

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

MECHANICAL AND BALLISTIC RESISTANCE PROPERTIES OF A COCONUT SHELL POWDER EPOXY COMPOSITE (COEX) SUBJECTED TO IMPACT LOADS

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

FK 2009 84



MECHANICAL AND BALLISTIC RESISTANCE PROPERTIES OF A COCONUT SHELL POWDER EPOXY COMPOSITE (COEX) SUBJECTED TO IMPACT LOADS

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

March 2009



DEDICATION

First and foremost, I submit in humility and utmost gratitude to "Allah Subhana Wa Taala" for having giving me this opportunity and inspire me in fulfilling this thesis. Islam is a religion that strongly encourages its followers to gain and search for knowledge (as stated by Allah S.W.T in Surah Al - 'Alaq, verses 1-5):

"In the Name of Allah, The Most Gracious, Most Merciful. Read (O Muhammad) in the name of your Lord Who created, He created man from a clot; Read, and your Lord is Most Honorable, - Who taught (to write) with the pen, Taught man what he knew not."

Secondly, i would not be truly thankful also if i did not express gratitude towards my mum, Hafshah and my dad Mohd Sohaimi, who always taught me to chase the dreams: when I caught it, learn to live with it. I would like to fully thank them for the necessary contributions made especially during my current studies. My deepest gratitude and love goes to my beautiful wife, Siti Noor Adnalizawati, my sons, Danish Muqri, Harraz Zihni, Muhammad Faris Irfan and my daughter Nur Batrisyia. They are my inspirations and guiding light in everything I do. Many personal sacrifices they had endured for me in order to accomplish these works are greatly appreciated. They have been there through it all, the good and the bad and i am eternally thankful to Allah S.W.T for bequeathing me this family in my care.



Abstract of Thesis Presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MECHANICAL AND BALLISTIC RESISTANCE PROPERTIES OF A COCONUT SHELL POWDER EPOXY COMPOSITE (COEX) SUBJECTED

TO IMPACT LOADS

By

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

March 2009

Chairman: Associate Professor Wong Shaw Voon, PhD

Faculty:

Engineering

An armor design study has been carried out to determine the feasibility of utilizing a

coconut shell powder (CSP) reinforced composite as one of the protective component

in hard body armor application. Few experimental approaches have been carried out

to determine the physical and mechanical properties of coconut shell powder-epoxy

composite (COEX). The COEX composite panel bonded with Twaron CT716 fabric

as its spall liner (or COMBAT) was also subjected to ballistic tests at several

impacting velocity in order to determine the COEX armor ballistic resistance

capabilities at certain threat levels.

The physical properties of CSP-A (coarse grade) with low aspect ratio of 0.71, bulk

iii

density of 0.424 g/cm³ and broad particle size distribution were important factors in

the its selection as the best powder type for COEX specimen fabrication. This was due to its potential influences (based from the properties) of increasing the particle-matrix interfacial bonding in the COEX composite system. CSP-B (fine grade) and CSP-C (super fine grade) although possessing higher bulk density which can lead to better compaction, were not chosen due to its higher moisture content and aspect ratio. where these properties is expected to give a weak interfacial bonding for the composite system. These statements was proven in the mechanical testing (tensile, flexural, compression and hardness), where COEX-A (with CSP content of 50%) imparts the highest value in all mechanical properties. It had been found that the tensile, compressive and flexural strength of COEX-A was measured at 17.44 MPa, 100.05 MPa and 194.8 MPa respectively when compared to the other COEX configurations. The Rockwell hardness value for COEX-A was also found to be the highest compared to COEX-B and COEX-C. All these mechanical properties play a significant influence in the ballistic resistance capabilities of the COEX materials

Statistical models were developed using 2 level of Full Factorial Design method to predict the armor's impact resistance and blunt trauma depth using several parameters which are critical to the fabrication and ballistic testing of the COMBAT armor panel. The models were verified and showed good agreement with the actual laboratory test data. Finally, the for actual ballistic armor test of the COMBAT armor panels were tested according to NIJ Standard 0101.08 with 9 mm Full Metal jacket and 7.52 mm M-16 bullets. It was observed that the imprint patterns on the COEX materials could be identified according to the effectiveness in impact energy dissipation. COMBAT test panels were found to withstand impact equivalent to NIJ Level III of 7.62



mm FMJ bullet impacts. Test results showed that COEX panel do possess shock absorbance characteristics and can be utilized as an armor component in the hard body armor system. Dependency on the numbers of Twaron fabric layers as ballistic reinforcements had been reduced up to 3 times with 170 % improvement on energy absorption capabilities when using COEX composite as the frontal component of the armor.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

SIFAT-SIFAT MEKANIKAL DAN RINTANGAN BALISTIK KOMPOSIT SERBUK TEMPURUNG KELAPA-EPOKSI (COEX) APABILA

DIKENAKAN BEBANAN IMPAK

Oleh

RISBY MOHD SOHAIMI

Mac 2009

Profesor Madya Wong Shaw Voon ,Ph.D. Pengerusi

Fakulti Kejuruteraan :

Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menentukan keupayaan penggunaan komposit

serbuk tempurung kelapa (CSP) yang akan digunakan sebagai salah satu daripada

komponen dalam aplikasi perisai pelindungan keras. Suatu pendekatan secara ujikaji

telah dipilih untuk menentukan keupayaan ringtangan dan respon balistik komposit

serbuk kelapa (COEX) yang dilapisi dengan fabrik Twaron CT716 (atau COMBAT)

pada tahap ancaman yang spesifik apabila dikenakan hentaman berkelajuan tinggi.

Sifat-sifat fizikal CSP-A (gred kasar) dengan nisbah aspek yang rendah iaitu 0.71;

ketumpatan pukal sebanyak 0.424 g/cm³ dan saiz taburan partikel yang luas adalah

factor penting dalam pemilihan CSP-A sebagai serbuk yang terbaik dalam proses

pembuatan COEX disebabkan oleh ia memepunyai potensi untuk meningkat ikatan

vi

partikel-matrik dalam system komposit tersebut. CSP-B (gred halus) and CSP-C (gred super halus), walaupun mempunyai ketumpatan pukal yang tinggi dimana akan memberikan kemampatan yang tinggi, tidak terpilih disebabkan oleh kandungan air dan nilai nisbah aspek yang juga tinggi. Ini akan menyebabkan ikatan antara muka yang lemah dalam sistem komposit. Ini telah terbukti dalam ujikaji mekanikal (tegangan, mampatan, lenturan dan kekerasan), dimana COEX-A (dengan kandungan CSP sebanyak 50%) menunjukkan sifat mekanikal yang paling baik. Daripada keputusan ujikaji-ujikaji yang telah dijalankan, didapati bahawa kekuatan tegangan, mampatan dan lenturan bagi COEX-A adalah 11.44 MPa, 100.05 MPa dan 194.8 MPa. Kekerasan Rockwell skala R yang diukur pada COEX-A adalah juga yang terbaik diantara konfigurasi COEX yang lain. Kesemua sifat-sifat mekanikal ini memainkan peranan penting dalam keupayaan rintangan balistik bahan COEX tersebut.

Model statistik telah dibangunkan untuk menganggarkan rintangan impak dan trauma tumpul perisai pelindung tersebut dengan menggunakan beberapa parameter yang dianggap kritikal kepada fabrikasi dan ujikaji balistik panel COEX/Twaron tersebut. Panel COEX/Twaron didapati boleh menahan impak yang menggunakan peluru 9 mm pada tahap NIJ IIIA tetapi tembus dengan impak peluru M-16 7.62mm pada tahap NIJ III . Hasil ujian menunjukkan bahawa panel COEX/Twaron juga mempunyai sifat-sifat penyerap kejutan dan boleh digunakan sebagai komponen dalam sistem baju kalis peluru. Pengantungan terhadap bilangan lapisan fabrik Twaron sebagai tetulang balistik telah berjaya dikurangkan tiga kali ganda dengan penambahbaikan keupayaan tenaga penyerapan sebanyak 170 peratus dengan menggunakan komposite COEX sebagai komponen hadapan untuk perisai.



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A research work of this nature is generally a teamwork effort which requires assistance, cooperation and support from various parties to achieve its objectives. My profound thanks go to my chairman, Associate Professor Dr. Wong Shaw Voon for his assistance and guidance throughout the course of this study.

I would like to record my appreciation for the valuable comments and guidance given by co-supervisor Professor Dr. Abdel Magid Hamouda for his patience and expertise in guiding me to accomplish what I have started in UPM. His vast experience, friendship and wisdom have made major impact on the progress of this research work. I thank also Dr. Elsadig Mahdi, for his constructive arguments and innovative ideas towards the progress of this study.

Special thanks and gratitude to Mr. Khairul Azmi Md Rezali and Mr. Amjad Hanesh, for their help and assistance in the development of this research work. Mr. Khairul Azmi have contributed much in the fabrication stage which I am very indebted for his diligent and workmanship whereas Mr. Amjad Hanesh have helped me to develop the BANG-SYSTEMS and enriched me with his knowledge and ideas.

I wish to thank all the faculty of engineering staffs and technicians who participate in my work from the Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering department for their kind assistance during the design and laboratory works .Many thanks to all the ITMA staffs and researchers without exclusion who I enjoyed very much for their assistance during the course of my study.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 27th March 2008 to conduct the final examination of Risby bin Mohd Sohaimi on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Mechanical and Ballistic Resistance Properties of a Coconut Shell Powder Epoxy Composite (COEX) Subjected to Impact Loads" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Sapuan Salit, Phd

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Berkawi Shaari, Phd

Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Ahmad Samsuri, Phd

Associate Professor Faculty of Engineering Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Radzali Othman, Phd

Professor School of Material and Mineral Engineering Universiti Sains Malaysia (External Examiner)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor/Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Wong Shaw Voon, Ph.D.

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Abdel Magid Saleem Hammuda, Ph.D.

Professor Fakulti Kejuruteraan Mekanikal Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Elsadig Mahdi, Ph.D.

Fakulti Kejuruteraan Aeroangkasa Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17th July 2009



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

RISBY BIN MOHD SOHAIMI

Date: 27th March 2009



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | e Pa | age |
|--------|---|-----|
| 2.1 | Shear, delamination and fibre fracture in hemp com.posites under ballistic impact (Wambua <i>et al.</i> , 2005). | 21 |
| 2.2 | Front and back surfaces of 37 layer plain and satin weave laminates (Wen <i>et al.</i> , 2001). | 24 |
| 2.3 | Comparison of the remaining ceramic tile after impact for an epoxy adhesive layer of thicknesses of (a) 0.1mm and (b) 1.1mm (Navarro <i>et al.</i> , 2005). | 26 |
| 2.4 | (a) Configuration at the end of first phase (b) phenomenological description of the second phase (Chocron Benloulo <i>et al.</i> , 1998). | 29 |
| 2.5 | Development of fracture and axial cracks in a ceramic faced lightweight armor (Wilkins, 1968). | 30 |
| 2.6 | Front views of the test armor samples using alumina tiles and Al2024 alloy backing plate after impact of 7.62mm projectile (Mustafa Ubeyli <i>et al.</i> , 2008) | 31 |
| 2.7 | Transverse effect to single fiber subject to impact (Cunniff, 1992a). | 32 |
| 2.8 | Sphere impacting single ply of fabric (a) side view, (b) top view of z displacement contours and (c) bottom view showing principal yarns under high stress (Duan <i>et al.</i> , 2006). | 34 |
| 2.9 | Cone formation during ballistic impact on the back face of the composite targets (Morye et al., 2000). | 36 |
| 2.10 | Damage propagation in the composite laminate during ballistic event (Morye et al., 2000). | 37 |
| 2.11 | Schematic showing plug formation (Lee and Sun, 1993). | 40 |
| 2.12 | Florence's model of ceramic backed with composite backing armor (Florence, 1969). | 42 |
| 3.1 | Flow chart outlining the overall research methodology | 53 |
| 3.2 | The process flow of the bio-based composite development | 56 |
| 3 3 | The process flow of the high velocity impact testing methodology | 57 |



| 3.4 | The process flow of the ballistic testing system and apparatus development methodology. | 60 |
|------|--|-----------|
| 3.5 | a) Section and b) top view of a coconut shell | 61 |
| 3.6 | (1)Shell Conveyor (2)Primary Crusher (3)Blower (4)Conveying Pipe Line (5)Storage Bin (6)Secondary Crusher (7)Secondary Return Line (8)Over Ret Line (9) Cyclone Collector (10) Dust Collector/Bagging unit | urn 63 |
| 3.7 | Image from SEM micrograph analyzed using AnalySIS FIVE software | 68 |
| 3.8 | Characteristic dimensions used to calculate aspect ratio, roundness, and irregularity | 68 |
| 3.9 | Diagram for mold cavity design estimation based from Florence Model | 72 |
| 3.10 | Graphical drawing of (a) outer surface (b) inner surface of the tile format mold | 73 |
| 3.11 | Graphical drawing of (a) outer surface (b) inner surface of the curvature format mold | 73 |
| 3.12 | The process flow of the bio-based composite development. | 75 |
| 3.13 | (a) Tile format mold (b) Curvature format mold prior to compression process using the press machine | 77 |
| 3.14 | (a) Tile format mold (b) Curvature format mold during compression process the press machine | by 77 |
| 3.15 | Cured specimen (a) Tile format of COEX (b) Curvature format of COEX | 77 |
| 3.16 | Justification of the important of spall liner in ceramic armor | 78 |
| 3.17 | (a) TWARON fabric (b) pieces of small cut TWARON fabric for COEX tile | 79 |
| 3.18 | (a) TWARON fabric pasted at the COEX backface (b) COMBAT ARMOR pactovered using ballistic grade rayon cloth | nel 80 |
| 3.19 | COMBAT ARMOR inserted inside a conventional bullet proof jacket. | 80 |
| 3.20 | The process flow of the laboratory ballistic test operation | 90 |
| 3.21 | Schematic of ballistic test setup following the NIJ standard | 91 |



| 3.22 | (a) SMG-Sub Sterling Gun (b) 9mm Full Metal Jacketed Round Nose bullet | 92 |
|------|--|-----|
| 3.23 | (a) Clamping of TWARON panel (b) Target provision for COEX/Twaron panel. | 94 |
| 4.1 | Schematic of Gun Operation | 103 |
| 4.2 | Typical Load vs. time history curve | 105 |
| 4.3 | Load and energy history of impact testing | 106 |
| 4.4 | Overview of the compressed gas gun | 107 |
| 4.5 | Schematic diagram of gun assembly | 108 |
| 4.6 | First version of gas gun pressure system component | 110 |
| 4.7 | Modified gas gun pressure system | 110 |
| 4.8 | First version impact box and base of the gas gun | 112 |
| 4.9 | Improved impact box and base design for the gas gun (side view) | 113 |
| 4.10 | Improved impact box and base design for the gas gun (a) rear view (b) Base plate view | 114 |
| 4.11 | (a) Ogival nose shape (b) Hemispherical nose shape (c) Flat nose shape-projectile | 116 |
| 4.12 | Graphical interface of the PTV program | 118 |
| 4.13 | Block diagram of relay designed for the 240AC solenoid valve | 119 |
| 4.14 | Block diagram for the triggering solenoid valve circuit | 120 |
| 4.15 | Graphical interface of the Gagescope software | 121 |
| 4.16 | (a) Dome camera (b) Pan/Tilt/Zoom camera installed to observed experimentation at the ballistic testing room | 122 |
| 4.17 | Schematic diagram for the proposed REMOTE system | 123 |
| 4.18 | REMOTE subprogram main program (using Visual Basic) | 124 |
| 4.19 | REMOTE subprogram integrated into PTV-Remote (PTVR) main program (using LabView) | 124 |



| 4.20 | Comparison graph between the projectile theoretical velocity values and the mean projectile measured velocity | 126 |
|------|---|--------------|
| 4.21 | Load vs time history of conical nose projectile at 10cm x 10 cm aluminium of various impacting velocity | plate 128 |
| 4.22 | Energy vs time history curves of type of conical shape projectile nose shape impact on 10 cm x 10 cm aluminium plate at various impacting velocity. | 128 |
| 4.23 | Load vs. time history of conical and hemispherical nose projectile impact at average 220 m/s of impacting velocity. | 130 |
| 4.24 | .Energy vs. time history of conical and hemispherical nose projectile impact average 220 m/s of impacting velocity | at 130 |
| 4.25 | Aluminium plate impacted with cone nose projectile at 221 m/s impacting Velocity | 132 |
| 4.26 | Aluminium plate impacted with cone nose projectile at 221 m/s impacting Velocity | 132 |
| 4.27 | Laboratory scale Blunt Trauma Box | 133 |
| 5.1 | CSP particle size distribution for different types of powder grain size | 136 |
| 5.2 | SEM micrograph of CSP-A particles at 300x magnification | 137 |
| 5.3 | SEM micrograph of CSP-C particles at 300x magnification | 138 |
| 5.4 | SEM micrograph of CSP-A particles at 1,000x magnification | 138 |
| 5.5 | SEM micrograph of CSP-C particles at 1,000x magnification | 139 |
| 5.6 | SEM micrograph of CSP-A particles of 300 Mesh at 10,000x magnification | 140 |
| 5.7 | SEM micrograph of CSP-C particles of 100 Mesh at 10,000x magnification | 140 |
| 5.8 | SEM micrograph of oak particles at 50x magnification (Clemons, 2005). | 141 |
| 5.9 | SEM micrograph of maple wood flour at 149000x magnification (Clemons, 2005). | 142 |
| 5.10 | SEM micrograph of coir fiber at 250x magnification (Tomzak <i>et al.</i> ,2000). | 143 |



| 5.11 | SEM micrograph (tranverse view) of coir fiber at 1700x magnification (Tomzak <i>et al.</i> ,2000). | 143 |
|------|--|-----|
| 5.12 | SEM micrograph (tranverse view) of coir fiber at 300x magnification (Tomzak <i>et al.</i> ,2000). | 144 |
| 5.13 | Graphical illustration of COEX fabrication process | 151 |
| 5.14 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (50% CSP) at 300x magnification | 153 |
| 5.15 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-B specimen (50% CSP) at 300x magnification | 153 |
| 5.16 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-C specimen (50% CSP) at 300x magnification | 154 |
| 5.17 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (60% CSP) at 300x magnification | 155 |
| 5.18 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (50% CSP) at 10,000x magnification | 156 |
| 5.19 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-B specimen (50% CSP) at 10,000x magnification | 153 |
| 5.20 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-C specimen (50% CSP) at 10,000x magnification | 157 |
| 5.21 | SEM micrograph of polished COEX-A specimen (60% CSP) at 10,000x magnification | 157 |
| 5.22 | Tensile stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 77 MPa loading | 159 |
| 5.23 | Tensile stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 385 MPa loading | 159 |
| 5.24 | Tensile stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% and 60% CSP) at various loading conditions. | 161 |
| 5.25 | Tested COEX samples failure mode (shear) after compression testing | 162 |
| 5.26 | Compressive stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 77 MPa | 163 |



| 5.27 | Compressive stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 385 MPa loading | 164 |
|--------|---|--------------|
| 5.28 | Compressive stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% and 60% CSP) at var loading conditions. | ious 165 |
| 5.29 | Flexural stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 77 MPa loading | 167 |
| 5.30 | Flexural stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% CSP) at 385 MPa loading | 168 |
| 5.31 | Flexural stress vs. strain history for COEX (50% and 60% CSP) at various loading conditions. | 170 |
| 6.1 | Sample of COEX specimens for DOE testing | 181 |
| 6.2 | Graphical representation of the half normal plot for the impact resistance phathe composite armor panel | se o: 184 |
| 6.3 | Normal plot of residuals for impact phase analysis | 187 |
| 6.4(a) | Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 188 |
| 6.4(b) | Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 1 189 |
| 6.5(a) | Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting | 190 |
| ` ′ | Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting | 190 |
| 6.6(a) | Effect graph on CD (Mean COEX thickness and number of TWARON fabric plies at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 191 |
| 6.6(b) | Effect graph on CD (Mean COEX thickness and number of TWARON layer at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | rs) 192 |
| 6.7 | Effect of graph DE (TWARON number of layers and Mean projectile impacting velocity) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 193 |
| 6.8 | Graphical representation of the half normal plot for the blunt trauma effect of the composite armor specimens | of 194 |



| 6.9 | Normal plot of residuals for blunt trauma effect | 197 |
|--------|---|------------|
| 6.10(a |) Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 198 |
| 6.10(b | Effect graph on C (Mean thickness of the COEX specimens) at a maximize factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | ed 199 |
| 6.11(a |) Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting | 200 |
| 6.11(b | Effect graph on D (Mean number of layers of the COEX specimens) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting | 200 |
| 6.12(a |) Effect graph on CE (Mean COEX thickness and projectile impacting velocity) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 201 |
| 6.12(b | Effect graph on CE (Mean COEX thickness and projectile impacting velocity) at a maximized factors (indicated on the left region) level setting | 202 |
| 6.13 | Effect of graph DE (TWARON number of layers and Mean projectile impacting velocity) at a balanced factors (indicated on the left region) level setting. | 203 |
| 6.14 | Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limits TW5 and TW15 using 9mm FMJ ammunition. | for 209 |
| 6.15 | (a) Front face (b) Back face of the TW5 subjected to 9mm FMJ bullet impact at various speeds. | t 209 |
| 6.16 | (a) Front face (b) Back face of the TW15 subjected to 9mm FMJ bullet impa at various speeds. | ect 210 |
| 6.17 | Fiber shearing and delamination within the Twaron fabric ply upon bullet impact. | 211 |
| 6.18 | Damage area similar to projectile diameter due to shearing effect during penetration process. | 211 |
| 6.19 | Representation of global deformation in low velocity impact and local deformation in ballistic velocity impact high velocity impact. | 212 |
| 6.20 | Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit f CTW5 panel using 9mm ammunition (no perforation occurred). | or 213 |



| 6.21 | (a) CTW5 panel front face (b) CTW5 panel backface after 9mm FMJ bullet impact at various speeds. | 214 |
|------|---|------------|
| 6.22 | (Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit CTW5 panel using 9mm ammunition (no perforation occurred) | for 215 |
| 6.23 | a) CTW15 panel front face (b) CTW15 panel backface after 9mm FMJ bulle impact at various speeds. | et 216 |
| 6.24 | Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit to CTW15A panel using 7.62 and 9mm ammunition. | for 217 |
| 6.25 | (a) CVW15 panel front face (b) CVW15 panel backface after 9mm FMJ bullet impact at various speeds. | 218 |
| 6.26 | (a) CVW15 panel frontface (b) CVW15 panel after to 9mm FMJ bullet impat various speeds. | act 220 |
| 6.27 | Graphical representation of data points used to determine the ballistic limit for CVW15A panel using 9mm ammunition. | 220 |
| 6.28 | Typical ballistic impact damage imprints on brittle type hard armor with a back plate | 221 |
| 6.29 | Damage observation of CTW15 (a) Front face (b) Close-up view of deform projectile in multi-hits event (c) Close-up of deformed 9mm ammunition bullets in a single COEX tile cell. | ned 223 |
| 6.30 | Damage observation of CTW5A (a) Front face (b) Close up of COEX chur impacted by 9mm projectile (c) Close-up semi deformed 9mm ammunition bullet via mushrooming effect. | |
| 6.31 | Damage observation of CVW15A (a) Front face (b) Close-up view of COEX panel area in multi-hits event (c) Close-up conoid fracture initiated from bullet impact. | 225 |
| 6.32 | Damage observation of CVW15 (a) Front face (b) Close-up view of deform projectile in multi-hits event (c) Close-up spall liner with fiber tearing damage. | ned 225 |
| 7.1 | (a) Waste Kevlar honeycomb core (b) Typical COEX tile. | 231 |
| 7.2 | Hybridization of Kevlar honeycomb core and COEX tile. | 231 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | I | Page |
|-------|--|--------|
| 1.1 | Total number of solved aggressive crime cases in Malaysia 2000-2006 (Royal Malaysian Police Website, 2006) | l 6 |
| 2.1 | Typical ceramic armor mechanical properties (Salamé and Quefelec,2006). | 15 |
| 2.2 | Tensile properties of typical armor-grade fibers (Song,2006). | 17 |
| 2.3 | Types of phenomenological experiments (Jonas and Zukas,1978) | 47 |
| 2.4 | Characterization of Testing Techniques; Armor-material characterization experiments (Jonas and Zukas,1978) | 48 |
| 3.1 | Coconut shell powder composition and comparison with other works | 62 |
| 3.2 | Test samples classification for NIJ Standard testing | 94 |
| 4.1 | Comparison between the First and Improved Design of the Pressure System | 111 |
| 4.2 | Comparison between the First and Improved Design of the Impact Box | 115 |
| 4.3 | Test results of 4mm thick aluminum plate at several impacting velocities | 129 |
| 4.4 | Test results of 4mm thick aluminum plate at different projectile nose shapes | 131 |
| 5.1 | Particle sizes of coconut shell powders received samples. | 136 |
| 5.2 | Values of CSP particles shape parameters from image analysis | 146 |
| 5.3 | Values of various types of CSP bulk density and moisture content | 148 |
| 5.4 | Mass Density and Volume Fraction of tested COEX specimen of 50% CSP mixing ratio | 150 |
| 5.5 | Mass Density and Volume Fraction of tested COEX-A specimen of different mixing ratio | 152 |
| 5.6 | Tensile properties of COEX specimens of different particles size | 160 |
| 5.7 | Tensile properties of COEX-A specimens at different mixing ratio | 161 |



| 5.8 | Compressive properties of COEX s specimens of different particles size | 164 |
|------|---|-----|
| 5.9 | Compressive properties of COEX s specimens of different particles size | 165 |
| 5.10 | Flexural properties of COEX-A specimens at different mixing ratio | 168 |
| 5.11 | Flexural properties of COEX specimens at different mixing ratio | 170 |
| 5.12 | Rockwell Hardness values for specimens at different mixing ratio | 172 |
| 5.13 | Rockwell Hardness values for various type of COEX samples | 172 |
| 6.1 | Input parameters and output responses for full factorial experimentation | 180 |
| 6.2 | Selected input parameters and output responses for full factorial experimentation | 180 |
| 6.3 | Design matrix for 25 of 32 runs | 182 |
| 6.4 | ANOVA for the impact resistance level analysis | 186 |
| 6.5 | ANOVA for the blunt trauma depth analysis | 196 |
| 6.6 | Experimental Validation of IRL and BTD Prediction Models | 207 |
| 6.7 | Test samples classification for NIJ Standard testing | 212 |



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| DEDICATION | ii |
|---|------|
| ABSTRACT | iii |
| ABSTRAK | vi |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | vii |
| APROVAL SHEETS | ix |
| DECLARATION FORM | xi |
| TABLE OF CONTENTS | xii |
| LIST OF TABLES | XV |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xvii |
| NOTATIONS AND ABBREVIATIONS | XXV |
| CHAPTER | |
| I INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background | 1 |
| 1.2 Fundamental of Body Armor Protection | 3 |
| 1.3 Market Size for Body Armor Protection Industry | 4 |
| 1.4 Body Armor Scenario in Malaysia | 5 |
| 1.5 Problem Statements | 7 |
| 1.6 Aim of this Study | 8 |
| 1.7 Research Objectives | 9 |
| 1.8 Thesis Layout | 10 |
| II LITERATURE REVIEW | 12 |
| 2.1 Ballistic Resistance Materials | 12 |
| 2.2 Development in Body Armor Research | 17 |
| 2.3 Parameters Affecting the Ballistic Performance | 22 |
| 2.4 Penetration Mechanism of Ceramic Armors | 27 |
| 2.5 Penetration Mechanism of Ballistic Fabric during Impact | 31 |
| 2.6 Models Developed from Armor-Projectile Interaction | 40 |
| 2.7 Ballistic Testing Methods | 44 |
| 2.8 Ballistic Standard For Body Armor | 48 |
| 2.9 Conclusion | 51 |
| III EXPERIMENTAL SETUP AND METHODOLOGY | 52 |
| 3.1 General Method of Approach | 52 |
| 3.2 Research Materials | 61 |
| 3.2.1 Natural Based Materials | 61 |
| 3.2.1.1 Coconut Shell Powder Processing | 62 |
| 3.2.2 Ballistic Resistance Fabric | 63 |
| 3.2.3 Binder or Resin System | 64 |
| 3.3 Powder Physical Properties Characterisation | 64 |



| | 3.3.1 Particle Size and Size Distribution using Laser | |
|----|--|-----|
| | Diffraction Method | 65 |
| | 3.3.2 Microscopic Study | 66 |
| | 3.3.3 Powder Density Measurement | 70 |
| | 3.3.4 Powder Moisture Content | 70 |
| | 3.4 Fabrication of Composite Compression Mold | 71 |
| | 3.5 COEX Composite Fabrication Process | 74 |
| | 3.6 Mechanical Properties Determination | 81 |
| | 3.6.1 Tensile Testing | 81 |
| | 3.6.2 Flexural Testing | 82 |
| | 3.6.3 Compression Test | 84 |
| | 3.6.4 COEX Density and Porosity Measurement | 85 |
| | 3.6.5 Volume and Weight Fraction | 83 |
| | 3.6.6 Rockwell Hardness Test | 88 |
| | 3.6.7 Scanning Electron Microscopy of COEX | 88 |
| | 3.7 Ballistic Testing | 89 |
| | 3.7.1 Laboratory Ballistic Test | 89 |
| | 3.7.2 NIJ 0108 Ballistic Test | 91 |
| | 3.8 Conclusion | 99 |
| | | |
| IV | DEVELOPMENT AND COMMISIONING OF A BALLISTIC | |
| | TESTING APPARATUS | 100 |
| | 4.1 Introduction | 100 |
| | 4.2 Justification of the Development Ballistic Testing Apparatus | |
| | for this Study | 101 |
| | 4.3 Gun Design Considerations and Acceptance Criteria | 102 |
| | 4.4 High Velocity Impact Testing | 104 |
| | 4.5 Compressed Gas Gun Current Status and Modifications | 107 |
| | 4.6 High Velocity Data Acquisition (HIVAC) System | 117 |
| | 4.7 Remote Online and Monitoring System (REMOTE) | 122 |
| | 4.8 Testing and Commissioning of the BANG-SYSTEMS | 125 |
| | 4.8.1 Projectile Velocity Measurement | 125 |
| | 4.8.2 Ballistic Test | 127 |
| | 4.9 Conclusion | 133 |
| V | PHYSICAL AND MECHANICAL PROPERTIES OF COCONUT | |
| v | SHELL POWDER AND COMPOSITE. | 134 |
| | 5.1 Physical Properties Characterisation | 134 |
| | 5.1.1 CSP Particle Size Distribution | 134 |
| | 5.1.2 CSP Surface Morphology | 136 |
| | 5.1.3. CSP Particle Shape Analysis | 145 |
| | 5.1.4 CSP Powder Bulk Density and Moisture Content | 143 |
| | 5.2 Mechanical Properties of COEX composite | 149 |
| | 5.2.1 COEX Density and Volume Fraction | 149 |
| | 5.2.2 COEX Density and Volume Praction 5.2.2 COEX Surface Morphology | 152 |
| | 5.2.3 COEX Surface Morphology 5.2.3 COEX Tensile Properties | 158 |
| | 5.2.5 COLA Tonone i Topornes | 150 |



| 5.2.4 COEX Compressive Properties | 162 |
|---|-----|
| 5.2.5 COEX Flexural Properties | 166 |
| 5.2.6 COEX Rockwell Hardness | 171 |
| 5.3 Conclucions | 173 |
| VII EXPERIMENTAL DESIGN ANALYSIS OF COEX/TWARON | |
| REINFORCED COMPOSITE SUBJECTED TO BALLISTIC | |
| TESTS | 174 |
| 6.1 Design of Experiment Background | 174 |
| 6.2 Experimental Design and Testing | 177 |
| 6.2.1 Data Analysis – Impact resistance level (IRL) | 183 |
| 6.2.2 Data analysis- Blunt Trauma Effect | 193 |
| 6.3 Experimental Validation of COMBAT Composite | |
| Statistical Model | 203 |
| 6.3.1 Validation Test of Developed Models | 204 |
| 6.4 NIJ Ballistic Test Validation | 207 |
| 6.4.1 Results and Discussion | 208 |
| 6.5 Conclusions | 226 |
| VIII GENERAL CONCLUSION AND FUTURE | |
| SUGGESTIONS | 228 |
| 7.1 General Conclusion | 228 |
| 7.2 Future Recommendation | 230 |
| REFERENCES | 233 |
| APPENDICES | 242 |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT | 252 |
| LIST OF DURI ICATIONS | 253 |

