



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**ONSET OF CONVECTION IN POROUS MEDIA INDUCED BY  
TRANSIENT HEAT CONDUCTION**

**SAMTORNG**

**FK 1999 7-s**

**ONSET OF CONVECTION IN POROUS MEDIA INDUCED BY  
TRANSIENT HEAT CONDUCTION**

**By**

**SAM TORNG**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of  
Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**October 1999**

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The author wishes to express her deepest gratitude to her supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Tan Ka Kheng of Chemical and Environmental Engineering Department, Dr. Nor Mariah Adam of Mechanical Engineering Department and Mr. Hishamuddin bin Jamaluddin of Food and Processing Engineering Department for their invaluable advice and guidance through the course of this project.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	ii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	v
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	vii
<b>LIST OF NOTATIONS</b> .....	xii
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	xv
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	xvii
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>II LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	3
Steady State Convection in a Horizontal Layer of Fluid .....	3
Onset of Convection in a Horizontal Layer of Fluid Induced by Transient Heat Conduction.....	4
Occurrence of Convection in Porous Media Induced by Steady State Heat Conduction.....	8
Experiments for the Occurrence of Convection in Porous Media.....	11
Experiments for steady-State Convection in Hele-Shaw Cell.....	22
Onset of Convection Induced by Transient Heat Conduction in Porous Media.....	25
Numerical Studies on Steady-State Convection in Porous Media .....	28
Summary.....	31
<b>III THEORY, METHOD AND MATERIALS</b>	35
Theory of Transient Heat Conduction in Porous Media.....	35
Fixed Surface Temperature Boundary Condition.....	37
Constant Heat Flux Boundary Condition.....	37
Computational Fluid Dynamics Simulation.....	39
Problem Set-Up.....	39
Outline of the Simulation Procedure.....	42
Mathematical Models for Porous Media.....	44
Overall Solution Algorithm.....	47
Underrelaxation.....	48
Interpolation Scheme.....	49
Solution Method for the Discretized Equation.....	49

	Solution Procedures for the Time-dependent Flows.....	50
	Thermal Boundary Condition and Heat Transfer Calculation at Wall.....	52
	Size of Computation Cell .....	52
	Physical Properties .....	54
	Judging of Convergence.....	55
	Data Output.....	56
<b>IV</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>57</b>
	Steady State Simulation for CHF and FST Boundary Condition.....	57
	The Convective Flow Pattern and the Size of Convective Cell.....	58
	Critical Temperature Difference and Heat Flux.....	66
	Critical Rayleigh Number.....	69
	Critical Rayleigh Number.....	69
	Time Dependent Simulation for FST and CHF Boundary condition.....	71
	The Formation and and Development of the Thermal Plume.....	71
	Critical Wavelength and Thermal Depth.....	84
	Velocity Magnitude.....	89
	Heat Transfer and Nusselt Number.....	92
	Critime time and Transient Rayleigh Number.....	96
	Overview.....	101
<b>V</b>	<b>CONCLUSIONS</b>	<b>106</b>
<b>VI</b>	<b>RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>105</b>
	<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY</b>	<b>109</b>
	<b>APPENDIX</b>	<b>112</b>
	<b>A</b> Analytical Solutions.....	110
	<b>B</b> Summary of Simulation Results.....	113
	<b>C</b> Line Print Files.....	129
	<b>VITA</b>	<b>191</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
1.	Equations of maximum transient $Ra_c$ for various boundaries conditions. Tan and Thorp (1996).....	6
2.	The critical Rayleigh number and wave numbers for various Biot numbers and physical boundary condition (From Sparrow et al., 1964)..	7
3	Rayleigh number for porous media and Hele-Shaw cell.....	9
4	Summary of linear stability analysis of porous media for various boundary conditions.....	10
5.	Critical temperature gradient for occurrence of convection. ( Morrison and Roger, 1949).....	13
6.	Physical dimension of porous layer. Shattuck, et al.(1997).....	21
7.	Summary of Rayleigh number used by numerical experiment for porous media and Hele-Shaw cell.....	33
8.	Summary of steady-state laboratory experiments for convection in porous media and Hele-Shaw cell.....	34
9.	Properties of porous media consist of glass beads.....	41
10.	Ideal computation . . . . .	54
11.	Total number of simulation run for FST and CHF boundary condition...	57
12.	Comparison of the critical wavelengths from the simulations and theoretical value.....	59
13.	Critical Rayleigh number for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	70
14.	Critical Rayleigh number for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	70

15.	Total number of simulation run for FST and CHF boundary condition...	71
16.	Comparison of simulation results for porous media and continuous fluid	73
17.	Comparison of the wavelength from simulations and theory for FST boundary condition.....	85
18.	Comparison of the wavelength from simulation and theory for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	86
19.	Comparison of the critical thermal depth from simulation and theory for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	87
20.	Comparison of the critical thermal depth obtained from simulation and theory for CHF boundary condition.....	92
21.	Equations used to calculated Nusselt number for FST and CHF boundary condition.....	90
22.	Maximum Nusselt number from simulations for bottom heating of FST and CHF boundary condition.....	93
23.	Critical Rayleigh numbers and critical times from simulations for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	98
24.	Critical times prediction for FST boundary condition.....	98
25.	Critical Rayleigh numbers and critical times from simulations for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	98
26.	Critical times prediction for FST boundary condition.....	98
27.	Summary of average Rayleigh number.....	101
28.	Thermal diffusivity of various materials compared to water.....	102
29.	Thermal physical properties of water.....	104

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Temperature profiles in porous media. (Morrison et al., 1949).....	12
2.	Critical Rayleigh number $Ra$ as a function of $K_e/H^2$ . (Katto and Musuoka, 1967).....	13
3.	Comparison of experiment critical Rayleigh number with theoretical prediction in case of $\kappa_m = k_m/(\phi(C_p\rho)_m(1-\phi((C_p\rho)_s))$ . (Katto and Masuoko, 1967).....	15
4.	Comparison of experiment critical Rayleigh number with theoretical prediction in case of $\kappa^* = k_m/(C_p\rho)_f$ . (Katto and Masuoko, 1967).....	15
5.	Heat transfer characteristic. (Elder, 1967).....	17
6.	Experimental heat flux curve for a 4 cm thick porous layer. (Chen and Chen, 1989).....	19
7.	Acceptable experimental beads diameter, $d$ and layer height, $H$ . (Shattuck et al., 1997).....	21
8.	Photograph of the dye line, 40 s after initiation, in lower porosity Hele-Shaw cell at $Ra=47$ ( $\Delta T = 3.7^\circ C$ , mean temperature of $21.2^\circ C$ . (Hartline and Lister, 1990).....	24
9	Time development of proto-sublayer in porous medium, $Ra = 200$ , $\psi$ is the stream function. (Elder, 1968).....	26
10.	Photography sequence of the development of high Rayleigh number flow in a Hele-Shaw cell. (Elder, 1968).....	27
11	The Nusselt number as a function of the Rayleigh number for three-dimension convection (Stamps et al., 1990).....	30
12.	Simulation for bottom heating of a porous media.....	40
13.	The thickness of porous layer.....	41



14.	Overview of the solution procedure.....	46
15.	Typical computation cell surrounding node P.....	47
16.	Illustration of sweep direction.....	49
17.	Impact of the near-wall grid spacing on the shear-stress calculation in laminar flow.....	53
18.	Temperature contour for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $\Delta T = 5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	60
19.	Velocity vector profile for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $\Delta T = 5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	60
20.	Temperature contour for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $\Delta T = 9\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	61
21.	Velocity vector profile for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $\Delta T = 9\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	61
22.	Temperature contour for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $\Delta T = 15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	62
23.	Velocity vector profile for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $\Delta T = 15\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for FST boundary condition.....	62
24.	Temperature contour for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $q^{\circ} = 100\text{ W/m}^2$ for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	63
25.	Velocity vector profile for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $q^{\circ} = 100\text{ W/m}^2$ for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	63
26.	Temperature contour for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $q^{\circ} = 500\text{ W/m}^2$ for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	64
27.	Velocity vector profile for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $q^{\circ} = 500\text{ W/m}^2$ for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	64
28.	Temperature contour for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $q^{\circ} = 750\text{ W/m}^2$ for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	65

29	Velocity vector profile for 6 mm glass beads saturated with water at $q^o = 750 \text{ W/m}^2$ for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	65
30.	Effect of permeability on critical temperature difference $\Delta T_s$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	67
31.	Effect of permeability on critical heat flux for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	67
32.	Heat flux vs. $\Delta T_s$ for bottom heating of FST boundary condition. (6mm glass beads and 2.0 cm thick).....	68
33.	Heat transfer coefficient $h_s$ vs. heat flux for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition. (6mm glass beads and 2.0 cm thick).....	68
34	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. FST bottom heating at $\Delta T_s = 45^\circ\text{C}$ at $t = 100 \text{ s}$ .....	74
35	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. FST bottom heating at $\Delta T_s = 45^\circ\text{C}$ at $t = 100 \text{ s}$ .....	74
36	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. FST bottom heating at $\Delta T_s = 45^\circ\text{C}$ at $t = 250 \text{ s}$ .....	75
37	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. FST bottom heating at $\Delta T_s = 45^\circ\text{C}$ at $t = 250 \text{ s}$ .....	75
38	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. FST bottom heating at $\Delta T_s = 45^\circ\text{C}$ at $t = 300 \text{ s}$ .....	76
39	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. FST bottom heating at $\Delta T_s = 45^\circ\text{C}$ at $t = 350 \text{ s}$ .....	76
40	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 50 \text{ s}$ .....	77
41	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 50 \text{ s}$ .....	77
42	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 225 \text{ s}$ .....	78

43	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 225 \text{ s}$ .....	78
44	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 300 \text{ s}$ .....	79
45	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 300 \text{ s}$ .....	79
46	Temperature contour for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 450 \text{ s}$ .....	80
47	Velocity vector profile for 3 mm glass beads saturated with water. CHF bottom heating at $q^o = 5000 \text{ W/m}^2$ at $t = 450 \text{ s}$ .....	80
48	Temperature contour for CHF top free surface cooling of continuous water at $t = 70 \text{ s}$ .....	81
49	Velocity vector profile for CHF top free surface cooling of continuous water at $t = 70 \text{ s}$ .....	81
50	Temperature contour for CHF top free surface cooling of continuous water at $t = 90 \text{ s}$ .....	82
51	Velocity vector profile for CHF top free surface cooling of continuous water at $t = 90 \text{ s}$ .....	82
52	Temperature contour for CHF top free surface cooling of continuous water at $t = 100 \text{ s}$ .....	83
53	Velocity vector profile for CHF top free surface cooling of continuous water at $t = 100 \text{ s}$ .....	83
54.	Comparison of the critical wavelength from the simulation and theory for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	85
55	Comparison of the critical wavelength from the simulation and theory for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	86
56.	Comparison of the critical thermal depth from the simulation and theory for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	87

57.	Comparison of the critical thermal depth from the simulation and theory for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	88
58	$\varepsilon = (Ra - Ra_c)/Ra_c$ dependence of $V_{x \max}$ , (Dubois and Berge, 1978).....	90
59.	Maximum magnitude of velocity for various experiments for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	91
60.	Maximum magnitude of velocity for various experiments for CHF boundary condition.....	89
61	Heat flux at various times for bottom heating of FST model. (run no.8, 15 mm glass beads, $\Delta T_s = 10^\circ\text{C}$ , at $t_c = 50$ sec).....	94
62	Nusselt number at various times for bottom heating of FST model. (run no.8, 15 mm glass beads, $\Delta T_s = 10^\circ\text{C}$ , at $t_c = 50$ sec).....	94
63	Heat transfer coefficient at various time for bottom heating of CHF model. ( run no.3, 6 mm glass beads, $h_s = 2500\text{W}/(\text{m.K})$ , at $t_c = 185$ sec).....	95
64.	Nusselt number at various times for bottom heating of CHF model. (run no.3, 6 mm glass beads, $h_s = 2500\text{W}/(\text{m.K})$ , at $t_c = 185$ sec).....	95
65	Comparison of critical times from theory and simulations for bottom heating of FST boundary condition.....	99
66	Comparison of critical times from theory and simulations for bottom heating of CHF boundary condition.....	100
67	Rayleigh number and critical time for various simulations run for FST boundary condition.....	101
68	Rayleigh number and critical time for various simulations run for CHF boundary condition.....	102
69	Schematic diagram of temperature profile for the solid and liquid matrix.....	103
70	Temperature profile for 9 mm glass beads and water at 5 second.....	104

## LIST OF NOTATIONS

**Units in S.I System**

$\tilde{\alpha}_c$	Dimensionless wave number	
$a$	Wave number	$(m^{-1})$
$b$	Gap between the vertical planes for Hele-Shaw cell	$(m)$
$d$	Beads diameter	$(m)$
$H$	Total depth of fluid layer	$(m)$
$g$	Acceleration due to gravity	$(m^2/s)$
$h$	Heat transfer coefficient	$(W/m^2 \text{ } ^\circ C)$
$h_s$	Heat transfer coefficient at surface	$(W/m^2 \text{ } ^\circ C)$
$h'$	Enthalpy,	$(J)$
$K_e$	Permeability	$(m^2)$
$K_l$	Permeability	$(m/s)$
$k$	Thermal conductivity of fluid	$(W/m \text{ } ^\circ C)$
$k_m$	Thermal conductivity of porous media mixture, $k_m = \phi k_f + (1-\phi)k_s$	$(W/m \text{ } ^\circ C)$
$P$	Pressure	$(Pa)$
$q^\circ$	Constant heat flux	$(W/m^2)$
$q$	Heat flux	$(W/m^2)$
$q_s$	Heat flux at surface	$(W/m^2)$
$t_c$	Critical time for onset of convection	$(s)$

T	Temperature	(°C)
T <sub>o</sub>	Initial water temperature	(°C)
T <sub>s</sub>	Surface temperature at time t	(°C)
ΔT	Temperature difference between top and bottom surface	(°C)
ΔT <sub>s</sub>	Temperature difference between initial temperature of the porous media and bottom surface temperature	(°C)
Nu	Nusselt number	
Ra	Rayleigh number	
Y	Gap between the the vertical walls and the thickness of the walls for Hele-Shaw cell	(m)
z	Penetration depth	(m)

### Greek Symbols

β	Temperature gradient	(°C/m)
α	Volumetric coefficient of thermal expansion	(K <sup>-1</sup> )
δ	Thickness of effective thermal layer	(m)
κ	Thermal diffusivity	(m <sup>2</sup> /s)
κ*	Modified thermal diffusivity, $\kappa^* = km/(\rho C_p)_f$	(m <sup>2</sup> /s)
κ <sub>m</sub>	Thermal diffusivity of porous mixture, $\kappa_m = k_m / \{ \phi(\rho C_p) + (1-\phi)(\rho C_p)_s \}$	(m <sup>2</sup> /s)
λ	Wavelength	(m)
ν	Kinematic viscosity	(m <sup>2</sup> /s)
μ	Viscosity	(Pa.s)

$\rho$	Density	(kg/m <sup>3</sup> )
$\gamma$	Ratio of thermal diffusivity of water to that of other substance.	
$\phi$	Porosity	
$\Gamma$	Aspect ratio	

### **Abbreviation**

CFD Computational Fluid Dynamic

CHF constant heat flux boundary condition

FST fixed surface temperature boundary condition

### **Subscripts**

c critical

o initial condition

max maximum

f fluid

s solid

m porous media mixture

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master Science.

**ONSET OF CONVECTION IN POROUS MEDIA INDUCED BY  
TRANSIENT HEAT CONDUCTION**

By

**SAM TORNG**

**October 1999**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Tan Ka Kheng. Ph.D, P.Eng**

**Faculty: Engineering**

In this study a computational fluid dynamics (CFD) package - FLUENT/UNS was adopted to simulate the occurrence of convection in an isotropic porous media. The porous layer was homogenous and bounded by two horizontal rigid surfaces. 2-D simulation for steady state and time-dependent were conducted for the bottom surface with two boundary conditions: i) Fixed Surface Temperature - FST, ii) Constant Heat Flux – CHF. The top surface was maintained at FST boundary condition and the vertical wall was adiabatic.

The 2-D steady state simulations were carried out to investigate the occurrence of convection as predicted by the linear theory. The 2-D time-dependent were conducted to investigate the possibility of adopting Tan and Thorp's transient Rayleigh number theory in deep layer of porous media saturated with water.



The CFD was successful in modeling the onset of convection in saturated porous media. The range of maximum velocity at the onset of convection and the finger shape of the thermal plume were in agreement with the literatures (Horton and Roger 1949, Elder 1968). The maximum Nusselt number based on  $\kappa_m$  for the FST and CHF boundary condition were in the range between 3 – 4, depending on the rate of heat transfer. The steady state and time-dependent simulation results showed no significant difference in the Rayleigh number as predicted by Lapwood (1948)  $Ra_c = 39.5$ , Ribando and Torrance (1976)  $Ra_c = 27.1$  for the FST and CHF boundary condition. The average Rayleigh numbers based on  $\kappa_m$  for the steady state simulation were respectively 32.02 and 32.71 for the FST and CHF boundary conditions. The average transient Rayleigh numbers for the time-dependent simulations were respective 30.90 and 30.04 for FST and CHF boundary conditions respectively.

The deviation of the Rayleigh number may be due to the complexity of the heat transfer in porous media as wide difference of thermal diffusivity of the solid and liquid that are existing in the saturated porous media. Beside this, large temperature difference  $\Delta T_s$  or heat flux  $q^o$ , imposed on the porous media to induce the convection was against the assumption of perturbation theory in which allows only a small disturbance or change in density of the fluid and constant fluid properties.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**PERMULAAN PEROLAKAN DALAM MEDIA POROS YANG  
DIARUH OLEH KONDUKSI HABA**

Oleh

**SAM TORNG**

**Oktober 1999**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Tan Ka Kheng, Ph.D, P.Eng**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Dalam kajian ini, satu pakaj Pengiraan Dinamik Bendalir (CFD) – FLUENT/UNS telah diguna untuk menyimulasi kejadian perolakan di dalam satu media poros isotropik. Media poros ini adalah sekata, dibatasi oleh dinding tegak yang adiabatik dan dua sempadan horizontal yang tegar. Simulasi dalam keadaan mantap dan keadaan fana telah dijalankan untuk sempadan horizontal untuk keadaan i) Permukaan suhu tetap (FST), ii) Fluks haba tetap (CHF). Sempadan datar bahagian atas dikekalkan pada keadaan FST.

Simulasi 2-D dengan keadaan keseimbangan dijalankan untuk mengkaji kejadian perolakan yang diramalkan oleh teori linear. Simulasi 2-D dengan keadaan fana dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesesuaian mengguna teori konduksi yang dikemukakan oleh Tan and Thorpe (1996) dalam media poros yang ditepu dengan air.

CFD adalah berkesan dalam permodelan permulaan perolakan haba dalam media poros yang ditepu dengan air. Julat bagi halaju maximum pada permulaan perolakan haba dan plum haba yang berbentuk jari adalah sependapat dengan kajian yang lain ( Horton and Roger 1949, Elder 1968) Nombor Nusselt maximum yang dicapai berdasarkan  $\kappa_m$  untuk sempadan FST and CHF adalah diantara julat 3 – 4, bergantung kepada kadar pemindahan hada. Keputusan simulasi 2-D dalam keadaan mantap dan fana tidak menunjukkan perbezaan yang besar untuk nombor Rayleigh sebagaimana yang diramal oleh teori ini untuk keadaan sempadan FST  $Ra_c = 4\pi^2$  (Lapwood, 1948) dan keadaan sempadan CHF  $Ra_c = 27.1$  (Ribando dan Torrance,1978). Nombor Rayleigh untuk simulasi keadaan mantap berdasarkan  $\kappa_m$  adalah masing-masing 32.02 dan 32.71 untuk keadaan sempadan FST dan CHF. Manakala, nombor Rayleigh untuk keadaan fana adalah masing-masing 30.90 dan 30.04 bagi keadaan sempadan FST dan CHF.

Sisihan nombor Rayleigh mungkin disebabkan oleh pemindahan haba yang kompleks dalam media poros. Pemindahan haba dalam media poros adalah lebih kompleks daripada cecair disebabkan kewujudan kemeresapan haba yang berbeza bagi matriks pepejal dan cecair. Selain daripada itu, perbezaan suhu dan fluks haba yang tinggi dikenakan ke atas media poros untuk mengaruh perolakan adalah bertentangan dengan “perturbation theory” di mana hanya gangguan atau perubahan ketumpatan yang kecil dibenarkan dalam bendalir dan semua sifat-sifat bendalir yang lain dianggap kekal

# CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

When a fluid is heated from below or cooled from the top, the density gradient decreases in the direction of the gravity field. If the temperature gradient exceeds a critical value, buoyancy forces will overcome the retarding influence of the viscous force, which will lead to the onset of convection of the fluid. Lord Rayleigh (1916) developed the famous thermal instability criterion for the onset of buoyancy convection based on a linear temperature gradient in a fluid layer. In view that natural convection is induced by time-dependent and non-linear temperature profile, a great number of analytical and experimental works had been done on onset of convection during transient heat conduction in the past (Morton 1957, Lick 1965), Currie 1967). However none of them successfully developed an appropriate transient Rayleigh number for continuous fluid. However recently Tan and Thorpe (1996) had developed a new transient Rayleigh number for the deep fluid for different boundary conditions based on the Biot number.

The knowledge of natural convection in a fluid saturated porous media is of considerable interest because of its importance in geophysical and engineering applications. These included the modeling of geometrical field, high performance insulation for building and cold storage, solar power collection. Beginning with the classic studies of Horton and Roger (1945) and Lapwood (1948), who investigated the critical condition (Rayleigh number) at which the heat transport process changes from purely conduction to convection based on the linear stability analysis, a number of

experiments and analytical work were conducted on the of convection in porous media. Among them was Elder (1967), who studied the convective flow for conditions moderated above the critical Rayleigh number. The most recent experimental and numerical studies were concentrated on the structure of the convective flow and the heat transport in porous media by Lister (1990), Straus and Schubert (1988) and Steen and Aidun (1988). Not much of the experimental works had been done for the onset of convection in porous media during the transient heat conduction except Elder (1968), who had performed unsteady-state laboratory experiments on convective flow in Hele-Shaw cell and numerical experiments on the porous media. However he failed to develop a comprehensive concept for the transient Rayleigh number.

In this study, a transient Rayleigh number based on Tan and Thorp (1996) theory for deep fluid was developed for two boundary conditions (FST and CHF) for a semi-infinite porous media saturated with fluid. A computation fluid dynamic (CFD) package FLUENT was used to simulate the onset of convection in a porous media. The objectives of the study were:

- i) To simulate the occurrence of convection in porous media as predicted by linear theory for FST and CHF boundary condition
- ii) To simulate the time-dependent convection in porous media for FST and CHF boundary condition.
- iii) To investigate the possibility of adopting Tan and Thorpe's theory of the transient Rayleigh number in deep layer of porous media saturated with fluid.

## CHAPTER II

### LITERATURE REVIEW

#### Steady-State Convection in a Horizontal Layer of Fluid

Rayleigh (1916) did the first analytical work on thermal instability of finite thickness fluid layer. He studied the idealized case of free conducting boundaries with an adverse linear temperature gradient typical of steady-state heat transport. He used a perturbation expansion of the linearized Boussinesq equations, assuming a convection pattern, which varies, sinusoidal in the horizontal direction. In the Boussinesq approximation, the temperature dependence of the fluid properties was neglected, except for thermally induced density difference when they induce buoyant force. He showed that there was a critical Rayleigh number  $Ra_c$ , a non-dimensional number now named after him, which divides the conduction and the convection regime. For this boundary condition the critical Rayleigh number was defined as:

$$Ra_c = \frac{g\alpha H^3 \Delta T}{\nu\kappa} = 657$$

Extending to the work of Rayleigh (1916), Jeffreys (1928) predicted that for a layer of fluid confined between two conducting rigid boundary with different constant temperature condition,  $Ra_c = 1708$ , whereas Low (1929) predicted that if one of the boundary was rigid and the other one is free,  $Ra_c = 1108$ .

## **Onset of Convection in a Horizontal Layer of Fluid Induced by Transient Heat Conduction**

However, in nature, the onset of convection in deep fluid is induced by transient heat conduction with nonlinear temperature profile. This means that the conventional steady-state linear stability analysis is not valid except for very extremely slow cooling or heating rate with finite fluid layer thickness in an artificial laboratory experiment.

Owing to the above fact, a considerable amount of analytical and experimental investigations had been done in the past to develop the critical transient Rayleigh number. The early studies of the onset of convection during transient heat conduction had been conducted by Morton (1957); Lick (1965); Currie (1967). They had adopted the quasi-steady state model for linear stability analysis, whereas Foster (1965) used the velocity amplification theory in his study to investigate the onset of convection. However none of them were successful in determining the critical Rayleigh number to predict the transient heat convection as pointed out by Tan and Thorpe (1996).

Tan and Thorp (1996) had derived a new transient Rayleigh number, which incorporated the mode and rate of heat transport. The mode of the heat transport is characterized by a thermal boundary condition that determines the Biot number and the corresponding critical Rayleigh number. The new transient Biot number (Tan and Thorpe, 1994 and 1996) is based on an effective thermal depth and depends on the mode and rate of heat transfer.

They adopted Pearson's (1958) definition of Biot number, which is based on the rate of surface heat flux, where

$$Bi = \frac{dq/dT_s}{(dq/dT)_i}$$

When the surface is subjected to a constant heat flux (CHF), i.e  $dq/dT_s = 0$ , Biot number = 0; Biot number =  $\infty$  when the surface temperature is fixed (FST), i.e  $dq/dT_s = \infty$ . By determining the transient Biot number, the corresponding critical Rayleigh number can be found.

The new transient Rayleigh number is a function of the penetration depth  $z$  and the local temperature gradient  $\partial T/\partial z$ , and is defined as follow:

$$Ra = \frac{g\alpha z^4}{\nu\kappa} \left( \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right)_i$$

It is derived from the convection Rayleigh number  $Ra = g\alpha z^3 \Delta T/(\kappa\nu)$ , by substituting the corresponding  $(\partial T/\partial z)_z$  for the Fixed Surface (FST) boundary condition, Constant Heat Flux (CHF) boundary condition and Linear Temperature (LTR) boundary condition as cited by Carslaw and Jaeger (1973). The maximum vertical position  $z_{max}$  of the critical transient local Rayleigh number is obtained by differentiating the above equation. The critical Rayleigh number for the FST, CHF and LTR boundary conditions are obtained by substitute the corresponding  $z_{max}$  for the FST, CHF and LTR model into  $Ra = g\alpha z^3 \Delta T/(\kappa\nu)$ . The critical Rayleigh number for the FST, CHF and LTR boundary conditions are obtained as shown in Table (1).



For a fluid layer bounded by a top free surface and bottom solid surface, where the bottom solid surface is at fixed temperature and the top surface of the fluid is cooled by a step change instantaneously to a fixed temperature  $T_s$ . The loss of mass by evaporation is negligible, the Biot number is infinity and the corresponding critical  $Ra_c$  is 1100 (Low 1929) as shown in Table (2). By substituting the  $Ra_c = 1100$  into the transient Rayleigh number, the critical time  $t_c$ , critical wavelength  $\lambda_c$ , critical thermal depth  $z_{\max}$  for the onset of convection can be obtained. The critical Rayleigh number and Biot number for various boundary conditions are tabulated in Table (2).

Table 1: Equations of maximum transient  $Ra_c$  for various boundary conditions. (Tan and Thorpe, 1996)

---

Fixed surface temperature:  $Ra_{\max} = \frac{4.89g\alpha\sqrt{\kappa^3}\Delta T_s}{\nu}$

Linear temperature rate:  $Ra_{\max} = \frac{1.73g\alpha B\sqrt{\kappa^3}}{\nu} = \frac{1.73g\alpha\sqrt{\kappa^3}\Delta T_s}{\nu}$

Constant heat flux:  $Ra_{\max} = \frac{3.02g\alpha q^o\kappa^2}{\nu\kappa} = \frac{2.676g\alpha\sqrt{\kappa^3}\Delta T_s}{\nu}$

---