



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**THE INTRODUCTION OF *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES*  
INTO THE HIGH RATE ALGAL POND  
TO REMOVE NITROGEN FROM WASTEWATER**

**NGUYEN NGOC BICH**

**FSAS 1998 34**

**THE INTRODUCTION OF *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES*  
INTO THE HIGH RATE ALGAL POND  
TO REMOVE NITROGEN FROM WASTEWATER**

**by**

**NGUYEN NGOC BICH**

**Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the Requirements  
for the Degree of Master of Science  
in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

**April 1998**



Affectionately dedicated to

**TRAN THI HONG NHUNG**

Of Lai Khe, Ben Cat, Binh Duong

**VIETNAM**



## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This study is sponsored by the Technical Assistance Programme (TAP) from the government of Malaysia to the government of Vietnam. The programme is brought into effect by co-operation between the Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia (RRIM) and the Rubber Research Institute of Vietnam (RRIV).

The Directorates of RRIM and RRIV, led by Datuk Dr. Abdul Aziz b. S. A. Kadir and Mr. Mai Van Son, and particularly the gentlemen in charge of administration of the programme, Dr. Ismail Hashim and Dr. Dang Duy So, are profoundly thanked for their nomination and support.

Deep gratitude goes to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammad Ismail Yaziz of Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies (FSES), Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), who has given his excellent guidance and aid during the study. Appreciation is due to Ir. Dr. Nordin Abdul Kadir Bakti of Applied Chemistry and Processing Division (ACPD) of RRIM, for his valuable advice and provision of facilities. Thanks are also due to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nasiman Sapari of FSES, UPM for his worthy comments on



the manuscript. Staff of the ACPD's Effluent Research Unit of RRIM are also thanked for their warm assistance, amongst them are Dr. Zaid Isa, Mr. Mohd. Zin Karim, Mr. Mohd. Idris Haji Noor, and Mr. Wan Mohd. Mukhtar.

Many other RRIM employees have been friendly and helpful. They belong to Crop Improvement and Protection Division (CIPD), Biotechnology and Strategic Research Division (BSRD), and Crop Management Division (CMD). It is impossible to highlight their respectable names, as the list would be too long. Neither is it possible, for the same reason, to detail lecturers and assistants in Department of Environmental Science and Department of Biology, Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, and Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture, UPM, who have provided their help whenever asked. Mr. Godwin Singam and friends at Centre for Environmental Technologies (CETEC), Kuala Lumpur were always ready to lend a hand, particularly in searching paperwork. Bui Nhu Phuong at Centre for Water and Environment (CEFINEA), Polytechnique University, Hochiminh City, also provided information.



The author would like to take this opportunity to express his devotion to his beloved parents, Mr. and Mrs. Nguyen Nghia and Vo Thi Xuan Lan, whose infinite love has motivated him to present accomplishment. He is thankful to his brothers - Minh Dat and Viet Hung are amongst those seven - who have backed him with their love and sympathy and trust. His brothers-in-law and sisters-in-law are also thanked for their love and care and compassion, of whom Hoang Thy and Hong An will be remembered for their delightful letters that were heartening in hours of need.

The author would like to apologise for his failure - due to lack of space - in demonstrating each and every gentle person who has, materially or spiritually, directly or indirectly, contributed to this study.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF PLATES .....	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xiv
ABSTRACT .....	xv
ABSTRAK .....	xviii

### **CHAPTER**

I        INTRODUCTION .....	1
II      LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
Nitrogen Removal from Wastewater .....	4
Aquatic Chemistry of Nitrogen .....	4
The Need to Control Nitrogen .....	5
The Nitrogen Cycle .....	7
Biological Control of Nitrogen in Wastewater .....	9
Treatment of Wastewater Using Algal Culture .....	11
Biology of the Algae .....	11
Symbiosis between Algae and Bacteria .....	13
The High Rate Algal Pond .....	14
Algae Removal Techniques .....	22
Characteristics of Algae Removal Techniques .....	27
Treatment of Wastewater Using Water- hyacinth .....	29
Biology of Waterhyacinth .....	29
Nitrogen Removal Using Water- hyacinth .....	31
Effluent Polishing Using Water- hyacinth .....	33
Summary of the Literature Review .....	34
III     MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	36
Materials .....	36
HRAP Experimental Models .....	36
Wastewater .....	39
Algal Culture .....	39
Waterhyacinth Culture .....	40

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
LIST OF TABLES .....	ix
LIST OF FIGURES .....	x
LIST OF PLATES .....	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....	xiv
ABSTRACT .....	xv
ABSTRAK .....	xviii

## **CHAPTER**

I        INTRODUCTION .....	1
II      LITERATURE REVIEW .....	4
Nitrogen Removal from Wastewater .....	4
Aquatic Chemistry of Nitrogen .....	4
The Need to Control Nitrogen .....	5
The Nitrogen Cycle .....	7
Biological Control of Nitrogen in Wastewater .....	9
Treatment of Wastewater Using Algal Culture .....	11
Biology of the Algae .....	11
Symbiosis between Algae and Bacteria .....	13
The High Rate Algal Pond .....	14
Algae Removal Techniques .....	22
Characteristics of Algae Removal Techniques .....	27
Treatment of Wastewater Using Water- hyacinth .....	29
Biology of Waterhyacinth .....	29
Nitrogen Removal Using Water- hyacinth .....	31
Effluent Polishing Using Water- hyacinth .....	33
Summary of the Literature Review .....	34
III     MATERIALS AND METHODS .....	36
Materials .....	36
HRAP Experimental Models .....	36
Wastewater .....	39
Algal Culture .....	39
Waterhyacinth Culture .....	40



Methods .....	42
Experimental Design .....	42
Sampling and Analysis .....	48
Mass Balance of Nitrogen .....	50
Statistical Analysis .....	52
 IV      RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....	53
Preliminary Experiment .....	53
Characteristics of Rubber Skim Serum .....	53
Tolerance of Algae to Raw Rubber Wastewater .....	55
Tolerance of Waterhyacinth to Raw Rubber Wastewater .....	56
Maximum Density of Waterhyacinth Growing in Raw Rubber Wastewater ...	57
Batch Mode Experiment .....	57
Effect of Waterhyacinth on Growth of Algae .....	57
Effect of Algal Growth on Growth of Waterhyacinth .....	62
Removal of Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen ..	63
Removal of Ammoniacal Nitrogen .....	66
Removal of Organic Nitrogen .....	68
Presence of Oxidised Nitrogen .....	69
Configuration of Nitrogen Removal ..	71
Effect of Waterhyacinth on Nitrogen Removal Structure .....	76
Effect of Waterhyacinth on Nitrogen Removal Efficiency .....	78
Effect of Waterhyacinth on Nitrogen Transformations .....	79
Effect of the Components on Environmental Parameters .....	80
Performance of the Batch Mode .....	86
Continuous Mode Experiment .....	88
COD Removal Rate .....	88
Performance of the Continuous Mode ..	92
 V      SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS .....	95
 BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	99

APPENDICES .....	115
A     Laboratory Methods of Analysis .....	115
B     Additional Tables .....	138
VITA .....	143



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Typical Wastewaters Treated by the HRAP .....	19
2	Typical Algal Species Used in the HRAP ..	21
3	Characteristics of Typical Algae Removal Techniques .....	28
4	Description of Runs in Batch Mode .....	45
5	Description of Runs in Continuous Mode ..	48
6	Characteristics of Latex Concentrate Skim Serum .....	55
7	Tolerance of Algae and Waterhyacinth to Raw Rubber Wastewater .....	56
8	Effect of Waterhyacinth Coverage on TKN Removal in the Batch Mode Experiment .....	66
9	Characteristics of the Treated Wastewater in the Batch Mode Experiment .....	87
10	Characteristics of the Treated Wastewater in the Continuous Mode Experiment .....	94
11	Meteorological Data at RRIM Experiment Station in Sungai Buloh from January to September 1997 .....	139
12	Effect of 25% Surface Coverage of Waterhyacinth on Performance of the HRAP in Batch Mode .....	140
13	Effect of 75% Surface Coverage of Waterhyacinth on Performance of the HRAP in Batch Mode .....	141
14	Effect of 100% Surface Coverage of Waterhyacinth on Performance of the HRAP in Batch Mode .....	142

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1 The Simplified Nitrogen Cycle .....	8
2 The Symbiosis of Algae and Bacteria .....	14
3 Schematic Diagram of the High Rate Algal Pond .....	15
4 Schematic Diagram of Effluent Treatment Model Used in Batch Mode .....	37
5 Schematic Diagram of Effluent Treatment Model Used in Continuous Mode .....	38
6 Graphical Presentation of the Batch Mode Experimental Set-up .....	44
7 Variations of VSS in the Batch Mode with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Middle of the Experimental Runs .....	60
8 Correlation Between Chlorophyll a Concentration and VSS in the Batch Experiment .....	61
9 Variations of VSS in the Batch Mode with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Start of the Experimental Runs .....	61
10 Relationship between Growth of Algae and Growth of Waterhyacinth .....	63
11 Growth Rate of Waterhyacinth in the HRAP Model .....	63
12 TKN Removal with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Middle of the Experimental Runs ..	64
13 TKN Removal with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Start of the Experimental Runs ...	65
14 AN Removal with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Middle of the Experimental Runs ..	67

15	AN Removal with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Start of the Experimental Runs . . . . .	67
16	Organic N Removal with and without Waterhyacinth Coverage .....	68
17	NO <sub>3</sub> -N in the HRAP with Waterhyacinth Introduced at the Start of the Experimental Runs .....	70
18	Effect of Initial Waterhyacinth Coverage on the Mechanism of N Removal .....	77
19	Effect of Midway Waterhyacinth Coverage on the Mechanism of N Removal .....	77
20	Effect of Initial Waterhyacinth Coverage on N Removal Efficiency .....	78
21	Effect of Midway Waterhyacinth Coverage on N Removal Efficiency .....	79
22	Effect of Algae and Waterhyacinth on pH .	81
23	Effect of Initial Waterhyacinth Coverage on pH .....	81
24	Effect of Midway Waterhyacinth Coverage on pH .....	82
25	Effect of Algae and Waterhyacinth on DO Concentration .....	84
26	Effect of Initial Waterhyacinth Coverage on DO Concentration .....	84
27	Effect of Midway Waterhyacinth Coverage on DO Concentration .....	85
28	COD Removal Rate Using 9-day Retention Time .....	88
29	COD Removal Rate Using 6-day Retention Time .....	89
30	COD Removal Rate Using 3-day Retention Time .....	90

31	Definition Sketch for a Mass Balance Analysis for a Completely-mixed, Continuous Reactor .....
----	--

91



## LIST OF PLATES

<b>Plate</b>		<b>Page</b>
1	<i>Chlorella vulgaris</i> (spherical cell) and <i>Scenedesmus acuminatus</i> (elongated cell) .	41
2	Roots of <i>Eichhornia crassipes</i> .....	41
3	Batch-mode Experiment .....	47
4	Continuous-mode Experiment .....	47
5	Roots of Waterhyacinth Growing in a Pond Without Algae .....	59
6	Roots of Waterhyacinth After 10 Days of Growth in the HRAP Model .....	59

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AN	Ammoniacal Nitrogen
APHA	American Public Health Association
BOD	Biochemical Oxygen Demand
COD	Chemical Oxygen Demand
CV	Coefficient of Variation
DO	Dissolved Oxygen
HRAP	High Rate Algal Pond
HRT	Hydraulic Retention Time
N	Nitrogen
NO <sub>2</sub> -N	Nitrite Nitrogen
NO <sub>3</sub> -N	Nitrate Nitrogen
P	Level of significance
r	Correlation coefficient
TKN	Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen
TSS	Total Suspended Solids
VSS	Volatile Suspended Solids
WSP	Waste Stabilisation Pond



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate  
of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment  
of the requirements for the degree  
of Master of Science

**THE INTRODUCTION OF *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES*  
INTO THE HIGH RATE ALGAL POND  
TO REMOVE NITROGEN FROM WASTEWATER**

By

**NGUYEN NGOC BICH**

April 1998

*Chairman:* Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohammad Ismail Yaziz

*Faculty:* Science and Environmental Studies

The treatment of wastewater using algal cultures has been researched and developed since 1950. The High Rate Algal Pond (HRAP) is the most efficient of this type of treatment, particularly for nitrogen removal. However, the main problem with the HRAP is the high level of organic suspended solids in the treated wastewater. Furthermore, nutrients released from the lysis of algal cells may give rise to eutrophication in the receiving water.



A laboratory-scale experiment was carried out using the HRAP in the company of an aquatic plant, waterhyacinth *Eichhornia crassipes*, as an integrated system to remove nitrogen from wastewater. The dominant algal species used was *Chlorella vulgaris*.

Twenty runs in the batch mode were operated under the conventional HRAP and the HRAP-waterhyacinth combination system. Three runs were operated in the continuous mode using the HRAP-waterhyacinth system with 50% surface area coverage and 3 different retention times (3 days, 6 days, and 9 days).

Comparison of the conventional HRAP and the HRAP-waterhyacinth system for treating diluted raw wastewater from rubber latex concentrate processing revealed better nitrogen removal efficiency by the HRAP-waterhyacinth system. Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen in the treated wastewater was 23% lower in the HRAP with waterhyacinth than that in the normal HRAP. Significant reduction of suspended solids was also recorded. Total Suspended Solids of the effluent in the HRAP-waterhyacinth system was generally less than 50 mg/L whereas it was greater than 450 mg/L in the conventional HRAP.



Within one reactor, the algae and the waterhyacinth could co-exist well. The algae did not show any inhibition towards growth of the waterhyacinth, whilst the latter allowed the algae to grow as long as there were nutrients and space for photosynthesis.

The mass balance of nitrogen depicted a partitioning of nitrogen removal in the HRAP-waterhyacinth system. This might be attributed to nitrogen assimilation by algae and bacteria, nitrogen uptake by waterhyacinth, biological nitrification-denitrification, and the volatilisation of ammonia. In the conventional HRAP, nitrogen removal was mainly due to ammonia volatilisation and assimilation.

These findings indicate that the introduction of *Eichhornia crassipes* into the HRAP may bring about better treatment of the wastewater, in terms of nitrogen and solids removal. However, further study is necessary to determine the optimum design and operation of such a system.

Abstrak Tesis Dikemukakan Kepada Senat  
Universiti Putra Malaysia Sebagai Memenuhi  
Keperluan Untuk Ijazah Master Sains

**PENGUNAAN *EICHHORNIA CRASSIPES*  
DI DALAM KOLAM ALGA KADAR TINGGI  
UNTUK PENGURANGAN NITROGEN DARI AIR SISA**

Oleh

NGUYEN NGOC BICH

April 1998

*Pengerusi:* Prof. Madya Dr. Mohammad Ismail Yaziz

*Fakulti:* Sains dan Alam Sekitar

Pengolahan air sisa menggunakan kultur alga telah diselidik dan dimajukan sejak 1950. Kolam Alga Kadar Tinggi (KAKT) merupakan cara pengolahan yang paling cekap bagi pengolahan cara ini, terutama nya untuk pengurangan nitrogen. Walau bagaimanapun, masaalah utama sistem KAKT adalah paras pepejal terampai organik yang tinggi di dalam air sisa yang telah dirawat. Tambahan, zat makanan yang ter keluar dari pada sel alga yang mati boleh menyebabkan eutrofikasi di dalam sungai yang menerima air sisa tersebut.



Suatu kajian makmal menggunakan KAKT yang mengandungi keladi bunting *Eichhornia crassipes* sebagai sistem bersepada telah dijalankan untuk meneliti pengurangan nitrogen dari air sisa. Alga yang dominan yang digunakan ialah *Chlorella vulgaris*.

Sebanyak dua puluh percubaan secara kelompok (batch) telah dijalankan menggunakan sistem KAKT biasa dan sistem KAKT-keladi bunting. Tiga percubaan berterusan (continuous) juga dijalankan di mana 50% permukaan air sisa di tumbuhi keladi bunting dan menggunakan tempoh penahanan hidraulik yang berlainan.

Perbandingan kedua-dua sistem untuk mengolah air sisa cecair dari kilang pemprosesan getah pekat menunjukkan sistem KAKT-keladi bunting memberi pengurangan nitrogen yang lebih baik. Kandungan Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen di dalam air sisa yang telah diolah secara KAKT-keladi bunting adalah 23% lebih rendah daripada yang diolah oleh sistem KAKT biasa. Pengurangan kandungan pepejal terampai yang lebih baik juga dicatatkan. Amnya, kandungan pepejal terampai di dalam KAKT-keladi bunting adalah kurang daripada 50 mg/L manakala di dalam KAKT biasa adalah melebihi 450 mg/L.

Alga boleh bertumbuh bersama dengan keladi bunting.

Alga didapati tidak menghalang pertumbuhan keladi bunting sementara keladi bunting pula tidak menghalang pertumbuhan alga selagi zat makanan dan ruang untuk fotosintesis mencukupi.

Perimbangan jisim nitrogen menunjukkan pemetakan pengurangan nitrogen di dalam sistem KAKT-keladi bunting. Pengurangan nitrogen berlaku melalui asimilasi oleh alga dan bakteria, pemakanan oleh keladi bunting, nitrifikasi dan denitrifikasi, dan pemeruapan amonia. Dalam sistem KAKT biasa, pengurangan nitrogen berlaku melalui pemeruapan amonia dan asimilasi.

Penemuan-penemuan ini menunjukkan penanaman *Eichhornia crassipes* di dalam KAKT boleh menghasilkan pengolahan yang baik untuk pengurangan kandungan nitrogen dan pepejal. Walau, tambahan perlu di jalankan untuk mengena bagaimana pun pasti rekabentuk sistem yang sesuai serta cara operasi sistem berkanaan.

## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

The treatment of wastes using algal cultures has been researched and developed since 1950 (Oswald et al., 1957). The High Rate Algal Pond (HRAP) has proven to be the most efficient of this type of treatment, particularly for nitrogen removal (Fallowfield et al., 1985; Picot et al., 1992). However, since the main function of the pond is the assimilation of nutrients in wastewater by algae through their metabolism, this leads to the development of organic suspended solids in the pond. Therefore, further treatment is needed to remove algae from the treated effluent (Gaudy and Gaudy, 1981).

Many separation and harvesting techniques have been developed to remove algae from the treated effluent, the most promising amongst them being centrifugation, chemical coagulation, and autoflocculation (Oswald and

Golueke, 1960). Other algae removal techniques include ion exchange, flotation, microstraining, electric charge field, sand filtration, pond operation techniques, land application, aquaculture, floating plants, and rock filters (Golueke and Oswald, 1965; McGarry and Tongkasame, 1971; Middlebrooks et al., 1974; Harris et al., 1977; Dinges, 1978<sup>a</sup>, 1978<sup>b</sup>; Wolverton and McDonald, 1979; Ellis, 1983; Fallowfield and Garrett, 1985; Nordin, 1994). However, unless the algae produced have economic value, most of the harvesting methods are costly and/or complicated due to their requirements of energy, chemicals and other materials, complex technology, and sophisticated equipment (Fallowfield and Garrett, 1985).

Amongst aquatic plants that have been used in wastewater treatment for the purpose of nutrients removal and effluent upgrading, waterhyacinth (*Eichhornia crassipes*) is one of the most effective macrophytes (Hauser, 1984; Reedy and De Busk, 1985). However, no past study has mentioned the co-existence of waterhyacinth and algae within a common treatment reactor.

This study was aimed at investigating the possibility of using an integrated treatment system

created by the introduction of waterhyacinth into the HRAP to remove nitrogen from wastewater. The structure of nitrogen removal in such a system would also be studied.