# FUNDAMENTAL

Universidade Federal do Estado do Rio de Janeiro · Escola de Enfermagem Alfredo Pinto

RESEARCH

DOI: 10.9789/2175-5361.2017.v9i1.208-214

# Prevalência da Síndrome de Burnout em profissionais de enfermagem da atenção básica à saúde

Prevalence of Burnout Syndrome in nursing professionals of basic health care

Prevalencia del Síndrome de Burnout en profesionales de enfermería de atención de la salud básica

Magno Conceição das Merces<sup>1</sup>; Rúbia Almeida Lopes<sup>2</sup>; Douglas de Souza e Silva<sup>2</sup>; Daniela Sousa Oliveira<sup>3</sup>; Iracema Lua<sup>4</sup>; Amália Ivine Santana Mattos<sup>5</sup>; Argemiro D'Oliveira Júnior<sup>6</sup>

### How to quote this article:

Merces MC; Lopes RA; Silva DS; el al. Prevalence of Burnout Syndrome in nursing professionals of basic health care. Rev Fund Care Online. 2017 jan/mar; 9(1):208-214. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.9789/2175-5361.2017.v9i1.208-214

#### **ABSTRACT**

Objective: To estimate the prevalence of Burnout Syndrome among the Primary Health Care nurses in a city of Bahia Southwest. Methods: Cross-sectional study, conducted with 60 nursing professionals of primary care. We used a sociodemographic and occupational questionnaire, and the Maslach Burnout Inventory. Data were tabulated using SPSS, version 22.0, and analyzed using descriptive statistics. Results: The prevalence of Burnout Syndrome was 58.3% according to the criteria of Grunfeld et al, and 16.7% according to Ramirez et al., they have found high levels in the dimension depersonalization (48.3%). and low job satisfaction (56.6%). The prevalence of Burnout Syndrome in the study population was high, as was the high rate predisposition to develop this syndrome. Conclusions: The study pointed out the need to implement preventive and interventional measures to ensure a beneficial and promising health work environment.

**Descriptors:** Burnout; Nursing staff; Primary Health Care.

DOI: 10.9789/2175-5361.2017.v9i1.208-214 | Merces MC; Lopes RA; Silva DS; el al. | Prevalence of Burnout Syndrome in...









Biologist and Nurse. Master in Public Health. Assistant Professor of Bahia State University (UNEB), Campus I, Salvador, Bahia, Brazil. PhD in Health Sciences, Federal University of Bahia (UFBA). E-mail: mmerces@uneb.br.

Graduate in Nursing UNEB, Campus XII, Guanambi, Bahia, Brazil.

Nurse. Master in Nursing. Assistant Professor of the UNEB, Campus XII, Guanambi, Bahia, Brazil.

Master in Public Health. Substitute professor at the State University of Feira de Santana (UEFS), Bahia, Brazil.

Nurse. Master in Public Health.

Doctor. Post PhD by Université Claude Bernard-Faculté de Medicine. Tenured Professor of the Graduate Program in Health Sciences and Medicine in Health of the UFBA, Brazil.

#### **RESUMO**

**Objetivo:** Estimar a prevalência da Síndrome de *Burnout* entre profissionais de enfermagem da Atenção Básica à Saúde de um município do sudoeste baiano. **Método:** Estudo de corte transversal, realizado com 60 profissionais de enfermagem da Atenção Básica. Utilizou-se um questionário sociodemográfico e laboral, e o *Maslach Burnout Inventory*. Os dados foram tabulados no SPSS versão 22.0 e analisados segundo estatística descritiva. **Resultados:** A prevalência de Síndrome de *Burnout* foi de 58,3%, de acordo os critérios de Grunfeld *et al.*, e de 16,7% segundo Ramirez *et al.*. Encontraram-se altos níveis na dimensão despersonalização (48,3%) e baixa realização profissional (56,6%). A prevalência da Síndrome de *Burnout* na população estudada foi alta, assim como foi alto o índice de predisposição para desenvolver esta síndrome. **Conclusões:** O estudo apontou a necessidade de implementação de medidas preventivas e interventivas para garantir um ambiente de trabalho benéfico e promissor de saúde.

Descritores: Burnout; Equipe de enfermagem; Atenção Básica à Saúde.

#### **RESUMEN**

Objetivo: Estimar la prevalencia del síndrome de burnout entre las enfermeras de Atención Primaria de la Salud en la ciudad de Bahía Suroeste. Métodos: Estudio transversal, realizado con 60 profesionales de atención primaria. Se utilizó un cuestionario sociodemográfico ocupacional y el Maslach Burnout Inventory. Los datos fueron tabulados mediante el programa SPSS, versión 22.0, y analizados mediante estadística descriptiva. Resultados: La prevalencia del síndrome de burnout fue del 58,3%, según los criterios de Grunfeld et al., y el 16,7%, de acuerdo a los altos niveles de Ramírez et al. Ellos han encontrado en la despersonalización dimensión (48,3%) y la satisfacción laboral bajo (56,6%). La prevalencia del síndrome en la población de estudio fue alta, al igual que la predisposición tasa alta de desarrollar este síndrome. Conclusiones: El estudio señala la necesidad de implementar medidas de prevención y de intervención para garantizar un ambiente de trabajo de salud beneficioso prometedor.

**Descriptores:** Burnout; El personal de enfermería; Atención Primaria de Salud.

## INTRODUCTION

Work is to develop activities to achieve a particular end or purpose, since by its means the human being acquires resources for their livelihoods, however, it is essential to form social relationships and production, essential to humans.<sup>1-2</sup>

In this approach, the technological advent has brought about changes in the production system, from the operating system to labor relations, making the most complex organizations, which reflected consubstantially the relationship between worker and work. Thus, it means more instability in employment, aggravated by conditions often precarious labor environment, low wages and the devaluation of the professional. These wear to which workers are subjected permanently, are determining factors for the illness.<sup>1</sup>

It is noteworthy that, in the health area, the object of work is man himself, which requires professionals' greater dedication and attention in the development of their work activities. In this sense, they are exposed daily to wear arising from daily contact with sick people, deaths, and stressful interpersonal and hierarchical relationships.<sup>3</sup>

Nurses, technicians and nursing assistants are part of a profession that has at its core the care that involves technical skills, management of hard technologies, among other multiple activities assigned to them, and may trigger the feeling of indefiniteness of the professional role, the overhead of frequent work, lack of autonomy and authority in decision-making, potentially leading to a state of chronic stress.<sup>4</sup>

Thus, nursing professionals are among the most affected by Burnout Syndrome (BS),<sup>4</sup> designated as a reaction to chronic emotional stress originated mainly from direct and intense contact with others, especially when they are more sensitized for concomitant problems.<sup>5-6</sup>

It is attributed to this syndrome a multidimensional concept, whose manifestation is characterized by emotional exhaustion, depersonalization, and reduced personal accomplishment at work.<sup>1</sup>

Emotional exhaustion is considered the initial feature of the syndrome, and represents the emotional exhaustion of the individual resources. The depersonalization is evidenced by emotional callousness of professional, passing to treat people in the workplace as objects. The reduced personal accomplishment or feeling of incompetence reveals a negative self-assessment associated with dissatisfaction and unhappiness with the work.<sup>1,7</sup>

The nursing professionals working in primary health care (PHC) star in the health strategy that proposes changes the biological care model for public health. The PHC policy advocates care to the individual in its uniqueness, complexity and completeness. Thus, it becomes a challenge for professionals, stressing that training is based on the traditional model, which creates a feeling of impotence and inability to deal with everyday situations.<sup>8-9</sup>

Technical and scientific skills are inherent to the nursing professional, ranging from the development of managerial activities to the provision of care to individuals in their entirety, taking the professional to live with the reality of patients, which leads to the direct interpersonal relationship and continued with population. The involvement of workers, associated with working conditions, are damning for the advent of BS. <sup>10-11</sup>

Studies reveal that BS affects considerably the PHC nursing professionals. Garcia and colleagues conducted a study with nurses and obtained highlights the evolutionary levels BS with 46.67% average level of emotional exhaustion, 46.67% average level of depersonalization, 60.00% lower level of professional achievement, representing numbers significant for developing Syndrome.<sup>4</sup>

Holmes and colleagues found that PHC nurses showed signs of BS and identified predictors and somatic symptoms, which can bring harm to health.<sup>13</sup> In Basic Health Units (BHU) in Rio de Janeiro, Santos and Passos found that triggered the BS were the elements of the environment

and working conditions, so that 16.7% of the professionals presented indicative Syndrome.<sup>14</sup>

Thus, the aim of this study is to estimate the prevalence of BS among PHC nursing professionals in a city of Bahia Southwest.

# **METHOD**

Cross-sectional study, conducted in the ABS units in the city of Guanambi, belonging to the State of Bahia, in northeastern Brazil. The study population consisted of 60 nursing professionals who developed care activities. Data collection occurred from December 2014 to February 2015. For the survey of the variables was a questionnaire containing sociodemographic sections, labor, lifestyles and human biology and the Maslach Burnout Inventory - Human Services Survey (MBI - HSS).

The MBI - HSS was used to evaluate the BS in the population studied, the instrument was translated and adapted into Portuguese by Benevides-Pereira<sup>15</sup>, has 22 items that explore aspects of the level of emotional exhaustion, depersonalization and reduced professional accomplishment. The form adopted score represents the Likert scale of five points, with "1" - never, "2" - rarely, "3" - sometimes "4" - often, and "5" - always. After summation of the scores obtained by dimensions of BS, followed the classification in high levels, medium and low, as cutoffs proposed by Moreira et al.<sup>16</sup>

**Table 1 -** Dimensions of Burnout Syndrome by the Maslach Burnout Inventory

| Dimensions   |      | Ratings |           | Questions                         |
|--------------|------|---------|-----------|-----------------------------------|
| Difficusions | High | Medium  | edium Low |                                   |
| EE*          | ≥ 27 | 19 - 26 | < 19      | 1, 2, 3, 6, 8, 13,<br>14, 16 e 20 |
| DP**         | ≥ 10 | 6 - 9   | < 6       | 5, 10, 11, 15 e 22                |
| RRP***       | ≤ 33 | 34 - 39 | ≥ 40      | 4, 7, 9, 12, 17, 18,<br>19 e 21   |

Source: Moreira and colegues adapted study 16

Given the lack of consensus in the literature for the interpretation of MBI, we used two criteria, namely: Ramirez and colegues<sup>17</sup>, argue that the BS is evidenced by high scores on EE and PD and low scores on the subscales RRP. To Grunfeld et al<sup>18</sup>, the individual must present high level in EE or DP, or low level RPR independently.

We evaluated the internal reliability of the categories of instrument MBI - HSS using the Cronbach's alpha coefficient. It is known that alpha values above 0.70 indicate acceptable internal consistency, maintaining the reliability of the instrument. For this study, we obtained 0.82 for EE, 0.79 for SD and 0.81 for RPR.

The research is reported by phone to all health units PHC, informing the respective dates of data collection. Questionnaires were given to professionals with the Consent and Informed (CI), by two previously calibrated research assistants. At the end of each collection, questionnaires were placed in individual envelopes and coded, ensuring the secrecy and confidentiality.

Aimed at ensuring uniformity in the application of questionnaires, there was a calibration between these workers through the use of questionnaires in ten professionals in the hospital area, one of the assistants, and the reapplication of questionnaires to the same professional the other assistant. This procedure was performed to calculate the rate of agreement between them, using the Kappa index, found value of 0.87 and is considered acceptable with good rating.<sup>19</sup>

Data were tabulated using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 22.0, and the results analyzed using descriptive statistics and presented in the form of tables. The research project was submitted to and approved by the Ethics Committee in Research involving human beings of the State University of Bahia (UNEB), in the opinion of no 703.227/2014.

# **RESULTS**

Regarding the sociodemographic characteristics of the study population, it was found that the vast majority (95%) were female, with a mean age of 39.55 years ( $\pm$  10.38), with a partner (56.7%) and children (63.3%), race/color not white skin (76.7%), 88.3% of workers living in urban areas and 46.7% were dissatisfied with their socioeconomic status (Table 2).

Regarding labor characteristics, 61.7% were nursing technicians, 63.3% had up to five years of work, with a mean of 5.23 years ( $\pm$  4.06), 23.3% had other employment and 96.7% were satisfied with their work activity (Table 2).

**Table 2 -** Socio-demographic characteristics and employment of nursing staff of primary care (N = 60). Guanambi, Bahia, Brazil, in 2015

| Variables                        | N   | %           |
|----------------------------------|-----|-------------|
| Sociodemographic characteristics |     |             |
| Gender                           |     |             |
| Male                             | 3   | 5           |
| Female                           | 57  | 95          |
| Age                              |     |             |
| Up to 40 years                   | 34  | 56,7        |
| 40 years or more                 | 26  | 43,3        |
| Race/ skin color                 |     |             |
| White                            | 14  | 23,3        |
| Not white                        | 46  | 76,7        |
| Children                         |     |             |
| No                               | 22  | 36,7        |
| Yes                              | 38  | 63,3        |
|                                  | (To | he continue |

(To be continued)

<sup>\*</sup> Emotional Exhaustion Depersonalization

<sup>\*\*,\*\*\*</sup> Reduced Professional Accomplishment

| (Continuation) |  |
|----------------|--|
|                |  |

| Variables                                | N  | %    |
|------------------------------------------|----|------|
| Sociodemographic characteristics         |    |      |
| Marital status                           |    |      |
| No companion                             | 26 | 43,3 |
| With companion                           | 34 | 56,7 |
| Living                                   |    |      |
| Urban                                    | 53 | 88,3 |
| Rural                                    | 7  | 11,7 |
| Income                                   |    |      |
| 1 to 2 minimum wages                     | 30 | 50   |
| Greater than or equal to 3 minimum wages | 30 | 50   |
| Satisfaction with economic situation     |    |      |
| Yes                                      | 32 | 53,3 |
| No                                       | 28 | 46,7 |
| Labor characteristics                    |    |      |
| Professional category                    |    | ·    |
| Nurse                                    | 23 | 38,3 |
| Nursing Technician                       | 37 | 61,7 |
| Working time                             |    |      |
| Less than or equal to 5 years            | 38 | 63,3 |
| More than five years                     | 22 | 36,7 |
| Another employment relationship          |    |      |
| Yes                                      | 14 | 23,3 |
| No                                       | 46 | 76,7 |
| Satisfaction with work                   | ,  | ,    |
| Yes                                      | 58 | 96,7 |
| No                                       | 2  | 3,3  |

With regard to lifestyle (Table 3), 33.3% of the study population reported not to carry out any physical activity, 26.7% consumed alcohol while socially, and 5.0% smoked daily, however, 46.7% were dissatisfied with their physical form.

Regarding human biology (Table 3), 53.3% reported back pain, 58.6% experience headaches, 34.5% reported feeling dizziness, anxiety 69.0%, 15.5% had digestive problems, 5% and 1.7% hypertensive diabetic.

**Table 3 -** Characteristics lifestyle and human biology of primary care nursing staff (N = 60). Guanambi, Bahia, Brazil, in 2015

| Variables           | N  | %                |
|---------------------|----|------------------|
| Lifestyle           | ,  | '                |
| Physical activity   | ,  | '                |
| Yes                 | 40 | 66,7             |
| No                  | 20 | 33,3             |
| Alcohol consumption |    |                  |
| No                  | 44 | 73,3             |
| Yes                 | 16 | 26,7             |
|                     |    | (To be continued |

(To be continued)

(Continuation)

| Variables                        | N  | %    |
|----------------------------------|----|------|
| Lifestyle                        |    |      |
| Smoke                            |    |      |
| No                               | 57 | 95   |
| Yes                              | 3  | 5    |
| Satisfied with the physical form |    |      |
| Yes                              | 32 | 53,3 |
| No                               | 28 | 46,7 |
| Human biology                    |    |      |
| Back pains                       |    |      |
| No                               | 28 | 46,7 |
| Yes                              | 32 | 53,3 |
| Headaches*                       |    |      |
| No                               | 24 | 41,4 |
| Yes                              | 34 | 58,6 |
| Dizziness*                       |    |      |
| No                               | 38 | 65,5 |
| Yes                              | 20 | 34,5 |
| Diabetic                         |    |      |
| No                               | 59 | 98,3 |
| Yes                              | 1  | 1,7  |
| Hypertensive                     |    |      |
| No                               | 57 | 95   |
| Yes                              | 3  | 5    |
| Digestive problems*              |    |      |
| No                               | 49 | 84,5 |
| Yes                              | 9  | 15,5 |
| Anxiety*                         |    |      |
| No                               | 18 | 31   |
| Yes                              | 40 | 69   |

<sup>\* 2</sup> lost information.

With regard to the evaluation results of the dimensions of BS (Table 4), it was found that 18.3% of the nurses had higher scores for EE and 43.3% had mean scores in the same dimension. Regarding the PD, 48.3% exhibited a high level, 41.7% average and 10.0% down. About RPR, 56.6% of nurses had high level, 41.7% average and 1.7% low for this dimension.

**Table 4 -** Distribution of results Maslach Burnout Inventory (MBI) among nursing workers of primary care (N = 60). Guanambi, Bahia, Brazil, in 2015

| Dimensions           | N  | %                          |
|----------------------|----|----------------------------|
| Emotional exhaustion |    | (Average:<br>20,25 ± 5,57) |
| Low                  | 23 | 38,4                       |
| Medium               | 26 | 43,3                       |
| High                 | 11 | 18,3                       |

(To be continued)

| Dimensions                         | N  | %                      |
|------------------------------------|----|------------------------|
| Depersonalization                  |    | (Average 9,6 ± 3,02)   |
| Low                                | 6  | 10                     |
| Medium                             | 25 | 41,7                   |
| High                               | 29 | 48,3                   |
| Low Professional<br>Accomplishment |    | (Average 30,65 ± 6,29) |
| Low                                | 1  | 1,7                    |
| Medium                             | 25 | 41,7                   |
| High                               | 34 | 56,6                   |

Using the criteria established by Grunfeld and collaborators<sup>18</sup> found a total 35 (58.3%) BS professional with diagnosis. In contrast, according to Ramirez criteria and collaborators,<sup>17</sup> 10 (16.7%) nurses were diagnosed with the syndrome in the study (Table 5).

**Table 5 -** Prevalence of Burnout Syndrome among nursing workers of primary care (N = 60). Guanambi, Bahia, Brazil, in 2015

| Criteria                             | Burnout Syndrome |             |  |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------|--|
|                                      | Yes<br>N (%)     | No<br>N (%) |  |
| Grunfeld <i>et al.</i> <sup>18</sup> | 35 (58,3)        | 25 (41,7)   |  |
| Ramirez <i>et al</i> . <sup>17</sup> | 10 (16,7)        | 50 (83,3)   |  |

# DISCUSSION

At the discretion of Grunfeld and colegues<sup>18</sup>, the prevalence of BS among PHC nursing professionals was 58.3%. Number considered this concern, greater than that found in a study of UBS nurses, using the same criteria, which showed 16.7% of respondents with indicative of SB and 3.3% with the syndrome indicative of trend.<sup>14</sup>

When considering the criterion of Ramirez and colegues<sup>17</sup>, the estimated prevalence of SB was 16.7%, also higher than that observed in another study in using the same diagnostic criteria, among nurses of the Health Units of the municipality Mines Family general, where none of the survey participants scored in the three levels simultaneously.<sup>4</sup>

Martins, in his research with ABS professionals, found 41.6% positive indication for BS<sup>20</sup>, however Holmes and colleagues in a study of nurses in the city of João Pessoa, Paraíba, Brazil, found predictors of BS present in the workplace, and 37.09% of the professionals presented syndrome.<sup>13</sup>

Misiolek and other collaborators also found high prevalence of BS in a study of health professionals, about 12% of the analyzed professional nurses showed the syndrome. <sup>21</sup> At this juncture, study in Andalusia, Spain with 676 nursing professionals of public health centers, found medium and high levels of burnout in nurses. <sup>22</sup>

Separately analyzing the dimensions of BS expresses that in EE 18.3% of nurses had high scores and 43.3% had average scores in this dimension. These prevalence rates are

justified for being the EE the first reaction to stress generated by labor demands, and women generally have higher score in this dimension.  $^{1,10}$ 

Regarding the PD most (48.3%) showed high level, average 41.7% and 10.0% lower. In a study of UBS nurses, was obtained in this dimension, 46.67% in average level, 26.67% below level 26.67 on high level.<sup>4</sup> The average level values approach, however, is confronted by the fact dominate the above study low PD. Some researchers report that PD may be associated with the lack of recognition and status of some professions, such as nursing.<sup>2</sup>

In the present study, it was found in nursing professionals senior RPR (56.6%), 41.7% had medium level and 1.7% low for this dimension. This reveals a high dissatisfaction of professionals for the development of its activities by a sense of personal and professional inadequacy at work.<sup>7,23</sup>

It is explicit that there is some suffering in the study professionals, 18.3% had high score EE, largely 48.3% exhibited a high level of PD, and 56.6% scored high level of RPR, revealing an alert to the development syndrome.

The work involved in professional nursing cause exhaustion in various dimensions, taking into account the various spaces and functions occupied by them. Jesse et al found high levels of EE (50%), high levels of PA (15.7%) and low levels of RRP (51.8%) (24). Similarly, Wlodarczyk and Pawliszewska found significant amounts of risk factors for SB.<sup>25</sup>

With respect to average levels, we obtained 20.25 ( $\pm$  5.57) for EE, 9.6 ( $\pm$  3.02) for DP and 30.65 ( $\pm$  6.29) for RRP, it punctuates, thus average level for the onset of SB, which also demonstrates the alert for its development.

Regarding the characteristics of the studied professionals, it is clear that there is a predominance of women, a characteristic of the nursing staff over the years. 3,4,7,12,13,20,23

Moreover, with respect to age, conforms to a young population, which is reported in some studies as a factor that predisposes the syndrome, as the idealization is common among young workers, as well as high expectations, which often are not realized.<sup>4</sup>

Other literatures discuss further that older people have more maturity and personal safety, achieving better cope with stressful situations of everyday life, as the practice gained over the years.<sup>23</sup>

Regarding marital status, 56.7% had a partner, and 63.3% had children. Emotional stability and satisfaction of being a parent are plausible reasons for a smaller SB index. However, in married people, the quality of the relationship has significant influence in the life of the professional.<sup>26</sup>

The average length of the working population studied was 5.23 years ( $\pm$  4.06), lower than that observed in another study with professionals from PHC Capital of Paraiba, where the average working time was of 10.02 years. <sup>27</sup> It is noticed that there is a high turnover of staff in UBS generating instability in jobs, mainly arising from the municipal administration, which may ultimately compromise the emotional state of the professional.

However, 46.7% reported dissatisfaction with their socioeconomic status. In an attempt to expand the monthly income and meet their aspirations, 23.3% reported having other employment, thus greatly increases exposure to various risks, hence the development of the syndrome.<sup>11,27</sup>

Contradictorily, most health professionals know the benefits of regular physical activity, but not the practice. In the population studied, 33.3% reported not doing physical activity. It should be noted that the practice of physical exercises provide moments of relaxation and pleasure, minimizing the impact of stressors.<sup>2</sup>

Consumption of alcohol and drug use can be adopted by workers exhausted as coping strategies of stress. In the study, 26.7% consumed alcohol while socially and 5.0% smoke daily. Worrying data, since it comes to health professionals, seen by the population as examples.<sup>26</sup>

In relation to human biology, many professionals reported feeling back pain, headaches, dizziness, anxiety, digestive problems and hypertension. Workers with the syndrome may have many physical symptoms, the most common being fatigue, headache, insomnia, palpitations, nausea, tremors, frequent colds, decreased concentration and memory, confusion, loss of sense of humor, anxiety, nervousness, depression, frustration, worry, fear, irritability and impatience.<sup>28-30</sup>

Holmes and colleagues obtained similar results to those in the study with ABS professionals, supporting the idea that the above symptoms harm the health of workers, presenting as indicative of BS.<sup>13</sup>

# **CONCLUSION**

The prevalence of BS among the population of nurses was high, even if there is discrepancy between the values found in each criterion. However, it was the high predisposition index to develop this syndrome.

Thus, it highlights the need to implement preventive and interventional measures aimed at these professionals to ensure a beneficial and promising physical and mental health work environment, resulting in providing better assistance to users who need public services of health.

# **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

To the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado da Bahia (FAPESB) by encouraging with Scientific Initiation grants.

#### REFERENCES

- Tironi MOS, Sobrinho CLN, Barros DS, Reis EJFB, Filho ESM, Almeida A, et al. Trabalho e síndrome da estafa profissional (síndrome de burnout) em médicos intensivistas de salvador. Rev Assoc Med Bras [internet]. 2009 [acesso em: 06 mai 2015]; 55(6): 656-62. Disponível em: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/ramb/v55n6/09.pdf.
- Trindade LL, Lautert L. Síndrome de Burnout entre os trabalhadores da Estratégia de Saúde da Família. Rev Esc Enferm USP [internet]. 2010 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 44(2): 274-9. Disponível em: http:// www.scielo.br/pdf/reeusp/v44n2 /05.pdf.

- Ferreira NN, Lucca SR. Síndrome De Burnout Em Técnicos De Enfermagem De Um Hospital Público Do Estado De São Paulo. Rev Bras Epidemiol. Jan-Mar 2015; 18(1): 68-79.
- Goés IPS, Torres RC, Almeida DA, Rosa WAG, Zeferino MGM.
  Ocorrência da Síndrome de Burnout em enfermeiros das Unidades de Saúde da Família no município de São Sebastião do Paraíso – MG.
   Rev de Iniciação Cient da Libertas. 2012; 2(1): 65-82.
- Santos JO, Bezerra ALD, Sousa MNA. Mental health and job: the Burnout Syndrome in active nurses of health of basic units. J Nurs UFPE online[internet]. 2012 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 6(4): 788-93. Disponível em: www.revista.ufpe.br/revistaenfermagem/index.php/revista/article/.../3820.
- Carlotto MS, Queirós C, Dias S. Kaiseler M. Hardiness and Burnout Syndrome: A Cross-Cultural Study among Portuguese and Brazilian Nurses. Trends in Psychology[internet]. 2014 [acesso em: 13 mai 2015]; 22(1): 121-32. Disponível em: http://pepsic. bvsalud.org/pdf/ tp/v22n1/v22n1a10.pdf.
- Bezerra MJ, Aragão AEA, Filho FOH. Síndrome de burnout e o trabalho de enfermeiros emergencistas de um hospital de ensino da zona norte do estado do ceará. Sobral [internet]. 2014 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 1(4): 60-74. Disponível em: http://www.inta.com.br/ biblioteca/images/pdf/art-5-rev-4.pdf.
- Ministério da Saúde (Br). Secretaria de Atenção à saúde. Departamento de atenção básica. Politica Nacional da Atenção Básica, 4.ed. Brasilia (DF); 2007.
- 9. Pereira DG. Síndrome de burnout em trabalhadores do programa de saúde da família: uma revisão de literatura. UFMG. Belo Horizonte, 2011.[acesso em: 10 mai 2015]. Disponível em: https://www.nescon.medicina.ufmg.br/biblioteca/registro/referencia/0000002491.
- 10. Silva SCPS. A Síndrome De Burnout Em Profissionais Da Rede De Atenção Primária Em Saúde De Aracaju. Universidade Tiradentes Programa De Pós-Graduação Em Saúde e Ambiente [internet]. Agosto 2012 [acesso em: 15 mai 2015]. Disponível em:http://psa.unit.br/wpcontent/uploads/2013/07/Salvyana-Palmeira-Sacramento-A-S%C3%ADndrome-de-Burnout-em-profissionais-da-rede-de-aten%C3%A7%C3%A3o-prim%C3%A1ria-em-sa%C3%BAde-de-Aracaju.pdf.
- 11. David HMSL, Mauro MYC, Silva VG, Pinheiro MAS, Silva FH. Organização Do Trabalho De Enfermagem Na Atenção Básica: Uma Questão Para A Saúde Do Trabalhador. Texto Contexto Enferm[internet]. Florianópolis, 2009 [acesso em: 15 mai 2015]; 18(2): 206-14. Disponível em: http://www.scielo.br/pdf/tce/v18n2/02.pdf.
- 12. Pereira SS, Silva PMC, Azevedo EB, Faustino EB, Araújo ZMN, Ferreira Filha MO. Síndrome de burnout em profissionais de enfermagem de um hospital de urgência/emergência. Revista da Universidade Vale do Rio Verde [internet]. 2014 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015];12(1): 636-47. Disponível em: http://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo/4901361.pdf.
- 13. Holmes ES, Farias JA, Holmes DCSC, Viana YA, Santos SR.Síndrome De Burnout Em Enfermeiros Da Estratégia Saúde Da Família. Rev enferm UFPE [internet]. 2014 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015];8(7):1841-7. Disponível em: http://www.revista.ufpe.br/revistaenfermagem/index. php/revista/article/view/5963.
- 14. Santos PG, Passos JP. A Síndrome De Burnout E Seus Fatores Desencadeantes Em Enfermeiros De Unidades Básicas De Saúde. Rev pesqui cuid fundam [internet]. 2009 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 1(2): 235-41. Disponível em: http://dialnet.unirioja.es/descarga/articulo /3660879.pdf.
- 15. Benevides-Pereira AMT. MBI MaslachBurnoutInventory e suas adaptações para o Brasil. In Anais da 32ª Reunião Anual de Psicologia [internet]. 2009 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 84-85. Disponível em: http://www.pucpr. br/eventos/educere/educere2009/anais/ pdf/2948\_1657.pdf.
- 16. Moreira DS, Magnago RF, Sakae TM, Magajewski FRL. Prevalência da Síndrome de Burnout em trabalhadores de enfermagem de um hospital de grande porte da Região Sul do Brasil. Caderno de Saúde Pública [internet]. 2009 [acesso em: 20jun 2015]; 25(7): 1559-68. Disponível em: http://www.scielosp.org/pdf/csp/v25n7/14.pdf.
- 17. Ramirez AJ, Graham J, Richards MA, Cull A, Gregory WM. Mental health of hospital consultants: the effects of stress and satisfaction at work. Lancet [internet]. 1996 [acesso em: 17 mai 2015];6;347(9003):724-8. Disponível em: http://www.ncbi.nlm. nih.gov/pubmed/?term=Ramirez+AJ%2C+Graham+J%2C+R ichards+MA%2C+Cull+A%2C+Gregory+WM.+Mental+heal

- th+of+hospital+consultants%3A+the+effects+of+stress+and+satisfaction+at+work.+Lancet.
- 18. Grunfeld E, Whelan TJ, Zitzelsberger L, Willan AR, Montesanto B, Evans WK. Cancer care workers in Ontario: prevalence of burnout, job stress and job satisfaction. CMAJ [internet]. 2000 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 163:166-9. Disponível em: http://www.ncbi. nlm.nih.gov/ pmc/articles/PMC80206/.
- Seigel DG, Podgor MJ, Remaley NA. Acceptable values of kappa for comparison of two groups. Am J Epidemiol. 1992; 135(5):571-8.
- Martins LF. Estresse ocupacional e esgotamento profissional entre profissionais da atenção primaria a saúde. Juiz de Fora. PPG – UFJF [internet]. 2009 [acesso em: 20 jun 2015]. Disponível em: http:// www.ufjf.br/crepeia/files/2009/09/estresse-ocupacional esgotamentoprofissional-atencao-primaria-saude.pdf.
- 21. Misiołek A, Gil-Monte PR, Misiołek H. Prevalence of burnout in Polish anesthesiologists and anesthetist nursing professionals: A comparative non-randomized cross-sectional study. J Health Psychol. 2015 Oct 1. pii: 1359105315604377. [Epub ahead of print].
- 22. Cañadas-De la Fuente GA, Vargas C, San Luis C, García I, Cañadas GR, De la Fuente EI. Risk factores and prevalence of Burnout Syndrome in the nursing profession. Int J Nurs Stud [internet]. 2015 [acesso em: 15 Dec 2015]; 52(1): 240-9. Disponível em: doi: 10.1016/j. ijnurstu.2014.07.001.
- Gasparino RC, Guirardello EB. Ambiente da prática profissional e burnout em enfermeiros. Rev Rene [internet]. 2015 [acesso em: 20 jun 2015]; 16(1): 90-6. Disponível em: http://www.repositorio.ufc.br/ bitstream/riufc/11295/1/2015\_art\_rcgasparino.pdf.
- 24. Jesse MT, Abouljoud MS, Hogan K, Eshelman A. Burnout in transplant nurses. Prog Transplant. 2015; 25(3):196-202.
- Wlodarczyk D, Pawliszewska A. Type a behaviour as a predictor of burnout and job satisfaction in intensive care units nurses. Med pr. 2015; 66(2): 213-24.
- 26. Soaresinl SLCG, Castro AFL, Alves CFO. Análise do Estresse Ocupacional e da Síndrome de Burnout em Profissionais da Estratégia Saúde da Família no Município de Maceió/Al. Revista Semente [internet]. 2011 [acesso em: 20 jun 2015]; 6(6): 84-98. Disponível em: htpp://revistas.cesmac.edu.br/index.php/semente/ article/download/147/105.
- 27. Albuquerque FJB, Melo CF, Neto JLA. Avaliação da síndrome de burnout em profissionais da estratégia saúde da família da capital paraibana. Psicologia: reflexão e crítica [internet]. 2012 [acesso em: 10 mai 2015]; 25(3): 542-549. Disponível em: http://www.scielo.br/ pdf/prc/v25n3/v25n3a14.pdf.
- 28. Campos JF, David HMSL, Souza NVDO. Prazer e sofrimento de enfermeiros intensivistas. Esc Anna Nery [internet]. 2014 [acesso em: 20 jun 2015]; 18(1): 90-95. Disponível em: www.redalyc.org/pdf/1277/127730129012.pdf.
- 29. Lima da Silva JL, Campos DA, Reis TL. Discussão sobre as causas da Síndrome de *Burnout* e suas implicações à saúde do profissional de enfermagem. Aquichán [internet]. 2012 [acesso em 20 nov 2015]; 12(2): 144-59. Disponível em: http://www.scielo.org.co/scielo.php?script=sci\_arttext&pid=S1657-59972012000200006.
- 30. Merces MC, Silva DS, Lopes RA, Lua I, Silva JK, Oliveira DS *et al.* Síndrome de *Burnout* em Enfermeiras da Atenção Básica à Saúde: uma revisão integrativa. Rev epidemiol controle infecç [internet]. 2015 [acesso em 18 nov 2015]; 5(2): sp. Disponível em: http://online. unisc.br/seer/index.php/epidemiologia/article/view/6304.

Received on: 14/01/2016 Reviews required: No Approved on:24/05/2016 Published on: 08/01/2017

#### Author responsible for correspondence:

Magno Conceição das Merces Rua Silveira Martins, 2555, Cabula Salvador, Bahia, Brazil ZIP-code: 41150-000 Phone: +55 (71) 3117-5344

E-mail: mmerces@uneb.br