



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**GEOSPATIAL ANALYSIS FOR DETECTION OF SINKHOLE  
DISTRIBUTION AND CHANGE IN KINTA VALLEY, MALAYSIA**

**OMAR MAHMOUD SULEIMAN ALKOURI**

**FK 2009 45**

**GEOSPATIAL ANALYSIS FOR DETECTION OF SINKHOLE DISTRIBUTION  
AND CHANGE IN KINTA VALLEY, MALAYSIA**

**By**

**OMAR MAHMOUD SULEIMAN ALKOURI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**February 2009**



## **DEDICATION**

**This work is dedicated to my family members and my  
Wife who are always giving me encouragement  
and support**



**Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**GEOSPATIAL ANALYSIS FOR DETECTION OF SINKHOLE  
DISTRIBUTION AND CHANGE IN KINTA VALLEY, MALAYSIA**

**OMAR MAHMOUD SULEIMAN ALKOURI**

**February 2009**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Husaini Omar, PhD**

**Faculty : Engineering**

The geospatial study of Karst over 25 years uncontrolled use and the resulting environmental impact in Kinta Valley area-Malaysia is described. The geo-hazard map for sinkholes distribution was developed and the changes of limestone topography were analyzed as well as the relative importance of geological and geo-morphological factors. Due to intensification of human activities the Karst has suffered several environmentally relevant changes. An assessment of the degree of hazard was conducted by utilizing 10 m<sup>2</sup> cell dimension. The results showed that the land use in terms of urbanization and industrialization has a direct influence on the Karst features development. The geo-hazard map indicated that 93 % of sinkholes occurrence were located in the high and very high potential hazard areas in contrast to the areas in the middle and southwest of the Kinta valley. The highest sinkhole occurrence was recorded in January 2005 which was attributed to the earthquake on 26<sup>th</sup> December, 2004. The sinkhole formation was further aggravated by heavy rainfall and surface mining. Fortunately, our spatial



temporal data model facilitated the delineation of the changes in Karst topography. A geo-statistical investigation was carried out on the nature of topographic variation and its roughness to ascertain the nature of Karst and its distinctiveness from non-Karst landscapes. Bukit Merah village was preferentially selected for a case study. The condition of the mining site from 1991 to 2007 was revealed by False color composites of land-observing satellites the Thematic Mapper and Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre, the mining activities at the site increased by 383 % over the study period. The area of the water body increased progressively from 0.972 km<sup>2</sup> in 1991 to 3.726 km<sup>2</sup> in 2007. Given the current degradation scenario of the limestone resources in Kinta Valley and associated environmental impacts, the study emphasizes the need for conservation of these valuable resources.



**Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah**

**ANALISIS GEOSPATIAL BAGI PENGESANAN TABURAN DAN PERUBAHAN LUBANG-TENGGELOM DI LEMBAH KINTA, MALAYSIA**

**Oleh**

**OMAR MAHMOUD SULEIMAN ALKOURI**

**Februari 2009**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Husaini Omar, PhD**

**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Suatu kajian geospasial Karst merangkumi 25 tahun penggunaan tanah tidak terkawal dan kesannya terhadap persekitaran di Lembah Kinta di Malaysia dihuraikan di sini. Peta geo-hazard bagi taburan lubang tenggelam telah dikembangkan dan perubahan topografi batukapur juga telah dianalisa, selain daripada kepentingan relatif faktor-faktor geologi dan geomorfologi. Oleh kerana peningkatan kegiatan manusia, Karst telah mengalami beberapa perubahan yang relevan terhadap persekitaran. Suatu penilaian darjah bahaya telah dijalankan menggunakan sel berdimensi 10 m<sup>2</sup>. Hasil penyelidikan menunjukkan bahawa penggunaan tanah dari segi urbanisasi dan pengindustrian mempunyai kesan secara langsung terhadap ciri-ciri pembangunan Karst. Peta geo-hazard menunjukkan bahawa 93% kejadian lubang tenggelam berlaku di kawasan berpotensi hazard yang tinggi dan sangat tinggi berbanding di tengah dan di Barat Daya lembah Kinta. Kejadian lubang tenggelam yang tertinggi dicatat pada bulan Januari 2005 yang diakibatkan gempa bumi pada 26 Disember 2004. Kejadian lubang tenggelam ini diburukkan lagi oleh hujan yang lebat dan perlombongan permukaan. Mujurnya,



model data spatial-temporal kami telah mempermudah penentuan perubahan pada topografi Karst. Suatu kajian geo-satistikal telah dijalankan ke atas sifat perbezaan topografi dan kakasarannya untuk menentukan sifat-sifat Karst dan perbezaannya berbanding mukabumi bukan Karst. Kampung Bukit Merah telah dipilih khusus untuk kajian kes. Keadaan tapak perlombongan dari tahun 1991 hingga 2007 telah ditunjukkan oleh imej komposit false-colour daripada satelit-satelit kajibumi Thematic Mapper dan Satellite Pour l'Observation de la Terre. Kegiatan perlombongan di tapak tersebut bertambah sebanyak 383% sepanjang tempoh kajian tersebut. Luas kawasan air kian bertambah dari 0.972 km<sup>2</sup> pada tahun 1991 kepada 3.726 km<sup>2</sup> pada tahun 2007. Dengan ternyata senario pemburukan sumber-sumber batukapur di Lembah Kinta serta kesannya terhadap persekitaran, kajian ini menggariskan perlunya pemuliharaan sumber-sumber yang bernilai ini.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praise to supreme almighty ALLAH (S.W.T.) the only creator, for giving me the strength, ability and patience to complete this research.

I would like to thank my professors and staff of the Department of Civil and Engineering for their guidance, support and assistance. Special thank would be extended to my Supervisor Assoc Professor Dr Husaini Omar and my committee members Associate Professor Dr Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, Prof Dr Shattri Mansor, and Dato Prof Dr Ibrahim Komoo for advice and help for encouragement. I am very grateful for the support of my friends in particular Dr Mohammed Abu Shariah, Abdulah Ali, Mohammed Al-Habshi, and my colleagues, especially Shaleah Daqamesah, Akif and Samy for their advise, help and knowledge contributed throughout the development of the study.

I would like to express my gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Rashid Bin Mohamed Shariff for his timely advices and encouragement, and also the Director of the Institute of Advanced Technology (ITMA) Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing me the use of the Spatial Numerical Modelling Laboratory (SNML).

We appreciate the support of E-Science Fund (No. 06-01-04-SF0552) by Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation Malaysia for providing the research grant for research of “Modeling of Karst Landform for Engineering Purpose”.





## APPROVAL SHEET

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 23 February 2009 to conduct the final examination of Omar Mahmoud Suleiman Alkouri on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Geospatial Analysis for Sinkholes Change Detection in Kinta Valley, Malaysia” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

**Abang Abdullah b. Abang Ali, PhD**

Professor  
Civil Engineering  
Faculty Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Bujang Kim Huat, PhD**

Professor  
Department of Civil Engineering  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Helmi Zuihaidi Mohd Shafri, PhD**

Lecturer  
Department of Engineering  
Faculty Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Ruslan Rainis, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Sains Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

---

**BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Husaini Omar, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Ahmad Rodzi Mahmud, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Shattri Mansor, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Ibrahim Komoo, PhD**

Professor  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date :



## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except that for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions

.....  
**OMAR MAHMOUD AL-KOURI**

Date:



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xx
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Usage of Remote Sensing and GIS Technologies	4
1.3 Problem Statements	5
1.4 Objectives	6
1.5 Scope of Work	7
1.6 Significant of the Study	8
1.7 Thesis Organization	8
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Introduction	10
2.2 Geomorphology Karst	10
2.2.1 Karst Definition	11
2.2.2 Karst Processes	11
2.2.3 Karst Landforms	12
2.2.3 Karst Landscapes	14
2.3 Global Distribution of Karst Lands	15
2.4 Karst in Malaysia	16
2.4.1 Limestone Formation in Malaysia	16
2.4.2 Kinta Valley Karst and sinkhole distribution	18
2.4.3 Lithology of Kinta Valley	25
2.4.4 Limestone Hills	26
2.4.5 Kinta Valley Structures	29
2.5 Human Impacts on Karst	31
2.5.1. Environmental Impacts on Karst	32
2.5.2 Effect of Earthquake Intensity on Karst	34
2.5.3 The Problems of Urbanization in the Limestone	34
2.6 Karst Commercial Values	39
2.7 Geological Heritage Conservation	40
2.8 Tool for Quantitative Analysis of Karst	41
2.8.1 Geographic Information Systems	42
2.8.2 Digital Morphometry	43
2.8.3 Karst Database Development	45
2.8.4 Remote Sensing Imagery	46



2.8.5 Aerial Photographs	48
2.9 Volumetric Surface Movement Model	50
2.10 Geostatistics Analysis	51
2.11 Virtual Reality for Karst	53
2.12 Hazard and Geohazard of Karst	55
2.13 Hazard and potential hazard assessment	56
<b>3 METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Introduction	59
3.2 Study Area	61
3.3 Climate	62
3.4 Data Collection and analysis Concept	62
3.5 Geospatial Control Factors of Sinkhole distribution	64
3.5.1 Geospatial Database of Sinkholes	65
3.5.2 The Generation of Digital Terrain Model (DTM)	67
3.6 Land use classification	70
3.6.1 Multiresolution Segmentation	71
3.6.2 Objects Extraction and Band colour Increasing and Combinations	71
3.6.3 General Classes	72
3.6.4 Classify Without Related Class Based on Fussy Logic	73
3.6.5 Classification Based on Segmentations	75
3.7 Lineament Method	76
3.7.1 Pre-processing	76
3.7.2 Geometric Correction	76
3.7.3 Image Enhancement	77
3.7.4 Lineament Visual Extraction	77
3.8 Volumetric Surface Movement for Karst	79
3.8.1 Database development for volumetric surface changes	80
3.8.2 Change Detection of Surface Elevation	81
3.9 Geostatistical Investigating Analysis	83
3.9.1 Pre-Processing Methods	86
3.9.2 Normality Assessment	87
3.9.3 Bivariate Data Analysis	88
3.9.4 Geostatistical Modeling and Cross Validation	89
3.10 Three-Dimensional projection of Karst Environment	90
3.11 Geo-hazard Map Method	91
<b>4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	
4.1 Introduction	97
4.2 Sinkholes Formation and Distribution	97
4.2.1 The effects of Earthquake on Sinkholes Kinta Valley	100
4.2.2 Change Detection of Kinta Valley area	104
4.2.3 Lineament and Fracture Zone Analysis	107
4.2.4 Mining and Quarry Activities	110
4.2.5 Drainage Pattern and Sinkholes in the Kinta Valley	113
4.2.6 Urban area Distribution and Sinkholes Development	120
4.2.7 Ground Water Wells and Sinkholes	121



4.2.8 Sinkhole Density Map of Kinta Valley	124
4.2.9 Land use Classification Analysis of Kinta Valley Area	126
4.3 Topographical Analysis Results	130
4.4 Limestone Hills Topography	132
4.4.1 DTM Accuracy Assessment	140
4.4.2 Zonal Analysis of Slope and Aspect	142
4.4.3 3D Visualization of Kinta Valley	146
4.4.4 Topographical Investigation Analysis	149
4.5 Geohazard Map in the Kinta Valley	156
4.6 Case Study Location at Bukit Merah	166
4.6.1 Land use Change Detection of Bukit Merah	167
4.6.2 Sinkholes Issues of Bukit Merah	170
4.7 Volumetric Surface Movement Visualization on Karst	181
4.8 Semivariogram Investigation of Karst Surface	186
4.9 Limestone Degradation and Conservation	191
<b>5 CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORKS</b>	
5.1 Summary	197
5.2 Research Findings	200
5.4 Future Studies	203
<b>REFERENCES</b>	204
<b>APPENDICES</b>	220
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	233
<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	234



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
2.1	Geotechnical issues associated with buried karst limestone formation at Peninsular Malaysia	36
3.1	Data type in the study area	64
3.2	Sobel kernels in four principle directions	78
3.3	Statistics requirement for model fitting	89
3.4	Weighting of controlling factors influence of hazard map	94
4.1	Status of wells in Kinta Valley (Geosciences Department on 2007)	123
4.2	Land use change detection of the study area	128
4.3	Topographic description of study sites	135
4.4	Slope angle class intervals	143
4.5	Summary of geostatistical modeling for karst 2004	152
4.6	Summary of geostatistical results for karst 1981	153
4.7	Summary of geostatistical results for non- karst 2004	156
4.8	Summary of geostatistical results for non- karst 1981	156
4.9:	Presentage area of geohazard map classification	163
4.10	Location of Ground Truthing Observation in Kinta Valley area	165
4.11	The area of the water body was increased since 1991 due to quarries and open pit tin mines	177
4.12	The increasing percentage of water body was dramatically large in Big Foot Lake since 199	177
4.13	Show the result of loading data into database system	183
4.14	Value used to the rank the heritage significance of Gunung Rapat	193



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Surface and sub-surface landform karst features modified (Al-Fares, 2004)	13
2.2	The distribution of karst in the south-east Asia region (Karst News, 2006)	15
2.3	Originally flat limestone plateau dissected deeply by dissolution (Ch'ng, 1984)	16
2.4	Block diagram showing the types of sinkholes (Jeings, 1971)	21
2.5	Chemical reaction behind karst formation in carbonate rocks (North Carolina Geological Survey, 2005)	22
2.6	Formations of sinkholes (Modified from Sum, 1995) Investigating Field Work Issues of Kinta Karst	23
2.7	Limestone hill rock near to residential houses in Gunung Rapat	24
2.8	Lithology map of Kinta Valley	25
2.9	Distribution of limestone hills in Peninsular Malaysia (Fatimah, 2003)	28
2.10	Aerial photography and geological map showing limestone hills of Gunung Rapat	29
2.11	Rose diagram of lineaments from Kinta Valley (Gobbett, 1971)	30
2.12	Environment impacts of quarry operation in Kinta Valley	31
2.13	Unattended quarry pit accumulating water to become a pond or lake. The underlying rock is limestone	32
2.14	Locations of quarry near limestone hills of Kinta Valley	33
2.15	Foundation systems in limestone areas at peninsular Malaysia (Abu-Shariah, 2002)	38
2.16	Cavities for recreational and tourism value in Gunung Rapat in Kinta Valley	39
2.17	Main tasks associated with DTM (Hutchinson and Gallant, 1999)	45



2.18	Basic subsystems of GIS database (Modified from DeMers, 2000)	46
2.19	Landsat TM False color composite: (a) 4, 3, 2(RGB); (b) 5, 4, 2 (RGB); (c) 4, 5, 7(RGB)	47
2.20	The concept of regionalized and random variables (Jahanshir, 2006)	52
3.1	The methodology flowchart for geohazard map	60
3.2	Study area location	61
3.3	Data collection and analysis concept	63
3.4	Dataset used in the research	64
3.5	Application interfaces of karst feature DBMS under Microsoft Access 2003	66
3.6	Geospatial database of sinkholes sources	66
3.7	Site recognition on Bukit Merah	67
3.8	Typical grid point with XYZ generated from stereo-photo pairs	69
3.9	Land use cover classification methods	70
3.10	Enhancements of both the red (a) and green (b) tones	71
3.11	Image band combination, 1, 2, 3 and 3, 2, 1	72
3.12	Typical feature view setting	74
3.13	Class description membership function full range	74
3.14	Drag the class's structure group	75
3.15	Lineament extraction method	78
3.16	Transformation of point (p) within one line (Rahim et al., 2005)	79
3.17	An interface for volumetric surface surface changes determination (Rahim et al., 2005)	81
3.18	Interpolation Process for Simulating Movement (Rahim et.al, 2005)	82



3.19	Semivariogram surface of data from Karst sample	84
3.20	Cross-validation of geostatistical parameters	84
3.21	Locations of karst DTM samle (a, b, c) and (d, e, f) the non-karst samples generated from areail phography	86
3.22	Illustration of local outliers	87
3.23	Construction of normal QQ plot (ESRI, 2001)	88
3.24	Water Bodies density map	95
3.25	Sinkhole density map	95
3.26	Road density density map	96
3.27	The developed Geoprocessing model for Geohazard Sinkhole Map	96
4.1	Number of Sinkholes in Kinta Valley during 1955 – 2005	99
4.2	Spatial distribution of sinkholes - DTM overlaid on geological map	100
4.3	Number of sinkholes after earthquake tsunami (2004)	101
4.4	Sinkholes locations overlaid on the earthquake intensity map	102
4.5	Relationship between number of sinkholes and earthquake	103
4.6	Location of earthquakes in Sumatra with sinkholes occournces in Kinta Valley (1965-2005)	104
4.7	Satellite images of sinkholes formation after tsunami earthquake	106
4.8	Soil profile of the study area after earthquake 26-12-2004 (Sum, 2005)	107
4.9	Geomorphologic and geological factors distribution that influences the sinkholes abundance	109
4.10	Dolines and wangs geomorphological features in the limestone hills	110
4.11	Mining activities (quarries) in Kinta Valley area	111
4.12	(a) Three dimension quarry operations has inflicted limestone hills and (b) satellite image shows quarries operation in Kinta Valley	112



4.13	Drainage density map with sinkholes formation of the study area Photo-lithology and Alluvium layers	114
4.14	Relation of sinkholes occurrence with photo- litlogical	115
4.15	Relation of sinkholes occurrence with alluvium thickness map	116
4.16	Sinkholes and soil map draped on TIN surface in Kinta Valley	117
4.17	Sinkholes and road map draped on TIN surface in Kinta Valley	118
4.18	Sinkhole effect near Ipoh (Tan and Chow, 2006)	119
2.19	Photography shows sinkholes which damage part of houses, (Sum, 2005)	119
4.20	Sinkholes aligned with man-made structures	120
4.21	Locations of sinkholes and wells productivity in Kinta Valley	124
4.22	Sinkholes distribution density map in the Kinta Valley	125
4.23	Percentages of land use variation - 1991, 1998, and 2004	128
4.24	Land use Classifications Map with Related Class 1991, 1998 and 2004	130
4.25	Digital terrain model of Kinta Valley	132
4.26	Limestone hills distribution overlaid on of TIN surface	133
4.27	Percentage area distribution of limestone hills in Perak	134
4.28	TIN surface of Gunung Rapat, Terendum and Lanbo	136
4.29	Showing area view of limestone hills in Kinta Valley	137
4.30	Digital elevation surfaces from TIN of Kinta for 1981 and 2004	138
4.31	Limestone karst profiles generated from TIN - 1981 and 2004 (Rapat-a, Terendum b and Lanbo c)	139
4.32	Granite (non-karst) profiles for the period 1981 – 2004	140
4.33	Validation points extracted from topographical map	141



4.34	Linear regression - DTM and spot heights for Kinta Valley (2004)	142
4.35	Linear regression - DTM and spot heights for Kinta Valley (1981)	142
4.36	Slope map generated surface of Kinta Valley	144
4.37	Aspect map of Kinta Valley	145
4.38	Aspect frequency graphs of five selected study sites	146
4.39	3D visualization of the terrain in Kinta Valley area	147
4.40	3D visualization of karst features in Gunung Rapat of 1981 and 2004	148
4.41	Semivariograms of elevation data 2004 (karst area)	150
4.42	Semivariograms of elevation 1981 data (karst area)	151
4.44	Semivariograms of elevation data non-karst area in 2004	155
4.45	Semivariograms of elevation data non-karst area in 1981	155
4.46	Sinkholes occurrences overlay on geo-hazard map in the Kinta Valley	157
4.47	Mining and urban areas overlay on geo-hazard map in the Kinta Valley	160
4.48	Geo-hazard map in the Kinta Valley	163
4.49	Tool for determination of sinkhole geo-hazard map	164
4.50	Geo-hazard map validation in the Kinta Valley	166
4.51	Land use and study area of Bukit Merah (2005)	167
4.52	Figure 4.51: Landsat images showing changes in mining activities in Bukit Merah from 1991 to 2004	169
4.53	Spot 5 images showing the changes in Bukit Merah	170
4.54	Sinkholes occurrences in Bukit Merah from 1981- 1998	172
4.55	(a) Rainfall map of Kinta district and (b) mean annual rainfall between 1983 and 2006	173



4.56	Bukit Merah, sinkholes distribution from 1981 to 1998	175
4.57	Gently rolling hills and shallow depressions caused by solution sinkholes are common topographic features	176
4.58	Geohazard evaluation of sinkholes in Bukit Merah	178
4.59	Geohazard Map in Bukit Merah	180
4.60	Ground penetration radar delineate sinkholes and cavities developed in Limestone bedrock at Bukit Merah (Jabatan Mineral dan Geosains Malaysia, July 2001)	181
4.61	Grid point generated from stereo Ariel photo 1981 and 2004	182
4.62	Result of karst visualization of surface movement elevation data sample a	184
4.63	Result of karst visualization surface movement elevation data sample b	185
4.64	Result of karst visualization surface movement elevation data sample c	186
4.65	Semivariogram surface sample (a) from 1981 to 2004	188
4.66	Semivariogram surface sample (c) from 1981 to 2004	199
4.67	Geo-hazard map samples surface investigation (a and c) area karst	190
4.68	The pavement shows almost a concordant level, downward dissolution forming pinnacles is ongoing under the iron rich alluvium	191
4.69	Size Limestone hills changed from 1981 to 2004	192
4.70	Limestone hills overlay on geohazard map	192
4.71	Geological heritage site of Gunung Rapat	194
4.72	Illustration of karst terrain of Gunung Rapa	195
4.73	Karst features for geological heritage values	195



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

GIS	Geographic Information System
KLLF	Kuala Lumpur Limestone Formation
MMCB	Malaysia Mining Corporation Berhad
VSMSTDM	Volumetric Surface Movement Spatiotemporal Data Model
RSO	Rectified Skew Orthomorphic
ESRI	Environmental Systems Research Institute
GPS	Global Positioning System
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
DTM	Digital Terrain Models
TIN	Triangulation Irregular Network
NST	New Straits Times
FCC	False Colour Composites
RTD	Rancangan Tempatan Daerah (Location Planning Area)
MSL	Mean Sea Level
Mm	Magnitude Moment
MACRES	Malaysian Centre for Remote Sensing
CaCO <sub>3</sub>	Calcium Carbonate
MgCO <sub>3</sub>	Magnesium Carbonate



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Background

Sinkholes, the most common and most easily recognized surface features of Karst topography, are defined as or generally refer to an area of localized land surface subsidence or collapse, due to Karst processes, which result in a closed hollow of moderated dimensions (Beck, 1984). The term “karst” refers to a type of terrain, known for its distinctive topography in which the landscape is largely shaped by the dissolving action of meteoric water on carbonate bedrock. (Alexandar, 2000, and Smith, 1996) The late twentieth century has witnessed a substantial increase in natural disasters and awareness of environmental hazard. Gao and Alexander (2008) mentioned that, the influences of sinkhole formation and the ability to accurately predict sinkhole hazards is critical to environmental management efforts in the karst area. The karst landforms of tropical regions, such as those found in Peninsular Malaysia, are distinguishable by their mountains with steep slopes separated by broad flat valleys or plains. These tower-like mountains with rocky overhanging cliffs are riddled with caves and are only found in humid-tropical limestone regions (Fatihah, 2003).

Karst in the Kinta Valley takes the form of a typical tropical karst. It was renowned as the world’s richest tin mining area in the early 60’s and 70’s (Fatihah, 2003). Since then, the heavy consumption of this mineral resource in tandem with rapid development and urbanization has tremendous impacts on the limestone karst especially in the absence of



any plans and management practices to preserve it. Mining activities prevailed in most of the karst's history, while land developers were also unaware of the karst value and its essence in the ecological system. In addition local settlers were also looking for wealth and higher standard of living. All these factors had essentially ignored the significance of the karst as a distinctive feature of its own in the Kinta surroundings. Poor management plans were implemented in the early seventies but were neither enough nor effective since the techniques employed were traditional, costly, time-consuming and lacking enforcement.

Geohazard (geological hazard) is a naturally occurring or man-made geologic condition or phenomenon that presents a potential hazard or is a potential danger to life or property (American Geological Institute, 1984). Kovach (1995) and Smith (1996) reported that hazard is a source of danger and its evaluation encompasses three elements - potential hazard of personal harm (death, injury, disease, stress), potential hazard of property (property damage, economic loss) and potential hazard of environmental damage (loss of flora fauna, pollution, and loss of amenity); Geohazard is therefore a disastrous and unavoidable element of life.

Sinkholes, the crux of the geohazard issue in the Kinta Limestone Formation, are due to chemical weathering of the limestone bedrock, which gives rise to cavities and subsequently sinkholes. The formation or emergence of sinkhole is usually very sudden and unpredictable, and its development can be catastrophic.



Many environmental problems can be predicted; especially when geohazards are analyzed using technological advanced tools such as the Geographical Information Systems (GIS). Hazard evaluation requires answering some questions such as; what is hazard? Where is it going to occur? What will be its impact? How widespread is it, or the potential of its spread? Can it be avoided? And if not, what can be done to reduce the effect of hazard? Early identification of geohazards means that potential potential hazards can be avoided or mitigated, often without additional cost, and is vital to the success of development planning and its implementation (Abu Shariah, 2002). GIS enables researchers to objectively identify the triggering factors of karst hazards and store them in a spatial database. (Harrison., 2004). Spatial information technology is useful in dealing with natural hazards as it strengthens coordination among multiple programs of potential hazard management through tools for identification, analyses, assessment, treatment and monitoring (Mansor et al., 2004).

One great challenge resides on quantifying the nature and evaluating sinkhole related geo-hazards from space, which would lead to a better understanding of the terrain. Fortunately, modern technology allows more complex analysis of data, which can also be more efficiently collected in the field. From high-resolution stereo pair's images, Digital Terrain Models (DTM) can be generated and this enables detail analysis of the terrain with more sophisticated tools.

Currently Spatiotemporal Data Model lacks the understanding of the real world phenomena. In the near future, Spatiotemporal Data Model will become a very crucial factor in developing real-time processes in the GIS (Rahim et al., 2005). The

