



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**STATIC STRENGTH OF ELLIPTICAL CHORDS
T-TUBULAR JOINTS SUBJECTED TO MECHANICAL LOADING**

ABDALLA F. HAMED

FK 1999 36

**STATIC STRENGTH OF ELLIPTICAL CHORDS
T-TUBULAR JOINTS SUBJECTED TO MECHANICAL LOADING**

ABDALLA F. HAMED

**MASTER OF SCIENCE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
1999**



**STATIC STRENGTH OF ELLIPTICAL CHORDS
T-TUBULAR JOINTS SUBJECTED TO MECHANICAL LOADING**

By

ABDALLA F. HAMED

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering
University Putra Malaysia**

November 1999



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my profound appreciation and gratitude to the chairman of the supervisory committee, Prof. Dr. Barkawi Bin Sahari for his supervision, guidance and constructive suggestions and comments throughout the duration of the project.

I am also indebted to the members of the supervisory committee, Dr. Yousif A. Khalid and head of department of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering Dr. Megat M. Hamdan for their affectionate guidance, prompt decision and valuable assistance throughout the duration of the project.

I am very grateful to, Ishak Mohd Yusof, Ahmed Shaifuldeen and Sharani for their serving as the faculty Mechanical and Manufacturing technicians.

I would like to express my deep gratitude to my parents, my brothers and my wife for their kinds and full support in my study.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		iii
LIST OF TABLES		vii
LIST OF FIGURES		ix
LIST OF PLATES		xiii
NOMENCLATURE		xiv
ABSTRACT		xvi
ABSTRAK		xviii
 CHAPTER		
I	INTRODUCTION	1
	Application of Tubular Joints.....	2
	Types of Tubular Joints.....	2
	Loading Modes.....	3
	Material of Tubular Joints.....	3
	Analysis of Tubular Joints.....	4
	Experimental Analysis.....	4
	Analytical Analysis.....	4
	Objectives.....	5
 II	LITERATURE REVIEW	 6
	Introduction.....	6
	Failure Modes of Tubular Joints.....	8
	Experimental Investigation of Tubular Joints.....	12
	Static Strength of T and Y- Joints under Single Loading.....	12
	Static Strength of T and Y- Joints under Combined Loading.....	23
	Static Strength of YT and K Joints.....	28
	Static Strength of DT and X Joints.....	32
	Static Strength of Complex Joints.....	36
	Static Strength of Overlapped Joints.....	38
	Static Strength of Stiffened Joints.....	40
	Static Strength of Cracked Tubular Joints.....	43
	Static Strength of Different Chord Shapes.....	44
	Analytical Investigation of Tubular Joints.....	48
	Discussion.....	64
	Conclusion.....	65
 III	METHODOLOGY	 67
	Introduction.....	67
	Methodology.....	68



	Experimental Work.....	69
	Selecting Models Dimensions and Material Properties.....	69
	T-Joints Fabrication.....	71
	Calculation of Forces acting on the Loading Rig.....	71
	Rig Parts Design.....	72
	Rig Fabrication and Assembly.....	72
	Experimental Tests Procedure.....	73
	Analytical Work.....	77
	LUSAS Software.....	77
	Preparing the Data File.....	78
	Mesh Generation.....	79
	Element Selection.....	81
	Material Properties.....	81
	Boundary Conditions.....	82
	Load Simulation.....	82
	Discussion.....	85
IV	RESULTS.....	86
	Introduction.....	86
	Experimental Results.....	86
	Specimen Tests Results.....	86
	Tubular Joints Results.....	88
	Tensile Test Results.....	96
	Compressive Test Results.....	97
	IPB Test Results.....	99
	OPB Test Results.....	100
	The Non-Dimensional Strength of the Tested Models.....	102
	Existing Empirical Equations.....	102
	Experimental Non-Dimensional Strength.....	104
	Finite Element Results.....	106
	Comparing the Experimental Results for the Three Cases.....	109
	Comparison between the Experimental Results and the Existing Empirical Equations.....	110
	Comparison between the Experimental Results and the Finite element Results.....	111
V	DISCUSSION.....	114
	Experimental Work.....	114
	Fabrication Method.....	115
	Test Procedure.....	116
	Test Results.....	117
	Finite Element Analysis.....	118
VI	CONCLUSSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS.....	119
	Conclusions.....	119
	Recommendations.....	121
	REFERENCES.....	122

APPENDIX

A Tube Dimensions..... 127
B Design Calculations..... 134
C Experimental Rig Drawing..... 180
D Experimental Results Tables..... 181

VITA..... 187

PUBLICATIONS..... 188



LIST OF TABLES

TABLE		Page
1	The Experimental Results for Case 1 under Tension Loading.....	89
2	The Experimental Results for Case 1 under Compression Loading.....	90
3	The Experimental Results for Case 1 under IPB Loading.....	90
4	The Experimental Results for Case 1 under OPB Loading.....	91
5	Experimental Results for Ultimate Loads and Moments for All Cases	95
6	Non-Dimensional Parameter for Case 1.....	102
7	Ultimate Loads and Moments of the Experimental Results for Case 1.....	104
8	Non-Dimensional Strength of the Experimental Results for Case 1.....	105
9	The Finite Element Results for Case 1 under Tension and Compression Loading.....	106
10	The Finite Element Results for Case 1 under IPB and OPB Loading.....	106
11	The Finite Element Results for Case 2 under Tension and Compression Loading.....	107
12	The Finite Element Results for Case 2 under IPB and OPB Loading.....	107
13	The Finite Element Results for Case 3 under Tension and Compression Loading.....	107
14	The Finite Element Results for Case 3 under IPB and OPB Loading.....	107
15	Non-Dimensional Strength for Circular Chord Joints (Case 1).....	110
16	Comparison between the Experimental and Finite Element Results (Slope).....	111
17	Comparison between the Experimental and Finite Element Results (Yield).....	112
18	Tube Thickness at the Right and Left Chord Tube Ends (Case 1).....	127



19	Tube Diameter at Different Cross-Sections along the Tube (Case 1).....	128
20	Tube Welding Thickness at the Weld Joint (Case 1).....	129
21	Tube Thickness at the Right and Left Chord Tube Ends (Case 2).....	130
22	Tube Diameter at Different Cross-sections along the Tube (Case 2).....	131
23	Tube Welding Thickness at the Weld Joint (Case 2).....	131
24	Tube Thickness at the Right and Left Chord Tube Ends (Case 3).....	132
25	Tube Diameter at Different Cross-sections along the Tube (Case 3).....	133
26	Tube Welding Thickness at the Weld Joint (Case 3).....	133
27	Non-Dimensional Parameter for all Cases.....	134
28	The Expected Loads for All Cases under Different Loading Modes.....	135
29	The Specification for the Beam W4 (102X102 mm Or 4X4 in) I-section.....	136
30	The Experimental Results for Case 2 under Tension Loading.....	181
31	The Experimental Results for Case 2 under Compression Loading.....	181
32	The Experimental Results for Case 2 under IPB Loading.....	182
33	The Experimental Results for Case 2 under OPB Loading.....	183
34	The Experimental Results for Case 3 under Tension Loading.....	184
35	The Experimental Results for Case 3 under Compression Loading.....	184
36	The Experimental Results for Case 3 under OPB Loading.....	185
37	The Experimental Results for Case 3 under OPB Loading.....	186



LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
1	Notation of Joint Configurations.....	7
2	Nomenclature and Loading Modes for T-Joint.....	8
3	Examples of Overlapping and Non-overlapping Joints with Nomenclature and Definition of Inter-section Length.....	11
4	Compression Loading of T and Y –Joints.....	13
5	In-plane Bending Loading of T and K-Joints.....	14
6	Out of Plane Loading of T and Y-Joints.....	15
7	Compression Strength against β and γ for T and Y-Joints.....	16
8	In-plane Bending Strength against γ and β ratio for T-Joints.....	17
9	Out of Plane Bending Strength against β ratio for T, Y and K-Joints.....	18
10	Out of Plane Bending Strength against β and γ ratios for T, Y and K-Joints.....	19
11	Bending Moment for Cantilevered T-Joint.....	22
12	a) Compression and Out of Plane Bending Loading (T-Joint). b) Tension and Out of Plane Bending Loading (T-Joint).....	24
13	Brace Load Inter-section Effects Normalised by Measured Values for T-Joints.....	25
14	Mean Values of Failure Loads in Tension Compared with Compression.....	27
15	Effects of the Gap ratio (g/d) on Axially Loaded YT-Joints.....	29
16	a) Balanced Axially Loaded-effect of γ ratio for K and YT-Joints b) Performance of Q_g Parameter for K and YT-Joints.....	31
17	Compression Strength against β and γ for DT-Joints.....	34
18	Brace Load Inter-section Effects Normalised by Measured Values for DT-Joints.....	35



19	CYT Model Tested.....	36
20	Single Brace Loading of CYT-Joints Compared with T or Y-Joints.....	37
21	CHS KK-Joint under Anti-symmetrical Loads.....	37
22	Test to Predict Ultimate Capacity ratios for uni-planar K-Joints as a Function of g/D	38
23	Examples of Stiffening Methods.....	41
24	Test Specimen Studied.....	45
25	Experimental Results for the Ten Loading Modes Used.....	46
26	Tests of Stainless Steel and Carbon Steel K-Joints.....	47
27	Moment Rotation Curves.....	49
28	The effect of F_u/F_y ratio.....	49
29	KK-Joints Configuration and Geometric Parameters.....	50
30	Comparison between Experimental and Numerical Load-Displacement Curves.....	51
31	Compressive Brace Load versus Deflection.....	53
32	Tensile Brace Load versus Deflection.....	54
33	In-plane Bending Moment versus Brace Rotation.....	54
34	Mesh Sample.....	55
35	The Finite Element Model generated and used a) One Element through the Thickness. b) Two Element through the Thickness.....	56
36	Finite Element Results for One and Two Elements through the thickness.....	56
37	Comparison between Finite Element and Experimental Results for T-Joints. a) under Tension b) under Compression.....	57
38	Typical Finite Element Mesh used.....	58
39	Inter-section of Shell and 3-D Elements.....	59
40	Finite Element Mesh of the Combined Model of Elements for T-Joint.....	60



41	Effect of Chord Inclination Angle.....	61
42	a) Range of Scatter due to Chord end Conditions for DT-Joints under Axial Tension. b) Effect of Chord Length on Results for T-Joint under Axial Compression.....	61
43	Finite element Mesh for T and YT-Joints.....	62
44	Original and Deformed Mesh for In-plane Bending Case.....	63
45	Stiffened T-Joint and T-Shaped Stiffener.....	64
46	Geometry of the Models Tested (all dimensions in mm).....	69
47	Specimen Dimensions.....	70
48	Mesh Generated for Case 1.....	80
49	Mesh Generated for Case 2.....	80
50	Mesh Generated for Case 3.....	80
51	Semi loof Curved Thin Shell Elements.....	81
52	Case 1 under Tension Loading.....	83
53	Case 1 under Compression Loading.....	83
54	Case 1 under IPB Loading.....	84
55	Case 1 under OPB Loading.....	84
56	Tensile Tests for Chord Tube (Case 1).....	87
57	Tensile Test for Chord Tube (Case 2).....	87
58	Tensile Test for Chord Tube (Case 3).....	88
59	The Experimental and Finite Element Analysis Results for Case 1 under Tension and Compression Loading.....	92
60	The Experimental and Finite Element Analysis Results for Case 1 under IPB and OPB Loading.....	92
61	The Experimental and Finite Element Analysis Results for Case 2 under Tension and Compression Loading.....	93
62	The Experimental and Finite Element Analysis Results for Case 2 under IPB and OPB Loading.....	93



63	The Experimental and Finite Element Analysis Results for Case 3 under Tension and Compression Loading.....	94
64	The Experimental and Finite Element Analysis Results for Case 3 under IPB and OPB Loading.....	94
65	Finite Element Results for Tension and Compression Loading for Cases 1, Case 2 and Case 3.....	108
66	Finite Element Results for Tension and Compression Loading for Cases 1, Case 2 and Case 3.....	108
67	Tube Dimensions for Case 1 Models.....	127
68	Tube Dimensions for Case 2 Models.....	130
69	Tube Dimensions for Case 3 Models.....	132



LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1	Tension Loading.....	73
2	Compression Loading.....	74
3	In-plane Bending Loading.....	75
4	Out of Plane Bending Loading.....	76
5	Circular Chord Tube (Case 1) after Tension Loading.....	96
6	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 2) after Tension Loading.....	96
7	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 3) after Tension Loading.....	97
8	Circular Chord Tube (Case 1) after Compression Loading.....	97
9	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 2) after Compression Loading.....	98
10	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 3) after Compression Loading.....	98
11	Circular Chord Tube (Case 1) after IPB Loading.....	99
12	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 2) after IPB Loading.....	99
13	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 3) after IPB Loading.....	100
14	Circular Chord Tube (Case 1) after OPB Loading.....	100
15	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 2) after OPB Loading.....	101
16	Elliptical Chord Tube (Case 3) after OPB Loading.....	101



NOMENCLATURE

+A	Axial tension load applied to a (whole) brace.
-A	Axial compression load applied to a (whole) brace.
A^*	$A/\sigma_y T^2$ (Non dimensional form for $-A$ and $+A$ loads).
B_α	Pure bending couple applied to a (whole) brace at α angle.
B	Bending moment (in general).
IPB (B_0)	In-plane bending moment.
OPB (B_{90})	Out-of-plane bending moment.
B^*	$B/dT^2\sigma_y$ (Non dimensional form for IPB and OPB loads).
c	Suffix for combined loading.
C	Axial force in chord.
D, L, T	Chord diameter, length and wall thickness respectively.
d, l, t	Brace diameter, length and wall thickness respectively.
CYT	Type of joint with 4 braces in two orthogonal planes.
K_a	Footprint length factor, $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{cosec}\theta$.
T	Type of joint with one brace $\theta = 90^\circ$.
Y	Type of joint with one brace $\theta \neq 90^\circ$.
s	Suffix for simple loading.
α	Inclination of plane of bending.
β, γ, τ	Shape ratios ($\beta = d/D, \gamma = D/2T, \tau = t/T$).
ψ	Total crack angle

σ_u	Ultimate tensile strength(UTS) of model material.
σ_y	Yield strength.
P_u	Ultimate load.
M_u	Ultimate moment at the chord surface.
Q_β	Factor for effect of geometry.
Q_g	K-joint gap modifying factor.
N, M	Ultimate capacities for joints under combined loads (axial and out of plane bending)
N_u, M_u	Ultimate capacities for joints under pure axial and out of plane bending loads respectively.
g_t	Transverse gap between braces.
$P_{u,K}$	Predicted strength of planer K-joint.
$(P_u)_{nd}$	Non-dimensional strength under anti-symmetrical loading ($P_u \sin\theta_c / \sigma_y T^2$).
β	$= d/D = \{\sin[\Phi/2 + \sin^{-1}(\beta + 2e_t/D)]\}$.
e_t	Out of plane eccentricity.
ζ_t	$= g_t/D$.
θ_c	Angle between chord axis and plane in which compression braces lie.
Φ	Out of plane angle between the planes in which the braces lie.
FE	Finite element.
FEA	Finite element analysis.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

**STATIC STRENGTH OF ELLIPTICAL CHORDS
T-TUBULAR JOINTS SUBJECTED TO MECHANICAL LOADING**

By

ABDALLA F. HAMED

November 1999

Chairman: Associate Professor Ir. Dr. Barkawi Bin Sahari, Ph.D.

Faculty : Engineering

T –Tubular joints of elliptical and circular cross-section tubes for the main tube (chord) with circular cross-section tubes for the braces have been studied.

Experimental tests for the joint strength of these welded T-joints under tension, compression, in plane bending and out of plane bending have been carried out. A rig has been designed and built for that purpose. Three cases of T-joint connections were selected. For Case 1, the brace was perpendicular to the circular chord outer diameter. For Case 2, the brace was perpendicular to elliptical chord minor diameter and for Case 3, the brace was perpendicular to elliptical chord major diameter. The chord was held as fixed-fixed for all cases. The material used for all tubes was mild steel. The ultimate loads and ultimate moments obtained from the tests are converted to non-dimensional strengths throughout this investigation. This was done to make efficient comparisons.



Finite element models for similar T-joints have been developed and used to analyze the effect of axial loading and bending moment. Tension, compression, in-plane bending and out-of-plane bending load modes were studied.

A comparison between experimental results and finite element analysis was also carried out. Another comparison between experimental results and existing empirical equation results for similar circular chords tubular joints was also carried out.

The results extracted from this study for tension, compression, in-plane bending and out-of-plane bending modes for the ultimate loads and moments of Case 1, are 53.85 kN, 25.43 kN, 0.76 kN.m and 0.40 kN.m respectively. On the other hand, the results for Case 2 of the ultimate loads and moments are 42.48 kN, 18.39 kN, 0.63 kN.m and 0.37 kN.m respectively. While for Case 3 the ultimate loads and moments are 64.86 kN, 28.95 kN, 1.00 kN.m and 0.55 kN.m respectively.

The results obtained show that for axial tension and compression loading modes, Case 3 increases by 17.0% and 12.2% respectively when compared to Case 1 while 24.0% and 27.3% increase were found for in-plane and out-of-plane bending load modes respectively. This shows a significant improvement in the static strength for elliptical chords tubular joints (Case 3) under different loading modes when compared to circular chords tubular joints (Case 1).

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebalasion dari sikeperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**Kekuatan Statik Penyambungan Tiub T Dengan Tiub Utama
Elip Dikenakan beban Mekanikal**

Oleh

ABDALLA F. HAMED

November 1999

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Ir. Dr. Barkawi bin Sahari, Ph.D.

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kajian sambungan tiub-T bagi keratan rentas bujur dan bulat untuk tiub utama dengan pengikat yang mempunyai keratan rentas berbentuk bulat telah dibuat.

Ujikaji untuk kekuatan sambungan-T yang dikimpal telah dijalankan dalam keadaan tegangan, mampatan dan lenturan planar. Satu radas ujikaji direkabentuk dan dibina untuk tujuan ini. Tiga kes sambungan T telah dipilih untuk kajian ini. Kes 1, pengikat adalah bersudut tepat kepada tiub utama yang berbentuk bulat pada garispusat major. Kes 2, pengikat adalah bersudut tepat dengan tiub utama membujur pada garispusat minor. Bagi Kes 3, pengikat adalah bersudut tepat dengan tiub utama pada garispusat major. Untuk semua kes, tiub utama berada pada kedudukan yang tertentu. Bahan yang digunakan untuk semua tiub adalah keluli lembut. Beban dan momen muktamad yang diperolehi daripada ujikaji ini telah ditukarkan kepada kekuatan tidak berdimensi. Ini dibuat untuk mendapat perbandingan yang rapi.



Model unsur terhuigga untuk sambungan-T yang serupa telah dihasilkan dan digunakan untuk menganalisis kesan beban paksi dan momen lenturan. Mod tegangan, mampatan, lenturan planar dan beban lenturan tak planar telah dikaji.

Suatu perbandingan di antara keputusan ujikaji dan analisis unsur terhuigga terhad telah dibuat. Tambahan pula, satu lagi perbandingan dengan tiub utama bulat juga telah dijalankan.

Keputusan kajian tentang daya mampatan pembengkokan di dalam satah dan pembengkokan di luar satah bagi beban maksima dan daya momen masing-masing adalah 53.85 kN, 25.43 kN, 0.76 kN.m dan 0.40 kN.m. Sebaliknya keputusan untuk Kes 2 bagi beban maksima dan daya momen masing-masing adalah 42.48 kN, 18.39 kN, 0.63 kN.m dan 0.37 kN.m. Manakala untuk Kes 3 pula beban maksima dan daya momen masing-masing adalah 64.86 kN, 28.95 kN, 1.00 kN.m dan 0.55 kN.m.

Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa mod tegangan paksi dan beban mampatan untuk Kes 3 bertambah kepada 17.2% dan 12.2% jika dibandingkan dengan Kes 1 manakala pertambahan 24.0% dan 27.3% didapati untuk mod beban lenturan planar dan diluar planar. Ini menunjukkan pertambahan yang ketara dalam kekuatan statik sambungan tiub untuk tiub utama membujur (Kes 3) di bawah mod beban yang berlainan jika dibandingkan dengan sambungan tiub dengan tiub utama berbentuk bulat (Kes 1).

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the tubular sections provide an outstanding strength in proportion to its weight compared to other shapes when all directions (x , y , z) are considered.

In the past the use of tubes was hampered because of connection details. But with the advent of welding, it is no more difficult to joined tubular shapes than it is to join rolled shapes. However, some problems have been encountered in actual construction in making welded joints that are both strong enough and yet economical. Although there is a wealth of information both experimental and analytical regarding the structural behavior of elements made of rolled I-shapes, the same is not true for the tubular sections. This is especially true for connections.

Designers have used a varied number of methods in trying to connect a tubular member either to another tube or a different shape. Some of these seem to be very expensive in detail while others appear weak and not safe. Some spectacular failures of important structures have been attributed to faulty connection design.



Application of Tubular Joints

The tubular shapes, being very efficient, are used extensively in equipment, hardware construction, pipelines and industrial installation. The tubular shape components has been used both in buildings for columns and trusses. Tubular members are also used in many truss type construction which require long slender compression members, since the tubular cross-section exhibits a high strength to weight ratio.

Generally, steel hollow tubes welded together are used to form the offshore structure. Tubes of different sections have been used, and it is recognised that they are efficient in resisting axial, bending and torsional loads.

The circular cross-section tubes are preferable to other types of sections and used extensively in offshore structure because their drag characteristics minimize wave forces on the structure, and their closed cross-section provides for buoyancy needed during installation in the ocean environment.

Types of Tubular Joints

Tubular structures are usually made of a few main large diameter tubes called chord welded with a number of smaller diameter tubes called braces. These chords and braces are joined to each other by welding. Stress-relief process such as annealing is essential for the joint region. In many cases non-destructive tests of the welded joints are also necessary.

Loading Modes

In general, there are six different loading modes acting on any brace of a tubular joint. The most common single loading modes on a joint is axial tension (+A), axial compression (-A), out of plane bending (B_{90}), and in-plane bending (B_0). In many cases the chord in addition, is under axial compression or tension loads, and there are a few cases where the brace is under torsion moment also. For actual structures a combination of these loading modes may act on the same tubular joint at the same time. Bending at any angle α (B_α) between the in-plane (0° bending) and out-of-plane bending (90° bending) cases exist often as a combination of in-plane-bending and out of plane-bending and this may combined also with axial loading.

Material of Tubular Joints

Steel is the basic material for these tubular joints. Different types of steel are in use while mild steel is more common. Many investigations were carried out on circular steel tubular joints of different types for their ultimate failure strength. Other types of material such as aluminum, copper and lead-tin alloy were also used as tube material.

Analysis of Tubular Joints

Experimental Analysis

Experimental tests are generally the way to find the strength of any tubular joints because tubular joints are of intricate geometrical configuration; it is difficult to determine their stress distributions and ultimate static strength by means of closed form analytical method. Therefore, laboratory tests have been used as the primary means of obtaining these data. Most tests of joints for offshore structures are of model tests because the original structure joints are large in size for laboratory tests.

One of the main reliable collections of most of these tests are tabulated and supported by empirical equations at the Underwater Engineering Group (UEG) Handbook and the Department of Energy (U.K.) report. Experimental and empirical equations were developed for most types of circular tubular joints, while there is still gap for other shapes or cross-sections.

Analytical Analysis

Finite element analysis of these tubular joints is the other approach for the subject. It is one of the most powerful methods, but still, in many cases expensive to run especially for elastic-plastic and creep problems.

Generally experimental tests are required as a guide or comparison tool for finite element investigation. It is an effective way to give extra confidence in the results obtained.

Objectives

The main objectives of this work are:

- 1- To design and fabricate an experimental rig.
- 2- To fabricate T-joint models of circular and elliptical chords with circular braces.
- 3- To study the effect of elliptical chords on the static strength of T-tubular joints under different loading modes experimentally.
- 4- To predict the behavior of elliptical chords T-joints under different loading modes using finite element method.
- 5- To compare the experimental results with existing empirical equations.
- 6- To compare the experimental results with finite element results.

The thesis is divided into several chapters. A review of literature is presented in chapter 2. Chapter 3 describes the experimental work as well as the analytical work using the LUSAS software. Chapter 4 is concerned with the results obtained for the experimental work, finite element analysis, discussion of the results obtained and comparison between them. Chapter 5 presented the discussion of the work carried out. The conclusion of this work and recommendations for further work are presented in chapter 6.