



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**PERCEIVED BARRIERS FOR CAREER  
ADVANCEMENT OPPORTUNITIES AMONG LOCAL  
MANAGERS IN JAPANESE SUBSIDIARIES IN THE  
KLANG VALLEY**

**NEELA CHANDRAN**

**GSM 2003 10**

**PERCEIVED BARRIERS FOR CAREER ADVANCEMENT OPPORTUNITIES  
AMONG LOCAL MANAGERS IN JAPANESE SUBSIDIARIES IN THE  
KLANG VALLEY**

**By**

**NEELA CHANDRAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the Graduate School of Management, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Partial Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of  
Science**

**December 2003**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

**PERCEIVED BARRIERS FOR CAREER ADVANCEMENT OPPORTUNITIES  
AMONG LOCAL MANAGERS IN JAPANESE SUBSIDIARIES IN THE  
KLANG VALLEY**

By

**NEELA CHANDRAN**

**December 2003**

**Chairman: Raduan Che Rose, Ph.D**

**Faculty: Graduate School of Management**

This research empirically examines the extent to which perceived barriers to career advancement of local managers exists in Japanese subsidiaries in the Klang Valley. Japanese subsidiaries have a unique quality of high degree of centralization and this creates challenges when operating in a foreign country like Malaysia. These challenges create perceived barriers. Since these challenges are related to management directly, the local managers in Japanese subsidiaries are vulnerable to its influence.

The perceived barriers in this study were examined through an analysis of 317 local managers working in 41 Japanese subsidiaries in the Klang Valley. Six main perceived barriers were tested: 1) Access to power and decision making authority 2) Opportunities for Promotion 3) Benefits and Wages 4) Performance Appraisal 5) Feedback and 6) Stereotype and Discrimination.

The investigation was based on a quantitative method of data collection using a validated questionnaire. All the subsidiaries involved were from the manufacturing and

non-manufacturing sector from the Klang Valley.

Overall findings suggest that perceived barriers, as described in most literature, does indeed exist in Japanese subsidiaries in Malaysia and this hinders local managers career advancement opportunities. There is dualism between Japanese managers and local managers in terms of the way they are treated. Local managers' chances of promotion to higher positions are limited. This is due to an unofficial ceiling on promotion, preventing local managers from climbing to upper-level positions.

By confirming many of the findings of research on perceived barriers of Japanese subsidiaries, especially in developing countries, this study demonstrates that while local managers are in the Japanese subsidiary, they have to work harder than their Japanese counterparts, to overcome the stereotype that local managers are too inferior to hold higher management positions.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**HALANGAN-HALANGAN DIPERSEPSIKAN UNTUK PENINGKATAN  
KERJAYA DI KALANGAN PARA PENGURUS TEMPATAN YANG BEKERJA  
DI ANAK-ANAK SYARIKAT JEPUN DI LEMBAH KLANG**

Oleh

**NEELA CHANDRAN**

**Disember 2003**

**Pengerusi: Raduan Che Rose, Ph.**

**Fakulti: Sekolah Pengajian Siswazah Pengurusan**

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji secara empirikal, tahap kewujudan halangan-halangan yang dipersepsikan, dalam peningkatan kerjaya di kalangan para pengurus tempatan yang bekerja di anak-anak syarikat Jepun di Lembah Klang. Anak-anak syarikat Jepun mempunyai ciri unik iaitu tahap pemusatan kuasa yang tinggi. Ciri ini didapati memberi cabaran semasa beroperasi di negara-negara asing seperti di Malaysia. Cabaran ini didapati menghasilkan halangan-halangan yang dipersepsikan. Cabaran ini berkaitan dengan pihak pengurusan maka didapati para pengurus tempatan tidak dapat mengelakkan diri daripada pengaruh cabaran berkenaan.

Halangan-halangan yang dipersepsikan dalam kajian ini telah diselidik melalui analisis sejumlah 317 pengurus tempatan yang bekerja di 41 anak-anak syarikat Jepun di Lembah Klang. Sejumlah enam halangan yang dipersepsikan telah dikaji iaitu: 1) Ekses ke pihak berkuasa dan kuasa untuk membuat keputusan 2) Peluang peningkatan

promosi 3) Gaji dan ganjaran 4) Penilaian prestasi 5) Maklumbalas dan 6) Stereotaip dan Diskriminasi.

Penyelidikan ini menggunakan metodologi kuantitatif di mana pengumpulan data telah dibuat menggunakan borang soal-selidik yang telah disahkan. Anak-anak syarikat Jepun yang dikaji adalah dari sektor pembuatan dan sektor bukan pembuatan yang terletak di Lembah Klang.

Penemuan-penemuan penyelidikan menunjukkan halangan-halangan yang dipersepsikan ini sememangnya wujud di anak-anak syarikat Jepun di Malaysia dan ianya menjadi halangan terhadap peluang peningkatan kerjaya para pengurus tempatan. Terdapat dualisme dalam cara layanan terhadap para pengurus Jepun dan pengurus tempatan. Didapati peluang untuk promosi ke jawatan-jawatan tinggi bagi pengurus tempatan adalah terhad. Ini adalah akibat kewujudan halangan 'bumbung' tidak rasmi yang tidak membenarkan pengurus-pengurus tempatan naik ke jawatan yang tertinggi.

Penyelidikan ini dapat mengesahkan kebanyakan daripada penemuan yang didapati dalam kajian-kajian lepas, berkaitan kewujudan halangan-halangan dipersepsikan di anak-anak syarikat Jepun dan di negara-negara membangun. Di samping itu, kajian ini mendapati bahawa para pengurus tempatan mesti berusaha lebih keras berbanding para pengurus Jepun untuk mengatasi stereotaip bahawa pengurus tempatan tidak mempunyai kebolehan memegang jawatan tinggi.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

To God, Who loves me and Who gives me strength and has never left my side in my time of need. To Him I owe this honour of successfully completing this thesis. Without him by my side through this journey of life nothing would be complete or would have meaning.

To my mother, Mrs. Sarojini Lydia, you are an Angel of God. You are the best mother a girl could ever ask for. You have always been there for all three of your children and even sacrificed so much of yourself so we could get the things and education we wanted. You are always praying for the safety and the best for your children as well as our protection. We owe everything to you; deservingly you are given this honour. The person I am today is all thanks to you. Therefore I dedicate this thesis to you, because without you I wouldn't have reached thus far. Thank you Amma, I love you.

To my two beautiful sisters, Sham and Nimi, thank you for supporting me and being there to make me laugh when things got a bit too stressful. Thank you for making fun of me and laughing with me. Mostly thank you for loving me and accepting me just as I am, your crazy elder sister.

To Cessie, my partner in crime, who more than anyone would know what we went through to get our thesis done. Thank you for your support Ce, so many times when I was down and wanted to quit, you pulled me up with your encouragement. I don't think



doing my Masters without you by my side would have been as much fun. I learnt a lot from you while exchanging ideas, as they say two minds are better than one; in this case it is true. This journey's up's and downs was fun, stressful and full of laughs because I had you by my side to share it with me and I thank God for that. I can't imagine it going any other way.

To my lecturers and supervisors, Dr Raduan Che Rose and Dr. Murali Sambasivan, thank you for all your guidance and your valuable feedback that helped me produce this piece of work. Thank you for correcting me when I was wrong and thank you for your views and ideas on different matters. I could not have asked for better mentors to guide me.

In developing this study, I received support from various organizations and from the 41 companies and local managers. Thank you for participating without you this study would not even exist.

Thank you to my friends and their families, who did so much directly and indirectly to help me do my thesis. Thank you Uncle Thinakaran and Aunty Uma for giving me new ideas when my mind was clouded and letting me use your printer and computer endlessly. Thank you for your love of treating me like your own daughter and your kindness and generosity, which knows no bounds. Thank you Aunty Theresa, Cessie's mum who kept me in her prayers as well, like my own mother would have.



Thank you to all my friends, Morton, Sham, Nimi, Angie, Shan, Chris, Jeremy, Bala, Dhinesh, Olive, Kuhan, Neil, Navin, Rueban, Sulvin, Ganesh, Cellent, Ronald and everyone else who kindly drove us to campus and back so we would not have to take the train. Thank you, my housemates, Prashantini, Olive, Vani, Nalini and Usha for your support. Conrad and Jerry thank you for assisting me with my questionnaire.

Thank you all for your help and inspiration. All of you played a part in my success, every time I needed a friend someone was there. Thank you for your time and your goodness and love for me. No one could ask for a better set of friends.

Thank you Dhinesh for being my best friend, and always being there for me in everything and in every way although you tend to bully me but I know it's because you love me. Thank you for being there when I'm down and motivating me and cheering me up and just being crazy with me. It helped keep my sanity at times when I was stressed out or worried.

Last but not least, thank you Ganesh, who was a source of strength and encouragement and kept me focused. Thank you for taking the time and trouble to proof read my thesis even when you were so busy with work and had no time for yourself. You inspired me but never pushed me, were very gentle yet persuading. Thank you, for letting me do things in my own time and always supporting me through every step and for loving me just as I am. Thank you for being in my life. You are God-sent, you are the best.




I certify that an Examination Committee met on 29<sup>th</sup> October 2003 to conduct the final examination of Neela Chandran on her Master of Science thesis entitled “Perceived Barriers For Career Advancement Opportunities Among Local Managers in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Arfah Salleh, Ph.D**  
Associate Professor  
Graduate School Of Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairperson)

**Shaari Md. Nor, Ph.D**  
Lecturer  
College of Business Management  
Universiti Tenaga Nasional  
(External Examiner)

**Azizan Asmuni, Ph.D**  
Lecturer  
Faculty of Educational Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Raduan Che Rose, Ph.D**  
Lecturer  
Graduate School of Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Representative of Supervisory  
Committee/ Observer)



---

**ARFAH SALLEH, Ph.D**  
Associate Professor/Deputy Dean  
Graduate School of Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 19/2/04

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Raduan Che Rose, Ph.D**

Lecturer

Graduate School of Management

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

**Murali Sambasivan Ph.D**

Lecturer

Graduate School of Management

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)



---

**ZAINAL ABIDIN KIDAM**

Associate Professor/Dean


Graduate School of Management

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 /03/04

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.



---

**NEELA CHANDRAN**

Date: 29/12/04

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
ABSTRACT	2
ABSTRAK	4
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	6
APPROVAL	9
DECLARATION	11
LIST OF TABLES	12
LIST OF FIGURES	14
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b> 15
	Background of the Study 17
	Japanese Culture on Foreign Subsidiaries 17
	Japanese Cultural Control 17
	Human Resource Management 19
	Transfer of Managers 19
	Problem Statement 22
	Japanese Subsidiaries 22
	Perceived Barriers 24
	Research Questions 25
	Objectives of Study 25
	General Objectives 25
	Specific Objectives 26
	Significance of Study 26
	Limitations of the Study 28
	Definition of Terms 29
	Conclusion 30
<b>II</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>
	Introduction 31
	Overview 32
	Japanese MNCs 35
	Japanese MNCs in Malaysia 38
	The Transfer of Japanese Style HRM in Malaysia 39
	Local Managers in Japanese Subsidiaries in Malaysia 40
	Factors Affecting Career Advancement for Local Managers in Japanese Subsidiaries 44
	Conclusion 57

III	<b>RESEARCH METHODOLOGY</b>	
	Introduction	58
	Theoretical Framework and Hypotheses	58
	Descriptive Study	69
	Methods of Data Collection	70
	Data Collection Strategy	70
	Phase 1: Preparation for Data Collection and Pilot Study	70
	Phase 2: Preparatory Work for the Main Data Collection Activity	74
	Phase 3: Data Collection Process	79
	Conclusion	81
IV	<b>DATA ANALYSIS AND INTERPRETATION</b>	
	Introduction	82
	Data Analysis Process	82
	Cleaning the Data	82
	Data Analysis and Interpretation	82
	Preliminary Analyses	83
	Reliability Analysis	83
	Validity Analysis	88
	Frequency Distribution of the Profile of Local Managers and Japanese Subsidiaries Surveyed	91
	Conclusion	100
V	<b>HYPOTHESIS TESTING, FINDINGS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	
	Introduction	101
	Part One: Perceived Barriers in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley	102
	Analysis and Findings: Perceived Barriers and Local Managers Career Advancement Opportunities in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley	102
	Discussions: Perceived Barriers and Local Managers Career Advancement Opportunities in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley	104
	Part Two: Demographic Factors and Perceived Barriers	115
	Analysis and Findings: Demographic Factors and its Influence on Perceived Barriers faced by Local Managers to Career Advancement	115
	Discussions: Demographic Factors and its Influence on Perceived Barriers faced by Local Managers to Career Advancement	119

Part Three: Organizational Factors and Perceived Barriers	122
Analysis and Findings: Organizational Factors and its Influence on Perceived Barriers faced by Local Managers to Career Advancement	122
Discussions: Organizational Factors and its Influence on Perceived Barriers faced by Local Managers to Career Advancement	125
Part Four: Stereotype and Discrimination and the Number of Local Managers in Higher Management Positions in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley	126
Analysis and Findings: Stereotype and Discrimination and Local Managers in Higher Management Positions in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley	126
Discussions: Stereotype and Discrimination and the Number of Local Managers in Higher Management Positions in Japanese Subsidiaries in the Klang Valley	128
Conclusions	129
VI SUGGESTIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	
Introduction	130
Suggestions and Recommendations	131
Summary and Conclusions	135
Areas for Future Research	138
REFERENCES	142
APPENDICES	154
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR	195

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Title Of Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
Table 2.1	Reasons for Selection of Local and Expatriate Managers	34
Table 2.2	Managerial Structure According to Functions	41
Table 3.1	Description of each Section in the Survey Questionnaire	72
Table 3.2	Japanese Related Companies in Malaysia	75
Table 3.3	Statistics on the Results of Companies Involved	77
Table 3.4	Statistics on Results of Questionnaire Distribution	78
Table 4.1	Reliability Coefficients, Means and Standard Deviations for Perceived Barriers	85
Table 4.2	The Breakdown of Turnover Rate	88
Table 4.3	Validity Coefficients of RMSEA and GFI for Variables Involved.	90
Table 4.4.1	Number of Years in Operation	91
Table 4.4.2	Type of Ownership	92
Table 4.4.3	Number of Employees (Size)	92
Table 4.4.4	Number of Japanese Managers	93
Table 4.4.5	Number of Local Managers	93
Table 4.4.6	Ways of Recruiting	94
Table 4.4.7	Selection Process	94
Table 4.4.8	Gender	95
Table 4.4.9	Marital Status	95
Table 4.4.10	Race	96
Table 4.4.11	Age	96





Table 4.4.12	Academic Background	97
Table 4.4.13	Level Of Management	97
Table 4.4.14	Income	97
Table 4.4.15	Communication with Parent Company	98
Table 4.4.16	Frequency of Communication	98
Table 4.4.17	Years of Working Experience	99
Table 4.4.18	Years of Working Experience in Japanese Firm	99
Table 5.1	Multiple Regression Output	103
Table 5.2	Correlation Output for Independent variables	105
Table 5.3	Correlation Output for Career Advancement and Benefits and Wages	105
Table 5.4	ANOVA Output for Age	117
Table 5.5	ANOVA and Post Hoc Output for Stereotype and Discrimination against Number of Local Managers	127



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Title Of Figures</b>	<b>Page</b>
Figure 2.1	Positions Containing Structural Power	49
Figure 3.1	Schematic Diagram of Relationship between Variables	68



## CHAPTER I

### INTRODUCTION

The "miracle" of Japanese multinational's or even better known as Japanese multinationalism has been an issue of avid interest. It is only natural, if we consider the fact that Japanese companies came from nowhere not just to compete on an international level, but to dominate the world not only in terms of products, but in terms of production methods and management techniques (Kranias , 2001).

Going international is a common strategy and an essential step towards the future for companies that develop very fast in a degree that outgrows the size of their domestic market. Japanese companies could not avoid this flow and natural way of development (Tsuda, 1981). A few decades ago, they made the giant leap from total anonymity to fame and they showed an amazing growth rate. Today their presence is dominant in several fields of industrial production. This was not the only reason that led the Japanese to expand and venture into the overseas market (Yamawaki, 1991).

Dunning (1986) suggests three incentives for multinational companies to expand their value-adding activities in another country:

1. If they perceive their nationality of ownership or degree of multinationality, creates some kind of competitive advantage over indigenous firms (actual or potential) in the host country.



2. If they find it economical to exploit these advantages themselves, i.e. to internalize their use, rather than sell the right to do so to host country, via an arm's length transaction (e.g. a technical service agreement or management contract);
3. If they believe that it is in their global interest to produce at least part of the value-added service from a foreign location than a home location.

Dunning (1986) claims that ownership; internalization and location (OLI) advantages are the driving force for global expansion. Ozawa (1979) and Sachwald (1995) adapt this framework to the specific Japanese context and identify the reasons that lead the Japanese firms to globalization. Ozawa (1979) distinguishes both external and internal reasons:

1. The dependency of the Japanese production on foreign markets for the supply of raw material and the exports of production
2. The rise of environmental costs of industrialization at home
3. The increase in land prices and labor costs in Japan
4. The opportunities offered by several countries world-wide to attract Japanese capital
5. The rising protectionism against Japanese products in the world market and the rising yen.

Both internal and external incentives lead to the global expansion of the Japanese firms. The motives are classified in three basic types: natural resource-orientated, market-orientated and labor resource-orientated (Ozawa, 1972).

## **Background of the Study**

### **Japanese Culture on Foreign Subsidiaries**

The Japanese also spread their culture when they started going global. Culture is always an important aspect within an organization (Gapper, 1994). Its importance is further emphasized in the case of the Japanese multinational companies for several reasons. The most dominant of them is the simple fact that Japan is a unique country, fairly isolated from the rest of the world, which has developed an equally unique culture. By dominating the world business environment, this culture comes into the spotlight as a probable reason for the success of the Japanese multinational model and of course invokes a great deal of interest for practitioners and academicians around the world (Kranias, 2000).

This also explains how the culture affects the relationship between the Japanese parent companies and their subsidiaries overseas and the way that cultural requirements are transmitted to the periphery and the impact they have on the local culture as well as local managers. This influence is strong enough to be characterized as control and to map the limits of local autonomy after its application (Edlstrom and Galbraith, 1977).

### **Japanese Cultural Control**

Balliga and Jaeger (1984), note that cultural control is more common in Japanese firms. Ouchi (1981) suggests that culture is clan-like and identifies three elements that stem from it: trust, honesty and intimacy. All the organizational members are cultured and socialized towards a common set of societal values. Control stems from these common

values and is more implicit and informal rather than explicit and formal. Dunning (1986), in the same sense, identifies the imposition of the overall philosophy as one of the main sources of control. Oliver and Wilkinson (1988) claim that established attitudes, values and patterns of behavior have to be passed through all ranks of personnel to maintain unity. They all argue that the Japanese multinational companies try to control the subsidiaries through culture. Lee (1996) suggests that in Japanese organizations, sharing corporate values is the main means of control.

Balliga and Jaeger (1984) suggest that the features of Japanese management facilitate control. Elements like lifetime employment, consensual decision making and non-specialized career paths enable this process. Equally, the prospect of a long-term employment changes not only the attitude of the employee towards the company, but also the attitude of the company towards the employee. The company considers the employee an asset. Investing in the employee's socialization is in the interest of the company. Although Oliver and Wilkinson (1988) claim that such a procedure is time-consuming, the Japanese firms tend to insist on such a control system since lifetime employment provides abundance of time.

The frequent interaction of the organizational members through the consensual decision-making process also enables this function. Kranias (2001) claims that the frequent interaction facilitates the exchange of ideas and value sharing. Bartlett and Yoshihara (1988) believe the physical as well as the mental proximity is indispensable for the success of this type of control. Finally, Balliga and Jaeger (1984) claim that the

non-specialized career paths bring the employee closer to the overall organizational philosophy. Through rotation to different functional areas, the employee comes across the corporate ideology and the values of the organization as a whole.

### **Human Resource Management**

The use of cultural control has great implications on the selection, training and monitoring of the new organizational members. Selecting employees is critical. It demands thorough consideration, in order that the new members live up to the corporate expectations.

Graham (1995) claims that apart from the necessary skills for the job, the new members have to be sympathetic to the corporate values and ready to share the organizational philosophy. They have to be willing to accept the norms, ideology and behavioral prescriptions of the company. Dedousis (1994) argues that young employees with no previous experience are preferred to fill production positions.

This ensures the recycling of ideas and results in greater adaptability to the corporate philosophy at the same time. For example, young people are more likely to adjust to the spirit of teamwork of the Japanese companies. Furthermore, young employees are always more enthusiastic and the responsibility of supporting young families can also prove to be a strong incentive to work harder.

## **Transfer of Managers**

Bartlett and Yoshihara (1988), has shown that there are Japanese managers who know the company, its strategies and processes and act as the linkages in worldwide organizational process. Edlstrom and Galbraith (1977) conclude that this process creates international, interpersonal, verbal information networks throughout the firm, which are utilized for co-ordination and control. They identify three main reasons for the transfer of managers: first, it aims at filling positions, which the local management cannot cover successfully. Second, it provides the firm with managers who have long international experience. Finally, transfers could be a means of modifying or sustaining the structure and decision processes of the company.

In 1977, Edstrom and Galbraith found that the expatriates tend to be young professionals who consider this process as a good career opportunity or even as a good opportunity for an early retirement. They assume high hierarchical positions in the subsidiary and their aim is to implement the corporate philosophy. According to Kujawa (1986), this process is expected to ensure stronger and more direct control over the subsidiaries.

Edstrom and Galbraith (1977) identified two desired outcomes: First, the expatriates come closer to the national culture by speaking the language and learning the mentality of the host country. Second, their presence increases the commitment to the organization. Negandhi and Baliga (1981) argue that the presence of expatriates reduces the autonomy of the subsidiary. This is also consistent with the Japanese practice of



controlling the decision-making process, as suggested by Kujawa (1986), Dedoussis (1994) and Pucik (1994).

Kujawa (1986) has observed in his study that the US managers are excluded from the decision-making process. The expatriates make all the important decisions. According to Negandhi and Baliga (1981), this results in disappointment for the local staff who consider themselves powerless. This is one of the reasons that Dedoussis (1994) dichotomizes the local and the expatriate workforce in peripheral and core respectively. He says the core workforce enjoys all the privileges of the Japanese managers who work in the headquarters and control the decision-making process.

Edstrom and Galbraith (1977) claim that the frequency of the expatriate transfer should be taken into account too. The more frequent the transfers, the stronger the commitment to the organization and the willingness to sacrifice. Furthermore, the frequent transfers ensure limited social attachment to the host country, which can limit the ability of the expatriate to perform efficiently, his duties.

Apart from that, even the efficiency of this practice has gone under serious criticism. Bartlett and Yoshihara (1988) claim that it leads to a failure to respond successfully in terms of local demands and competitive environment. According to them, a culturally bounded, centralized, decision-making process appears to create serious problems. The same view is supported by Pucik (1994), he attributes the low level of profitability and the general failure of Japanese multinational companies to globalize the corporate