

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# RELATIONSHIP QUALITY BETWEEN CUSTOMER AND PROVIDER IN THE SERVICE SECTOR

**ARYATY BINTI ALWIE** 

**GSM 2008 11** 



# RELATIONSHIP QUALITY BETWEEN CUSTOMER AND PROVIDER IN THE SERVICE SECTOR

Ву

**ARYATY BINTI ALWIE** 

Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School of Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

October 2008



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

RELATIONSHIP QUALITY BETWEEN CUSTOMER AND PROVIDER IN THE SERVICE SECTOR

By

**ARYATY BINTI ALWIE** 

October 2008

Chair: Associate Professor Jamll Bojel, PhD

Faculty: Graduate School of Management

The relationship quality can be used to assess the effectiveness of relationship marketing (Hennig-Thurau, et al., 2002). By adopting Nordic approach, relationship quality in this study consists of two levels of interaction, i.e. interpersonal and organizational levels. In addition, since the relationship quality is not tied down to any standardized dimension, the study aims to investigate the dimensions of relationship quality in services sector, using two types of services, i.e. credence and experience services.

The data was collected using personal interview on the selected residents of Putrajaya. For this purpose, two sets of questionnaire were developed for the two services studied (credence & experience services). The study gathered 421 completed questionnaires. The data were then analyzed using factor analysis, multiple regression and discriminant analysis to fulfil the research objectives.

UPM N

The findings showed that both levels were empirically important for service sector. However, the findings tend to differ slightly according to the type of service. At interpersonal level, closeness factor was significant for credence services but not for experience services. Communication and special care were significant for both service types, whereas a new dimension (communication quality), that emerged from the study, was not significant for both service types. At organizational level, trust was significant for experience services but not for credence services. Nonetheless, commitment and satisfaction showed significant findings for both service types.

Based on the study, it is recommended that credence service firms can exploit 'closeness' through friendliness, trustworthiness, responsiveness, competency, and empathy in service to build relationship with customers. Contrary to that of credence service firms, experience service firms might focus on developing customers trust on the organization by delivering highly skilled services along with strong support from the organization.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KUALITI HUBUNGAN ANTARA PELANGGAN DAN PENYEDIA PERKHIDMATAN DALAM SEKTOR PERKHIDMATAN

Oleh

**ARYATY BINTI ALWIE** 

Oktober 2008

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Jamil Bojel, PhD

Fakulti: Sekolah Pengajian Siswazah Pengurusan

Kualiti hubungan boleh digunakan untuk menilai keberkesanan pemasaran hubungan (Hennig-Thurau, et al., 2002). Dengan menggunakan pendekatan Nordic, kualiti hubungan dalam kajian ini terdiri dari dua peringkat, iaitu peringkat antara perorangan dan peringkat organisasi. Oleh kerana kualiti hubungan tidak terikat dengan mana-mana dimensi yang standard untuk kualiti hubungan, maka tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk mengkaji dimensi kualiti hubungan dalam sektor perkhidmatan dengan menggunakan dua kategori perkhidmatan digunakan, iaitu perkhidmatan kreden dan perkhidmatan pengalaman.

Data telah dikumpulkan dengan menggunakan temubual perorangan dikalangan penduduk terpilih di kawasan Putrajaya. Untuk tujuan ini, dua set borang soal selidik telah dibentuk untuk dua jenis perkhidmatan yang dikaji (perkhidmatan kreden dan perkhidmatan pengalaman). Kajian ini telah

UPM N

mengumpul 421 set soal selidik yang telah dilengkapkan. Data kajian kemudiannya dianalisa menggunakan analisis faktor, regresi berbilang dan analisis diskriminan untuk menjawab objektif penyelidikan.

Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua peringkat secara impirikalnya adalah penting untuk sektor perkhidmatan. Walau bagaimanapun, hasilan menunjukkan terdapatnya sedikit perbezaan berdasarkan ienis perkhidmatan. Di peringkat antara perorangan, factor kedekatan adalah signifikan untuk perkhidmatan kreden tetapi tidak untuk perkhidmatan pengalaman. Komunikasi dan perhatian istimewa adalah signifikan untuk kedua-dua jenis perkhidmatan tersebut, manakala dimensi baru (kualiti komunikasi), yang terhasil dari kajian, adalah tidak signifikan untuk keduadua jenis perkhidmatan. Di peringkat organisasi, kepercayaan hanyalah signifikan untuk perkhidmatan pengalaman dan tidak singnifikan untuk perkhidmatan kreden. Akan tetapi, komitmen dan kepuasan, menunjukkan hasil yang signifikan untuk kedua-dua jenis perkhidmatan.

Berdasarkan kajian, adalah dicadangkan agar firma perkhidmantan kreden mengeksploitasikan kedekatan melalui keramahan, kebolehpercayaan, keresponsifan, kecekapan dan empati dalam perkhidmatan mereka untuk membina hubungan dengan pelanggan. Berbeza dengan firma perkhidmatan kreden, firma perkhidmatan pengalaman mungkin perlu menfokuskan ke atas membangunkan kepercayaan pelanggan terhadap organisasi dengan memberi perkhidmatan berkemahiran tinggi disamping sokongan padu dari organisasi.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The journey, that seems to be never-ending, has finally come to an end. This journey is very unforgettable to me because it teaches me to appreciate people around me. Many people have walked a while with me, in their own extraordinary way, to help me along this journey.

From the beginning of this journey to the end, my main supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamil Bojei has showed me his constant guidance, comments, critiques and support throughout my PhD program. His passion on this profession always gives me strength to embark on the academic world. I also thank Prof. Dr. Aliah Hanim Mohd. Salleh for her comments and suggestions, which challenge me to come up with the best that I can. My appreciation also goes to Assoc. Prof. Dr Jegak Uli, who refreshes my statistics skills and showed how interesting it is to deal with numbers. Prof. Dr. Samsinar, thanks for your insights and thoughts during classes, during the expert opinions stage and along the way of my journey. My deepest appreciation also goes to Prof. Dr. Shamsher, Prof. Dr. Rozhan, and all lecturers at the Graduate School of Management who showed me their passion for the academic world.

Along the journey, I would like to thank all of my friends who were with me all the way. Amalina, Loga, Maisarah, Aniza, Rahayu, Ong, Loke, Zahira, Neilson, Zubir, Nusrah and all postgraduate students and staffs of GSM, your constant support, cheers and motivations, in your own unique ways, give me strength to go on with the journey. I would like to thank all my classmates — class of Jun 2004/2005 — for your support during the earlier stage of the journey. It is the most interesting class that I ever had.

Lastly, to my family members, my father, Alwie, Mak Ros, Saiful, Mahathir, Hairull Ann, Erna Marlini and Haziq, your undying support gave me the will power to endure this journey. To my families in Sibu, Kabong & Saratok thanks a lot. This is a new beginning of a wonderful endless journey.



I certify that the Examination Committee met on 13<sup>th</sup> October 2008 to conduct the final examination of Aryaty binti Alwle on her Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Relationship Quality between Customer and Provider In the Service Sector" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

## Zainal Abidin Mohamed, PhD

Professor Graduate School of Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

### Osman Mohamad, PhD

Professor School of Management Institute of Graduate Studies Universiti Sains Malaysia (External Examiner)

### Nik Kamariah Nik Mat, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Business Management Universiti Utara Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### Samsinar Md Sidin, PhD

Professor Graduate School of Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

### Jamil Bojei, PhD

Associate Professor Graduate School of Management Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Representative of the Supervisory Committee/Observer)

SHAMSHER MOHAMAD RAMADILI, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean Graduate School of Management Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

## Jamil Bojei, PhD

Associate Professor Graduate School of Management Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

# Aliah Hanim Mohd. Salleh, PhD

Professor Graduate School of Business Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia (Member)

## Jegak Uli, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Educational Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

SAMSINAR MD. SIDIN, PhD

Professor/ Dean
Graduate School of Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



# **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or any other institutions.

ARYATY BINTI ALWIE

Date:



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

			Pag		
AB:	STRAC	<b>T</b>	ii		
ABS	STRAK		iv		
AC	KNOW	LEDGEMENTS	vi		
API	PROVA	AL .	vii		
DE	CLARA	TION	ix		
LIS	T OF T	ABLES	xvi		
LIS	T OF F	IGURES	xvii		
LIS	T OF A	BBREVIATION	xix		
СН	APTEF	R			
1	INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY				
	1.0	Introduction	1		
	1.1	Background of the Study	1		
	1.2	Issues of the Study	5		
		1.2.1 Problem Statement	9		
	1.3	Objectives of the Study	11		
		1.3.1 General Objective	11		
		1.3.2 Specific Objectives	12		
	1.4	Research Questions and Hypotheses of the Study	12		
	1.5	Scope of the Study	16		
	1.6	Importance of the Study	18		
	1.7	Overview of the Research Framework	20		
	1.8	Organization of the Thesis	21		
2	SERVICE SECTORS IN MALAYSIA				
	2.0	Introduction			
	2.1	Industrial Master Plans			
	2.2	The Growth of Services Sector during IMP2	29		
		2.2.1 Trade in Services Sectors	31		
	2.3	Framework of the Third Industrial Master Plan	22		



	2.4	Education and Training Services			
		2.4.1	The Growth Prospects of Education and Training Services	39	
		2.4.2	The Challenges of Education and Training Services	40	
	2.5	Health S	Services	41	
		2.5.1	The Growth Prospects of Health Services	43	
		2.5.2	The Challenges of Health Services	44	
	2.6	Tourism	n Services	45	
		2.6.1	The Growth Prospects of Tourism Services	49	
		2.6.2	The Challenges of Tourism Services	50	
	2.7	Conclus	sion	52	
3	LITERATURE REVIEW				
	3.0	Introduc	etion	53	
	3.1	Relation	nships in Businesses	53	
		3.1.1	Relationships in Asian Cultures	56	
	3.2	Relation	nship Marketing	61	
	3.3	Relation Though	nship Marketing from Different Schools of t	62	
		3.3.1	Selected Schools of Thought in Detail	63	
	3.4	Definition Marketin	ons and Selected Studies on Relationship	67	
	3.5	Quality	in Businesses	70	
		3.5.1	Quality in Service Sectors	72	
		3.5.2	A Framework for Analyzing Relationship Quality	75	
	3.6	Relation	nship Quality in Services Sector	79	
	3.7	The His	tory of Relationship Quality Dimensions	82	
		3.7.1	The Dimensions of Relationship Quality	86	
	3.8		lection of Study Dimensions for ational Level	88	
		3.8.1	Trust	94	
		3.8.2	Commitment	96	
		3.8.3	Satisfaction	100	



	3.9		sonal Interactions between the Customers Service Provider	103
		3.9.1	The Customer-Employee Relationships and Relationship Quality	105
		3.9.2	Customers from Resource Based View Perspective	110
	3.10		ection of Study Dimensions for sonal Level	114
		3.10.1	Communication	116
		3.10.2	Closeness	119
		3.10.3	Relationship Benefits	124
	3.11	Custom	er Loyalty	128
		3.11.1	Reviews on Service Relationship and Its Link with Loyalty	133
	3.12	Researc	ch Gaps	135
	3.13	Conclus	sion	139
4	RESE	EARCH F	RAMEWORK	
	4.0	Introduc	etion	141
	4.1	Theories Studies	s Underlying the Relationship Marketing	141
		4.1.1	The Social Exchange Theory	142
		4.1.2	The Relationship Exchange Theory	145
		4.1.3	The Concept of Reciprocity in Exchange Relationship	148
	4.2		ndamentals of Establishing Relationship Framework	150
	4.3		hing the Research Framework for aship Quality	153
	4.4	The Res	search Framework of Relationship Quality	161
		4.4.1	Hypotheses of the Study	165
	4.5	Operation	onal Definitions of Study Dimensions	169
		3.5.1	Trust	169
		3.5.2	Commitment	171
		3.5.3	Satisfaction	172
		3.5.4	Communication	173
		3.5.5	Closeness	175
		3.5.6	Relationship Benefits	177



	3.5.7	Loyalty	177
4.6	Conclus	sion	178
RESE	EARCH N	METHODOLOGY	
5.0	Introduc	etion	180
5.1	Resear	ch Design	180
	5.1.1	Research Application	181
5.2	Catego	ries of Services Used in the Study	185
5.3	Samplin	ng Design Process	190
	5.3.1	The Target Population	190
	5.3.2	The Sampling Frame	191
	5.3.3	The Sampling Technique	192
	5.3.4	The Sample Size	194
5.4	Data Co	ollection Procedure or Fieldwork	195
	5.4.1	Phases in Data Collection	197
5.5	Questic	onnaire Design	197
5.6	Measur	ement Development Process	199
5.7	Measur	ements and Scales of the Study	200
	5.7.1	Measurements of the Relationship Quality Dimensions	200
	5.7.2	Scales of the Study Dimensions	207
5.8	Analysi	s used in the Study	209
5.9	•	tory Factor Analysis and Confirmatory  Analysis	211
5.10	Multiple	Regression Analysis (MRA)	212
	5.10.1	Assumptions of Multiple Regression Analysis	214
	5.10.2	Estimating Multiple Regression Analysis and Assessing Overall Model Fit	216
	5.10.3	Assessing Multicollinearity	219
5.11	Discrim	inant Analysis (DA)	221
	5.11.1	Assumptions of Discriminant Analysis	222
	5.11.2	Assessing Discriminant Analysis	224
5 12	Conclus	sion	229

5



FIND	INGS AN	ID DISCUSSIONS	
6.0	Introduc	ction	229
6.1	Respon	dents' Profiles and Study Background	229
6.2	Dimens	ions of Relationship Quality	233
	6.2.1	Steps in Measurement Process	233
6.3	Data Re (EFA) (S	eduction using Exploratory Factor Analysis Step 6)	238
	6.3.1	Data Reduction Process using Exploratory Factor Analysis	239
	6.3.2	Testing Assumptions for Exploratory Factor Analysis	240
	6.3.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis Findings	242
6.4		urification and Confirmation using natory Factor Analysis (CFA) (Step 8)	249
	6.4.1	Overall Model Estimation from Confirmatory Factor Analysis	250
	6.4.2	Confirmatory Factor Analysis Findings for Overall Model	254
	6.4.3	Exploratory Factor Analysis and Confirmatory Factor Analysis and Research Questions 1b and 1c	267
	6.4.4	Discussions of the Findings	268
6.5	Relation Catego	nship Quality Dimensions and Services ries	271
	6.5.1	Multiple Regression Analysis Findings	271
	6.5.2	Multiple Regression Analysis and Research Hypotheses H1, H2 and H3	277
	6.5.3	Discussions of the Findings	278
6.6	Discrim Catego	inant Predictors between Services ries	283
	6.6.1	Discriminant Analysis Findings	283
	6.6.2	Discriminant Analysis and Research Hypotheses H5 and H5	286
	6.6.3	Discussions of the Findings	287
6.7	Conclus	sion	290

6



7	REC	OMMEN	DATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
	7.0	Introdu	ction	292
	7.1	Contrib	Contributions of the Study	
		7.1.1	Theoretical Contributions	296
		7.1.2	Conceptual Contributions	298
		7.1.3	Methodological Contributions	302
	7.2	Manag	erial Contributions	302
		7.2.1	Credence Services	303
		7.2.2	Experience Services	306
		7.2.3	The Policy Makers	308
	7.3	Limitati	ions of the Study	309
		7.3.1	Limitations from Methodology's Standpoint	310
		7.3.2	Limitations from Study Dimensions' Standpoint	311
	7.4	Sugges	stions for Future Research	313
	7.5	Conclu	sion	318
	BIBL	.IOGRAP	PHY	320
	LIST	OF APP	PENDICES	
	APP	ENDICES	S	
	BIO	DATA OF	CANDIDATE	



# **LIST OF TABLES**

Table		Page
1.1	Research Objectives, Research Questions, Dimensions and Statistical Analysis	13
2.1	Growth by Sectors in IMP2	27
2.2	Contribution to GDP by Sector in IMP2	28
2.3	Workforce by Sector in IMP2	30
2.4	Share of Services Sub-sectors to Real GDP	31
2.5	Exports of NGS (selected sub-sectors)	32
2.6	Imports of NGS (selected sub-sectors)	33
2.7	Growth and Contribution to GDP by Sector in IMP3	35
2.8	Gross Domestic Product by Sector in IMP3	36
2.9	Employment by Sector in IMP3	37
2.10	Consumer Expenditure (Selected Asean Countries) on Health Goods and Medical Services 2000-2005 (US\$ million)	42
2.11	Health Human Resources in 2006	43
2.12	Financial Allocation in 2006	43
2.13	Comparison of Tourist Arrivals to Malaysia (2006 and 2007)	47
2.14	Tourist Arrivals and Receipts to Malaysia (2002 – 2007)	48
3.1	The Definitions of Quality	71
3.2	The Definitions of Relationship Quality	82
3.3	A Review of Literature on Relationship Quality Dimensions	90
3.4	Customer Roles and the Benefits for the Customer and the Service Firm	113
4.1	Research Objectives, Research Questions and Hypotheses of the Study	167
5.1	Summary of Research Applications	183
5.2	Comparison of Research Procedures	184
5.3	Measurements and Scales of Study Dimensions	198
5.4	Conceptual Definition, Operational Definition, Measurement and Sources of the Study Dimensions	204
6.1	Respondents' Profiles	230



6.2	Background on Services Used in the Study	232
6.3	Varimax Rotated Component Matrix and Communalities for Interpersonal Level	244
6.4	Varimax Rotated Component Matrix and Communalities for Organizational Level	245
6.5	KMO and Bartlett's Test of Interpersonal and Organizational Levels	246
6.6	Results from Extraction of Component Factors	247
6.7	Indices of Measurement Model at Interpersonal Level by Categories	255
6.8	Indices of Measurement Model at Organizational Level by Categories	255
6.9	Standardized Estimates of Measurement Model at Interpersonal Level (CNE Category)	257
6.10	Standardized Estimates of Measurement Model at Organizational Level (CNE Category)	258
6.11	Standardized Estimates of Measurement Model at Interpersonal Level (Credence Services Category)	259
6.12	Standardized Estimates of Measurement Model at Organizational Level (Credence Services Category)	260
6.13	Standardized Estimates of Measurement Model at Interpersonal Level (Experience Services Category)	261
6.14	Standardized Estimates of Measurement Model at Organizational Level (Experience Services	
	Category)	262
6.15	Second-Order Findings of Relationship Quality Dimensions	266
6.16	Findings of Relationship Quality and Loyalty	272
6.17	MRA Findings of Interpersonal Level	274
6.18	MRA Findings of Organizational Level	276
6.19	Summary Statistics of Discriminant Analysis	284
6.20	Classification Results for Discriminant Analysis	286
7.1	Summary of Hypotheses Findings	293
7.2	Summary of Methodology Limitations and	217



# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figu	re	Page
1.1	Overview of Research Framework	21
1.2	Organization of the Thesis	24
3.1	The Model of Customer Perceived Quality	74
3.2	The Interaction Levels in a Relationship	76
4.1	A Framework for the Interaction Process between the Customer and the Service Provider	155
4.2	Summary of the Dimensions of Relationship Quality	159
4.3	The Research Framework of Relationship Quality	165
5.1	Service Classification versus Types of Services	189
6.1	Steps of Measuring the Dimensions of Relationship Quality	235
6.2	Scree Test of Interpersonal Level	248
6.3	Scree Test of Organizational Level	248
6.4	Structure of Measurement Model for Interpersonal Level	252
6.5	Structure of Measurement Model for Organizational Level	253
6.6	Second-Order Model of the Dimensions of Relationship Quality	264
7.1	Dimensions of Relationship Quality for Credence Services	304
7.2	Dimensions of Relationship Quality for Experience Services	306



### LIST OF ABBRIVATIONS

AGFI Adjusted Goodness-of-fit Index

AMOS A covariance-based SEM, developedby Dr. Arbuckle, Published

by SmallWaters and marketed by SPSS. Details are available

at http://www.spss.com/amos/

B2B Business-to-business

B2C Business-to-customer

BMRs Business Marketing Relationships

C2C Customer-to-customer

C2E Customer-to-employee

CFI Comparative Fit Index

CNE One category represents both credence services and

experience services.

CR Construct reliability or composite reliability is an indicator of

convergent validity. It can be calculated using squared sum of

standard loading for each dimension and the sum of the error

variance terms for a construct.

EQS A covariance-based SEM developed by Dr. Bentler and sold by

Multivariate Software, Inc. Details are available at

http://www.smallwaters.com/

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GFI Goodness-of-fit Index

ICRs Interpersonal Commercial Relationships

IFI Incremental Fit Index



IMP Industrial or International Marketing and Purchasing

IMP Industrial Master Plan

IMP2 Second Industrial Master Plan

IMP3 Third Industrial Master Plan

KMV Key Mediating Variables

LISREL A procedure for the analysis of Linear Structural RELations

among one or more sets of variables and variates

NGS Non-government Services

PLS Partial Least Squares. A second generation regression model

that combines a factor analysis with linear regressions, making

only minimal distribution assumptions.

PPE Perceived performance Excellence

RBV Resource Based View

RCI Relationship Closeness Inventory

RMSEA Root Mean Square Error of Approximation

SE Service employee

**SERVQUAL Service Quality** 

TLI Tucker Lewis Index

AVE Average variance extracted is a summary indicator of

convergence. This value can be calculated using standard

loading for all items in one dimension.

WOM Word-of-mouth



#### **CHAPTER 1**

#### INTRODUCTION TO THE STUDY

#### 1.0 Introduction

This chapter covers the background of study and the problems faced by service marketers to compete in highly competitive markets. This chapter also outlines the research objectives, the research questions, the scope and significance of the study, finally the organization of this thesis.

## 1.1 Background of the Study

In Malaysia, the service sector is experiencing an increasingly important role in the economy. In 2004, the service sector grew by 6.7% and made up 57.4% of Gross Domestic Product (GDP) (MITI, 2005a). In terms of export of services, Malaysia ranked as the 29<sup>th</sup> largest exporter in 2004, with a total export of US\$18 billion (0.90% of total global exports) as compared to US\$13.5 billion (0.75% of the total global exports) in 2003 (MITI, 2005b). By the end of 2020, the service sector is expected to contribute to 60% of GDP (IMP3, 2006).

Even though Malaysia experiences an increase in the amount of export of services, at the same time Malaysia still has to import a huge amount of services. The total amount of service imports for Malaysia in 2004 increased to US\$19.2 billion (0.90% of global imports) from US\$17.3 billion (0.97% of global imports) in 2003. Malaysia became the 28<sup>th</sup> largest importer in 2004 (MITI, 2005a; MITI 2005b). Although the amount of imports exceeds the



amount of exports in terms of global percentages, the imports reduced from 0.97% in 2003 to 0.90% in 2004. It shows that Malaysia tries to reduce the amount of imports for services and, at the same time, tries to increase the export of services in global market. Therefore, Malaysia has to focus on how to develop and improve its service sector if it wants to be a significant player in the global economy.

Furthermore in 2005, service sectors (including government services) grew by 6.5%, slower than the previous year. In 2004, the service sectors grew by 6.8% (DOSM, 2006a). However, the service sector is still the biggest contributor to GDP with the forecast amount of 57.8% in 2005 as compared to 57.4% in 2004 (DOSM, 2006b). The Central Bank of Malaysia has predicted a positive growth for service sectors predicting an increase of 6% for the year 2006 (MITI, 2006).

As Malaysia strives to become a major player in the service sector, competition in business, as usual, is something that cannot be avoided by any firms around the globe or within Malaysia itself. With globalization, competitors could be from within or outside Malaysia. How successful a firm is depends on how the firm reacts to this and how fast it changes to adapt to the current scenario.

As competition increases, core products or services become more homogeneous and it is difficult for the firms to differentiate themselves from others. This is because they know that providing customers with the core



products or services is not enough in today's business. Nowadays, customers have a lot of choices. For that reason, the firms should not only offer the core products or services but offer augmented services as well (beyond the core products or services). The uniqueness of the service will make it difficult for any competitor to duplicate (Sharma & Patterson, 1999). However, providing extra services to the customers is not enough if these customers keep changing over time and the firms have to invest a lot of money and resources to attract new customers to use their products or services. Therefore, the firm should find a way to retain them all the time.

In the 1980s, when Berry introduced the term "Relationship Marketing," the idea in his mind is to encourage the firms to change their effort from getting new customers to an effort to retain them. The reason for his suggestion is that the costs to attract the new customers are higher than retaining the existing ones (Anderson & Fornell, 1994; Reichheld & Sasser, 1990). According to Bauer, Grether & Leach (2002), to win a new customer can be up to five times more expensive than maintaining existing customer relationships (Harrison-Walker & Neeley, 2004). In the long term, a series of studies shows that the longer the relationship with the customer, the higher the profit gained by the firm (Gummesson, 2002). Furthermore, the firms should devote all their resources and energy to maintain their current customers besides getting the new ones. In addition to that, with the new era of globalisation and information, competition becomes more intense. If firms fail to react according to these changes, it will make them lose their current and potential customers. In other words, the success of the firm depends on



how well they adopt new marketing approaches based on the situation and trying to hold the current customers rather than trying to get new ones.

Previously, service industries including airlines, banks, telecommunication, etc, were slow to adopt marketing concepts because they thought that they were in monopolistic industry where it would be difficult for new firm to enter. However, the situation has changed dramatically in the last few years with new firms joining the competition. For example, MAS is facing competition from low fare carrier, AirAsia, for certain domestic routes. To survive in this competition, the firms should understand their customers very well before offering any service. With the emergence of information technology, current customers are more knowledgeable and know what they want. In the case of AirAsia, they introduced on-line ticketing system, which is very convenient especially for the young or Internet savvy customers. This kind of technology gives customers more options to select the best service to fulfil their needs.

Furthermore, these service companies have to offer more than ordinary services to their customers in line with their needs and wants, by using either personal interaction (e.g., financial advisors, customer relations) or non-personal interaction (e.g., automatic teller machine (ATM), Internet), or both. All of these interactions are meant to attract and retain the customers. Therefore, the concept of relationship marketing becomes very important nowadays. The firm tries to build long-term relationship with their customers by understanding them, serving them, and making them loyal customers.

