



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EFFECTS OF SUBLETHAL TEMPERATURE STRESS ON THE  
GROWTH, SURVIVAL AND CULTURABILITY OF LISTERIA  
MONOCYTOGENES**

**MOHD NIZAM BIN LANI**

**FSMB 2002 28**

**EFFECTS OF SUBLETHAL TEMPERATURE STRESS ON THE GROWTH,  
SURVIVAL AND CULTURABILITY OF *LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES***

**MOHD NIZAM BIN LANI**

**MASTER OF SCIENCE  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA  
2002**



**EFFECTS OF SUBLETHAL TEMPERATURE STRESS ON THE GROWTH,  
SURVIVAL AND CULTURABILITY OF *LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES***

**By**

**MOHD NIZAM BIN LANI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

**November 2002**



*To:*

*my ayahanda and bonda*

*my brothers; Daus, Oshin, Afiz, Md Nor and Adik Im*

*my sisters; Angah, Khairiah and Azma*

*my relatives, lecturers and friends*

*for their love and support who have been inspiring my life*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EFFECTS OF SUBLETHAL TEMPERATURE STRESS ON THE GROWTH, SURVIVAL AND CULTURABILITY OF *LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES***

By

**MOHD NIZAM BIN LANI**

**November 2002**

**Chairman : Abdul Reezal bin Abdul Latif, Ph.D.**

**Faculty : Food Science and Biotechnology**

Survival and growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* L56 (IMR isolate) was studied in trypticase soy broth grown at 37°C before being subjected to three selected sublethal stress of temperatures (55°C, 28°C and 4°C) using log and stationary phase as inoculums using two-plating systems; TSA with and without 4% NaCl (TSAS). The influence of morphological changes and listerial motility as affected by sublethal stress of temperatures were also determined using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) and motility media, respectively. A standard growth curve of *L. monocytogenes* at 37°C was established using plate counts showed that the log and stationary phase of the organism were achieved after 12 and 19 hours, respectively. It was observed that viable bacterial population (CFU/ml) after log and stationary phase were  $10^8$  and  $10^9$ , respectively. From the growth curve, the generation time of *L. monocytogenes* at 37°C was 60 min.

The bacterial growth rates obtained from culturability on culture plates assessed using two-system media, TSA with and without 4% NaCl concentration (TSAS) were assessed by their generation time. Cells of *L. monocytogenes* grown in exponential phase cultures demonstrated biphasic survival curves at 55°C and 4°C in both media. In contrast, survival curves at 28°C were not biphasic. The growth rates of *L. monocytogenes* grown in stationary phase cultures were also assessed by their generation time. The addition of sodium chloride enhanced heat resistance of microorganism.

It has been proven that biphasic curve and tailing with/without shoulder from thermal inactivation curves in this study were associated with the occurrence of microbial injury. During the exponential phase of *L. monocytogenes*, the percentage injury at 55°C, 28°C and 4°C were ranged between 3.21% to 28.49%, 2.47% to 4.38%, and 4.34% to 8.61%, respectively. Whilst, during the stationary phase of *L. monocytogenes*, the percentage injury at 55°C, 28°C and 4°C were ranged between 2.05% to 4.15%, 1.44% to 3.06%, and 1.07 to 4.25%, respectively.

*L. monocytogenes* cells were able to survive throughout the sublethal stress of temperatures and undergone morphological changes to adapt to new temperatures. In this study, results from Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) revealed three different analyses of temperature-stressed cells which were average mean of cells length, distribution of cells length, and minimum versus maximum cells length. The study demonstrated cells of both log and stationary phase showed a significant variation of morphology. Cells of log phase became elongated only at 55°C, not at 28°C and 4°C whereas cells of stationary phase were shorter and more coccoidal rather than elongated

as in log phase cells. However, cells at 28°C were more intact than cells at other temperatures for most of the observations.

In conjunction with SEM results, the variation of listerial morphology and the effect of listerial motility would be a part of microbial adaptation towards sublethal stress of temperatures. The loss of motility in stationary phase cells strongly suggested that listerial motility play a role in survival of the organism under temperature stress. The stationary phase cells of *L. monocytogenes* were more resistant than exponential phase cells exhibited by increased of generation times, lower percentage injury and most of the cells became coccoid.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**KESAN TEKANAN SUHU 'SUBLETHAL' TERHADAP PERTUMBUHAN,  
KEMANDIRIAN DAN KEUPAYAAN KEKULTURAN  
*LISTERIA MONOCYTOGENES***

Oleh

**MOHD NIZAM BIN LANI**

**November 2002**

**Pengerusi : Abdul Reezal bin Abdul Latif, Ph.D.**

**Fakulti : Sains Makanan dan Bioteknologi**

Kemandirian dan pertumbuhan *Listeria monocytogenes* L56 (sumber organisma daripada IMR) telah dijalankan di dalam trypticase soy broth yang telah dihidupkan pada suhu 37°C sebelum dikenakan tekanan suhu 'sublethal' terhadap tiga suhu yang terpilih (55°C, 28°C and 4°C) daripada fasa eksponensial dan fasa statik sebagai inoculum berbeza menggunakan dua sistem piring agar yang berbeza; TSA dengan dan tanpa 4% NaCl (TSAS). Selain itu, kesan pengaruh morfologi dan pergerakan *Listeria* disebabkan oleh tekanan suhu juga dikaji menggunakan Mikroskopi Pengimejan Elektron dan media pergerakan. Graf pertumbuhan yang seragam pada suhu 37°C telah dilakukan menggunakan pengiraan koloni piring petri, di mana didapati fasa eksponensial dan fasa statik pada suhu 37°C telah diperolehi selepas 12 dan 19 jam dengan pertumbuhan jumlah populasi bakteria dianggarkan sebanyak  $10^8$  and  $10^9$



(CFU/ml), masing-masing. Daripada graf pertumbuhan bakteria, masa generasi *L. monocytogenes* pada suhu 37°C ialah 60 minit.

Kadar pertumbuhan bakteria yang diperolehi daripada kekulturan di atas piring petri menggunakan dua sistem media berbeza, iaitu media yang mengandungi dan tanpa mengandungi kepekatan garam sebanyak 4 peratus (TSA and TSAS) dinilai berasaskan masa generasi. Sel *L. monocytogenes* yang dihidupkan dalam fasa eksponential menunjukkan graf yang mempunyai dua keluk pada suhu 55°C dan 4°C dalam kedua-dua media. Sebaliknya, graf pertumbuhan kesan suhu pada suhu 28°C tidak mempunyai dua keluk. Walaubagaimanapun, kadar pertumbuhan *L. monocytogenes* yang dihidupkan pada fasa statik juga dinilai berdasarkan masa generasi. Penambahan garam telah meningkatkan kerintangan haba mikroorganisma tersebut.

Ia telah dibuktikan bahawa kehadiran dua keluk dan kesan 'tailing' beserta dan tanpa 'shoulder' terhadap rintangan haba dalam kajian ini telah mempunyai kaitan dengan kehadiran mikroorganisma yang tercedera. Semasa pertumbuhan fasa eksponensial *L. monocytogenes*, peratus kecederaan pada suhu 55°C, 28°C dan 4°C di dalam julat 3.21% hingga 28.49%, 2.47% hingga 4.38%, dan 4.34 hingga 8.61%, masing-masing. Manakala, semasa pertumbuhan fasa statik bagi *L. monocytogenes*, peratus kecederaan pada suhu 55°C, 28°C dan 4°C ialah pada julat 2.05% hingga 4.15%, 1.44% hingga 3.06%, dan 1.07 hingga 4.25%, masing-masing.

Sel-sel *L. monocytogenes* telah menunjukkan keupayaan merintang tekanan suhu 'sublethal' dan mengalami perubahan morfologi semasa penyesuaian suhu baru. Dalam

kajian ini, keputusan daripada Mikroskopi Pengimejan Elektron (MPE) telah menunjukkan tiga analisis berbeza terhadap sel-sel tekanan suhu seperti purata kepanjangan sel, taburan kepanjangan sel, serta kepanjangan minimum dan maksimum sel. Kajian ini menunjukkan kedua-dua fasa eksponensial dan fasa statik menunjukkan variasi morfologi yang signifikan. Sel-sel daripada fasa eksponensial telah menjadi panjang hanya pada suhu 55°C, tidak pada suhu 28°C dan 4°C, manakala sel-sel pada fasa statik adalah pendek dan lebih banyak berbentuk 'coccoïd' berbanding sel yang panjang pada fasa eksponensial. Walaubagaimanapun, morfologi sel-sel pada suhu 28°C tidak terjejas berbanding dengan suhu-suhu lain pada kebanyakan pemerhatiannya.

Bersama-sama dengan keputusan MPE, variasi terhadap morfologi *Listeria* dan kesan pergerakan *Listeria* merupakan sebahagian daripada penyesuaian mikrobiologi yang dilakukan terhadap tekanan suhu 'sublethal'. Kehilangan keupayaan pergerakan semasa fasa statik dengan tegasnya mencadangkan pergerakan *Listeria* memainkan peranan penting dalam kemandirian organisma terhadap tekanan suhu. Didapati, fasa statik *L. monocytogenes* adalah lebih resistan berbanding sel yang berada pada fasa eksponensial berdasarkan peningkatan nilai masa generasi, peratus kecederaan yang rendah dan kebanyakan sel telah menjadi 'coccoïd'.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author wishes to thank his Supervisor, Dr. Abdul Reezal Abdul Latif, for his invaluable advice, continuous supervision and guidance, his kindness and his willingness to help throughout the course of this study. The author also wishes to thank his co-supervisors, Dr. Hjh. Zaiton Hassan, for her dynamic help, support and encouragement with golden advises throughout this study, and Associate Professor Dr. Son Radu for his support, advice and encouraging interest in my project.

The author also forwards my special thanks especially to Puan Jamilah Jahari and all lab assistants, En. Zulkifili Nordin, Puan Maimon Zakaria and Puan Kamariah Jaafar in the faculty for their invaluable help. Also, to Institute of Bioscience, UPM and KUSTEM especially Cik Azilah Abd. Jalil, Mr. Ho, Puan Kartini Mohamad and their staffs, to Makmal Biologik, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar, and Puan Mazlina Othman, Sinarfoto Sdn. Bhd. for their commitment and assistance throughout the project.

Special thanks are also extended to all the members of the Microbiology Laboratory: Siti Zulaiha, Kak Leha, Wai Ling, Kqueen, Apinya, Yousr, Samuel, Zainuri, Haryanti, Noorlis, Zaza, Yuherman, Nasreldin, Ibu Endang, Woo, Yoke Ann, Yin Sze and others. Not forgetting, all my friends, Basri, Khairi, Nazri, Pali, Man Pilot, Nik Rosli, Zam Tuah, Wan Khamis, Ajis, Hamdi, Siti Zulaiha, Salihin, Md Noi, Sazly, Mat Yen, Sofian Hadi, Azlan, Ustaz Ridzuan, Pok Ju, Yanti, Siong, Nisa, Omar Yadi, Aslam, K. Zaid, Fairuz, Pijat and my block and collegemates for helping me in throughout my study.

My sincere thanks is also extended to staffs in Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology, UPM and to Kolej Universiti Sains Teknologi Malaysia (KUSTEM) for providing the scholarship especially to Professor Dato' Mahyuddin Mohd Dahan, Rector of KUSTEM, Professor Dr. Law Ah Theem as Penyelia Sangkutan SLAB, Associate Professor Dr. Noor Azhar Shazili, Dean of Faculty of Science and Technology, KUSTEM, Puan Wan Sarah Wan Abdullah, Head Department of Food Science, KUSTEM, lecturers in Department of Food Science, KUSTEM such as Associate Professor To' Puan Zakiah Jaafar, Cik Hayati, Cik Norhayati, Dr. Amiza Mat Amin, Associate Professor Dr. Guruprasad Sulebele, and tutors in Department of Food Science, especially to Cik Roshita, Puan Zamazahaila, Cik Norazimah Nazri, En. Faisal, En. Amir, En. Khairi, Cik Norazam and Puan Faridah, not forgetting to Professor Dr. Azmi Ambak and Professor Dr. Lokman Shamsudin, Cik Faridah Mohamad, Cik Hazlina Ahmad Zakeri, Dr. Kamaruzzaman Yunus and Dr. Zaleha Kassim.

Finally, my deepest gratitude and appreciation to both of my parent, Ayahanda Lani bin Hassan and Bonda Kamariah binti Abdullah, my relatives, especially En. Ismail Mohamed and Puan Rohani Hassan for their continuous support since my primary education, and all my brothers and sisters, thank you so much for your support, understanding and encouragement throughout my study.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 8<sup>th</sup> November 2002 to conduct the final examination of Graduate Student on her Master of Science thesis entitled “Effects of Sublethal Temperature Stress on the Growth, Survival and Culturability of *Listeria monocytogenes*” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Fatimah Abu Bakar, Ph.D.**

Lecturer,  
Department of Food Science,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Chairperson)

**Abdul Reezal Abdul Latif, Ph.D.**


Lecturer,  
Department of Food Science,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)

**Zaiton Hassan, Ph.D.**

Lecturer,  
Department of Food Science,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)

**Son Radu, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor,  
Department of Biotechnology,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)



---

**SHAMSHER MOHAMAD RAMADILI, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Deputy Dean,  
School of Graduate Studies,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Date: 3 JAN 2003

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Abdul Reezal Abdul Latif, Ph.D.**

Lecturer,  
Department of Food Science,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Chairman)

**Zaiton Hassan, Ph.D.**

Lecturer,  
Department of Food Science,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)

**Son Radu, Ph.D.**

Associate Professor,  
Department of Biotechnology,  
Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology,  
Universiti Putra Malaysia.  
(Member)



**AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.**  
Professor/Dean,  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date : 13 FEB 2003

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any degree at UPM or other institutions.

  
MOHD NIZAM LANI

Date: 3/1/03

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>DEDICATION</b> .....	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b> .....	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b> .....	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b> .....	ix
<b>APPROVAL SHEETS</b> .....	xi
<b>DECLARATION FORM</b> .....	xiii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> .....	xvii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> .....	xix
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b> .....	xxi
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> .....	xxii
 <b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I INTRODUCTION</b> .....	1
<b>II LITERATURE REVIEW</b> .....	6
2.1 Taxonomy and General Characteristics of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	6
2.2 Identification of <i>Listeria</i> species.....	8
2.3 Isolation and Detection of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	9
2.4 Reservoirs of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	12
2.5 Occurrence of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in Food.....	13
2.6 Epidemiology of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	14
2.7 Disease Associated with <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	16
2.8 Factors Affecting Growth and Survival of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in Foods.....	17
2.8.1 Temperature.....	17
2.8.2 pH.....	18
2.8.3 Acid.....	19
2.8.4 Salt.....	19
2.8.5 Water Activity.....	20
2.8.6 Modified Atmosphere.....	20
2.9 Thermal Inactivation of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	22
2.9.1 Theory Death by Heating.....	22
2.9.2 Heat Resistance of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in Foods.....	28
2.9.2.1 Dairy Products.....	28
2.9.2.2 Red Meats and Poultry Products.....	30
2.9.2.3 Other Foods.....	32
2.9.3 Effect of Sublethal Heating on Thermotolerance.....	34
2.10 Sublethal Injury at High and Lower Temperature Stress of..... <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	36
2.11 Recovery of Sublethal Injury of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	42
2.12 Stress and Effects on Virulence of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	46



<b>III</b>	<b>MATERIALS AND METHODS</b> .....	52
3.1	Microorganism.....	52
3.1.1	Source of Culture.....	52
3.1.2	Culture Media.....	52
3.1.3	Isolate Confirmation of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	53
3.2	Development of Standard Growth Curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	55
3.3	Survival and Culturability of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Under Sublethal Temperature Stresses.....	55
3.4	Determination of Percentage Injury of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	58
3.5	Effects of Morphology and Motility of Temperature-Stressed Cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	58
3.5.1	SEM's Sample Preparation.....	59
3.5.2	Measurement of Cells Length Using SEMafore.....	59
3.5.3	Effects of Temperature Stress on Motility of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	60
<b>IV</b>	<b>RESULTS</b> .....	61
4.1	Growth Curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in Trypticase Soy Broth at 37°C.....	61
4.2	Survival and Culturability of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Subjected to Sublethal Temperature Stress Using Media Varying NaCl Concentration.....	64
4.2.1	Culturability of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Subjected to Sublethal Temperature Stresses Using TSA Media.....	64
4.2.2	Culturability of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Subjected to Sublethal Temperature Stresses using TSAS Media.....	68
4.3	Sublethal Temperature Injury of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	71
4.4	Changes of Morphology and Motility of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Cells Subjected to Sublethal Stress of Temperatures.....	76
4.4.1	Mean Cells Length of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> as Affected by Sublethal Stress of Temperatures.....	76
4.4.2	Distribution of Cells Length of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Subjected to Sublethal Temperature Stresses.....	84
4.4.2.1	Distribution of Cells Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at Log Phase Subjected to Sublethal Temperature Stresses.....	85
4.4.2.2	Distribution of Cells Length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at Stationary Phase Subjected to Sublethal Temperature Stresses.....	90
4.4.3	Minimum and Maximum Cells Length of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> Subjected to Sublethal Stress of Temperatures.....	95
4.4.4	Effects of Listerial Motility Subjected to Sublethal Stress of Temperatures.....	102

<b>V</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b> .....	106
5.1	Preliminary Work and Growth Curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	106
5.2	Relationship between Survival and Culturability of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	108
5.3	Relationship between Survival and Sublethal Injury of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .....	116
5.4	Relationship between Survival and Listerial Morphology and Motility.....	122
5.5	General Discussion.....	127
<b>VI</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b> .....	129
	<b>REFERENCES</b> .....	132
	<b>APPENDIX</b> .....	148
	<b>VITA</b> .....	163

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Differentiation of <i>Listeria</i> from similar genera	7
2.2	Differentiation of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> from other species of <i>Listeria</i>	10
2.3	Media used in in regulatory and other methods for the detection of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	12
2.4	Limiting conditions for <i>L. monocytogenes</i> growth	21
2.5	Comparison of selected reported growth kinetics for <i>L. monocytogenes</i> versus those predicted by the cubic models	27
2.6	Summary of some findings on the thermal destruction of <i>L. monocytogenes</i>	29
2.7	D and z values for <i>L. monocytogenes</i> heated in thick slurries of chicken or beef	31
2.8	Heat resistance of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in seafood products	33
2.9	The effect of prior heat shock on the heat resistance of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> in cured meat at 64°C	35
2.10	Similarities in bacterial cell injury by different treatments and its repair.	39
2.11	Types of damage caused to vegetative bacteria by physical/chemical agents.	40
2.12	Identified virulence determinants in <i>L. monocytogenes</i> .	50
4.1	Estimation of generation time of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> under three selected temperature stresses at log and stationary phases	67
4.2	Percentage injury of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at log phase under three sublethal temperature stresses using TSA and TSAS	72
4.3	Percentage injury of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at stationary phase under three sublethal temperature stresses using TSA and TSAS	73
4.4	Comparison of percentage sublethal injury cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at different bacterial phase (log and stationary	75

	phase) under different temperature stresses	
4.5	Comparison of mean cells length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> on exposure to sublethal temperature stresses at log and stationary phases	77
4.6	Distribution of cells length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at log phase on exposure to different sublethal temperature stresses	86
4.7	Distribution of cells length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at stationary phase on exposure to different sublethal temperature stresses	92
4.9	Comparison of minimum and maximum length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> from log and stationary phases subjected to 55°C, 28°C and 4°C	94
4.10	Motility results of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to sublethal stress of temperatures at log and stationary phases	103

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	The relationship between slope and generation time using semilogarithmic paper	57
4.1	Growth curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> using plate counts (CFU/ml) at 37°C	61
4.2	Survival curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> (CFU/ml) on exposure to three sublethal temperature stresses at log phase using TSA media	65
4.3	Survival curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> (CFU/ml) on exposure to three sublethal temperature stresses at stationary phase using TSA media	66
4.4	Survival curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> (CFU/ml) on exposure to three sublethal temperature stresses at log phase using TSAS media	68
4.5	Survival curve of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> (CFU/ml) on exposure to three sublethal temperature stresses at stationary phase using TSAS media	69
4.6	Mean cells lengths of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 55°C at log and stationary phases	83
4.7	Mean cells lengths of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 28°C at log and stationary phases	83
4.8	Mean cells lengths of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 4°C at log and stationary phases	84
4.9	Distribution of cells length (µm) of log phase <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 55°C	87
4.10	Distribution of cells length (µm) of log phase <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 28°C	87
4.11	Distribution of cells length (µm) of log phase <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 4°C	88
4.12	Distribution of cells length (µm) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 55°C at stationary phase	90

4.13	Distribution of cells length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 28°C at stationary phase	91
4.14	Distribution of cells length ( $\mu\text{m}$ ) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> subjected to 4°C at stationary phase	91
4.15	Minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM of log phase subjected to 55°C	96
4.16	Minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM of log phase subjected to 28°C	96
4.17	Minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM of log phase subjected to 4°C	97
4.18	Minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM of stationary phase subjected to 55°C	98
4.19	Minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM of stationary phase subjected to 28°C	98
4.20	Minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM of stationary phase subjected to 4°C	99
4.21	Comparison of minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM at log and stationary phases subjected to 55°C	101
4.22	Comparison of minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM at log and stationary phases subjected to 28°C	101
4.23	Comparison of minimum and maximum length <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed using SEM at log and stationary phases subjected to 4°C	102

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1	Colonies of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> on PALCAM agar	54
2	SEM's picture shows log phase cultures (12 h) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at 37°C	63
3	SEM's picture shows stationary phase cultures (19 h) of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> at 37°C	64
4	SEM's picture demonstrated a 'fussy phenomenon' observed at temperature stress of 55°C after 4 h at log phase	79
5	SEM's picture demonstrated a 'fussy phenomenon' observed at temperature stress of 4°C after 4 h at log phase	79
6	SEM's picture demonstrated intact cells observed at temperature stress of 28°C after 4 h at log phase	80
7	Cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> were observed 'clumping' from SEM during stationary phase after 4 h at 4°C	80
8	Elongated cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed at temperature stress of 55°C after 4 h during log phase using fluorescent microscopy	87
9	Cocci cells of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed at temperature stress of 55°C after 4 h during stationary phase using fluorescent microscopy	87
10	The right bottle indicates the positive motility result of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> observed after incubation at 25°C for 3 days where the left bottle acts as control	104
11	The same bottles of motility tests at a larger magnification	104
12	Positive results of motility test for log phase cultures of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> representing every hour of six hours	105
13	Negative results of motility test for stationary phase cultures of <i>L. monocytogenes</i> representing every hour of six hours	105

**LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS**

CAMP Test	(Christie, Atkins, and Munch-Peterson) Test
CDC	Centres for Disease Control and Prevention
CFU	Colony forming unit
D value	Decimal Reduction Time
FDA	Food and Drug Administration
NaCl	Sodium Chloride
RCPA	Royal College of Pathologists of Australasia
SEM	Scanning Electron Microscopy
TSA	Trypticase Soy Agar
TSAS	Trypticase Soy Agar with added 4% Sodium Chloride
TSB	Trypticase Soy Broth
z value	Reciprocal Slope Value of Thermal Death Time Curve



## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

*Listeria monocytogenes* was presumably first isolated at the beginning of the twentieth century as a Gram positive rod in tissue specimens of infected patients (Fsihi *et al.*, 2001). In 1919, Hulphers called *Bacillus hepatis* the bacterium he isolated from necrotic foci in rabbit liver. In 1926, *L. monocytogenes* was described by Murray and colleagues as *Bacterium monocytogenes* in connection with an epizootic among laboratory-raised guinea pigs and rabbits (Murray *et al.*, 1926). However, the following year, Pirie described a similar epizootic in wild gerbils termed “Tiger River Disease” and proposed that the species to be called *Listerella hepatolytica*. After finding that *Bacterium monocytogenes* and *Listerella hepatolytica* was the same organism, the name was changed to *Listeria monocytogenes*. The current name *Listeria* was suggested in 1940 by Pirie in honour of Lord Lister, an eminent English surgeon and discoverer of antiseptics (Seeliger and Jones, 1986).

*L. monocytogenes* is appreciably more heat resistant than other non-sporing microorganisms such as *Salmonella* and *Campylobacter*, and can grow at refrigeration temperatures (Farber and Peterkin, 1991). The bacterium is ubiquitous in nature and can be isolated from a wide variety of sources, both the environment and food. It also appears to be a common environmental contaminant of food processing plants. The foods that have received most attention have been milk and dairy products, meat, poultry, seafood and vegetables as *L. monocytogenes* is capable of survival and growth