



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EXPERIMENTAL AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF THE
PRESSURE CARRYING CAPACITY OF REINFORCED COMPOSITE
THICK-WALLED MATERIAL TUBES**

ABDALLA F. HAMED

FK 2009 77

**EXPERIMENTAL AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF THE
PRESSURE CARRYING CAPACITY OF REINFORCED COMPOSITE
THICK-WALLED MATERIAL TUBES**

By

ABDALLA F. HAMED

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of philosophy**

February 2009



Special Dedication

**This thesis is dedicated to
My affectionate parents and my beloved family for their
patient love and support**



**Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
Fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**EXPERIMENTAL AND FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS OF THE
PRESSURE CARRYING CAPACITY OF REINFORCED COMPOSITE
THICK-WALLED MATERIAL TUBES**

By

ABDALLA F. HAMED

February 2009

Chairman: Professor Megat Mohamad Hamdan Megat Ahmad, PhD

Faculty : Engineering

Presently modern composites using continuous fibers in a resin matrix are important candidate materials for cylindrical structures like pipes and pressure vessels. These materials are lighter, stronger, corrosion resistance and more cost effective when compared with the traditional materials like metals. These structures are commonly subjected to internal pressure and there are some applications where structures subjected to complex loading conditions which are resulted from internal pressurization and superimposed axial loads during installation and/or operation. Most of the previous works were concentrated on the thin shell structures while less work was carried out on thick shell structures under internal pressure loading. The use of hybrid structures in this application is limited and also a limited research work is available for multi-directional tubular composite structures compared with single lay-up configuration. The effects of the different winding angle, different materials and hybridization, different number of layers and different stacking sequence of multi-layered angles on the carrying capacity of thick shell composite tube under internal pressure loading have been studied. The composite materials used were



glass/epoxy and carbon/epoxy. In this study it was found that the optimum winding angle for filament wound pipes depends primarily on the loading modes applied. The experimental results showed that the optimum winding angle is 55° for biaxial pressure loading (mode II), 75° for hoop pressure loading (mode I) while 85° is suitable for biaxial pressure with axial compressive loading (mode III). The test results also show that the carrying capacity of the composite tube increases as the number of the number of layers increase and the percentage difference for all loading modes is about 46% and 63% for four layers and six layers compared by two layers of glass/epoxy respectively. Changing the stacking sequence of multi-layered composite tube enhance the internal pressure carrying capacity for different loading modes and the percentage difference for all loading modes is about 5% and 13%. Using different materials for the composite tube shows that the internal pressure carrying capacity is enhanced. The carrying capacity is about 9% to 19% increased if hybrid composite tube made from two different materials; glass/epoxy and carbon/epoxy are used compared with composite tube made from glass/epoxy alone for all loading modes. On the other hand the carrying capacity is increased by 32% to 38% for the composite tube wound with two and four layers of carbon/epoxy compared with composite tube wound with two and four layers of glass/epoxy for all loading modes. The finite element analysis has been used to analyze the composite tube under internal pressure load for different loading modes. ANSYS finite element software was used to perform the numerical analysis for the different arrangements of composite tubes. The predicted results gave good agreement with the experimental results, the percentage differences between the experimental and the finite element analysis results are approximately 4%-25% for different loading modes.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**EKSPERIMEN DAN ANALISIS UNSUR TERHINGGA BAGI KEUPAYAAN
PENANGGUNGAN TEKANAN BAGI TIUB KOMPOSIT
BERDINDING TEBAL DIPERKUAT GENTIAN**

Oleh

ABDALLA F. HAMED

Februari 2009

Pengerusi : Profesor Megat Mohamad Hamdan Megat Ahmad, PhD

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Pada masa ini, komposit moden yang menggunakan gentian berterusan dalam damar matriks adalah calon bahan penting untuk struktur silinder seperti paip dan bejana tekanan. Bahan ini adalah lebih ringan, lebih kuat, tahan karat dan lebih murah berbanding bahan-bahan lazim seperti logam. Struktur ini umumnya dikenakan tekanan dalaman dan terdapat beberapa aplikasi di mana struktur dikenakan keadaan bebanan kompleks yang terhasil daripada tekanan dalaman dan bebanan paksi tertindih semasa pemasangan dan/atau operasi. Kebanyakan kajian sebelum ini difokuskan ke atas struktur kelompong nipis sementara tidak banyak kajian dibuat ke atas struktur kelompong tebal di bawah beban tekanan dalaman. Penggunaan struktur hibrid dalam aplikasi ini masih terbatas dan begitu juga dengan penyelidikan dalam struktur komposit tiub berbilang arah berbanding tatarajah bengkalai tunggal. Kesan daripada perbezaan sudut belitan, perbezaan bahan dengan penghibridan, perbezaan jumlah lapisan, dan perbezaan urutan tindanan bagi sudut berbilang lapisan ke atas keupayaan menanggung tiub komposit kelompong tebal di bawah bebanan



dalam telah dikaji. Bahan komposit yang telah digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah gentian kaca/epoksi dan gentian karbon/epoksi. Dalam kajian ini didapati bahawa sudut belitan optimum bagi paip terbelit filamen sangat bergantung kepada mod bebanan yang dikenakan. Hasil eksperimen menunjukkan bahawa sudut belitan optimum adalah 550 untuk beban tekanan dua-paksi (mod II), 750 untuk beban tekanan gegelang (mod I) dan 850 untuk beban mampatan paksi (mod III). Hasil pengujian juga menunjukkan keupayaan menanggung bagi tiub komposit meningkat sejajar dengan peningkatan jumlah lapisan dan perbezaan peratusan untuk semua mod bebanan adalah 46% dan 63% untuk empat dan enam lapisan berbanding dengan dua lapisan kaca/epoksi. Perubahan dalam turutan tindakan tiub komposit berbilang lapisan meningkatkan keupayaan menanggung tekanan dalaman untuk mod bebanan berbeza dan perbezaan peratusan untuk semua mod pembebanan adalah kira-kira 8% dan 11%. Penggunaan bahan komposit yang berbeza bagi tiub komposit menunjukkan peningkatan keupayaan menanggung tekanan dalaman. Keupayaan penanggungan bertambah kira-kira 9% ke 19% jika tiub komposit hibrid yang dibuat daripada dua bahan berbeza, kaca/epoksi dan karbon/epoksi digunakan berbanding tiub komposit dibuat daripada kaca/epoksi sahaja untuk semua mod bebanan. Sebaliknya keupayaan penanggungan meningkat 32% hingga 38% untuk tiub komposit yang dibelit dengan dua atau empat lapis karbon/epoksi berbanding paip komposit dengan dua atau empat lapis kaca/epoksi untuk semua mod pembebanan. Analisis unsur terhingga telah digunakan untuk menganalisis paip komposit di bawah beban tekanan dalaman untuk pelbagai mod bebanan. Perisian unsur terhingga ANSYS telah digunakan untuk menjalankan analisis berangka bagi komposit dengan susunan berbeza. Keputusan yang dijangkakan memberikan persetujuan yang baik dengan keputusan eksperimen, dan perbezaan peratusan



antara eksperimen dan analisis unsur terhingga adalah kira-kira 4%-25% untuk mod bebanan yang berbeza.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Completion of this thesis was both grueling and rewarding. The rewards far exceeded the pains, so I am satisfied with the entire process required to achieve this goal. I would like to thank my advisor, Associate Prof. Dr. Megat Mohamad Hamdan bin Megat Ahmad for his assistance, valuable advices and timely corrections.

I am also grateful to my supervisory committee, Prof. Ir. Dr. Barkawi Bin Sahari and Prof. Dr. Mohd Sapuan Salit for their advice and helpful discussion during this period of study.

To Assoc. Prof. Dr. Yousif A. Khalid, the first chairman of my supervisory committee, I wish to express my sincere thanks for patiently and encouragingly guiding me towards a successful completion of this research. His assistance, advice during a period of one and half year proved to be very effective and will always be remembered.

I would like to thank:

- All the Staff in Department of Mechanical Engineering, UPM for their cooperation given to me throughout my work.
- All the Malaysian people especially UPM staff for the best hospitalities.

Finally, I would like to thank my family for all of their love and support through the years. You have always encouraged me to challenge myself, and I enjoy sharing my accomplishments with you.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 10-2-2009 to conduct the final examination of Abdalla F. Hamed on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Experimental and Finite Element Analysis of the Pressure Carrying Capacity for Reinforced Composite Thick Walled Material Tubes” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Md. Yusof Ismail, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Graduate studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shamsuddin Sulaiman, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Nor Mariah Adam, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Azlan Bin Ariffin, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Megat Mohamad Hamdan bin Megat Ahmad, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Barkawi Bin Sahari, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 14 May 2009



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis based on my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

ABDALL F. HAMED

Date:



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	viii
DECLARATION	xi
LIST OF TABLES	xvi
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxvii
CHAPTER 1	1
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 General	1
1.2 Composite Structures	1
1.3 Problem Statement	2
1.4 Scope of the Work	4
1.5 Objectives	5
1.6 Thesis Layout	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	7
2.1 Introduction	7
2.2 Types of Composite Materials	7
2.2.1 Particulate Composites	7
2.2.2 Laminated Composites	7
2.2.3 Fibrous Composites	8
2.3 Types of Fibers and Matrices	8
2.3.1 Fibers	8
2.3.2 Matrices	10
2.4 Composite Structures	12
2.5 Composite Fabrication Processes	13
2.5.1 Background Review	13
2.5.2 Hand Lay-Up	13
2.5.3 Filament Winding	14
2.6 Theoretical Analysis	15
2.6.1 Lamination Theory	15
2.6.2 Failure Criteria	23
2.6.3 Micromechanics and Macromechanics of Composite Materials	27
2.6.4 Engineering Properties in Global Coordinates System	32
2.6.5 Coefficient of Mutual Influence	33
2.7 Controlling Factors	36
2.7.1 Winding Angle Effect	36



2.7.2	Layers Number Effects and Stacking Sequence	44
2.7.3	Material Type Effect	51
2.7.4	Loading Modes	57
2.8	Finite Element Analysis	60
2.9	Conclusions	67
2.10	Summary	70
3	METHODOLOGY	71
3.1	Introduction	71
3.2	Experimental Work	71
3.2.1	Materials Used	72
3.2.2	Specimen Fabrication for Internal Pressure Loadings	74
3.2.3	End Reinforcement and Grips	78
3.2.4	Composite Tube Dimensions	79
3.2.5	Testing Procedure	79
3.2.6	Test Setup	81
3.2.7	Fabrication of Crushing Specimens	82
3.2.8	Fabrication of Tensile specimens	84
3.3	Analytical Work	85
3.4	Analysis of the Results	86
3.5	Closure	87
4	DESIGN AND FABRICATION OF FILAMENT WINDING MACHINE	88
4.1	Background	88
4.2	Manufacturing Techniques	90
4.3	Winding Methods	91
4.4	Winding Patterns	91
4.4.1	Hoop Winding	92
4.4.2	Helical Winding	92
4.4.3	Polar Winding	94
4.5	The Designed Filament Winding Machine for This Project	94
4.5.1	The Rotary Assembly Unit	96
4.5.2	The Delivery Unit	97
4.5.3	The Control Unit	98
4.6	Specimen Fabrication	99
4.7	Evaluation of the Product and the Machine Performance	102
4.8	Discussion and Conclusion	107
5	THREE-DIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS OF THICK WALLED FILAMENT WOUND COMPOSITE TUBES	109
5.1	Calculation of the Through Thickness Effective Constants for Orthotropic Thick Filament Wound Tubes	109
5.1.1	Introduction	109
5.1.2	Constitutive Relationships for an Orthotropic Lamina	110
5.1.3	Stress-Strain Relationship in Angle-Ply Laminates	114
5.1.4	The through Thickness Effective Constants for Filament Wound Tubes	117



5.2 Theoretical Stresses and Strains Distributions across Thick Walled Filament Wound Composite Tubes	125
5.2.1 Introduction	125
5.2.2 Thick Cylinder Theory for Filament Wound Tubes	126
5.2.3 Analysis Procedure	127
5.3 Conclusion	135
6 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	137
6.1 Experimental Results	137
6.1.1 Determination of the Laminate Properties	137
6.1.2 Calculation of the Through Thickness Effective Constants for Orthotropic Thick Filament Wound Tubes	150
6.1.3 Internal Pressure Carrying Capacity and Stress Distribution	155
6.2 Analytical Results	181
6.2.1 Finite Element Analysis	181
6.2.2 Element Types	182
6.2.3 Material Properties	184
6.2.4 Boundary Conditions	185
6.2.5 Finite Element Results	188
6.3 Comparison of Experimental and Finite element Results	195
6.3.1 Loading Mode I	195
6.3.2 Loading Mode II	199
6.3.3 Loading Mode III	203
6.4 Discussion	207
6.4.1 Material Characterization Results	207
6.4.2 The through Thickness Effective Elastic Constants for Orthotropic Thick Filament Wound Tubes	210
6.4.3 Parameters Affecting the Performance of the Composite Tube	210
6.5 Stress Distribution through the Thickness of Thick Composite Tubes	213
6.6 Failure Modes	213
6.7 Comparison with Previous Work	218
6.8 Finite Element Prediction	220
6.8.1 Method Applied	220
6.8.2 Accuracy of Prediction	220
6.9 Limitations	221
7 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	222
7.1 Introduction	222
7.2 Conclusions	223
7.2.1 Fabrication Process	223
7.2.2 Testing Procedure	224
7.2.3 Composite Material Characterization	224
7.2.4 Pressure Carrying Capacity	225
7.2.5 Failure Modes	228
7.3 Recommendations	229
REFERENCES	230
APPENDICES	240



BIODATA OF STUDENT	270
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	270



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1: Angle of Orientation	76
3.2: Number of Layers	76
3.3: Fiber Type and Hybridization	77
3.4: Multi-Angle Combination	78
4.1: The Average Values of the Outside Diameter	101
4.2: The Relation between Passages Numbers the Winding Angle and the Carriage Speed	105
4.3: Samples of Tube Diameter Measured at Different Positions for Two, Four and Six Layers of Glass/Epoxy Composite Tube	107
6.1: Mechanical Properties of Composite Fibers	138
6.2: Physical and Mechanical Properties of the Matrix	139
6.3: Volume Fraction for Glass Fiber/Epoxy Composite	140
6.4: Volume Fraction of Carbon Fiber and the Density of	142
6.5: Summary of the Mechanical Properties for Composite Materials	148
6.6: Unidirectional Elastic Constants for Glass/Epoxy	150
6.7: Mechanical Properties of Plastic Tube	185
6.8: Comparison of Maximum Internal Pressure Capacity between Experimental Work and Finite Element Analysis for Loading Mode I.	199
6.9: Comparison of Maximum Internal Pressure Capacity between Experimental Work and Finite Element Analysis for Loading Mode II.	203
6.10: Comparison of Maximum Internal Pressure Capacity between Experimental Work and Finite Element Analysis for Loading Mode III.	207



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2-1: Fabrication of Composite Tube	14
2-2: Schematic Representations of Helical	15
2-3: Schematic of Single Filamentary Lamina Geometry	16
2-4: Relationship between Lamina Principal Axes (1, 2)	18
2-5 : Unidirectional Fiber Square Packing Geometry	28
2-6: Variation of Coefficient of Mutual Influence with Fiber Angle	34
2-7: Variation of Engineering Properties with Fiber Angle	35
2-8: Influence of Wind Angle on the Strength of Carbon Tubes	37
2-9: Stress-Strain Response of E-glass/polyester Pipes Wounded at Different Angles under Open-end Mode	38
2-10: Experimental Stress-strain Response E-glass/epoxy Tube for Different Winding Angles	39
2-11: CFRP Helical Wound Cylindrical Specimen under Internal Pressure	40
2-12: Stress/Strain Curves for $\pm 75^0$ CFRP Composite tubes	41
2-13: Variation of Peak hoop Stress versus Fiber Orientation Angle	43
2-14: Effect of the Winding Angle on the hoop Stresses of Pipe with E-Glass/Epoxy under Bending Load	43
2-15: Comparing FEM and Analytical Results with Experimental Results	44
2-16: Specimen Dimensions	45
2-17: Stress-Strain for Closed End Loading	46
2-18 : Stress-Strain Curve for $\pm 45^0$ Tubes	47
2-19: The Distribution of Hoop, Axial and shear Stress through the Radial Distance	48
2-20: The distribution of Hoop Stress through the Non-Dimensional Radial Distance	49



2-21: Prediction of Damage and Yielding of a Pressurized Closed end Carbon/Epoxy Tubes with Steel Liners	50
2-22: Stress-Life Curves of GRP Pipe with Elliptical Surface Crack	51
2-23: Comparison between Hoop Stress and Internal Pressure	51
2-24: Influence of Fiber Volume Fraction Variation on Stress Distribution throughout the Tube Thickness	52
2-25: Experimental and Predicted Pressure vs. Hoop Strain.	53
2-26: Stress Distributions within a Sandwich Pipe	54
2-27: Experimental and Calculated Values for the Liner Circumferential Deformation	56
2-28: Different Loading Modes	57
2-29: Experimental Initial and Final Failure Stresses for $\pm 55^0$ Tubes	58
2-30: Testing Methods	59
2-31: Damage Kinetics	59
2-32: Average Stress versus Average Strain Behavior of $[\pm 60]_T$ Glass/Epoxy Tubular Specimens Loaded in Pure Hoop Stress	60
2-33: Normal Failure Stress vs. Crack Length	61
2-34: Damage Progression in Defect and Defect-free Shells	62
2-35: Principal on-Axis Fiber Direction Stress Value	64
3-1: Experimental Work Flowchart.	72
3-2: A Schematic Diagram of the Specimen and Basic Dimensions.	79
3-3: Testing Methods	80
3-4: Test Setup	83
3-5: Epoxy Tensile Test Specimen.	84
3-6: Geometry and Dimensions of the Mold for Making Epoxy Specimens.	84
3-7: Tensile specimen for reinforced composite.	85



3-8: Analytical Work Flowchart	86
4-1: Schematic Diagram of the Wet Filament Winding Process.	89
4-2: Circumferential or Hoop Winding	92
4-3: Helical Winding Layout of Mandrel and Creel and Typical Winding Sequence.	93
4-4: Polar Winding	94
4-5: Schematic Diagram for Proposed Winding Machine	96
4-6: Set-up of the Specimen on the Winding Machine.	97
4-7: Schematic Diagram for Control Unit	98
4-8: Winding Angle versus Screw Speed	101
4-9: Fabricated Specimens for Glass and Carbon Fiber Composite Tube	102
4-10: Winding Process	103
4-11: Diamond Pattern	103
4-12: Samples of Fabricated Tube at Different Winding Angles	105
4-13: Turn Round Region and Dog Boning in Winding Process	106
5-1: Unidirectional Lamina	111
5-2: Stacking Sequences and the Positive Rotation of Reference Axes (x, y, z) to Material Axes (1, 2, 3)	112
5-3: Un-bonded View of a 4 Layered Balanced and Symmetric Laminates	114
5-4: Flowchart for the Computer Program Developed.	124
5-5: Cylindrical Coordinates used with Thick Cylinder Theory	127
5-6: Relation of Coordinate System between	131
5-7: Flowchart for the Stresses and Strains Calculation	136
6-1: Roving Creel for Fiber	138
6-2: Load-Extension Relations for the Matrix. (Epoxy and Hardener)	139
6-3: Photographs of the Failed Tensile Test Specimens for Matrix	140



6-4: Specimen for Volume Fraction Test	141
6-5: Extensometer Insulation	144
6-6: Tensile Stress-Strain Response of a [0°] Carbon/Epoxy Composite, ($v_f = 54\%$)	145
6-7: Tensile Stress-Strain Response of a [0°] Glass/Epoxy Composite, ($v_f = 47.6\%$)	145
6-8: Tensile Stress-Strain Response of a [90°] Carbon/Epoxy Composite, ($v_f = 54\%$)	146
6-9: Tensile Stress-Strain Response of a [90°] Glass/Epoxy Composite, ($v_f = 47.6\%$)	146
6-10: Shear Stress-Strain Curve Obtained from a Tensile Test of a [45] ₄ Carbon Fiber Test Specimen, ($v_f = 54\%$)	147
6-11: Shear Stress-Strain Curve Obtained from a Tensile Test of a [45] ₄ Glass Fiber Test Specimen, ($v_f = 54\%$)	147
6-12: Photographs of the Failed Tensile Test Specimens	150
6-13: Young's Modulus versus Winding Angles for Glass/Epoxy Reinforced Material.	152
6-14: Young's Modulus versus Winding Angles for Carbon/Epoxy Reinforced Material.	152
6-15: Shear Modulus versus Winding Angles for Glass/Epoxy Reinforced Material.	154
6-16: Shear Modulus versus Winding Angles for Carbon/Epoxy Reinforced Material.	154
6-17: Poisson's Ratio versus Winding Angles for Glass/Epoxy Reinforced Material.	155
6-18: Poisson's Ratio versus Winding Angles for Carbon/Epoxy Reinforced Material.	155
6-19: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Winding Angle of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode I.	157



6-20: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Layers Number of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode I.	157
6-21: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Layers Number of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy Composites for Loading Mode I.	158
6-22: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Four Layers of Composite Material for Loading Mode I.	159
6-23: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Stacking Sequence of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode I.	159
6-24: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Two Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode I.	160
6-25: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Four Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode I.	161
6-26: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Six Layers of Glass/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode I.	162
6-27: The Hoop Stress Distribution along the Tube Thickness for Hybrid Composite Materials for Loading Mode I.	163
6-28: The Hoop Stress Distribution along the Tube Thickness of Different Stacking Sequence for Loading Mode I.	164
6-29: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Winding Angle of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode II.	165
6-30: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Number of Layers of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode II.	166
6-31: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Number of Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy Composites for Loading Mode II.	166



6-32: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Four Layers of Composite Material for Loading Mode II.	167
6-33: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Stacking Sequence of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode II.	168
6-34: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Two Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode II.	169
6-35: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Four Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode II.	169
6-36: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Six Layers of Glass/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode II.	170
6-37: The Hoop Stress Distribution along the Tube Thickness for Hybrid Composite Materials for Loading Mode II.	171
6-38: The Hoop Stress Distribution along the Tube Thickness of Different Stacking Sequence for Loading Mode II.	172
6-39: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Winding Angle of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode III.	173
6-40: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Number of Layers of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode III.	174
6-41: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Number of Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy Composites for Loading Mode III.	175
6-42: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Four Layers of Composite Material for Loading Mode III.	175
6-43: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Stacking Sequence of Glass/Epoxy Composite for Loading Mode III.	176



6-44: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Two Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode III.	177
6-45: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Four Layers of Glass/Epoxy and Carbon/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode III.	178
6-46: Hoop Stress versus Tube Thickness for Six Layers of Glass/Epoxy under Internal Pressure for Loading Mode III.	178
6-47: The Hoop Stress Distribution along the Tube Thickness for Hybrid Composite Materials for Loading Mode III.	180
6-48: The Hoop Stress Distribution along the Tube Thickness of Different Stacking Sequence for Loading Mode III.	181
6-49: Solid46-3D Layered Structural Solid Element	183
6-50: Solid45-3D Solid Element	183
6-51: Finite Element Meshes for Composite Tube	184
6-52: Stress-Strain Curve for the Plastic Tube.	185
6-53: Test setup for the Plastic Tube.	185
6-54: Boundary Conditions used in Finite Element Analysis for Loading Mode I	186
6-55: Boundary Conditions used in Finite Element Analysis for Loading Mode II and III	187
6-56: Failure Index versus Internal Pressure Capacity for $[\pm 75]$ Glass/Epoxy for Different number of Layers.	189
6-57: Failure Index versus Internal Pressure Capacity for $[\pm 75]$ Carbon/Epoxy for Different number of Layers.	189
6-58: The Effect of Hybridization on the Failure Index for Composite Tube of Different Materials	189



6-59: The Effect of Stacking Sequence on the Failure Index for Composite Tube for Loading Mode I	190
6-60: Failure Index versus Internal Pressure Capacity for [± 55] Glass/Epoxy for Different number of Layers.	191
6-61: Failure Index versus Internal Pressure Capacity for [± 55] Carbon/Epoxy for Different number of Layers.	191
6-62: The Effect of Hybridization on the Failure Index for Composite Tube of Different Materials	192
6-63: The Effect of Stacking Sequence on the Failure Index for Composite Tube for Loading Mode II	192
6-64: Failure Index versus Internal Pressure Capacity for [± 85] Glass/Epoxy for Different number of Layers.	193
6-65: Failure Index versus Internal Pressure Capacity for [± 85] Carbon/Epoxy for Different number of Layers.	193
6-66: The Effect of Hybridization on the Failure Index for Composite Tube of Different Materials	194
6-67: The Effect of Stacking Sequence on the Failure Index for Composite Tube for Loading Mode III	195
6-68: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Hoop Strain of Two Layers for Composite Tube for Loading Mode I.	196
6-69: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Hoop Strain of Four Layers for Composite Tube for Loading Mode I.	196
6-70: Internal Pressure Capacity versus Hoop Strain of Six Layers Composite Tube for Loading Mode I.	197

