeraman pertama. Kesimpulannya, antraknos, tompok coklat dan bintik nekrotik ialah tiga penyakit utama yang terjadi pada buah mata naga secara merata di kesemua negeri di Semenanjung Malaysia. Secara statistik, suhu didapati mempengaruhi kejadian penyakit reput lembik bakteria secara negatif; manakala altitud berhubung-kait secara positif dengan kejadian penyakit reput lembik bakteria dan tompok coklat. Kajian juga membuktikan bahawa beberapa kulat, bakteria dan virus patogenik tumbuhan sebagai ejen-ejen penyebab penyakit-penyakit ini yang kebanyakannya

menjangkiti batang dan buah mata naga. Dibawah kondisi *in vitro*, pertumbuhan koloni kebanyakan kulat dipengaruhi oleh suhu 35°C, pH 4 dan paras kandungan garam 100 ppm; manakala kemampuan penghambatan yang maksima didapati daripada bakteria antagonistik terhadap kulat-kulat yang diuji bermula pada 6 HSP. Oleh itu, amalan-alaman pertanian yang baik boleh menurunkan dan bahkan mencegah penyakit tertentu daripada menyerang sesetengah ladang. Penggabungan pengubahsuaian persekitaran yang tepat juga dapat membantu di dalam pertumbuhan tanaman di lapangan dan jangkamasa penyimpanan buah semasa pengawetan yang dilakukan selepas penuaian. Walau bagaimanapun, kajian lanjut masih diperlukan untuk menyiasat kemungkinan species tahan penyakit atau tidak boleh dijangkiti penyakit-penyakit tertentu di antara semua jenis buah mata naga yang diusahakan di Semenanjung Malaysia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, I am grateful to Allah for the blessing and the guidance during my life, particularly awarding the special chance to be a postgraduate student under Master of Science program in Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM). This is the most valuable opportunity to exhaustively study and explore agricultural science as one of the most glorious of His creations.

Exclusively, I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to parents, Mr. Masyahit Arrohman and Mrs. Zuriati Ahek, for their never ending truthful pray and encouragement in my entire life. To my sisters Susana and Nani Lidia as well as my brother Undiyansyah, I would also like to show my greatest gratitude for supporting me and taking care our parents while I have to make a long distance with them due to continuing my study in overseas. I would also like to address my special thanks to Cintia Anggraini for her support, understanding and endless love.

I would also like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Kamaruzaman Sijam as the chairman of my supervisory committee for his continuous supervision during the period of my graduate study. A lot of new priceless experiences I gained as his supervised master student, either throughout conducting my experiments in plant pathology field at Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, UPM or for the period of my stay in this truly Asia country, Malaysia.

My sincere appreciation also goes to Associate Professor Dr. Yahya Awang as the member of the supervisory committee for his constructive comments for the duration



of my research and thesis writing. I also thankfully acknowledge Mr. Mohd Ghazali Mohd Satar for his assistance in accompanying me doing the surveys as well as in sharing important information pertaining to dragon fruit cultivation in Peninsular Malaysia.

To all lab technicians in Microbiology and Pathology Laboratories under Department of Plant Protection and in Microscopy Unit under Institute of Biological Sciences (IBS), UPM, I would like to extend my acknowledgment for their assistances in conducting my lab researches. My thanks also go to all dragon fruit growers in Peninsular Malaysia for allowing me performing the samplings and collecting samples in their farms as well as for sharing their field-experiences in planting dragon fruit.

Special appreciations are expressed to all my lab mates, Azlan, Farah, Hazwani, Norsazilawati, Roslina, Shokrollah and Sholmaaz for their kind cooperation and friendship. To my bench friends, Mohd Naim, Neni Kartini, Norhayati, Sathiapriya and Yang Jie Yong, I am thankful for their togetherness, either in certain courses or in other occasions.

For their familiarity and encouragement, as the youngest mate, I would like to show my thankful appreciations to my house mates, Bang Saiful Bahri Panjaitan, Mas Muhammad Zukhrufuz Zaman, Mohd Shamzi Mohamed and Ahmad Nafi. Last but not least, my grateful gratitude also goes to all Indonesian students in UPM as well as in other universities who are joined into Indonesian Students Association for their good collaboration in studying and staying in Malaysia.



I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 14 October 2009 to conduct the final examination of Masanto Masyahit on his thesis entitled “Distribution and Characterization of Diseases of Dragon Fruit (*Hylocereus Spp.*) in Peninsular Malaysia” in accordance with Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Radziah Othman, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Jugah Kadir, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Zainal Abidin Mior Ahmad, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Sepiah Muid, PhD

Associate Professor
Department of Plant Science and Environmental Ecology
Faculty of Resource Science and Technology
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak
Malaysia
(External Examiner)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 December 2009



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Yahya Awang, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 January 2010

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

MASANTO MASYAHIT

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
TITLE PAGE	i
DEDICATION PAGE	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
APPROVAL	xiii
DECLARATION	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES	xxv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
CHAPTER	
1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 General Objectives	3
1.3 Statement of the Problem	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Dragon Fruit	5
2.1.1 Origin, Distribution and Classification	5
2.1.2 Botanical Characteristics	9
2.1.3 Cytogenetical Characteristics	11
2.1.4 Ecological Characteristics	11
2.2 Cultivation of Dragon Fruit	12
2.2.1 Propagation	12
2.2.2 Land Preparation	13
2.2.3 Trellises Construction	13
2.2.4 Spacing	14
2.2.5 Training to Trellises	14
2.2.6 Irrigation	15
2.2.7 Fertilization	15
2.2.8 Pruning	16
2.2.9 Flowering Stimulation	17
2.2.10 Manual Pollination	17
2.2.11 Management of Abiotic and Biotic Disorders	18
2.2.12 Harvesting	21
2.2.13 Post-harvest Handling	21
2.3 Commercialization and Marketing	25
2.4 Uses and Nutritional Content	26
2.5 Production Cost and Profitability	28
2.6 Genetic Resources and Breeding Improvement	29
2.7 Future Prospect	29
2.8 The Status of Dragon Fruit in Malaysia	30
2.8.1 Dragon Fruit Cultivation in Malaysia	30
2.8.2 Researches on Dragon Fruit in Malaysia	33

3	ASSESSMENT OF OCCURRENCE AND DISTRIBUTION OF DISEASES	36
	3.1 Introduction	36
	3.2 Specific Objectives	37
	3.3 Materials and Methods	37
	3.3.1 Surveys of Dragon Fruit-Growing Areas	37
	3.3.2 Assessment of Diseases	37
	3.3.3 Statistical Analysis	38
	3.4 Results and Discussion	39
	3.4.1 Surveys of Dragon Fruit-Growing Areas	39
	3.4.2 Assessment of Diseases	39
	3.4.3 Statistical Analysis	44
	3.5 Conclusion	56
4	CATEGORIZATION OF SYMPTOMS OF DISEASES AND CHARACTERIZATION OF PATHOGENIC AGENTS	57
	4.1 Introduction	57
	4.2 Specific Objectives	58
	4.3 Materials and Methods	58
	4.3.1 Characterization of the Symptoms of the Diseases	58
	4.3.2 Isolation of Pathogenic Agents	58
	Isolation of Pathogenic Fungi	58
	Isolation of Pathogenic Bacteria	59
	Detection of Pathogenic Virus	59
	4.3.3 Identification of Pathogenic Agents	60
	Identification of Pathogenic Fungi	60
	Identification of Pathogenic Bacteria	61
	Identification of Pathogenic Virus	61
	4.3.4 <i>In Vitro</i> Pathogenicity Tests	61
	<i>In Vitro</i> Pathogenicity Test of Pathogenic Fungi	61
	<i>In Vitro</i> Pathogenicity Test of Pathogenic Bacteria	62
	4.4 Results and Discussion	63
	4.4.1 Anthracnose Disease	68
	4.4.2 Bacterial Soft Rot Disease	71
	4.4.3 Brown Spot Disease	74
	4.4.4 Fruit Brown Rot Disease	75
	4.4.5 Fruit Fungal Soft Rot and Stem End Rot Diseases	77
	4.4.6 Necrotic Spot Disease	80
	4.5 Conclusion	83
5	FACTORS AFFECTING THE GROWTH OF PATHOGENS ASSOCIATED WITH DISEASES UNDER <i>IN VITRO</i> CONDITION	84
	5.1 Introduction	84
	5.2 Specific Objectives	85
	5.3 Materials and Methods	85
	5.3.1 Effect of Temperature on Colony Growth of Pathogens	85
	5.3.2 Effect of pH on Colony Growth of Pathogens	85
	5.3.3 Effect of Salinity on Colony Growth of Pathogens	86
	5.3.4 Effect of Antagonistic Bacteria on Colony Growth of	

Pathogens	86
5.3.5 Statistical Analysis	87
5.4 Results and Discussion	87
5.4.1 Effect of Temperature on Colony Growth of Pathogens	87
5.4.2 Effect of pH on Colony Growth of Pathogens	93
5.4.3 Effect of Sainity on Colony Growth of Pathogens	98
5.4.4 Effect of Antagonistic Bacteria on Colony Growth of Pathogens under	102
5.5 Conclusion	110
6 SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	111
REFERENCES	114
APPENDICES A	130
APPENDICES B	149
APPENDICES C	157
BIODATA OF STUDENT	189
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	190



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1 Dragon fruit-planting areas in several countries	2
2.1 Some vernacular names of dragon fruit	6
2.2 Classification and characteristics of <i>Hylocereus</i> species according to Britton & Rose (1963)	8
2.3 Grading standards for dragon fruits in some producing countries	24
2.4 Nutrient values per 100 g of fresh dragon fruit flesh	27
2.5 The acreage of dragon fruit growing areas in Malaysia until 2006	31
2.6 Grading standards for dragon fruit in Malaysia	32
3.1 The occurrence of observed diseases on dragon fruit in surveyed orchards in Peninsular Malaysia	41
3.2 The frequency of diseases occurred on surveyed states in Peninsular Malaysia	43
3.3 The occurrence of diseases on dragon fruit in different states in Peninsular Malaysia	48
3.4 The Pearson correlation among the occurrences of diseases	51
3.5 The Pearson correlation of the occurrences of diseases with weather and cultural factors	53
4.1 Categorization of disease symptoms	63
4.2 Fungi isolated from infected dragon fruit with fungal diseases symptoms	65
4.3 Bacteria species isolated from infected dragon fruit	67
4.4 Results of <i>in vitro</i> pathogenicity tests of isolated fungi and bacteria	67
A.1 Characteristics of surveyed dragon fruit-growing areas in Peninsular Malaysia	130
A.2 GPS degree of dragon fruit-growing areas surveyed in Peninsular Malaysia (December 2007 – August 2008)	133
A.3 Distribution of isolated bacteria and fungi from surveyed areas in Peninsular Malaysia	134
A.4 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of anthracnose disease	137
A.5 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of anthracnose disease	137
A.6 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of bacterial soft rot disease	137
A.7 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of bacterial soft rot disease	137
A.8 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of brown spot disease	137
A.9 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of brown spot disease	138
A.10 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of fruit brown rot disease	138
A.11 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of fruit brown rot disease	138
A.12 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of fruit fungal soft rot disease	138
A.13 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of fruit fungal soft rot disease	138
A.14 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of necrotic spot disease	139
A.15 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of necrotic spot disease	139
A.16 ANOVA of GLM procedure for incidence of stem end rot disease	139
A.17 ANOVA of GLM procedure for severity of stem end rot disease	139
B.1 Responses of isolated bacteria to carbon sources in GN2 Micoplate (BiOLOG, Hayward CA 94545; USA)	149
C.1 Effect of temperature on colony growth of pathogens	157
C.2 Effect of pH on colony growth of pathogens	158



C.3	Effect of salinity on colony growth of pathogens	159
C.4	Effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of pathogens	160
C.5	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 2 DAI	161
C.6	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 4 DAI	161
C.7	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 6 DAI	161
C.8	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 8 DAI	161
C.9	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 10 DAI	161
C.10	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> condition at 2 DAI	162
C.11	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 4 DAI	162
C.12	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 6 DAI	162
C.13	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 8 DAI	162
C.14	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> 10 DAI	162
C.15	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 2 DAI	163
C.16	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 4 DAI	163
C.17	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 6 DAI	163
C.18	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 8 DAI	163
C.19	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 10 DAI	163
C.20	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 2 DAI	164
C.21	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 4 DAI	164
C.22	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 6 DAI	164
C.23	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 8 DAI	164
C.24	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 10 DAI	164
C.25	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 2 DAI	165
C.26	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 4 DAI	165
C.27	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 6 DAI	165
C.28	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 8 DAI	165

C.29	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 10 DAI	165
C.30	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 2 DAI	166
C.31	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 4 DAI	166
C.32	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 6 DAI	166
C.33	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 8 DAI	166
C.34	ANOVA for effect of temperature on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 10 DAI	166
C.35	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 2 DAI	167
C.36	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 4 DAI	167
C.37	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 6 DAI	167
C.38	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 8 DAI	167
C.39	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 10 DAI	167
C.40	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 2 DAI	168
C.41	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 4 DAI	168
C.42	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 6 DAI	168
C.43	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 8 DAI	168
C.44	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 10 DAI	168
C.45	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 2 DAI	169
C.46	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 4 DAI	169
C.47	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 6 DAI	169
C.48	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 8 DAI	169
C.49	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 10 DAI	169
C.50	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 2 DAI	170
C.51	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 4 DAI	170
C.52	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 6 DAI	170
C.53	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 8 DAI	170
C.54	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 10 DAI	170
C.55	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 2 DAI	171
C.56	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 4 DAI	171
C.57	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 6 DAI	171
C.58	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 8 DAI	171
C.59	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 10 DAI	171

C.60	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 2 DAI	172
C.61	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 4 DAI	172
C.62	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 6 DAI	172
C.63	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 8 DAI	172
C.64	ANOVA for effect of pH on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 10 DAI	172
C.65	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 2 DAI	173
C.66	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 4 DAI	173
C.67	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 6 DAI	173
C.68	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 8 DAI	173
C.69	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 10 DAI	173
C.70	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 2 DAI	174
C.71	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 4 DAI	174
C.72	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 6 DAI	174
C.73	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 8 DAI	174
C.74	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 10 DAI	174
C.75	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 2 DAI	175
C.76	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 4 DAI	175
C.77	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 6 DAI	175
C.78	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 8 DAI	175
C.79	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 10 DAI	175
C.80	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 2 DAI	176
C.81	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 4 DAI	176
C.82	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 6 DAI	176
C.83	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 8 DAI	176
C.84	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 10 DAI	176

C.85	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 2 DAI	177
C.86	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 4 DAI	177
C.87	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 6 DAI	177
C.88	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 8 DAI	177
C.89	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 10 DAI	177
C.90	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 2 DAI	178
C.91	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 4 DAI	178
C.92	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 6 DAI	178
C.93	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 8 DAI	178
C.94	ANOVA for effect of salinity on colony growth of <i>Botryosphaeria</i> sp. at 10 DAI	178
C.95	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 2 DAI	179
C.96	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 4 DAI	179
C.97	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 6 DAI	179
C.98	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 8 DAI	179
C.99	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Bipolaris</i> sp. at 10 DAI	179
C.100	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 2 DAI	180
C.101	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 4 DAI	180
C.102	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 6 DAI	180
C.103	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 8 DAI	180
C.104	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Colletotrichum gloeosporioides</i> at 10 DAI	180
C.105	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 2 DAI	181
C.106	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 4 DAI	181
C.107	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 6 DAI	181
C.108	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 8 DAI	181
C.109	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Fusarium</i> sp. at 10 DAI	181

C.110	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 2 DAI	182
C.111	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 4 DAI	182
C.112	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 6 DAI	182
C.113	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 8 DAI	182
C.114	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Monilinia</i> sp. at 10 DAI	182
C.115	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 2 DAI	183
C.116	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 4 DAI	183
C.117	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 6 DAI	183
C.118	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 8 DAI	183
C.119	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Pestalotiopsis</i> sp. at 10 DAI	183
C.120	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Boytriosphaeria</i> sp. at 2 DAI	184
C.121	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Boytriosphaeria</i> sp. at 4 DAI	184
C.122	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Boytriosphaeria</i> sp. at 6 DAI	184
C.123	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Boytriosphaeria</i> sp. at 8 DAI	184
C.124	ANOVA for effect of antagonistic bacteria on colony growth of <i>Boytriosphaeria</i> sp. at 10 DAI	184