



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**COMPARITIVE EVALUATION OF GEOMETRIC DYNAMIC
CHANNEL ALLOCATION
OVER OTHER CHANNEL ALLOCATION SCHEMES**

ABDULLA KHADER

FK 1998 24

COMPARITIVE EVALUATION OF GEOMETRIC DYNAMIC
CHANNEL ALLOCATION
OVER OTHER CHANNEL ALLOCATION SCHEMES

BY

ABDULLA KHADER

Thesis Submitted in the Partial Fulfillment of Requirement for
the Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia

December 1998



To my parents

Abd-AL.Rahman Fayyad & Nawal Mahmoud



ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I would like to take this opportunity to convey my sincere thanks and gratitude to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Borhanuddin Mohd. Ali, for all the help and valuable guidance provided to me during the preparation of this thesis. I consider myself privileged to have had the opportunity to work under his guidance.

Also I would like to express my sincere appreciation and deep gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. A.K.Ramani, for his wise counsel, guidance, encouragement and providing various logistic supports throughout my research period.

I am very grateful to Ms. Nor Kamariah Noordin, for serving in my supervisory committee and providing precious suggestions and comments at different stages of this study.

My appreciation also goes to the staff of Engineering Faculty, Graduate School (especially to Puan Faridah) and UPM library for their help and kindness.

I wish to thank my fellow graduate students in the postgraduate lab for their encouragement and whole-hearted support; especially to Ali (Sudan), Firas Dabous (Palestine), Salem (Libya), Suliman (Libya) and Uzma (India).

I wish to thank my friends, who are fellow students in Malaysia; *Shirley, Mohammad Saleh, Eid Aziod, and Jihad Al-Khaldee* for their help, encouragement and support. Also my roommates,



Mohammad Nashabat (Abo-Shanab) and Luai Al-Shalabi for providing a very pleasant environment during my stay here in Malaysia with them.

Most important of all, my sincere and warmest gratitude go to my father (Abd-AL-Rahman Fayyad), mother (Nawal Mahmoud) sisters and brothers for their moral and financial support during my study.

Special thanks to MTCP award for financial support during my study.

Above all, I should say AL-HAMDULILAH, for without Him, everything would not cease to be.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	viii
LIST OF FIGURES.....	x
LIST OF APPREVIATIONS	xi
ABSTRACT	xiii
ABSTRAK	xvi

CHAPTER

I INTRODUCTION

Background	1
Objectives of the Research	6
Research Methodology	7
Organisation of the Thesis	7

II LITERATURE REVIEW

Overview of Different Channel Assignments	9
Channel Allocation Schemes	12
Fixed Channel Allocation	13
Dynamic Channel Allocation	15
Centralised DCA Schemes	16
First Available (FA)	16
Locally Optimised Dynamic Assignment (LODCA)	17



Channel Reuse Optimisation Schemes	17
Mean Square (MSQ), Nearest Neighbour (NN) Nearest Neighbour plus One (NN+1)	18
Performance Comparison	18
Distributed DCA Schemes	19
Comparison between FCA and DCA	19
Hybrid Channel Allocation	21
Channel borrowing schemes	22
Hybrid Channel Borrowing Schemes	23
Simple Hybrid Channel Borrowing Strategy (SHCB)	23
Borrowing with Channel Ordering (BCO)	24
Borrowing with Directional Channel Locking (BDCL)	24
Performance Comparison	25
GDCA Strategy	26
Mathematical Analysis of different DCA Strategies	27
Cell Labeling	27
Pools of Carrier	28
Preference List	29
The Carrier Acquisition/Release Rules	30
Carrier Acquisition Algorithm	30
Carrier Release Algorithm	31
Anarchic DCA	32
Priority List DCA	33
Conclusions	33

III COMPARATIVE EVALUATION OF GDCA

Introduction	35
Basic Analysis	35
GDCA Mathematical Analysis Algorithms	36



	Carrier Acquisition Algorithm	37
	Carrier Release Algorithm	40
	Conclusions	42
IV	COMPARISON ELABORATION OF GDCA OVER OTHER STRATEGIES	
	Introduction	43
	Preference List Procedure	48
	Comparison between GDCA and FCA	53
	Comparison between GDCA and ADCA	54
	Comparison between GDCA and PLDCA	55
	Comparison between FCA, ADCA, PLDCA and GDCA	57
	Conclusions	57
V	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE ISSUES	
	Conclusions	58
	Future Issues	60



REFERENCES.....	61
APPENDICES	
A Blocking Probability of Uniform Traffic	66
B Carrier Acquisition and Carrier Release in Case of GDCA Strategy	70
C Blocking Probability of Different Strategies	77
VITA	79



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Performance Comparison of Channel Reuse Optimization Schemes	18
2	Comparison Between FCA and DCA	20
3	Performance Comparison of Different Hybrid Channel Borrowing Schemes	25
4	Carrier Acquisition Preference Lists for Nine Labels Case	31
5	Assumed Value for Comparison Evaluation	47
6	Carrier Acquisition and Carrier Release in Case of Three Labels	52



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Cell-cluster $N = 3$, Cell-cluster $N = 7$	14
2	Channel Locking	23
3	Cell-to-label Assignment Example with $L = 9$	27
4	GDCA Carrier Acquisition Flow Chart	39
5	GDCA Carrier Release Flow Chart	41
6	State Transition Diagram for the One Dimensional Birth-Death Process	45
7	Blocking Probability Versus N in Case of FCA	47
8	Flow Chart of the Preference List Procedure	49
9	Blocking Probability Versus N in Case of GDCA and FCA	53
10	Blocking Probability Versus N in Case of GDCA and ADCA	54
11	Blocking Probability Versus N in Case of GDCA and PLDCA	55
12	Blocking Probability of GDCA Against FCA, ADCA and PLDCA	56



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADCA	Anarchic Dynamic Channel Allocation
BCO	Borrowing with Channel Ordering
BDCL	Borrowing with Directional Channel locking
CDMA	Code-Division Multiple-Access
CDPD	Cellular Digital Packet Data
CEPT	Conference of European Post and Telecommunications
CIR	Carrier to Interference
DCA	Dynamic Channel Allocation
DECT	Digital Europe Cordless Telephone
FA	First Available
FCA	Fixed Channel Assignment
FDMA	Frequency Division Multiple Access
FPLMTS	Future Public Land Mobile Telephone System
GDCA	Geometric Dynamic Channel Allocation
GSM	Global System for Mobile Communication
HCA	Hybrid Channel Assignment
LAN	Local Area Network
LODCA	Locally Optimized Dynamic Assignment
MSQ	Mean Square
NN	Nearest Neighbour



NN+1	Nearest Neighbour plus One
PCS	Personal Communication Services
PLDCA	Priority List Channel Dynamic Allocation
SHCB	Simple Hybrid Channel Borrowing
	Strategy
TDMA	Time Division Multiple Access
UMTS	Universal Mobile Telecommunication System



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of
Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Master of Science.

COMPARISON EVALUATION OF GEOMETRIC DYNAMIC CHANNEL
ALLOCATION
GDCA OVER OTHER STRATEGIES

BY

ABDULLA KHADER

December 1998

Chairman: Associate Professor Dr. Borhanuddin Mohd. Ali

Faculty: Engineering

Wireless services are one of the strongest growth areas in telecommunications today. Cellular voice is well established as a high-end service in most areas, but demand is increasing rapidly.

In cellular systems, the geographical region is split using a regular topology, into cells each containing one base station. The base station should assign a channel that is not currently used within some specified distance.

There are many kinds of channel assignment methods used in mobile communication starting with Fixed Channel Assignment



(FCA), through Dynamic Channel Assignment (DCA) and Hybrid Channel Assignment (HCA).

Personal Communication Services (PCS's) have been introduced as a mass-market phone service. The capacity, however, is now a critical issue for all of these services. The solution to the increasing spectrum efficiency demand in Personal Communication Services (PCS's) is the implementation of Dynamic Channel Allocation (DCA) strategy with distributed control.

This thesis concentrates on one specific class of dynamic channel allocation called the Geometric Dynamic Channel Allocation (GDCA). The main feature of the GDCA lies in its ability to organise the dynamic resource assignment so that the resulting carrier usage pattern resembles what corresponds to other strategies, as long as that is compatible with the offered traffic pattern. Besides that, the overall performance advantage of GDCA over other strategies increases, as the offered traffic becomes larger.

Comparing the performance of the GDCA over other strategies such as the FCA, Anarchic DCA and Priority List DCA, it could be shown that GDCA offers better performance (less blocking probability) compared to those strategies. Thus, it is hoped that this will assist in the design of the cellular system.

This thesis proposes to extend the GDCA by referring to Markov Chain of call attempts and the Set Theory of mathematical model description. This can be elucidated more comprehensively by comparing GDCA over other strategies, qualitatively and quantitatively.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat
Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada
syarat keperluan ijazah Master Sains.

PENILAIAN PEMBANDINGAN ANTARA GEOMETRIC DYNAMIC
CHANNEL ALLOCATION GDCA DENGAN STRATEGI-STRATEGI
LAIN

Oleh

ABDULLA KHADER

Disember 1998

Pengerusi: Professor Madya Dr. Borhanuddin Mohd. Ali

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Dalam bidang telekomunikasi masa kini, salah satu perkembangan terbesar ialah perkhidmatan tanpa-wayar. Suara bersel telah diiktirafkan sebagai satu perkhidmatan tercekap dalam kebanyakan bidang namun desakan permintaan didapati masih meningkat.

Dalam sistem selular, kawasan geografinya dibahagikan kepada sel, yang mana setiap sel mengandungi satu stesen pangkalan. Stesen pangkalan tersebut mengagihkan satu saluran yang tidak digunakan dalam jarak tertentu.

Terdapat berbagai kaedah pengagihan saluran yang digunakan dalam komunikasi bergerak bermula dengan Peruntukan Saluran



Tetap (PST) kepada Peruntukan Saluran Dinamik (PSD), dan juga Peruntukan Saluran Hibrid (PSH).

Perkhidmatan Komunikasi Peribadi (PSS) telah diperkenalkan dengan meluas sebagai perkhidmatan telefon pasaran massa. Meskipun begitu, muatannya menjadi isu kritikal dewasa ini. Pelaksanaan strategi Peruntukan Saluran Dinamik (PSD) dengan kawalan teragih merupakan jalan penyelesaian terhadap masalah tersebut.

Tesis ini memberi tumpuan kepada satu kelas tertentu dalam lingkungan Peruntukan Saluran Dinamik (PSD) yang dikenali sebagai Peruntukan Saluran Dinamik Geometrik (PSDG). Ciri utama PSDG terletak pada kecekapannya untuk mengatur pengagihan sumber dinamik sehingga kesan corak penggunaan pembawa adalah bersamaan dengan strategi-strategi lain selagi ianya serasi dengan corak laluan trafik. Di samping itu kecekapan menyeluruh PSDG berbanding dengan strategi lain meningkat apabila laluan trafik bertambah besar.

Melalui perbandingan prestasi PSDG dengan strategi-strategi lain seperti PST, Anarchic PSD dan Senarai Keutamaan PSD, dapat ditunjukkan bahawa PSDG boleh memberi prestasi lebih baik berbanding dengan strategi lain. Ini diharapkan dapat membantu dalam mereka bentuk sistem selular.

Tesis ini mencadangkan untuk memperluaskan PSDG dengan merujuk kepada cubaan panggilan Markov Chain dan Perihalan model matematik Teori Set. Ini dapat diterangkan dengan lebih komprehensif dengan membandingkan PSDG dengan strategi-strategi lain, secara kuantitatif dan kualitatif.



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

Wireless services are one of the strongest growth areas in telecommunications today. Cellular voice is well established as a high-end service in most areas, but demand is increasing rapidly (Jordan and Schwabe, 1993).

In cellular systems, the geographical region is split, using a regular topology, into cells each containing one base station. A mobile wishing to initiate a call must request a channel from the base station in the cell in which the mobile currently exists. The base station must assign a channel that is not currently used within some specified distance.

Personal Communication Services (PCS) have been introduced as a mass-market phone service. On the other hand, wireless data services are appearing in the form of Cellular Digital Packet Data (CDPD) and wireless local area networks (WLANs).



Cellular systems passed through many generations starting with first generation and looking forward to the third generation. In the early 1970s [Stuber, 1996], the emergence of the radio technology needed for the deployment of mobile radio systems in the 800/900 MHz band was at a reasonable cost. In the early 1980s, many countries have deployed first generation cellular system based on frequency division multiple access (FDMA) and analog FM technology.

Since the initial deployments, the cellular subscriber base has been growing 20% to 50% per year [Stuber, 1996]. Current expectations are that 50% of telephone traffic will use wireless link by the year 2000. Rapid development in microelectronics have made second generation digital cellular system viable. Digital cellular systems have many advantages over analog cellular systems including the provision of voice and data services. Most if not all, second-generation digital cellular systems use either time division multiple-access (TDMA) or code-division multiple-access (CDMA).

In 1982, the Conference of European Post and Telecommunications Administrations (CEPT) established Group Special Mobile (GSM), the GSM now known as "Global System for Mobile Communications". In North America, second generation digital cellular



systems were developed with the constraint of making a seamless transition from the Advanced Mobile Phone Service (AMPS) system.

In Japan, development of a second-generation digital cellular system began in 1989. In 1991, the Ministry of Post and Telecommunications standardized the new system, named Personal Digital Cellular (PDC).

In March 1992, World Administrative Radio Conference (WARC) approved a worldwide allocation in support of the Future Public Land Mobile Telephone System (FPLMTS) (third generation now called IMT-2000) in the 1885-2200 MHz band. This new frequency allocation is leading to the development of a wide array of new wireless systems and services.

In Europe, the intermediate goal is the Universal Mobile Telecommunication System (UMTS). The basic idea of UMTS is to provide mobile service any time and every where. Some effort is being made to extend current standards such as GSM, DCS1800 (Digital Cellular System), and DECT (Digital Europe Cordless Telephone) for this purpose.

Capacity, however, is now a critical issue for all of these services. A solution to the increasing spectrum efficiency demand in Personal Communication Networks (PCN's) is the implementation of Dynamic Channel Allocation (DCA) strategy with distributed control [Jordan Scott, 1995].

The third generation of cellular systems is expected to cope with formidable capacity increase, one of the most successful second generation standards is the Global System for Mobile Communication (GSM) mobile radio network [Mouly and Pautet, 1992].

As mentioned earlier, the geographical region is split, using a regular topology, into cells each containing one base stations. The base station must assign a channel that is not currently used within some specified distance. There are many kinds of channel assignment methods used in mobile communication starting with Fixed Channel Assignment (FCA), through Dynamic Channel Assignment (DCA) and finishing with Hybrid Channel Assignment (HCA).

The call blocking probability is defined as the probability that a call arriving to a cell finds all channels busy. Simulation results showed [Katzela and Naghshineh, 1996] that systems with the most dynamic channels give the lowest probability of queuing for load increase up to

15 percent over the basic load. For load increase of 15-32 percent, systems with the medium dynamic channels give the best performance. For load of 32-40 percent, systems with low dynamic channels give the best performance. Finally, for loads of over 40 percent systems with no dynamic channels give the best performance.

New schemes were discovered that performs better than traditional schemes (FCA, DCA, HCA) such as the Geometric Dynamic Channel Allocation GDCA, the Anarchic DCA and Priority List DCA strategies. All these schemes are derived from the basic DCA scheme.

At present, the GSM network already has a Fixed Carrier Allocation (FCA) strategy where a semi-permanent carrier-to-cells assignment is present. As the first step in the migration from GSM toward third-generation system, the introduction in the GSM cellular network of a Dynamic Channel Allocation (DCA) strategy with a distributed control is proposed.

GDCA is one of the proposed strategies [Mouly and Puatet, 1992]. The main feature of the GDCA lies in its ability to organize the dynamic resource assignment so that the resulting carrier usage pattern resembles that corresponding to other strategies, as long as that is compatible with the offered traffic pattern. Besides, the overall

performance advantage of GDCA over other strategies increase as the offered traffic increases [Andrea, 1994].

By comparing the performance of the GDCA over other strategies such as the FCA, Anarchic DCA and Priority List DCA strategies, it could be shown that the GDCA offers better performance (less blocking probability) compared to other strategies.

By referring to Markov Chain of call attempts and set theory of mathematical model description, it is possible to further elucidate the GDCA strategy.

Objectives of the Research

1. To compare the GDCA over other strategies qualitatively and quantitatively.
2. To extend the set theory model and to develop the algorithmic approach in a more comprehensive validation in order to obtain the performance of the GDCA strategy.

By comparing the GDCA strategy over other strategies, the future works on extending the description of the GDCA algorithm will be easier to be simulated.