



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**PRODUCTION BIOTECHNOLOGY WITH CONTROLLED  
ENVIRONMENTAL REGIMES, SUBSTRATES AND ENZYME  
MARKERS FOR THE SHIITAKE MUSHROOM, LENTINULA EDODES**

**GANISAN KRISHNEN**

**FP 2001 17**



**PRODUCTION BIOTECHNOLOGY WITH CONTROLLED  
ENVIRONMENTAL REGIMES, SUBSTRATES AND ENZYME MARKERS  
FOR THE SHIITAKE MUSHROOM, *LENTINULA EDODES***

0272-02460

**By**

**GANISAN KRISHNEN**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of  
Master of Science in the Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**June 2001**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**PRODUCTION BIOTECHNOLOGY WITH CONTROLLED  
ENVIRONMENTAL REGIMES, SUBSTRATES AND ENZYME MARKERS  
FOR THE SHIITAKE MUSHROOM, *LENTINULA EDODES***

By

**GANISAN KRISHNEN**

**June 2001**

**Chairman : Tan Yee How, Ph.D.**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

Mycelial growth of *L. edodes* was optimum at 25°C in six of the seven strains of *L. edodes* tested. Mycelial biomass production was greater on sawdust than grain with 0.53 to 1.60 mg glucosamine per 200 g substrate derived from sawdust compared to 0.02 to 0.2 mg glucosamine per 200 g from grain. In fructification, a fluctuating temperature set of 15°C (8 h)/25°C (16 h), with continuous lighting at 25-35 lux, humidity of 80-95% and aeration of 1.3 - 2.5 m/s produced the highest yield or Biological Efficiency (B.E.) in 11 of the 13 strains tested at three temperature sets. Strains L38 (7.1-32.7% B.E.) and L300 (7.2-35.5% B.E.) were the best yield producers at all three temperature sets. Mycelial density during spawn-run was found to be uncorrelated with yield in the 13 strains tested.

In the present study, specific activities of three lignocellulolytic enzymes, laccase, carboxymethylcellulase (CMC'ase) and xylanase indicated that the second week and 17<sup>th</sup> week was the correct time to initiate fruiting on liquid and rubber sawdust medium respectively. In liquid medium, laccase specific activity reached its highest specific activity of 136.69 Umin<sup>-1</sup> (mg protein)<sup>-1</sup> on the second week of



incubation before recording a sharp decline prior to primordia initiation on the third week and recorded its lowest activity of  $2.88 \text{ Umin}^{-1} (\text{mg protein})^{-1}$  during the development of mature fruit body which occurred at the fourth week of incubation. In contrast, xylanase showed a decline in specific activity during the first two weeks of incubation but increased prior to primordia initiation on the third week and until the development of mature fruit body the fourth week where xylanase specific activity was at its highest at  $39.6 \text{ Umin}^{-1} (\text{mg protein})^{-1}$ . The drastic decline in laccase specific activity and increase in xylanase specific activity after the second week could be used as morphogenetic markers for primordia initiation. In solid medium the sudden increase in CMCase specific activity on the 17<sup>th</sup> week from  $0.11 \text{ Umin}^{-1} (\text{mg protein})^{-1}$  to  $0.60 \text{ Umin}^{-1} (\text{mg protein})^{-1}$  on 18<sup>th</sup> week corresponded to the onset and development of primordia.

C-serum supplementation in Leatham's medium and rubber sawdust had no promotional effect on fruiting. Supplementation of C-serum from *Hevea* latex on a Leatham's medium increased mycelial biomass production in the early stages of growth. Mycelial biomass production in supplemented medium ranged between 17.8 - 249.5 mg while in control, the mats weighed at 7.0 - 173.8 mg over the 13 weeks of incubation. Supplemented medium fruited at fourth week while the control only on the sixth week of incubation. Meanwhile, C-serum supplementation on *Hevea* wood sawdust significantly reduced yield from 24.4% B.E. to 8.3% B.E. even though there was a slight increase in biomass of mycelia. Supplementation of C-serum in PDB and *Acacia* sawdust medium stimulated both mycelial growth and fruiting. Supplemented PDB produced a yield of 2.8 - 49.8 mg while the control failed to produce any fruit bodies. To date, no fruiting of shiitake on PDB as a

medium has ever been reported. In *Acacia* sawdust, C-serum supplementation induced fruiting (18.1% B.E.) while the control failed to produce any fruit bodies.

Three strains of *L. edodes*, L38, L272, L2161 grown on rubber wood sawdust, palm pressed fibres (PPF) and five combinations of grass substrates showed that rubber wood sawdust was better for mycelial growth compared to PPF and grass substrates. Strain L38 required 36.0 days to colonize the rubber sawdust medium while both L272 and L2161 needed 37.0 days respectively. This growth was significantly faster compared than one on PPF substrates where strain L38, L272 and L2161 took 42.3, 41.0 and 38.5 days to colonize the substrate respectively. All three strains recorded significantly slower colonization in all the grass substrates compared to rubber sawdust and PPF. In fructification, only rubber wood sawdust and grass treatment C (*Imperata cylindrica*) produced fruiting in strains L38 and L2161 with no significant differences in fruit body yield between both strains on both substrates. Strain L38 recorded 21.8% B.E. and 23.3% B.E. on rubber sawdust and grass treatment C respectively while strain L 2161 recorded 11.9% and 12.8% B.E for the rubber sawdust and grass substrates respectively.

Knowledge of an optimal temperature - humidity - light - aeration regime for a particular strain, employing morphogenetic enzyme markers and using productive substrate and supplements all contribute to the development of a precision shiitake cultivation technology.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**BIOTEKNOLOGI PENGHASILAN CENDAWAN DENGAN  
PERSEKITARAN TERKAWAL, SUBSTRAT DAN PENANDA ENZIM  
UNTUK CENDAWAN SHIITAKE, *LENTINULA EDODES***

Oleh

**GANISAN KRISHNEN**

**Jun 2001**

**Pengerusi : Tan Yee How, Ph.D.**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Pertumbuhan miselium adalah optimum pada 25°C bagi enam dari tujuh strain *Lentinula edodes* (*L. edodes*) yang diuji. Habuk kayu menghasilkan lebih banyak miselia daripada bijirin di mana 0.53-1.60 mg dan 0.02-0.2 mg glukosamin dihasilkan masing-masing bagi setiap 200 g medium habuk kayu dan bijirin. Set suhu 15°C(8j)/25°C(16j), cahaya berterusan (25-35 lux), kelembapan sebanyak 80-95% dan kelajuan pengudaraan 1.3-2.5 m/s menghasilkan Keefisienan Biologi (K.B) yang tertinggi bagi 11 dari 13 strain pada tiga set suhu yang diuji. Strain L38 (7.1-32.7% K.B.) dan L300 (7.2-35.5% K.B.) merupakan penghasil K.B. yang terbaik bagi kesemua set suhu yang diuji. Kepadatan miselia yang dihasilkan semasa inkubasi adalah tidak berkolerasi dengan K.B. bagi kesemua strain yang diuji.

Aktiviti spesifik dari tiga enzim lignoselulolitik iaitu laccase, CMC'ase dan xilanase menunjukkan bahawa minggu kedua bagi medium cecair dan minggu ketujuhbelas bagi media habuk kayu adalah waktu yang sesuai untuk memulakan pembuahan cendawan. Aktiviti spesifik bagi laccase dan xilanase pada minggu kedua adalah 136.69 Umin<sup>-1</sup> (mg protein)<sup>-1</sup> dan 7.35 Umin<sup>-1</sup> (mg protein)<sup>-1</sup> masing-masing.

aktiviti spesifik laccase dan kenaikan aktiviti spesifik xilanase selepas minggu kedua sebelum penghasilan primordia pada minggu ketiga pengeraman, ini boleh digunakan sebagai penanda morfogenetik bagi penghasilan primordium. Bagi medium habuk kayu, hanya CMC'ase didapati sesuai digunakan sebagai penanda morfogenetik. Peningkatan mendadak aktiviti spesifik CMC'ase pada minggu ketujuhbelas ( $0.11 \text{ Umin}^{-1} (\text{mg protein})^{-1}$ ) sehingga minggu kelapanbelas ( $0.60 \text{ Umin}^{-1} (\text{mg protein})^{-1}$ ) adalah bersamaan penghasilan primordium.

Penambahan C-serum pada medium Leatham dan habuk kayu getah tidak menunjukkan kesan yang menggalakkan dari segi pembuahan cendawan. Penambahan serum dari lateks getah ini hanya menunjukkan peningkatan biomas miselia pada peringkat awal pertumbuhan. Miselia yang dihasilkan dengan penambahan serum adalah sebanyak 17.8-249.5 mg berbanding dengan kawalan sebanyak 7.0-173.8 mg sepanjang 13 minggu pengeraman. Media dengan penambahan serum menghasilkan cendawan pada minggu keempat manakala kawalan pula pada minggu keenam pengeraman. Manakala Habuk kayu getah dengan penambahan serum mengurangkan K.B. dari 24.4% (kawalan) kepada 8.3% (media ditambah serum). Bagi media Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) dan habuk kayu *Acacia* dengan penambahan C-serum menggalakkan penghasilan miselium dan hasil cendawan. Media PDB dengan penambahahan serum menghasilkan cendawan sebanyak 2.8 - 49.8 mg manakala kawalan pula gagal menghasilkan cendawan. Sehingga kini tiada laporan tentang penghasilan cendawan pada PDB. Penambahan serum ini pada habuk kayu *Acacia* menggalakan penghasilan cendawan (18.1% K.B.) manakala kawalannya gagal sama sekali untuk berbuat demikian.

Tiga strain *L. edodes*, L38, L272, dan L2161 yang dikultur pada habuk kayu getah, serabut kelapa sawit (PPF) dan lima kombinasi rumput menunjukkan bahawa hanya habuk kayu getah sahaja menghasilkan pertumbuhan miselia terbaik dibandingkan dengan PPF dan substrat rumput. Strain L38 memerlukan 36.0 hari untuk mengkoloni habuk kayu getah manakala kedua-dua strain L272 dan L2161 memerlukan 37.0 hari untuk tujuan yang sama. Pertumbuhan ini adalah cepat jika dibandingkan dengan PPF, di mana strain L38, L272 dan L2161 masing-masing mengambil 42.3, 41.0 dan 38.5 untuk mengkoloni substrat. Kesemua strain menunjukkan pertumbuhan yang lambat pada kesemua kombinasi rumput yang diuji. Untuk penghasilan cendawan, hanya strain L38 dan L2161 berjaya menghasilkan cendawan pada media habuk kayu getah dan rumput kombinasi C (*Imperata cylindrica*) tanpa sebarang perbezaan signifikan. Strain L38 menghasilkan K.B. yang bernilai 21.8% dan 23.3% masing-masing bagi media habuk kayu getah dan rumput kombinasi C, manakala strain L2161 pula menghasilkan K.B. yang bernilai 11.9% dan 12.8% bagi habuk kayu getah dan rumput kombinasi C.

Pengetahuan tentang suhu-kelembapan-cahaya-pengudaraan yang optima terhadap strain yang tertentu, penggunaan penanda morfogenetik dan substrat yang produktif serta penambahan serum menyumbang ke arah penghasilan teknologi penanaman cendawan yang canggih.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to my supervisors Associate Prof. Dr. Tan Yee How, Associate Prof. Dr. Mohd. Noor Abdul Wahab and Dr. Zainal Abidin Mior Ahmad for their invaluable advice, guidance, support and encouragement throughout my project.

Special thanks are extended to Mr. V. Ganesan for his invaluable help in preparation of this thesis and Dr. Jugah Kadir for his advice and encouragement.

Heartfelt thanks are offered to those helpful staff, Mr. Govindan, Mr. Hamdan, Mr. Lim, Mr. Mohd. Khir from Department of Plant Protection and Mr. Karim, Mr. Onn and Miss Ruhaidah from Department of Biochemistry and Microbiology.

I wish to express my special thanks to my parents, sisters and brother and other family members who have given so much of their love and encouragement.

Finally, I would like to thank all my friends who have either directly or indirectly helped in this project.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 6<sup>th</sup> June 2001 to conduct the final examination of Ganisan Krishnen, on his Master of Science thesis entitled "Production Biotechnology with Controlled Environmental Regimes, Substrates and Enzyme Markers for the Shiitake Mushroom, *Lentinula edodes*" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows :

**KAMARUZAMAN SIJAM, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Department of Plant Protection  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**TAN YEE HOW, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
( Member )

**ZAINAL ABIDIN MIOR AHMAD, Ph.D.**

Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
( Member )

**MOHD. NOOR WAHAB, Ph.D.**

Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
( Member )



---

**MOHD. GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 28 JUN 2001



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.



---

**AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: **12 JUL 2001**

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that this thesis has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or any other institutions.

Signed

  
**GANISAN KRISHNEN**

Date :

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	viii
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS</b> .....	ix
<b>DECLARATION FORM</b> .....	xi
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xvi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xviii
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b> .....	xxxiii

### CHAPTER

<b>1.0</b>	<b>GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>2.0</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	8
	2.1. Biological Nature of <i>Lentinula edodes</i> .....	8
	2.2. Nutritional Requirement for the <i>L. edodes</i> Growth .....	10
	2.3. Environmental Factors Influencing the Mycelial Growth and Fruiting of <i>L. edodes</i> .....	12
	2.3.1. Temperature .....	12
	2.3.2. Light .....	13
	2.3.3. Humidity .....	14
	2.3.4. Aeration .....	15
	2.4. Biomass and Glucosamine Estimation .....	16
	2.5. Lignocellulolytic Enzymes as a Morphogenetic Marker ..	17
	2.6. <i>Hevea</i> Latex as a Growth Inducer for Shiitake .....	21
	2.7. Bioconversion of Lignocellulose into Edible Mushroom ..	23
	2.7.1. Nutrient Content of Lignocellulosic Materials .....	24
<b>3.0</b>	<b>ANAMORPHIC ( MYCELIAL ) AND TELIOMORPHIC ( FRUITING ) STUDIES</b> .....	26
	3.1. Introduction .....	26
	3.1.1. Anamorphic studies .....	27
	3.1.1.1. Measurement of Mycelial Biomass on Sawdust and Grain Substrates .....	28
	3.1.2. Teliomorphic/Fruiting Studies .....	29
	3.2. Materials and Methods .....	30
	3.2.1. Anamorphic (Mycelial) Studies .....	30
	3.2.1.1. Mycelial Growth of <i>L. edodes</i> Strains on Potato Dextrose Agar ( PDA ) at Different Temperatures .....	30
	3.2.1.2. Measurement of Mycelial Biomass on Sawdust and Grains Substrates .....	31



	<b>Page</b>
3.2.1.2.1. Chitin Assay .....	33
3.2.2. Fruit Body Production at Three Different Sets of Temperature .....	38
3.2.2.1. Strains and Spawn .....	38
3.2.2.2. Production Bags .....	39
3.2.2.3. Spawn Run .....	40
3.2.2.4. Fruiting .....	40
3.2.2.5. Parameters .....	42
3.2.2.6. Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis .....	44
3.3. Results .....	45
3.3.1. Mycelial Growth of <i>L. edodes</i> Strains on Potato Dextrose Agar ( PDA ) at Different Temperatures. ....	45
3.3.2. Measurement of Mycelial Biomass on Sawdust and Grains Substrates .....	51
3.3.3. Shiitake Fructification at Different Sets of Temperature .....	55
3.3.4. Correlation Test Between Mycelial Biomass Production and Biological Efficiency .....	70
3.4. Discussion .....	73
3.4.1. Mycelial Growth of <i>L. edodes</i> Strains on Potato Dextrose Agar ( PDA ) at Different Temperatures. ....	73
3.4.2. Measurement of Mycelial Biomass on Sawdust and Grains Substrates .....	74
3.4.3. Shiitake Fructification at Different Sets of Temperature .....	76
3.4.4. Correlation Test Between Mycelial Biomass Production and Biological Efficiency .....	83
3.5. Conclusion .....	85
<b>4.0 EXTRACELLULAR LIGNOCELLULOLYTIC ENZYME PRODUCTION DURING MORPHOGENESIS IN <i>LENTINULA EDODES</i></b> .....	<b>86</b>
4.1. Introduction .....	86
4.2. Materials and Methods .....	88
4.2.1. Strain .....	88
4.2.2. Medium, Culture and Fruiting Conditions .....	88
4.2.2.1. Liquid Medium .....	88
4.2.2.2. Solid Medium .....	89
4.2.3. Preparation of Crude Enzyme Extracts .....	90
4.2.3.1. Liquid Medium .....	90
4.2.3.2. Solid Medium .....	91
4.2.4. Enzymes Activity Determination .....	93
4.2.4.1. Laccase Enzyme Assay .....	93

	<b>Page</b>
4.2.4.2. CMC'ase Enzyme Assay .....	94
4.2.4.3. Xylanase Enzyme Assay .....	95
4.2.5. Mycelial Biomass Measurement in the Sawdust Medium .....	96
4.3. Results .....	97
4.3.1. Lignocellulolytic Enzyme Specific Activity on Liquid and Solid Medium .....	97
4.3.2. Mycelial Biomass Measurement in the Sawdust Medium .....	101
4.4. Discussion .....	103
4.5. Conclusion .....	110
<b>5.0 EFFECT OF SUPPLEMENTATION OF C - SERUM FROM RUBBER (<i>HEVEA</i>) LATEX ON GROWTH AND FRUITING IN <i>LENTINULA EDODES</i> .....</b>	<b>111</b>
5.1. Introduction .....	111
5.2. Materials and Methods .....	113
5.2.1. C-Serum Preparation .....	113
5.2.2. The Effect of Latex on Mycelial and Fruit Body Biomass in Liquid and Solid Media .....	113
5.2.2.1. Strain .....	113
5.2.2.2. Liquid Medium .....	115
5.2.2.2.1. Substrate Composition .....	115
5.2.2.2.2. Cultural Condition and Biomass Measurements .....	116
5.2.2.3. Solid Medium .....	116
5.2.2.3.1. Substrate Composition .....	117
5.2.2.3.2. Substrate Preparation .....	117
5.2.2.3.3. Spawn Run .....	118
5.2.2.3.4. Mycelial Biomass Measurement.	118
5.2.2.3.5. Fruiting .....	119
5.2.3. Mineral Determination on Rubber ( <i>Hevea</i> ) and <i>Acacia</i> Sawdust .....	120
5.3. Results .....	121
5.3.1. The Effect of Latex on Mycelial Biomass in Liquid Media .....	121
5.3.2. The Effect of Latex on Mycelial and Fruit Body Biomass in Solid Media .....	131
5.3.3. Minerals (Cations) Determination on Rubber ( <i>Hevea</i> ) and <i>Acacia</i> Sawdust .....	139
5.4. Discussion .....	141
5.5. Conclusion .....	148

	<b>Page</b>
<b>6.0 CULTIVATION OF THE SHIITAKE MUSHROOM ON AGRICULTURAL LIGNOCELLULOSIC WASTES OTHER THAN SAWDUST</b> .....	149
6.1. Introduction .....	149
6.2. Materials and Methods .....	151
6.2.1. Spawn and Production Bags Preparation .....	151
6.2.2. Strain .....	151
6.2.3. Media .....	151
6.2.4. Spawn Run .....	154
6.2.5. Fruiting .....	154
6.2.6. Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis .....	155
6.3. Results .....	156
6.4. Discussion .....	168
6.5. Coclusion .....	171
<b>7.0 GENERAL DISCUSSION</b> .....	172
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	183
<b>APPENDIX</b>	
<b>A</b> Preparation of Media/Solution .....	194
<b>B</b> Preparation of Standard Curves .....	196
<b>C</b> Standard Curve .....	199
<b>D</b> Enzyme Specific Activity Calculation .....	203
<b>E</b> Glucosamine Content (Biomass) Calculation .....	206
<b>F</b> Biological Efficiency (B.E) Calculation .....	207
<b>G</b> The Correlation Coefficient .....	208
<b>H</b> Analysis of Variance ( ANOVA ) .....	209
<b>VITA</b> .....	247



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Flow Chart of Chitin Assay ( Ride & Drysdale, 1972 ) .....	34
3.2	Correlation Between Time Needed for Seven Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> in PDA to Reach the Edge of Petri Dish and Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density at 20°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.466 .....	49
3.3	Correlation Between Time Needed for Seven Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> in PDA to Reach the Edge of Petri Dish and Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density at 25°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.495 .....	49
3.4	Correlation Between Time Needed for Seven Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> in PDA to Reach the Edge of Petri Dish and Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density at 30°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.233 .....	50
3.5	Correlation Between Glucosamine content ( mg ) for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> in Sawdust Medium and Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density at 25°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.289 ..	54
3.6	Correlation Between Glucosamine Content ( mg ) for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> in Grains Medium and Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density at 25°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.128 ..	54
3.7	Correlation Between Biological Efficiency and Glucosamine content ( mg ) for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Temperature Set of 15/25°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.185 .....	71
3.8	Correlation Between Biological Efficiency and Glucosamine content ( mg ) for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Temperature Set of 20/25°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.075 .....	71
3.9	Correlation Between Biological Efficiency and Glucosamine content ( mg ) for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Temperature Set of Constant 25°C. The Correlation Coefficient ( r ) Value = 0.350 ..	72
4.1	Flow Chart of Enzyme Extraction .....	92
4.2	Lignocellulolytic Enzyme Specific Activities of <i>Lentinula edodes</i> Grown on Chemically Defined Liquid Medium During Anamorphic and Teliomorphic Phases for 10 Weeks .....	98



- 4.3 Lignocellulolytic Enzyme Specific Activities of *Lentinula edodes* Grown on Rubber Sawdust Medium During Anamorphic and Teliomorphic Phases for 18 Weeks .....
- 4.4 Mycelial Biomass (Glucosamine) Production on Rubber Sawdust Medium During Anamorphic and Teliomorphic Phases for 18 Weeks .....



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by Seven Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Three Incubation Temperatures .....	46
3.2	Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Seven Strains of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> on PDA at Three Incubation Temperatures .....	48
3.3	Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	52
3.4	Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at $25 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	53
3.5	Biological Efficiency of 13 strains of <i>L. edodes</i> Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature .....	56
3.6	Yield in First and Second Flushes, Total Yield, Biological Efficiency and Ranking for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> Fruited at Temperature Set $15/25^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	60
3.7	Yield in First and Second Flushes, Total Yield, Biological Efficiency and Ranking for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> Fruited at Temperature Set $20/25^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	61
3.8	Yield in First and Second Flushes, Total Yield, Biological Efficiency and Ranking for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> Fruited at Constant $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	62
3.9	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primodium to Appear for 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature .....	63
3.10	Percentage of Primodia That Developed to Fruit Bodies for 13 strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Three Sets of Fruiting Temperature .....	66
3.11	Water Content (%) of Fruit Bodies of 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Three Temperature Sets .....	68
3.12	Contamination Rate During Fruiting of 13 Strains of <i>L. edodes</i> at Three Different Sets of Fruiting temperature .....	69

	<b>Page</b>
5.1 Mycelial Biomass Production of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on Chemically Defined Leatham's Medium at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	122
5.2 Mycelial Biomass Production of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) Medium at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	124
5.3 Fruit Body Biomass Production by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on Chemically Defined Leatham's Medium at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	127
5.4 Fruit Body Biomass Production by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on Complex Potato Dextrose Broth (PDB) Medium at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	130
5.5 Mycelial Biomass Production by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on Rubber ( <i>Hevea</i> ) Sawdust Media at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	132
5.6 Mycelial Biomass Production by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on <i>Acacia</i> Sawdust Medium at $26 \pm 1^{\circ}\text{C}$ .....	133
5.7 Fruit Body Production by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on C-serum Supplemented Rubber Sawdust Medium at Fluctuating Temperature of $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ (16h / day) / $15^{\circ}\text{C}$ (8h / night) .....	136
5.8 Fruit Bodies Production by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on C-serum Supplemented <i>Acacia</i> Sawdust Medium at Fluctuating Temperature of $25^{\circ}\text{C}$ (16h / day) / $15^{\circ}\text{C}$ (8h / night) .....	138
5.9 Metal Elements Content (in ppm) in the Rubber ( <i>Hevea</i> ) and <i>Acacia</i> Sawdust .....	140
6.1 Duration (days) Needed for Strains L38, L272 and L2161 to Fully Colonize the Substrate .....	157
6.2 Time ( Days ) Required by <i>L. edodes</i> for First Primordium Emergence on Production Bags after Transferring to Fruiting Room .....	162
6.3 The Development Rate of Primordia to Fruit Bodies of <i>L. edodes</i> in Two Types of Substrates .....	164
6.4 Yield from First Flush, Second Flush, Total Yield and Biological Efficiency (B.E.) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strains L38 and L2161 on Rubber Sawdust and Grass Type C Substrate .....	166

	<b>Page</b>
H.1 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 14 at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) ...	209
H.2 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 22 at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) ...	209
H.3 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 34 at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) ...	209
H.4 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 38 at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) ...	209
H.5 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 200 at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) .	210
H.6 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain LINA at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) .	210
H.7 Days Needed to Reach the Edge of Petri Dishes by <i>L. edodes</i> Strain M 3 at Three Incubation Temperatures (Text-Table 3.1) ..	210
H.8 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 14 on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	210
H.9 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 22 on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	211
H.10 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 34 on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	211
H.11 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 38 on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	211
H.12 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L200 on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	211
H.13 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain LINA on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	212
H.14 Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of Full Grown <i>L. edodes</i> Strain M 3 on PDA at Three Temperature Sets (Text-Table 3.2) .	212
H.15 Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 2 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	212

	<b>Page</b>
H.16	212
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 12 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.17	213
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 13 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.18	213
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 14 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.19	213
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 17 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.20	213
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 22 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.21	214
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 31 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.22	214
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 38 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.23	214
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 200 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.24	214
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 272 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.25	215
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 300 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.26	215
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain LINA (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	



	<b>Page</b>
H.27	215
Glucosamine (Mycelial Biomass) Content (in mg) of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain M3 (3 Months) Grown on 200g of Sawdust and Grains Media at 25 ± 1°C (Text-Table 3.3) .....	
H.28	215
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 2 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.29	216
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 12 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.30	216
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 13 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.31	216
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 14 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.32	216
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 17 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.33	217
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 22 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.34	217
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 31 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.35	217
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 38 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.36	217
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 200 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	
H.37	218
Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 272 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) .....	

	<b>Page</b>
H.38	Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 300 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) ..... 218
H.39	Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain LINA on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) ..... 218
H.40	Visual Scoring of Mycelial Density of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain M 3 on Sawdust and Grains Media After Three Months Incubation at 25 ±1°C (Text-Table 3.4) ..... 218
H.41	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 2 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 219
H.42	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 12 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 219
H.43	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 13 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 219
H.44	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 14 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 219
H.45	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 17 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 220
H.46	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 22 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 220
H.47	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 31 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 220
H.48	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 38 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 220
H.49	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 200 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 221
H.50	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 272 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 221
H.51	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 300 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 221
H.52	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain LINA Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 221





	<b>Page</b>
H.53	Biological Efficiency of <i>L. edodes</i> Strain M 3 Fruited at Three Different Sets of Fructification Temperature (Text-Table 3.5) ..... 222
H.54	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 2 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 222
H.55	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 12 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 222
H.56	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 13 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 222
H.57	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 22 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 223
H.58	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 38 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 223
H.59	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 200 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 223
H.60	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 272 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 223
H.61	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 300 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 224
H.62	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain LINA After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 224
H.63	Time (Days) Needed for the First Primordium to Appear for <i>L. edodes</i> Strain M 3 After Transfer to Fruiting Room at Three Sets of Temperature (Text-Table 3.9) ..... 224
H.64	Percentage of Primordia That Developed into Fruit Bodies in <i>L. edodes</i> Strain L 2 at Three Sets of Fruiting Temperature (Text-Table 3.10) ..... 224

