

## **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# SOLVING DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD USING DIFFERENT TYPES OF INTERPOLATION

RAE'D ALI AHMED ALKHASAWNEH

FSAS 2001 17



## SOLVING DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD USING DIFFERENT TYPES OF INTERPOLATION

By

## RAE'D ALI AHMED ALKHASAWNEH

Thesis Submitted in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science in the Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

December 2001



## TO MY PARENTS



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

> SOLVING DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD USING DIFFERENT TYPES OF INTERPOLATION

By

RAE'D ALI AHMED ALKHASAWNEH

December 2001

Chairman: Fudziah bt Ismail, Ph.D.

Faculty: Science and Environmental Studies

Introduction to delay differential equations (DDEs) and the areas where they

arise are given. Analysis of specific numerical methods for solving delay differential

equation is considered. A brief discussion on Runge-Kutta method when adapted to

delay differential equation is introduced.

Embedded Singly Diagonally Implicit Runge-Kutta (SDIRK) method of

third order four-stage in fourth order five-stage which is more attractive from the

practical point of view is used to solve delay differential equations. The delay term is

approximated using three typess of interpolation that is the divided difference

interpolation, Hermite interpolation and In't Hout interpolation. Numerical results

based on these three interpolations are tabulated and compared.

Finally, the stability properties of SDIRK method when applied to DDEs

using Lagrange interpolation and In't Hout interpolation are investigated and their

regions of stability are presented.

iii

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

MENYELESAIKAN PERSAMAAN PEMBEZAAN LENGAH DENGAN KAEDAH RUNGE-KUTTA MENGGUNAKAN **INTERPOLASI YANG BERBEZA** 

Oleh

RAE'D ALI AHMED ALKHASAWNEH

Disember 2001

Pengerusi: Fudziah bt Ismail, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Sains dan Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Pengenalan kepada persamaan pembezaan lengah (PPL) dan bidang di mana

ianya kerap muncul diberikan. Analisis bagi beberapa kaedah berangka bagi

menyelesaikan PPL dibincangkan. Kaedah Runge-Kutta bila disesuaikan bagi

menyelesaikan PPL juga turut dibincangkan.

Kaedah Terbenam Runge-Kutta Pepenjuru Tunggal Tersirat (RKPTT)

peringkat tiga tahap empat dalam peringkat empat tahap lima yang lebih cekap dari

segi praktikalnya digunakan bagi menyelesaikan persamaan pembezaan lengah.

Sebutan lengahnya di perolehi dengan menggunakan tiga jenis interpolasi iaitu

interpolasi beza bahagi, interpolasi Hermite dan interpolasi yang diterbitkan oleh In't

Hout. Keputusan berangka berdasarkan ketiga-tiga interpolasi ini dibandingkan.

Akhir sekali, ciri ciri ke stabilan kaedah RKPTT bagi menyelesaikan PPL

menggunakan interpolasi Lagrange dan interpolasi yang diterbitkan oleh In't Hout

dikaji, dan rantau kestabilannya diberikan.

iv

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Firstly, praise to Allah (S.W.T.) for giving me enough courage, strength and patience to complete my research. I greatly appreciate the invaluable guidance, help, motivation, encouragement and support that I received from my supervisor, Dr. Fudziah Ismail, without whom this research would have never been completed.

I would also like to express my heartfelt thanks to the members of my supervisory committee, Professor. Dr. Mohamed bin Suleiman, Dr. Saiman Baok and Dr. Azmi Jaafar for their generosity in sharing their knowledge and experience towards this research.

A special thanks also to all my friends and colleagues in Malaysia and Jordan for their friendship, support and motivation. In particular, I would like to thank my dear friends Firas Hanandeh, who has encouraged me to come to Malaysia, Mohammad Sumadi, Anwar Fawakreh, Belal Zaqibeh, Muhamad Ghoul, Radwan Batieha and Jayanthi Arasan for their continuous help, encouragement and support.

Last but not least, my deepest appreciation goes to my family especially my parents who were incredibly patient and supportive towards my education needs and for giving me a lot of love and encouragement.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 11 December, 2001 to conduct the final examination of Rae'd Ali Ahmed Alkhasawneh on his Master of Science thesis entitled "Solving Delay Differential Equations by Runge-Kutta Method Using Different Types of Interpolation" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

## AZMI BIN JAAFAR, Ph.D.

Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

## FUDZIAH BINTI ISMAIL, Ph.D.

Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

## MOHAMED BIN SULEIMAN, Ph.D.

Professor,
Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

## SAIMAN BAOK, Ph.D.

Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

MOHD GHAZALI MOHAYIDIN, Ph. D. Professor/Deputy Dean of Graduate School,

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 1 2 DEC 2001



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and was accepted as fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science.

AINI BINTI IDERIS, Ph. D.

Professor
Dean of Graduate School,
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



### **DECLARATION FORM**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

Signed

RAE'D ALI AHMED ALKHASAWNEH

Raed

Date: 11 December 2001



## **TABLES OF CONTENTS**

		Page
DEDICA	TION	ii
<b>ABSTR</b>	ACT	iii
<b>ABSTRA</b>		iv
ACKNO	WLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROV	/AL THESIS	vi
DECLA	RATION FORM	viii
LIST OF	TABLES	хi
LIST OF	FIGURES	xii
LIST OF	ABBREVIATIONS	xiii
СНАРТЕ	R	
I	INTRODUCTION TO DELAY DIFFERENTIAL	
	EQUATION	
	Delay Differential Equation	
	Assumptions and Definitions.	
	Numerical Method for Delay Differential Equations	
	Runge-Kutta Method	
	Using Embedded Method for Local Error Estimation	10
	Interpolation	11
	A Review of Previous Work	
	Objective of the Study	
	Planning of the Thesis	14
II	SOLVING DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BY RUNGE-KUTTA METHOD USING DIFFERENT TYPES OF INTERPOLATION	16
	Embedded Singly Diagonally Implicit Runge-Kutta	
	Method	16
	Divided Difference Interpolation	
	Hermite Interpolation	
	In't Hout Interpolation	25
	Implementation	26
	Problems Tested	33
	Numerical Results	36
	Canalysians	<i>E</i> 1



III	THE P-STABILITY AND Q-STABILITY REGIONS OF SINGLY DIAGONALLY IMPLICIT RUNGE-KUTTA
	METHODS FOR DELAY DIFFERENTIAL
	EQUATIONS
	Introduction
	Stability Analysis of Numerical Methods for Solving
	Delay Differential Equations
	P-Stability Analysis for SDIRK Method Using Lagrange
	Interpolation
	Locating the Boundary of P-Stability region
	Q-Stability Analysis for SDIRK Method Using Lagrange
	Interpolation
	Locating the Boundary of Q-Stability region
	P-Stability Analysis for SDIRK Method Using In't Hout
	Interpolation
	Q-Stability Analysis for SDIRK Method Using In't Hout
	Interpolation
IV	SUMMARY
	Conclusions
	Future Research
ENDI A	CES Program for Solving SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5)
Α.	Using Divided Difference Interpolation
В	Program for Solving SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5)
	Using Hermite Interpolation
C	Program for Solving SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5)
	Using In't Hout Interpolation
D	Program to Analysis P-Stability of SDIRK Method 4 <sup>th.</sup> Order
	5-stage Using Lagrange Interpolation
E	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 4 <sup>th.</sup> Order
_	5-stage Using Lagrange Interpolation
F	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order
-	2-stage Using In't Hout Interpolation
C	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order
G	2-stage Using In't Hout Interpolation
Н	
4.1	
T	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order
T	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage Using Lagrange interpolation Interpolation
I	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage Using Lagrange interpolation Interpolation
I	Program to Analysis Q-Stability of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage Using Lagrange interpolation Interpolation



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	The Coefficients of SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5)	17
2.2	SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) with $\gamma = 0.25$	18
2.3	The Entries That are Used for First Divided Difference Columns When Determining the Hermite Polynomial of Order 5	23
2.4	Numerical Results when Problem 2.1 is Solved by SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Using Different Types of Interpolation, Using Tolerances 10 <sup>-2</sup> , 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-8</sup> , 10 <sup>-10</sup>	37
2.5	Numerical Results when Problem 2.2 is Solved by SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Using Different Types of Interpolation, Using Tolerances 10 <sup>-2</sup> , 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-8</sup> , 10 <sup>-10</sup>	39
2.6	Numerical Results when Problem 2.3 is Solved by SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Using Different Types of Interpolation, Using Tolerances 10 <sup>-2</sup> , 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-8</sup> , 10 <sup>-10</sup>	41
2.7	Numerical Results when Problem 2.4 is Solved by SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Using Different Types of Interpolation, Using Tolerances 10 <sup>-2</sup> , 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-8</sup> , 10 <sup>-10</sup>	43
2.8	Numerical Results when Problem 2.5 is Solved by SDIRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Using Different Types of Interpolation, Using Tolerances 10 <sup>-2</sup> , 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-8</sup> , 10 <sup>-10</sup>	45
2.9	Numerical Results when Problem 2.6 is Solved by SDłRK Method (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Using Different Types of Interpolation, Using Tolerances 10 <sup>-2</sup> , 10 <sup>-4</sup> , 10 <sup>-6</sup> , 10 <sup>-8</sup> , 10 <sup>-10</sup>	47
3.1	The Coefficient of SDIRK Method of 2 <sup>nd</sup> order 2-stage	67



## **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure		Page
1	Graphs of Error Versus Tolerance for Problem 2.1 when Solved by SDIRK (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Method	
	Using Three Types of Interpolation	49
2	Graphs of Error Versus Tolerance for Problem 2.2 when Solved by SDIRK (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Method	
	Using Three Types of Interpolation	49
3	Graphs of Error Versus Tolerance for Problem 2.4 when	
	Solved by SDIRK (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Method	50
	Using Three Types of Interpolation	50
4	Graphs of Error Versus Tolerance for Problem 2.5 when	
	Solved by SDIRK (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Method Using Three Types of Interpolation	50
	Comp Times Types of Interpolation	30
5	Graphs of Error Versus Tolerance for Problem 2.6 when	
	Solved by SDIRK (3,4) Embedded in (4,5) Method	
	Using Three Types of Interpolation	51
6	The P-Stability Region of SDIRK Method 4 <sup>th.</sup> order 5-stage	
	Using Lagrange Interpolation	61
7	The Q-Stability Region of SDIRK Method 4 <sup>th.</sup> order 5-stage	
	Using Lagrange Interpolation	63
0	The Development of CODIDIZ Meaters and and an account of the control of the contr	
8	The P-stability Region of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage Using In't Hout Interpolation	69
	Using in triout interpolation	0)
9	The Q-stability Region of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage	
	Using In't Hout Interpolation	71
10	The second secon	
10	The P-stability Region of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage	73
	Using Lagrange Interpolation	13
	The Q-stability Region of SDIRK Method 2 <sup>nd.</sup> order 2-stage	
	Using Lagrange Interpolation	74



### LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

IVP Initial Value Problem

ODE Ordinary Differential Equation

ODEs Ordinary Differential Equations

SDIRK Singly Diagonally Implicit Runge-Kutta

BDF Backward Differentiation Method

DDE Delay Differential Equation

DDEs Delay Differential Equations

RDE Retarded Delay Differential Equation

NDE Neutral Delay Differential Equation

CRK Continuous Runge-Kutta

RKF Runge-Kutta Felhberg



#### **CHAPTER I**

## INTRODUCTION TO DELAY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION AND INTERPOLATION

## **Delay Differential Equation**

Many physical systems posses the feature of having a delayed response to input conditions, so that the rate at which processes occur depends not only on the current state of the system but also the past states. Mathematical models of such process commonly result in differential equations with a time delay. Equations of this type are called delay differential equations (DDEs).

Delay differential equations have their origin in domains of application, such as physics, engineering, biology, medicine and economics. They appear in connection with fundamental problems to analyze mathematical model and to determine the future behavior. Because of that, in recent years, there has been a growing interest in the numerical treatment of DDEs, such as the work of Feldstein and Goodman (1973), Orbele and Pesch (1981), Thompson (1990), Paul (1992), Ismail (1999) and many more. Two examples of DDEs applications are as follows:

 Population growth (Beliar, 1991) as a model of biological processes can be modeled by state dependent DDE

$$y'(t) = \frac{b(y(t)) - b(y(t - L(y(t))))}{1 - L'(y(t))b(t - L(y(t)))}$$



where y(t) is the population size and L(.) is the lifespan of indivduals in the population.

2. The variation in market price, p(t), of a particular commodity (Karoui, 1992), can be modeled by the following DDE.

$$p'(t) = p(t)f(D(p(t)), S(p(t-\tau))),$$

where D(.) and S(.), denote the demand and supply functions for the commodity.

## **Assumptions and Definitions**

Generally a DDE refers to both a retarded type of DDE (RDE) and a neutral type of DDE (NDE). RDE is an ODE involving solution of the delay term and is given by

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau_1(t, y(t))), y(t-\tau_2(t, y(t))), \dots,$$

$$y(t-\tau_v(t, y(t))) \qquad \text{for } t \ge 0 \qquad [1.1]$$

$$y(t) = \varphi(t) \qquad \text{for } t \le 0,$$

Where y, f and  $\varphi$  are N-vector functions and  $\tau_i$  i=1(1) v are scalar functions. An NDE is an ODE involving both the solution and the derivative of the delay term itself and is given by

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau_1(t, y(t))), ..., y(t-\tau_v(t, y(t))),$$

$$y'(t-\tau_{v+1}(t, y(t))), ..., y'(t-\tau_{v+w}(t, y(t))) \qquad t \ge t_0$$

$$y(t) = \varphi(t), y'(t) = \varphi'(t) \qquad t \le t_0$$
[1.2]

y, f,  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi'$  are N-vector functions and  $\tau_i$   $i=1(1)\nu + w$  are scalar functions.



For simplicity assume v=1 for RDE and v=1, w=1 for NDE. So, a simple RDE can be written as

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau_1(t, y(t))) \qquad t \ge t_0$$

$$y(t) = \varphi(t) \qquad t \le t_0$$
[1.3]

And a simple NDE can be written as

$$y'(t)=f(t,y(t),y(t-\tau_{1}(t,y(t))),\ y'(t-\tau_{2}(t,y(t))) \qquad t \ge t_{0}$$

$$y(t)=\varphi(t) \qquad t \le t_{0}$$
[1.4]

If v > 1 in [1.1], then we have RDE with multiple delays, if v > 1 and w > 1 in [1.2], then it is called NDE with multiple delays.

Generally DDE is referred to both retarded type and neutral type of delay differential equations. However many authors refer to the retarded type of DDE as DDE only. Since in this thesis we are only concerned with DDE of the retarded type, it will therefore be referred to as DDE only.

The function  $\tau(t, y(t))$  is called the delay,  $t-\tau(t, y(t))$  is called the delay argument, the value of  $y(t-\tau(t, y(t)))$  is the solution of the delay term or commonly referred to as the delay term only.

If the delay is a function of time t, then it is called time dependent delay. If the delay is a function of time t and y (t), it is called the state dependent delay. A delay argument that passes the current time (t- $\tau$  (t, y (t)) > t, it is called an advanced delay. In



our work here, we are using DDE with time dependent delay and also state dependent delay.

We will assume the existence, uniqueness of the solution to the problems under consideration. For example, sufficient conditions for the existence and uniqueness of solutions to RDE [1.3] are f continuous with respect to t, y (t), and y (t- $\tau_1$  (t, y (t))), y(t) is continuous, f satisfies a Lipschtiz condition in the last two arguments,  $\varphi$  is continuous, and f is bounded (Driver 1977).

Let y(t) be a solution of a differential equation and  $u_i(t)$  be a continuous approximation to y(t) on  $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$  associated with a method. The method is said to be convergent if

$$\max_{0 \le i \le N} \max_{t_i \le i \le t_{i+1}} \left\| u_i(t) - y(t) \right\| \to 0 \text{ as } H = \max_i h_i \to 0 \text{ and } N \to \infty,$$

where 
$$h_i = t_{i+1} - t_i$$
 and  $\sum_{i=0}^{N} h_i = t_F - t_0$ .

We define a local solution of the RDE [1.3] as the solution of

$$y'(t) = f(t, y(t), y(t-\tau_1(t, y(t)))$$
  $t \ge t_0$ 

$$y(t) = z(t) t \le t_0$$

where z(t) is a continuous approximation to y(t) on  $(t_0, t_n]$  associated with a method and  $z(t) = \varphi(t)$  for  $t \le t_0$ .

A method is said to be order p if the local error is of order p+1, i.e.

$$||y_n(t_n + \tau h_n) - z_n(t_n + \tau h_n)|| = O(h_n^{\rho+1}),$$



for all  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ . Here  $z_n(t)$  is the continuous approximation to y(t) on  $[t_n, t_{n+1}]$  associated with the method.

A method for RDE [1.3] is said to be consistent if the method satisfies

$$z_n(t_n + \tau h_n) = z(t_n) + f(t_n, z(t_n), z(t_n - \sigma(t_n, z(t_n)))) + O(h_n^2) \quad \text{for } \tau \in [0, 1].$$

### Numerical Methods for Delay differential Equations

It appears in the literature that there is wide interest in the numerical solution of DDE and many approaches have been adopted for solving particular equations. Most of the numerical methods for ordinary differential equations can be adapted to give corresponding techniques for delay differential equations. The range of methods therefore comprises one step methods (including Euler's method and Runge-Kutta type method), multistep methods and block-implicit methods. In each of these methods the standard formula must generally be augmented by an interpolation formula.

If y'(x) is bounded and Rieman -integrable,

$$y(x + h) = y(x) + h f(x, y) + O(1);$$

the O(1) term is  $O(h^2)$  if y'(x) is Lipsctiz continuous.

From this relation we construct Euler's formula for DDE (1.3), namely

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + h f(x, y(x), y(x-\tau(x)))$$
 for  $x \ge x_0$  [1.5]

Choosing a sequence  $x_{r+1} = x_r$  ( $r \ge 0$ ), and substituting  $h = h_r$  in [1.5], we compute values  $y_r = y(x_r)$  satisfying



$$y_{r+1} = y_r + h_r f(x_r, y_r, y(x_r - \tau_r))$$
 [1.6]

where  $\tau_r = \tau(x_r)$ . If  $x_r - \tau_r \notin \{x_s\}_0^r$ , then we require  $y(x_r - \tau_r)$ , and the use of piecewise-constant interpolation suggests the substitution

$$y(x_r - \tau_r) = y(x_q)$$
 where  $x_r - \tau_{r \in I}(x_q, x_{q+1})$ 

in the interpolation yield

$$y(x_r - \tau_r) := \frac{\sigma_r y(x_q) + \sigma_r y(x_{q+1})}{h_q}$$
 [1.7]

where

$$h_q = x_{q+1} - x_q$$

$$\sigma'_r = (x_r - x_q) - \tau_r, \sigma''_r = h_q - \sigma'_r.$$

The trapezium rule gives a simple formula with a degree of added sophistication.

With minimum condition

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + \frac{1}{2}h[f(x) + f(x+h)]$$

when applied to DDE, will give

$$y(x+h) = y(x) + \frac{1}{2}h[f(x, y(x), y(x-\tau(x))) + f(x+h, y(x+h), y(x+h-\tau(x+h)))]$$

Given a mesh  $x_r$  with  $h_r = x_{r+1} - x_r$  we can obtain values  $y_r = y(x_r)$  from the relations

$$y_{r+1} = y_r + \frac{1}{2} h[f(x_r, y_r, y(x_r - \tau_r) + f(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1}, y(x_{r+1}, y_{r+1}, y(x_{r+1} - \tau_{r+1})))]$$

for r = 0, 1, 2, ..., when the values  $y(x_r - \tau_r)$  and  $y(x_{r+1} - \tau_{r+1})$  are obtained by interpolation on values of  $\{y\}$  and with extrapolation if  $\tau_{r+1} < h_r$ .



Neves (1975a) developed a numerical method based on the fourth order Merson's formula with a two-point Hermite interpolant defined by the endpoints of each step. The Fortran code DMRODE is presented in the (Neves 1975a).

Oppelstrup (1978) described a numerical method based on the Runge-Kutta Fehlberg 5(4) formula with a three-point fourth-degree Hermite-Birkhoff interpolant.

Oberle and Pesch (1981) developed a numerical method for RDEs with constant delays. The method is based on the Runge-Kutta Fehlberg 4(5) and 7(8) pairs with three-and Five-Point Hermite interpolants. These mesh points are assumed to be chosen such that they satisfy the following three conditions: they do not cross discontinuity; extrapolation is avoided; the delay argument under consideration should be close to the center of the mesh points chosen. Propagated derivative discontinuity points are determined at the beginning of integration.

Arndt (1984) developed a general convergence theory for RDEs by considering that a numerical method is actually trying to solve the initial value problem for ODEs

$$\overline{y'}(t) = f(t, \overline{y}(t), z(t - \sigma(t, z(t)))), \quad \text{for } t_0 \le t \le t_F$$

$$\overline{y}(t_0) = y_0,$$

$$\overline{(y(t) = (\phi) \text{ for } t \le t_0)}$$

where z(t) is a known continuous approximation to y(t). This approximation z(t) is known before the numerical solution is computed in each step unless the delay argument is in the current step.



The latest technique in approximating the delay term, when using Runge-Kutta method to solve DDE is using continuous Runge-Kutta method itself. As we know, Runge-Kutta method produces approximations only at discrete points, sometimes continuous approximation to the solution of ODE is needed to obtain dense output for plotting the solution of the ODE, to find roots of a function associated with the solution or to handle discontinuity. Consequently CRK formulas, which produce continuous approximation to the solution of ODE, have been developed by Dormand and Prince (1986), Enright et al. (1986), Gladwell et al. (1987), Shampine (1986) and Verner (1993). They are of the form

$$z_n(t_n + \theta h_n) = z_{n-l}(t_n) + h_n \sum_{i=1}^{\bar{s}+1} \overline{b_i}(\theta) k_i$$

$$k_i = f(t_n + c_i h_n, Y_i)$$

$$Y_i = z_{n-l}(t_n) + h_n \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} a_{ij} k_j$$

for  $i=1, 2,..., \bar{s}+1, \theta \in [0,1], \bar{b}_{i}(\theta), i=1(1)\bar{s}+1$  are polynomials in  $\theta$  and  $z_{-1}(t_{0})=y_{0}$ . The CRK formula is constructed by adding extra stage s+1 to  $\bar{s}+1$  with  $c_{s+1}$ ,  $a_{s+1,j}=b_{j}$  j=1,...,s to the original Runge-Kutta method.

Define a CRK formula for the DDE by

$$z_{n}(t_{n}+\theta h_{n}) = z_{n-1}(t_{n}) + h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{s+1} b_{i}(\theta) k_{i}$$

$$k_{i} = f(t_{n}+c_{i}h_{n}, Y_{i}, z(t_{n}+c_{i}h_{n}-\tau(t_{n}+c_{i}h, Y_{i})))$$

$$Y_{i} = z_{n-1}(t_{n}) + h_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} k_{j}$$



for  $i=1(1) \bar{s}+1$  and  $z_{n-1}(t_0)=\varphi(t_0)$ .

It is proven that, CRK method has can handle some of the difficulties, which DDE inherits, such as the ability to cope with discontinuity.

## Runge-Kutta Method

A q-stage Runge-Kutta method can be written as

$$k_{i} = f(x_{n} + c_{i} h, y_{n} + h \sum_{j=1}^{q} a_{ij} k_{j})$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_{n} + \sum_{i=1}^{q} b_{i} k_{i}$$
[1.8]

or it can be written in a table form as

$$c_1$$
 $a_{11}, \ldots, a_{1q}$ 
 $c_2$ 
 $a_{21}, \ldots, a_{2q}$ 
 $c_q$ 
 $a_{q1}, \ldots, a_{qq}$ 
 $a_{q1}, \ldots, a_{qq}$ 

A=  $\{a_{ij}\}$  is called the generating matrix, b is the vector weights and c is the vector abscissae. The method is said to be explicit if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for  $i \le j$ , semi implicit if  $a_{ij} = 0$  for i < j and fully implicit otherwise.

When we applied Runge-Kutta method [1.8] to DDE [1.3], the following are obtained:



$$k_i = f(t_n + c_i h, y(t_n + c_i h), y(t_n + c_i h - \tau))$$

or

$$k_i = f(t_n + c_i h, y(t_n + h \sum_{j=1}^i a_{ij} k_j), y(t_n + c_i h - \tau))$$

$$y_{n+1} = y_n + \sum_{i=1}^q b_i k_i$$

where  $(t_n + c_i h - \tau)$  is the delay argument and interpolation is needed to approximate the value of the delay term  $y(t_n + c_i h - \tau)$ .

### Using Embedding Method for the Local Error Estimation

An essential part of any integration algorithm for ODE is the procedure to estimate the local error, which provides a basis for choosing the next setpsize. One of the most popular procedure is by using an embedding method, where each integration step is performed twice, using the pth and (p+1)th order methods and local truncation error can be obtained by taking

$$LTE = y_{n+1} - y_{n+1}^{*}$$
 [1.9]

Here  $y_{n+1}^*$  is the integration using the pth order method. Usually in Runge-Kutta method, the pth order is obtained as a by product of the (p+1)th order method. Thus a significant saving in computational effort can be made which makes such technique very attractive from the practical point of view.



#### Interpolation

**Definition:** Interpolation is the process of finding and evaluating a function whose graph goes through a set of given points or the computing of values for a tabulated function at points not in the table, is historically a most important task. Interpolation was the first technique for obtaining an approximation of a function. Polynomial interpolation was then used in quadrature methods and methods for the numerical solution of ordinary differential equation.

Many famous mathematicians have their names associated with procedures for interpolation such as: Gauss, Newton, Bessel, and Stirling. The need to interpolate began with the early studies of astronomy when the motion of heavenly bodies was to be determined from periodic observations. A good interpolation polynomial needs to provide a relatively accurate approximation over an entire interval.

#### A Review of Previous Work

Neves (1975b) used two-points Hermite interpolation defined by the end points of each step to evaluate the delay term. Orbele and Pesch (1981) used three-points Hermite interpolation for the 4(5) Runge-Kutta Fehlberg method (RKF), and five-points Hermite interpolation for the 7(8) pair. The interpolation order and thereby the number of support points have to be adapted to the order of the method. If RKF method is of order p then the interpolation order must be equal or greater than p. For Hermite interpolation, if  $i_p$  denotes the number of support points for the interpolation, then the following inequality must hold

