



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**MOLECULAR PROFILING AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE OF  
*SALMONELLA ENTERICA* SUBSP. *ENTERICA* ISOLATED FROM  
INDIGENOUS ULAM AND POULTRY MEAT**

**LEE LEARN HAN**

**FPSK(M) 2008 5**

**MOLECULAR PROFILING AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE OF  
*SALMONELLA ENTERICA* SUBSP. *ENTERICA* ISOLATED FROM  
INDIGENOUS ULAM AND POULTRY MEAT**

**By**

**LEE LEARN HAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**May 2008**



*Dedicated to my loving parents, Lee Guang and Yoke Lin and lovely brothers, Learn Ping and Learn Yong, and sister, Pey Shen and my beloved partner, Kean Ching*



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement of the degree of Master of Science

**MOLECULAR PROFILING AND ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE OF  
*SALMONELLA ENTERICA* SUBSP. *ENTERICA* ISOLATED FROM  
INDIGENOUS ULAM AND POULTRY MEAT**

By

**LEE LEARN HAN**

**May 2008**

**Chairman: Cheah Yoke Kqueen, PhD**

**Faculty: Medicine and Health Sciences**

*Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* formed the major group that represents nearly 60% of the salmonellae. *Salmonella* organisms emerged as a public health problem in many countries as salmonellosis has become the most prevalent foodborne disease worldwide. It has been estimated that approximately 1.4 million cases were reported annually in the developed nations such as USA. In Malaysia, of 8,640 cases of food poisoning reported by the Ministry of Health for the year 1999, 811 (9.4%) were due to *Salmonella*. The purpose of this study was to characterize and study *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* (*S. enterica*) using multiple antimicrobial resistance and several molecular typing methods including plasmid profiling, PCR-RFLP, RAPD, ERIC-PCR and Multiplex PCR on antibiotic resistant gene. The isolate were recovered from poultry meat (55), four types of indigenous vegetables namely ‘selom’ (*Oenanthe stolonifera*) (59), ‘pegaga’ (*Centella asiatica*) (20), ‘kesum’ (*Polygonum minus*) (41), ‘kangkong’ (*Ipomoea aquatica*) (14) and processed food (11).



Genomic DNA of the 200 *S. enterica* isolates belonging to 43 different serovars were recovered from poultry meat, various indigenous vegetables and processed food was confirmed by specific and duplex PCR targeting the *iroB* gene that yielded 443 bp and 606 bp amplicons. The PCR amplification of *iroB* gene is a rapid and reliable method for distinguishing between *S. enterica* and other bacterial species.

Plasmids of *S. enterica* varied in sizes from 2 to more than 200 kb. Despite limited knowledge on their function, their presence is frequently used for strain differentiation in epidemiological studies. Plasmid profiling on the 200 *S. enterica* isolates demonstrated high discriminatory capability for serovars differentiation in this study that was clustered into 70 groups based on the number and pattern of the bands.

One of the amplification based techniques used in this study for molecular characterization was PCR-RFLP that incorporated PCR of *iroB1*, *iroB2* and restriction digest with *Bgl*II and *Alu*I to determine the relatedness of bacterial strains. Results obtained showed that PCR-RFLP has excellent typeability but low discriminatory power due to its inability to produce different banding patterns.

ERIC sequences are short, highly conserved 126 bp non-coding regions found in the *Enterobacteriaceae*. Its location in bacterial genomes allows discrimination at the genus, species and serovars levels. RAPD is an amplification-based technique using arbitrary primers to detect changes in the DNA sequence at the sites in the genome and enable the discrimination of samples according to sources and serovars. Dendrogram of RAPD and ERIC-PCR were analyzed and comparisons made using



BioNumerics gel analysis software (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Among the 200 isolates of *S. enterica*, RAPD with arbitrary primers OPAR02, OPAR17 and OPAR19 generated 47 clusters and 13 single isolates whereas ERIC-PCR with primers ERIC-1 and ERIC-2 produced 46 clusters and 12 single isolates at 60% similarity level with discriminatory index (*D*) of 0.9726 and 0.9606 respectively. Composite analysis of RAPD and ERIC-PCR profiling simultaneously produced 50 clusters and 18 single isolates at 60% similarity level with highest discriminatory index of 0.9824. These results demonstrated that composite analysis of RAPD (OPAR02, OPAR17 and OPAR19) together with ERIC-PCR are a better tool for differentiation and characterization of *S. enterica* as compared to a single method approach.

The multiplex PCR targeted three different antibiotic resistance genes that was used to detect TEM, PSE-1 and *cmlA/tetR* genes segment encoding resistance towards ampicillin, chloramphenicol and tetracycline, respectively which could reduce labour and cost in analysis of a large number of isolates.

Subsequently antimicrobial resistance was performed using disc diffusion method with a selection of 13 different antimicrobial agents. Total of 66 profiles were generated and multiple antimicrobial resistance (MAR) analysis indicated poultry meat still remains as the main reservoir for multi drug resistant *Salmonella*. In contrast, six isolates from the indigenous vegetables showed the highest MAR index (0.69). This might be due to animal waste fertilizer, irrigation water, contaminated container and improper handling of food by human that contributed to be the sources of *Salmonella* contamination of vegetables. Further investigations need to be



conducted to determine if *Salmonella* isolates in recovered from indigenous vegetables were gaining more antimicrobial resistance. The characterization of MAR enabled the determination of antimicrobial patterns and trends in *Salmonella* from poultry meat and indigenous vegetables in Malaysia.

As a conclusion, the results from this study could provide valuable information on the epidemiology and drug resistance trends of *S. enterica*, and hence contribute towards better surveillance and infection control measures as well as improved public health policy.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PROFIL MOLEKUL DAN RINTANGAN TERHADAP AGEN ANTIMICROB  
BAGI *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* YANG DIPENCILKAN DARI  
AYAM DAN ULAM**

Oleh

**LEE LEARN HAN**

**Febuari 2008**

**Pengerusi: Cheah Yoke Kqueen, PhD**

**Fakulti: Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan**

*Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* membentuk kumpulan terbesar yang mewakili hampir 60% salmonella. Kewujudan organisma *Salmonella* yang memberi masalah kesihatan kepada banyak Negara kerana menyebabkan penyakit salmonellosis yang merupakan panyakit berjangkit melalui makanan yang paling utama secara global. Dianggarkan 1.4 juta kes dilaporkan setiap tahun di negara maju seperti Amerika Syarikat. Di Malaysia, 811 (9.4%) daripada 8,640 kes keracunan makanan yang dilaporkan oleh Kementerian Kesihatan pada tahun 1999, merupakan infeksi *Salmonella*. Tujuan penyelidikan ini adalah untuk mengkaji *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* (*S. enterica*) dengan menggunakan teknik rintangan terhadap pelbagai agen antimicrob dan beberapa teknik analisis molekul termasuk analisis plasmid, PCR-RFLP, RAPD, ERIC-PCR dan Multiplex PCR. Isolat *Salmonella* adalah dari sampel daging ayam (55) dan ulam seperti ‘selom’ (*Oenanthe stolonifera*) (59), ‘pegaga’ (*Centella asiatica*) (20), ‘kesum’ (*Polygonum minus*) (41), ‘kangkong’ (*Ipomoea aquatica*) (14) dan makanan proses (11).





DNA genomik dari 200 pencilan *S. enterica* yang terdiri daripada 43 serovar diperolehi dari ayam, pelbagai ulam dan makanan yang telah diproses dan disahkan dengan 'Specific' dan 'duplex PCR' yang menumpu ke atas gen *iroB* dengan menghasilkan 443 bp dan 606 bp. Amplifikasi PCR terhadap gen *iroB* merupakan teknik yang cepat dan berkesan untuk membezakan *S. enterica* dengan bakteria lain.

Saiz plasmid untuk *S. enterica* berada di antara 2 hingga 200 kb. Meskipun oleh kekurangan ilmu pengetahuan terhadap fungsi plasmid, kewujudan plasmid selalu digunakan untuk membezakan strain bakteria berlainan dalam kajian epidemiologi. Analisis profil plasmid yang dihasilkan oleh 200 pencilan *S. Enterica* menunjukkan keupayaan yang tinggi dalam membezakan serovar antara satu sama lain. Dalam kajian ini 70 kumpulan telah diklusterkan mengikut bilangan dan corak band.

Salah satu daripada pemencilan secara molekul dengan kaedah amplifikasi yang digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah PCR-RFLP yang menggabungkan PCR untuk *iroB1* dan *iroB2* serta 'restriction digest' dengan *BglII* dan *AluI* merupakan teknik berdasarkan amplifikasi secara spesifik. Ia bergabung dengan analisis 'restriction digest' and amplifikasi PCR untuk menentukan hubungan di antara bakteria dalam kajian ini. Locus yang khusus diamplifikasi dengan primer khusus dan disambung dengan analisis RFLP. Produk PCR dari amplifikasi primer *iroB1* and *iroB2* diteruskan dengan pencernaan oleh *BglII* and *AluI* untuk menentukan kesamaan antara bakteria. Keputusan yang diperolehi menunjukkan bahawa PCR-RFLP memiliki keupayaan yang tinggi dalam kebolehan mengtipe tetapi daya diskriminasinya rendah kerana ketidakupayaan untuk menghasilkan corak-corak band yang berbeza dalam kajian ini.

ERIC merupakan jujukan yang singkat dan terpelihara dengan saiz 126 bp dari bahagian bukan kod yang dijumpai dalam *Enterobacteriaceae*. Lokasi ERIC bakteria membenarkan diskriminasi pada peringkat genus, spesis dan serovar. RAPD merupakan teknik yang berdasarkan amplifikasi dengan menggunakan primer rambang untuk menentukan perubahan dalam susunan DNA di mana sampel dapat didiskriminasikan berdasarkan sumber dan serovar. Dendrogram dari RAPD dan ERIC-PCR dianalisis dan dibandingkan dengan menggunakan perisian analisis gel BioNumeric (Applied Maths, Kortrijk, Belgium). Daripada 200 pencilan *S. enterica*, RAPD dengan primer rambang iaitu OPAR02, OPAR17 dan OPAR19 dapat menghasilkan 47 kluster dan 13 pencilan tunggal sementara ERIC-PCR dengan primer ERIC-1 dan ERIC-2 menghasilkan 46 kluster dan 12 pencilan tunggal pada tahap keserupaan 60% dengan indeks diskriminasi ( $D$ ) 0.9726 dan 0.9606 masing-masing. Analisis gabungan RAPD dan ERIC-PCR menghasilkan 50 kluster dan 18 pencilan tunggal pada tahap keserupaan 60% dengan indeks diskriminasi yang tertinggi iaitu 0.9824. Keputusan menunjukkan analisis gabungan RAPD (OPAR02, OPAR17 dan OPAR19) bersama dengan ERIC-PCR merupakan sistem analisis yang lebih baik untuk pembezaan dan klasifikasi *S. enterica* berbanding dengan teknik secara tunggal.

Perkembangan mengenai rintangan agen antimikrob antara pelbagai *Salmonella* telah menjadi masalah kesihatan secara global. Penggunaan agen antimikrob dalam manusia, perubatan veterinar, nutrisi dan pertanian secara meluas selalunya memberi implikasi terhadap kewujudan strain *S. enterica* yang mempunyai rintangan terhadap pelbagai ubat. Maka, 'multiplex PCR' yang fokus kepada tiga rintangan gen antibiotik iaitu TEM, PSE-1 dan *cmlA/tetR* yang bertanggungjawab memberi



keupayaan rintangan terhadap ampicillin, chloramphenicol dan tetracycline masing-masing digunakan dalam kajian berkeupayaan mengkurangkan kos buruh dan analisis dalam bilangan sampel yang banyak berbanding dengan analisis PCR tunggal untuk setiap gen berasingan.

Tambahan pula, teknik rintangan antimikrob pelbagai (MAR) yang digunakan terhadap 200 pencilan *S. enterica* dengan 13 jenis agen antimikrob memaparkan ayam masih merupakan waduk utama untuk *Salmonella* yang mempunyai rintangan terhadap pelbagai ubat. Sebaliknya, enam pencilan dari ulam menunjukkan indeks MAR yang tertinggi dalam kajian ini. Penyiasatan yang lebih mendalam diperlukan untuk menentukan samada pencilan *Salmonella* yang diperolehi daripada ulam sedang mangalami process memperoleh lebih rintangan terhadap agen antimikrob. Klasifikasi MAR membenarkan kita menentukan corak-corak antimikrob dalam *Salmonella* yang dipencilkan daripada ayam dan ulam di Malaysia.

Kesimpulannya, data yang diperolehi dari kajian ini dapat memberi maklumat yang manfaat dalam kajian epidemiologi *Salmonella*, kawalan infeksi yang lebih berkesan dan menyokong polisi kesihatan awam.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to convey my greatest gratitude and appreciation to the chairman of my supervisory committee, Dr. Cheah Yoke Kqueen for his patience, invaluable guidance, endless motivation, dedicated efforts and continuous support throughout the study. Also heartfelt appreciation is extended for his tremendous effort in teaching me to write proper scientific papers. I am forever indebted to all his teachings and guidance along the path of research.

My special thanks to my co-supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Sabrina Sukardi for her endless motivation and guidance that kept me going and make this project and thesis a reality. Sincere thanks are extended to my other co-supervisors, Professor Dr. Son Radu and Dr. Noorzaleha Awang Salleh for their advice, suggestions and supports.

My sincere gratitude to all my friends, Sim Jiun Horng, Suzanne Khoo, Crystale Lim, Chee Hong, Phelim Yong, Lee Yean and Fahartani Mahmud for all the help and support given along the journey of my master's research.

My sincere thanks to all the staff of the Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, who has contributed in one way or another throughout the research project been conducted.

Last but not least my deepest thanks to my dearest parents, Lee Guang, Yoke Lin, my handsome brothers, Learn Ping and Learn Yong, my beautiful sister, Pey Shen



and my beloved partner, Kean Ching for all their patience, support and encouragement. Thanks and I love you all!



I certified that an Examination Committee has met on 22<sup>th</sup> May 2008 to conduct the final examination of Lee Learn Han on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Molecular Profiling and Antimicrobial Resistance of *Salmonella enterica* subsp. *enterica* Isolated From Indigenous Vegetables (Ulam) and Poultry Meat” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

**Zuraini Ahmad, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Saleha Abdul Aziz, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Chong Pei Pei, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Indrani Karunasagar, PhD**

Professor  
Head, Department of Microbiology  
Director, UNESCO CENTRE for Marine Biotechnology  
Karnataka Veterinary, Animal & Fisheries Sciences University  
India  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 Jun 2008



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Cheah Yoke Kqueen, PhD**

Lecturer  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Son Radu, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Food Science and Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Sabrina Sukardi, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Noorzaleha Awang Salleh, PhD**

Head, Section of Biotechnology  
Unit of Microbiology  
Department of Chemistry, Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 July 2008



## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

---

**LEE LEARN HAN**

Date: 24 Jun 2008





## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	Vii
<b>AKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	xi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	xiii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xx
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxiv
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
General Introduction	1
Objectives of the study	6
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 <i>Salmonella</i> – The genus	7
2.2 <i>Salmonella</i> – Taxonomy	8
2.3 Pathogenesis of <i>Salmonella</i>	9
2.4 Sources of Transmission	11
2.5 Epidemiology and Incidence of <i>Salmonella</i>	14
2.6 Purification of <i>Salmonella</i>	17
2.7 <i>Salmonella</i> Typing and Subtyping	18
2.8 Molecular Typing Method	19
2.8.1 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	20
2.8.2 Specific PCR	22
2.8.3 Multiplex PCR	24
2.8.4 PCR-Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism (RFLP)	26
2.8.5 Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD)	27
2.8.6 Enterobacterial Repetitive Intergenic Consensus-PCR (ERIC-PCR)	28
2.9 Plasmid Profiles	30
2.10 Antibiotic Resistance of <i>Salmonella</i>	31
<b>3 ANTIMICROBIAL RESISTANCE PROFILING OF <i>SALMONELLA</i> SEROVARS ISOLATED FROM POULTRY MEAT AND INDIGENOUS VEGETABLES</b>	<b>36</b>
3.1 Introduction	36
3.2 Objectives of the Study	40
3.3 Materials and Methods	40
3.3.1 Bacterial isolates	40
3.3.2 Selective Media	41
3.3.3 Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing	41
3.3.4 Multiple Antibiotic Resistance (MAR) Index	43
3.4 Results and Discussion	44



3.4.1	Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing	44
<b>4</b>	<b>SPECIFIC PCR DETECTION: DUPLEX PCR FOR <i>SALMONELLA</i> SPECIFIC DETECTION, MULTIPLEX PCR FOR ANTIBIOTIC RESISTANCE GENE AND PCR-RFLP OF <i>SALMONELLA ENTERICA</i></b>	<b>58</b>
4.1	Introduction	58
4.2	Objectives of the Study	59
4.3	Materials and Methods	60
4.3.1	Bacterial isolates	60
4.3.2	Specific Duplex PCR	60
4.3.3	Multiplex Antibiotic PCR	63
4.3.4	PCR-RFLP	65
4.4	Results and Discussion	66
4.4.1	Specific Duplex PCR	66
4.4.2	Multiplex Antibiotic PCR	74
4.4.3	PCR-RFLP	83
4.4.4	NCBI Blast Sequence	88
<b>5</b>	<b>PLASMID PROFILING, ENTEROBACTERIAL REPETITIVE INTERGENIC CONSENSUS PCR (ERIC-PCR), RANDOM AMPLIFIED POLYMORPHIC DNA (RAPD) AND COMPOSITE ANALYSIS OF <i>SALMONELLA ENTERICA</i></b>	<b>91</b>
5.1	Introduction	91
5.2	Objectives of the Study	92
5.3	Materials and Methods	93
5.3.1	Bacterial isolates	93
5.3.2	Plasmid Profiling	93
5.3.3	ERIC-PCR	94
5.3.4	RAPD	95
5.3.5	Data analysis	96
5.3.6	Discrimination index	97
5.4	Results and Discussion	97
5.4.1	Plasmid Profiling	97
5.4.2	ERIC-PCR	105
5.4.3	RAPD	113
5.4.4	Composite Analysis	121
<b>6</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>128</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION</b>	<b>134</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>137</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>161</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>200</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>205</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Page
2.1 Ten most commonly isolated <i>Salmonella</i> serovars from animals and livestock products for the period of 1996-2001	16
3.1 Interpretation of <i>Salmonella</i> isolates towards 13 antimicrobial agents according to NCCLS (1999) Guidelines	42
3.2 Grouping of Antimicrobial agents and their concentrations	43
3.3 Antibigrams of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	46
3.4 Antibigrams and MAR indices of 200 <i>Salmonella</i> isolates from various sources	49
3.5 Percentages of antimicrobial resistance of <i>Salmonella</i> serovars isolated from various sources	52
4.1 Primers used in Duplex PCR and Multiplex PCR	64
4.2 Confirmation of <i>Salmonella</i> serovars using specific duplex PCR	73
4.3 Total 200 <i>Salmonella enterica</i> with culture number, sources, original references and Multiplex Antibiotic PCR results	78
4.4 Summary of profiles generated from amplification of 200 <i>Salmonella</i> isolates with multiplex PCR	81
4.5 Analysis of <i>Salmonella</i> serovars using PCR-RFLP	87
5.1 Primers used in ERIC-PCR and RAPD	96
5.2 Plasmid profile of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	102
5.3 ERIC-PCR clustering profile for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	111
5.4 RAPD clustering profile that uses OPAR02, 17 and 19 for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	119
5.5 Composite analysis profile for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	125



5.6	No. of clusters and DI of various typing method used in this study	127
-----	--	-----



## LIST OF FIGURES

Figures		Page
4.1	Gel electrophoresis of Gradient PCR using IroB1 and IroB2 primer	67
4.2	Representative gel electrophoresis results of 606-bp amplified fragments of different <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	68
4.3	Gel like image for representative gel electrophoresis using IroB1 and IroB2 primers of 606-bp amplified fragments of different <i>Salmonella</i> serovars using Agilent Bioanalyzer 2100 with DNA 1000 Series II assay kit.	68
4.4	Representative gel electrophoresis results of 443-bp amplified fragments of different <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	69
4.5	Gel electrophoresis of Gradient PCR using IroB1, IroB2 and IroB3 primers	70
4.6	Representative gel electrophoresis results of Duplex PCR pattern produced by different <i>Salmonella</i> Serovars	71
4.7	Screening of 20 isolates of <i>S. enterica</i> with Multiplex Antibiotic PCR	74
4.8	Gel electrophoresis of Gradient PCR using primers targeting TEM, <i>cmlA/tetR</i> , <i>SipB/C</i> and PSE-1 genes	76
4.9	Representative of Multiplex Antibiotic PCR pattern produced by <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	77
4.10	Representative of Multiplex Antibiotic PCR pattern produced by <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	77
4.11	Representative of PCR-RFLP pattern produced by <i>AluI</i> -digested	86



	PCR product from different <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	
4.12	Representative of PCR-RFLP pattern produced by <i>Bgl</i> II-digested PCR product from different <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	86
4.13	Alignment of obtained <i>cmlA/tetR</i> gene sequence with <i>cmlA/tetR</i> sequences from NCBI Blast Database	88
4.14	Alignment of obtained <i>iroB</i> gene sequence with <i>iroB</i> sequences from NCBI Blast Database	89
4.15	Alignment of obtained TEM gene sequence with TEM sequences from NCBI Blast Database	89
4.16	Alignment of obtained PSE-1 gene sequence with PSE-1 sequences from NCBI Blast Database	90
4.17	Gel picture A, B and C are results of Duplex PCR for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	161
4.18	Gel picture D, E and F are results of Duplex PCR for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	162
4.19	Gel picture A, B and C are results of Multiplex-PCR for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	163
4.20	Gel picture D, E and F are results of Multiplex-PCR for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	164
4.21	Gel picture A, B and C are results of PCR-RFLP ( <i>Ahu</i> I) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	165
4.22	Gel picture D, E and F are results of PCR-RFLP ( <i>Ahu</i> I) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	166
4.23	Gel picture A, B and C are results of PCR-RFLP ( <i>Bgl</i> II) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	167



4.24	Gel picture D, E, F and G are results of PCR-RFLP ( <i>Bgl</i> II) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	168
5.1	Representative of Plasmid pattern produced by different <i>Salmonella</i> serovars	100
5.2	Dendrogram demonstrated plasmid profiling for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	101
5.3	Bar Chart that exhibited Plasmid Profile of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	104
5.4	Representative of ERIC-PCR fingerprints of <i>S. enterica</i> isolates with primer ERIC-1 and ERIC-2	106
5.5	Dendrogram derived from ERIC-PCR analysis of <i>S. Enterica</i> isolates using ERIC-1 and ERIC-2 primers	107
5.6	OPAR02 (A), OPAR17 (B) and OPAR19 (C) exhibited the representative RAPD fingerprinting produced by 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	117
5.7	Dendrogram derived from RAPD analysis of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates using RAPD primers OPAR02, 17 and 19	118
5.8	Dendrogram derived from composite analysis of RAPD and ERIC for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	124
5.9	A, B, C and D are gel picture of Plasmid for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	169
5.10	E, F, G and H are gel picture of Plasmid for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	170
5.11	I, J, K and L are gel picture of Plasmid for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	171
5.12	A, B and C are gel picture of ERIC-PCR for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	172



5.13	D, E and F are gel picture of ERIC-PCR for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	173
5.14	A, B and C are gel picture of RAPD (OPAR02) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	174
5.15	D, E and F are gel picture of RAPD (OPAR02) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	175
5.16	A, B and C are gel picture of RAPD (OPAR17) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	176
5.17	D and E are gel picture of RAPD (OPAR17) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	177
5.18	A, B and C are gel picture of RAPD (OPAR19) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	178
5.19	D and E are gel picture of RAPD (OPAR19) for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	179
5.20	Enlarged version of dendrogram demonstrated plasmid profiling for 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	180
5.21	Enlarged version of dendrogram demonstrated ERIC-PCR analysis of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates using ERIC-1 and ERIC-2 primers	184
5.22	Enlarged version of dendrogram demonstrated RAPD analysis of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates using OPAR02, OPAR17 and OPAR19 primers	190
5.23	Enlarged version of dendrogram demonstrated Average RAPD and ERIC-PCR analysis of 200 <i>S. enterica</i> isolates	194





## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CDC	Center for Disease Control and Prevention
<i>D</i>	Discriminatory index
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
ERIC-PCR	Enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus
MAR	Multiple antimicrobial Resistant
NCCLS	National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards
PCR	Polymerase chain reaction
PCR-RFLP	PCR-Restriction fragment length polymorphism
PFGE	Pulse field gel electrophoresis
RAPD	Random amplified polymorphic DNA
RPM	Rate per minute
UPGMA	Unweighted pair-group arithmetic average clustering
XLD	Xylose lysine deoxycholate agar

