



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**STUDIES ON INCOMPATIBILITY POLLINATION AND FLORAL
MORPHOLOGICAL POLYMORPHISM OF CARAMBOLA
(AVERRHOA CORAMBOLA L)**

NOBUHIKO FUSHIMI

FP 1998 7



**STUDIES ON INCOMPATIBILITY POLLINATION AND AND FLORAL
MORPHOLOGICAL POLYMORPHISM OF CARAMBOLA
(*Averrhoa carambola* L.)**

By

NOBUHIKO FUSHIMI

**Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the Requirements
for Degree of Master of Agricultural Science
in the Faculty of Agricultural Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

January 1998



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to Professor Dr. Wong Kai Choo, Chairman of supervisory committee, Dr. Sayed Mohd Zain Sayed Hasan and Dr. Awang Soh Mamat, members of Supervisory Committee, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), for their valuable guidance, encouragement and support throughout the research and preparation of the thesis.

I particularly would like to thank Dr. Yasuo Ota, Professor of the Department of International Agricultural Development Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture, for his approval, support and encouragement on these studies.

I would like to thank Dr. Hitoshi Uematsu, Senior lecturer of the Department of Agricultural Science, Tokyo University of Agriculture, Junior College, for his approval, encouragement and support on these studies.

I would like to thank Mr. Hoe Oi Kuan, Ms. Aminah Jusoh and Ms Agilah Abdul Salil in Electron Microscopy Unit, Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Science, UPM, for their guidance, encouragement and support throughout this research.

I am grateful to Dr. Naohiro Ikeda, Associate Professor of the Department of Agronomy, Tokyo University of Agriculture, for critical reading of manuscript and making valuable suggestions throughout this research.



I would like to thank Dr. Kiyomi Kosaka, Resident Representative of Japan International Research Centre for Agricultural Sciences (JIRCAS) Malaysia Office, for encouragement and financial support on this research.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the Supporting Organisation of Japan Overseas Cooperation Volunteers for the scholarship award and support.

Finally, I am indebted to my mother, brother and sister for their infinite love, encouragement and support.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKOWLEGE.MENTS.....	ii
LIST OF CF TABLES.....	vi
LIST OF PLATES.....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES.....	xiv
ABSTRACT.....	xv
ABSTRAK.....	xvii
 CHAPTER	
I INTRODUCTION.....	1
II REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	5
The Carambola.....	5
General.....	5
Tree Characteristics.....	6
Flower Characteristics.....	6
Fruit Characteristics.....	7
Clones.....	8
Flowering and Pollination.....	10
Nutritive Value and Uses.....	11
Self-incompatibility System.....	12
Definition.....	12
Classification of Self-incompatibility System.....	13
Heteromorphic Incompatibility.....	14
Introduction.....	14
Style and Stamen Length.....	15
Pollen Characteristics.....	16
Stigma Characteristics.....	17
Patterns of Heteromorphic Incompatibility.....	19
Pollen-pistil Interaction Associated with Heteromorphic Incompatibility.....	20
Previous Experimental Methodology for Self-incompatibility Study....	23
Field Pollination Study.....	23
Fluorescence Microscopy.....	24
Scanning Electron Microscopy.....	25



III	MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	26
	Plant Materials.....	26
	Study 1. Florescence and Scanning Electron Microscopic Studies on Compatible and Incompatible Pollinations of Pin and Thrum Morphs.....	31
	Study 2. Field Pollination Studies on Compatible and Incompatible Pollinations of Pin and Thrum Morphs.....	33
	Study 3. Studies on Morphological Polymorphism of Pin and Thrum Morphs.....	34
	Statistical Analysis and Experimental Designs.....	36
IV	RESULTS.....	38
	Study 1. Florescence and Scanning Electron Microscopic Studies on Compatible and Incompatible Pollinations of Pin and Thrum Morphs.....	38
	Study 2. Field Pollination Studies on Compatible and Incompatible Pollinations of Pin and Thrum Morphs.....	52
	Study 3. Studies on Morphological Polymorphism of Pin and Thrum Morphs.....	64
V	DISCUSSION.....	84
	Floral Dimorphism Associated with Heteromorphic Incompatibility...	84
	Pollen-pistil Interaction Associated with Heteromorphic Incompatibility. Pollen Adhesion and Germination.....	86
	Inhibition Site of Pollen-tube Growth.....	87
	Fruit Set Associated with Self-incompatibility Response.....	89
	Fruit Parameters Associated with Self-incompatibility Response	90
VI	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION.....	92
	REFERENCES.....	95
	APPENDICES	
A	Additional Tables.....	102
B	Additional Figures.....	121
	VITA.....	123

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1	Characteristics of Carambola Clones in Florida, USA. 9
2	List of Registered Carambola Clones in DOA, Serdang, Malaysia..... 9
3	Sites of Inhibition of Male Gametophyte in Intramorph Pollinations of Some Heterostylous Plants..... 21
4	List of Carambola Clones Used in Study 1..... 27
5	List of Carambola Clones Used in Study 2..... 27
6	List of Carambola Clones Used in Study 3..... 28
7	Mean Value of Percentage Pollination Success for Six Pollination Treatments 52
8	Percentage of Normal Fruit in Four Pollination Treatments..... 55
9	General Characteristics of Normal Fruit in Four Pollination Treatments... 56
10	Mean Values of Fruits Characteristics obtained from Intermorph Pollination in Pin Morph..... 59
11	Mean Values of Fruits Characteristics obtained from Self Pollination in Pin Morph. 59
12	Mean Values of Fruits Characteristics obtained from Intramorph Pollination in Pin Morph..... 60
13	Mean Values of Fruits Characteristics obtained from Abnormal Form in Pin Morph..... 60
14	Mean Values of Fruits Characteristics obtained from Intermorph Pollination in Thrum Morph..... 62
15	Mean Values of Fruits Characteristics obtained from Abnormal Form in Thrum Intermorph Pollination..... 63
16	Measurements of Floral Parts in Two Floral Morphs of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. 65
17	Measurements of Pistil and Stamen Length among Clones of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. 67



18	Measurements of Pollen Diameter among Clones of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L.....	69
19	Measurements of Stigma among Clones of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L.	72
20	Measurements of Stigmatic Vesicle among Clones of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L.....	74
21	Percentage of Pollination Success in Intermorph Pollination in Pin Morph.....	102
22	Percentage of Pollination Success in Self-Pollination in Pin Morph.....	103
23	Percentage of Pollination Success in Intramorph Pollination in Pin Morph.	103
24	Percentage of Pollination Success in Intermorph Pollination in Thrum Morph.	104
25	Percentage of Pollination Success in Self-Pollination in Thrum Morph....	105
26	Percentage of Pollination Success in Intramorph Pollination in Thrum Morph.....	106
27	Results of Analysis of Variance from Mean Values of Pollination Percentage for Six Treatments.....	107
28	Results of Analysis of Variance from Mean Values of Fruit Parameters (Normal Formed)	108
29	Results of Analysis of Variance from Mean Seed Number per Fruit.....	109
30	Results of Analysis of Variance from Mean Fruit Weight.....	110
31	Results of Analysis of Variance from Mean Fruit Length.....	111
32	Results of Analysis of Variance from Mean Fruit Width.....	112
33	Results of T-test on Pistil and Stamen Length.....	113
34	Results of T-test on Pollen Size.....	114
35	Results of T-test on Stigma Size.....	115
36	Results of T-test on Stigmatic Vesicle Size.....	116
37	Results of Analysis of Variance on Pistil and Stamen Length.....	117
38	Results of Analysis of Variance on Pollen Size.....	118

39	Results of Analysis of Variance on Stigma Size.....	119
40	Results of Analysis of Variance on Stigmatic Vesicle Size.....	120



LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Page
1	Source of Plant Material in Study 1 (Field 2, UPM, Serdang)..... 29
2	Source of plant material in Study 2 (Horticultural unit, UPM, Serdang)..... 30
3	Source of plant material in Study 3 (DOA, Serdang)..... 30
4	Scanning Electron Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 0.5 h after Thrum Intermorph Pollination (B10 x B2)..... 39
5	Scanning Electron Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 1 h after Thrum Intermorph Pollination (B10 x B2)..... 39
6	Scanning Electron Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 2 h after Thrum Intermorph Pollination (B10 x B2)..... 40
7	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 2 h after Pin Intermorph Pollination (B2 x B10) (X 100) 41
8	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 4 h after Pin Intermorph Pollination (B2 x B10) (X 100)..... 41
9	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 8 h after Pin Intermorph Pollination (B2 x B10) (X 100). 42
10	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 2 h after Pin Self Pollination (B2 x B2) (X 100)..... 43
11	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 4 h after Pin self Pollination (B2 x B2) (X 100)..... 44
12	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 8 h after Pin Self Pollination (B2 x B2) (X 100)..... 44
13	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 24 h after Pin Self Pollination (B12 x B12) (X 100)..... 45
14	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 2 h after Thrum Intermorph Pollination (B10 x B2) (X 100)..... 47
15	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 4 h after Thrum Intermorph Pollination (B10 x B2) (X 100)..... 47



16	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 8 h after Thrum Intermorph Pollination (B10 x B2) (X 100).....	48
17	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 2 h after Thrum Self Pollination (B10 x B10) (X 100).....	49
18	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 4 h after Thrum Self Pollination (B10 x B10) (X 100).....	49
19	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 8 h after Thrum Self Pollination (B10 x B10) (X 100).....	50
20	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 4 h after Thrum Intramorph Pollination (B10 x B17) (X 100).....	50
21	Fluorescence Micrograph of Pollen-tube Behaviour of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. at 8 h after Thrum Intramorph Pollination (B10 x B17) (X 100).....	51
22	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (x 3000) Clone B 1 (Pin).....	75
23	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 3 (Thrum).....	75
24	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 2 (Pin).....	75
25	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 4 (Thrum).....	75
26	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 5 (Pin).....	75
27	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 8 (Thrum).....	75
28	Scanning electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 6 (Pin).....	76
29	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 9 (Thrum).....	76
30	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 7 (Pin).....	76
31	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 10 (Thrum).....	76



32	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 11 (Pin).....	76
33	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 12 (Pin).....	77
34	Scanning Electron micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 13 (Thrum).....	77
35	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 16 (Pin).....	77
36	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone B 17 (Thrum).....	77
37	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone BP 1 (Pin).....	77
38	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Pollen (X 3000) Clone BP 2 (Pin).....	77
39	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 1 (Pin).....	78
40	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 3 (Thrum).....	78
41	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 2 (Pin).....	78
42	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 4 (Thrum).....	78
43	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 5 (Pin).....	78
44	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 8 (Thrum).....	78
45	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 6 (Pin).....	79
46	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 9 (Thrum).....	79
47	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 7 (Pin).....	79
48	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 10 (Thrum).....	79



49	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 11 (Pin).....	79
50	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 12 (Pin).....	80
51	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 13 (Thrum).....	80
52	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 16 (Pin).....	80
53	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone B 17 (Thrum).....	80
54	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone BP 1 (Pin).....	80
55	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigma (X 80) Clone BP 2 (Pin).....	80
56	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 1 (Pin).....	81
57	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 3 (Thrum).....	81
58	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 2 (Pin).....	81
59	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 4 (Thrum).....	81
60	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 5 (Pin).....	81
61	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 8 (Thrum).....	81
62	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 6 (Pin).....	82
63	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 9 (Thrum).....	82
64	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 7 (Pin).....	82



65	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 10 (Thrum).....	82
66	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 11 (Pin).....	82
67	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 12 (Pin).....	83
68	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 13 (Thrum).....	83
69	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 16 (Pin).....	83
70	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone B 17 (Thrum).....	83
71	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone BP 1 (Pin).....	83
72	Scanning Electron Micrograph of <i>Averrhoa carambola</i> L. Stigmatic Vesicles (X 1000) Clone BP 2 (Pin).....	83



LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
1	Diagram Showing the Measurement of Pistil and Stamen of <i>Avverhoa carambola</i> L.....	121
2	Diagram Showing the Measurement of Stigma of <i>Avverhoa carambola</i> L.....	121
3	Diagram Showing the Measurement of Vesicle of <i>Avverhoa carambola</i> L.....	122
4	Diagram Showing the Measurement of Pollen grains of <i>Avverhoa carambola</i> L.....	122

Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of
Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements
for Degree of Master of Agricultural Science

**STUDIES ON COMPATIBLE AND INCOMPATIBLE POLLINATIONS AND
FLORAL MORPHOLOGICAL POLYMORPHISM OF PIN AND THRUM
MORPHS OF CARAMBOLA
(*Averrhoa carambola* L.)**

By

NOBUHIKO FUSHIMI

January 1998

Chairman : Professor Dr. Wong Kai Choo

Faculty : Agriculture

The objectives of this study were to elucidate the physiological basis of self-incompatibility system and the floral morphological polymorphism in carambola (*Averrhoa carambola* L.).

Within 30 minutes after pollination, pollen degradation and hydration commenced on the stigma surface. Pollen tubes subsequently emerged from colpal furrow and crawled into apertures of vesicles. The pollen tubes reached the base of the transmitting tract of the style on legitimate pollinations in pin and thrum morphs



at 8.0 h after pollinations. Pollen tubes were uniformly inhibited at the middle of the style in pin illegitimate pollinations, however, tubes occasionally penetrated to the base of the transmitting tract of the style. In thrum illegitimate pollinations, tubes were inhibited at the junction between stigmatic and stylar tissues. Result of illegitimate pollination confirmed the partial loss of incompatibility in pin as opposed to the strong incompatibility in thrum as shown by both fluorescence microscopic observation and the field controlled pollinations study. Ripen fruits from pin illegitimate pollinations were significantly smaller and contained less seed compared to legitimate pollinations.

Carambola flower consisted of 5 rose-pink sepals, a pistil, 5 stamens and 5 staminoids. Pistil length was significantly longer in pin than in thrum morphs. On the other hand, the stamen length was significantly longer in thrum than in pin morphs. Pollen grain shape of pin and thrum morphs was oblate spherical and tricolporate. Pollen diameter was significantly larger in thrum than in pin morphs. Foveolate pattern of pollen exine sculpturing was more intense in pin than in thrum morphs. Each of the five stylar tissues terminated in a capitate stigma. The stigma receptive surface surrounded the stylar tip, except for a strip along the abaxial part between the middle and base of stylar tissues. Vesicle was a dewdrop-shaped cell. Stigma and vesicles sizes were significantly greater in pin than in thrum morphs. Result of measurements of the various floral morphological parts revealed highly significant variations even among the various clones within the same morphs.

Abstrak tesis dikemukakan kepada Senat
Universiti Putra Malaysia untuk memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**KAJIAN KEATAS KETIDAK SERASIAN PENDEBUNGAAN DAN
POLIMOFISMA BUNGA BELIMBING (*Averrhoa carambola* L.)**

Oleh

NOBUHIKO FUSHIMI

Januari 1998

Pengerusi : Professor Dr. Wong Kai Choo

Faculti : Pertanian

Objektif kajian ini ialah untuk memahami asas fisiologi dari sistem ketidakserasian sendiri dan polimorfisma morfologi bunga dalam belimbing (*Averrhoa carambola* L.).

Dalam masa tiga puluh minit selepas pendebungaan, penghidratan dan degradasi debunga bermula diatas permukaan stigma, dan diikuti dengan kemunculan tiub-tiub dari kerutan colpal yang kemudiannya merayap masuk kedalam bukaan vesikel. Tiub-tiub debunga tersebut kemudiannya sampai ke kawasan penghantar dipangkal stil dalam masa lapan jam selepas pendebungaan berbantu. Tiub debunga secara seragam disekat dipertengahan stil bunga pada pokok pin yang

didebungkan secara terbuka, walau bagaimanapun, maseh ada diantara tiub-tiub tersebut yang menyerap masuk kekawasan perhantaran dipangkal stil. Dalam pokok thrum yang didebunga secara terbuka, tiub-tiub debunga tersekat ditiu yang menyambungkan diantara stigma dan stil. Hasil dari pendebungaan terbuka mengesahkan bahawa kehilangan separuh dari ketidakserasian berlaku dalam pokok pin, manakala ketidakserasian yang penuh terjadi dalam pokok thrum, dan ini juga telah diperjelaskan lagi dari kedua-dua pemerhatian mikroskop fluorezen dan pendebungaan terkawal diladang. Buah matang yang terjadi pada pokok pin yang didebungkan secara terbuka lebih kecil dan mengandungi kurang biji berbanding dengan buah dari pokok yang didebungkan secara terkawal.

Bunga belimbing mempunyai 5 sepal berwarna jingga-ros, 5 stamen, 5 staminoda dan satu pistil. Stil pokok pin secara bermakna lebih panjang dari stil pokok thrum. Disebaliknya pula stamen pokok thrum lebih panjang dari stamen pokok pin. Bentuk butir debunga dari kedua-dua pokok pin dan thrum adalah bulat oblate dan tricolporate. Garispusat butir debunga adalah secara bermakna lebih panjang dalam pokok thrum dari pokok pin. Corak 'foveolate' dari ukiran eksin dipermukaan butir debunga adalah lebih halus dalam pokok pin berbanding dengan pokok thrum. Semua kelima-lima stil berakhir dengan hujung yang reseptif dalam kesemua jenis morfs. Permukaan stigma yang sempurna adalah besar dan melingkugi hujung stil. Vesikel adalah satu sel yang berbentuk titisan embun. Saiz stigma dan vesikel secara bermakna lebih besar dalam pokok pin berbanding pokok thrum. Hasil ukuran dari berbagai bahagian-bahagian bunga menunjukkan terdapatnya variasi sangat bererti diantara pelbagai klon-klon belimbing.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Carambola (*Averrhoa carambola* L.) belongs to the family Oxalidaceae. It is believed to have been originated from Indonesia (Purseglove, 1968). It is a non-seasonal crop. As it is flowering throughout the year, fruit set may be found at any time and at different stages of development on the tree. The fleshy, five-angled fruit has sweet to sour taste and is eaten fresh or used for drinks. It is now found growing in the region of sub-tropics and tropics. In Malaysia, carambola is popular fruit, and is found growing in almost every part of the country. The crop is cultivated extensively with a total area of more than 1,900 ha in 1993 (Anon, 1994). It has become an important fruit crop in Malaysia, where it is now grown commercially for export. In 1994, Malaysia exported carambola to the amount of 8,218 tonnes, which was equivalent to RM\$ 23.82 million. This values were equal to 11.6 % of fruit export in Malaysia (Anon, 1997). In recent years carambola fruits are becoming more popular in many countries especially in developed countries such as Japan, USA and Europe (Watson et al., 1988).

Carambola is a heterostylous species, with individual trees having either the long styled (pin) or the short styled (thrum) flowers which are compatible with each other but are self- and intramorph incompatible (Wong et al., 1994). Carambola



produces as many as 4,760-13,781 flowers per tree per month. Despite the production of large number of flowers, matured fruit set is considerably low, with only 5 - 198 flowers setting fruits (Saad et al., 1993). For satisfactory fruit production, it is necessary to plant a mixture of the two morphs, one of which acts as a pollenizer. The presence of pollenizer is sometimes achieved by bud grafting to a branch of an existing established commercial clone grown in the field. Such a practice can be an extra burden to the grower (Wong et al., 1994).

Heterostyly is a genetically-controlled floral polymorphism that is known in 155 genera under 24 families of flowering plants (Gander, 1979). The floral morphs differ reciprocally in style and stamen lengths. The flowers also differ in pollen size and production, and may differ in pollen exine sculpturing, pollen colour and presence of starch in pollen, stigma size, stigmatic papillae or corolla size or morphology (Dulberger, 1992). There are two morphs in distylous plants (distyly) and three morphs in tristylous plants (tristyly). The floral polymorphism is usually genetically linked with diallelic, sporophytic self-incompatibility system (Gander, 1979). In distylous plants the supergene determining floral morphology also controls a diallelic, sporophytic self-incompatibility system associated with floral polymorphism, so that pollinations between different morphs are compatible. Tristylous plants usually possess a two-locus diallelic, sporophytic self-incompatibility system associated with floral polymorphism, but whether or not a supergene is involved has not been demonstrated genetically (Gander, 1979).

The physiological function of various morphological components of the heterostylous syndrome is not well understood. Darwin (1877) suggested that the



complementary placing of stigmas and anthers in the floral morphs is a mechanical device for promoting insect-mediated pollinations between the morphs, which is reinforced by a distinct physiological mechanism of illegitimacy. Alternatively, Mather and De Winton (1941) suggested that the real significance of the morphological differences in pistils and stamens shown by heterostylous flowers was that they were causally and developmentally related to physiologically self-incompatibility system. Furthermore, Dulberger (1975a) has argued that heteromorphic characters of stigma and pollen grains participate in the physiological mechanism of incompatibility. She has hypothesized that the differential growth of styles and stamens in the morphs mediated the production of the incompatibility substances, and that metabolism related to cell wall extension played an important role in incompatibility. However, the significance of this association has been unclear (Dulberger, 1975a).

Richards(1986) has suggested that incompatibility in some heterostylous species, i.e., *Primula sp*, *Linum sp*, *Armeria sp* is controlled by factors, perhaps glycoproteins, which arise from the tapetum on the male side, and are located on the stigma surface on the female side. Furthermore, Dulberger (1992) has recently concluded that if the association between pollen size heteromorphism and differing inhibition sites has a functional basis, then neither the pollen storage product nor the pollen grain size proper are likely to be involved, although it must be strongly linked with pollen morph-specific size or pollen dry weight. She has suggested that a protein or growth factor deriving from an early premeiotic stage of microsporogenesis, during differential growth of the sporogenous cells or the pollen mother cell, may be involved.

Since the earliest report of Darwin (1862), the phenomenon of heterostylous syndrome has attracted considerable attention to many biologists (Gander, 1979). Most of researches on heteromorphic incompatibility have been devoted to studies on the genetics and ecology of natural populations in an effect to understand the evolution, adaptive, significance and breakdown of heterostylous syndrome. Very little is known about the physiological basis of incompatibility in heterostylous plants.

The present study is, therefore, carried out to provide a comprehensive understanding of the incompatibility system of the distylous carambola. Floral morphological polymorphisms are investigated in an attempt to relate their participation in the physiological mechanism of the incompatibility system.

Objectives

The objectives of this present study are :

1. To study the compatibility relationship among different morphs of carambola,
2. To study floral morphological polymorphisms with objectives of relating their participation in the physiological mechanism of the self-incompatibility system in carambola.

Hypothesis

Self-incompatibility in heterostylous species is closely associated with floral morphological differences between mating types. These morphological polymorphisms play an important role in the mechanism of self-incompatibility in the carambola.

CHAPTER II

REVIEW OF LITERATURE

The Carambola

General

Averrhoa carambola, locally known as “belimbing besi” or starfruit or carambola has been grown in Malaysia for more than 100 years. It is believed to have been originated from Indonesia (Purseglove, 1968). It is now found growing in the region of sub-tropics and tropics. It has been reported to be cultivated in Taiwan (Green, 1977), Australia (Watson et al., 1988), USA (Campbell et al., 1985, Crane, 1992), Brazil (Donadio, 1990), Guyana (Ramsammy, 1989), Trinidad, Canary Island (Galan-Sauco et al., 1989), Tabago (Andrew, 1989) and Surinam (Lewis and Groeizam, 1989).

In Malaysia, carambola is a popular fruit. It is found growing in almost every part of the country. The crop is cultivated extensively with a total area of more than 1,900 ha in 1993 (Anon, 1994). The main carambola cultivation areas include Sungai

Besi - Serdang - Seri Kembangan - Kajang (Selangor region), Seremban - Port Dickson (Negri Sembilan region), Muara - Batu Pahat (Johore region) and Kuching (Sarawak region) (Bong, 1984).

In recent years carambola is becoming more popular as a tropical fruit especially in developed countries such as Japan, USA and Europe (Watson et al., 1988). It has become an increasingly important fruit crop in some tropical countries, in particular Malaysia, where it is now grown commercially for export. In 1994, Malaysia exported carambola amounting to 8,218 tonnes, which was equivalent to RM\$ 23.181 million. This value was equivalent to 11.6 % of fruit export in Malaysia (Anon, 1997).

Tree Characteristics

Carambola belongs to the Oxalidaceae family. The Oxalidaceae family contains primarily herbaceous genera. It is pyramidal in shape when young but rounded at the tops with an open canopy when mature (Watson et al., 1988). Carambola is a low tree or arborescent shrub, 5-12 m height, with a short or crooked, low branched trunk, 20-35 cm in diameter (Ochese et al., 1961). Carambola is normally vegetatively propagated by bud-grafting but tissue culture technique was also investigated as an alternative propagation method (Litz and Conover, 1980).

Flower Characteristics

Oxalidaceae is known as one of the families in heterostylous species for having both distyly and tristily (Ganders, 1979). Carambola is a distylous species, with

