

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

A MATRIX USAGE FOR LOAD BALANCING IN SHORTEST PATH ROUTING

NOR MUSLIZA MUSTAFA

FSKTM 2009 3



A MATRIX USAGE FOR LOAD BALANCING IN SHORTEST PATH ROUTING

By

NOR MUSLIZA MUSTAFA

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

UPM BR

DEDICATION

Dedicated to my parents, to my hubby,

to my kids,

and to all my brothers and sisters.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

A MATRIX USAGE FOR LOAD BALANCING IN SHORTEST PATH ROUTING

By

NOR MUSLIZA MUSTAFA

February 2009

Chair : Associate Professor Mohamed Othman, PhD

Faculty : Computer Science and Information Technology

The Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) protocol is a hierarchical interior gateway protocol (IGP) for routing in Internet Protocol. Traffic flows routed along shortest path and splits the load equally at nodes where a number of outgoing links on the shortest paths to the same destination IP address. Network operator defines shortest paths based on a link weights value assigned to each link in the network. The OSPF link weight-setting problem seeks a set of link weights to optimize a cost function and network performance, typically associated with a network congestion measure. This research highlight the importance of managing network resource and avoiding congested point in the current widely deployed shortest path routing.

The previous Evenly Balancing Method (EBM) and Re-Improved Balancing Method (R-IBM) used demand matrix, which requires constant monitoring of routers with high time executions in the optimization process. The problems are to find another matrix that can replace or minimize the usage of demand matrix with low time executions process. A new proposed Matrix Usage Method (MUM) is developed. MUM selects the shortest path routing in order to provide a balancing load and



optimized the usage of link in the network. The simulation results show that the routing performance of the new proposed method MUM is better than the routing performance of the previous Evenly Balancing Methods (EBM) and Re-Improved Balancing Method (R-IBM) due to providing counting selection technique in the shortest path routing. MUM times executions are also improved comparing with the previous work.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

MATRIX PENGGUNAAN UNTUK KAEDAH PENGIMBANGAN BEBAN DI DALAM PENGHALAAN LALUAN TERPENDEK

Oleh

NOR MUSLIZA MUSTAFA

Februari 2009

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Mohamed Othman, PhD

Fakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Protokol OSPF merupakan protokol hirark i dalaman untuk penghalaan di dalam protokol Internet. Pengaliran trafik dilaksanakan di atas laluan terpendek dan membahagikan beban sama rata kepada nod, di mana beberapa pautan keluar berada di atas laluan terpendek ke destinasi IP yang sama. Operator rangkaian mengenalpasti laluan terpendek melalui nilai pautan pemberat yang ditetapkan kepada setiap pautan di dalam rangkaian. Masalah penetapan pautan pemberat OSPF ialah mencari set pautan pemberat untuk mengoptimumkan fungsi kos dan prestasi rangkaian, yang pada kebiasaannya melibatkan pengukuran kesesakan rangkaian. Penyelidikan ini menekankan kepentingan menguruskan sumber rangkaian dan penghindaran pautan sesak di dalam penghalaan laluan terpendek yang ada.

Di dalam penyelidikan sebelum ini kaedah pengimbangan sama (EBM) dan kaedah pengimbangan yang diperbaiki (R-IBM) telah menggunakan matrik permintaan yang memerlukan pengawasan yang tetap ke atas router dengan pengarangan jumlah masa yang tinggi di dalam proses pengoptimuman. Masalahnya ialah untuk mencari matrik lain yang boleh menggantikan atau mengurangkan penggunaan matrik permintaan dengan proses pengarangan jumlah masa yang rendah. Satu kaedah baru yang

UPM

dinamakan sebagai Kaedah Matrix Penggunaan (MUM) dibangunkan. MUM memilih penghalaan laluan terpendek di dalam menyediakan keseimbangan beban dan mengoptimumkan penggunaan pautan di dalam rangkaian. Keputusan simulasi menunjukkan bahawa prestasi penghalaan bagi kaedah MUM adalah lebih baik daripada prestasi penghalaan kaedah sebelum ini iaitu Kaedah Pengimbangan Sama (EBM) dan Kaedah Pengimbangan Yang Diperbaiki (R-IBM) dengan menyediakan teknik pengiraan pilihan di dalam penghalaan laluan terpendek. Dari aspek pengarangan jumlah masa MUM juga diperbaiki berbanding penyelidikan yang terdahulu.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Mostly, all grace and thanks belongs to Almighty Allah for giving me the strength of mind and patience in completing this research. Many special thanks go to my supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Mohamed Othman and the member of the supervisory committee, Dr. Zuriati Zukarnain for their valuable advice, helpful guidance and recommendations to my research calmly and precisely.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincerely appreciation and thanks to Dr Makarem Abdul Qader Bamatraf and Dr. Bernard Fortz from AT&T Labs for their ideas, cooperation, and patient in replying any issues regarding their optimization model that used as a benchmark in this research.

Sincere and deepest thanks to the staff of Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology, Graduate School Office, Library and Universiti Putra Malaysia for providing a studying and research environment.

Finally, many thanks to my parents, my hubby, my kids, my brothers and sisters, all the family members and friends for their worship, continuous support in all my efforts.





This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohamed Othman, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Zuriati Zulkarnain, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

.

HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 July 2009



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been properly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

NOR MUSLIZA MUSTAFA

Date: 17 July 2009



TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABS ACI API DEC LIS LIS	PROVA CLARA T OF T T OF F	CT CLEDGEMENTS AL ATION TABLES FIGURES ABBREAVIATIONS	Page ii v vii viii x xiii xv xviii
			1
1		TRODUCTION Declaration	1
	1.1 1.2	\mathcal{E}	1 4
	1.3		5
		Research Scope	5
	1.5	<u> </u>	6
	1.6		6
2	LIT	ERATURE REVIEW	7
	2.1	Introduction	7
	2.2	Traffic Engineering	10
		2.2.1 Traffic Engineering Requirements	12
		2.2.2 Traditional Routing in the Internet	13
		2.2.3 Equal Cost Multiple Path (ECMP)	17
		2.2.4 Traffic Engineering Extensions of OSPF	19
	2.3	\mathcal{E}	19
	2.4	0 1	20
	2.5	OSPF Traffic Engineering Framework	21
		2.5.1 Measurement Stage	23
		2.5.2 Routing Stage	27
		2.5.3 Optimization Stage2.5.4 Reconfiguration Stage	30 31
	26	Fundamental Principles and Constraints	32
	2.7		33
	2.1	2.7.1 Optimization Using Link Weights	35
		2.7.2 Optimization Using Link Weights and Splitting Ratios	40
		2.7.2 Optimization Using Ellik Weights and Spritting Radios 2.7.3 Adaptive Approaches for Load Balancing	41
	2.8	Studying and Solving Problems	42
	2.0	2.8.1 Evenly Balancing Method (EBM) Model	42
		2.8.2 Re-Improved Balancing Method (R-IBM) Model	45
		2.8.3 Importance of Solving Previous Work Problems	50
	2.9	Summary	51



3	RE	SEARCH METHODOLOGY	53
	3.1	Introduction	53
	3.2	Routing Formulation	53
		3.2.1 Cost Function	54
		3.2.2 Normalized Cost Function	55
		3.2.3 Optimal Routing	56
		3.2.4 OSPF Link Weights Setting Problem	57
	3.3	Simulation Model	58
		3.3.1 Input Part	61
		3.3.2 Shortest Path Routing Part	61
		3.3.3 Link Weights Optimization Part	61
		3.3.4 Output Part	62
		Framework Weaknesses and Requirements	63
		OSPF Link Weights Method	64
	3.6	Performance Metrics	66
		3.6.1 Routing Cost	66
		3.6.2 Time Executions	68
	2.5	3.6.3 Convergence	69
	3.7	Summary	70
4	MA	TRIX USAGE BALANCING IN OSPF ROUTING	71
	4.1	Introduction	71
	4.2	Proposed Matrix Usage Method (MUM)	71
		4.2.1 MUM Algorithm	72
		4.2.2 Load Distribution Algorithm	75
		4.2.3 Local Congestion Detection Method (LCDM) Algorithm	80
		4.2.4 Update Link Weight Algorithm	82
		4.2.5 Search-MUM Algorithm	86
	4.3	Results and Discussions	96
		4.3.1 Results in Routing Cost	97
		4.3.2 Routing Cost Improvements against Unit	111
		4.3.3 Routing Cost Improvement against EBM	113
		4.3.4 Routing Cost Improvement against R-IBM	114
		4.3.5 Result in Time Executions	116
		4.3.6 Time Executions Improvements against EBM	129
		4.3.7 Time Executions Improvements against R-IBM	130
		4.3.8 Time Execution Improvement against all tested network	131
		4.3.9 The Importance of Time Executions Reduction	133
		4.3.10 Optimization Convergence	133
	4.4	Summary	139
5	CO	NCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	141
		Conclusion	141
	5.2	Future Works	143
REF	EREN	ICES	144
APP	ENDI	CES	149
BIOI	OATA	OF THE STUDENT	159
LIST	OF	PUBLICATIONS	160



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	The topologies used in this research	27
4.1	Cost Average and Best Cost for hier50a network	100
4.2	Cost Average and Best Cost for hier50b network	101
4.3	Cost Average and Best Cost for hier100 network	102
4.4	Cost Average and Best Cost for wax50a network	104
4.5	Cost Average and Best Cost for wax50b network	105
4.6	Cost Average and Best Cost for wax100 network	106
4.7	Cost Average and Best Cost for rand50a network	108
4.8	Cost Average and Best Cost for rand50b network	109
4.9	Cost Average and Best Cost for rand100 network	110
4.10	Cost Average and Best Cost improvements against Unit	112
4.11	Cost Average and Best Cost improvements against EBM	113
4.12	Cost Average and Best Cost improvements against R-IBM	115
4.13	Time Average and Best Time for hier50a network	118
4.14	Time Average and Best Time for hier50b network	119
4.15	Time Average and Best Time for hier100 network	120
4.16	Time Average and Best Time for wax50a network	122
4.17	Time Average and Best Time for wax50b network	123
4.18	Time Average and Best Time for wax100 network	124
4.19	Time Average and Best Time for rand50a network	126
4.20	Time Average and Best Time for rand50b network	127
4.21	Time Average and Best Time for rand100 network	128
4.22	Time Average improvement against EBM	129



4.23	Time Average improvements against R-IBM	131
4.24	Time Average improvements for all tested network	132
4.25	Convergence speed up averages for all tested networks	134



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Shortest path routing within ASes with OSPF link weights	9
2.2	OSPF Traffic-engineering Framework (Makarem, 2007)	23
2.3(a)	Load distribution of $d^{(1, 5)}$ between node exchanges	29
2.3(b)	Load distribution of $d^{(1, 5)}$ and $d^{(2, 5)}$ between nodes exchanges	29
2.4(a)	One shortest path between u and t before EBM balancing	47
2.4(b)	Three available paths between u and t after EBM balancing	47
2.5	One shortest path between u and t before EBM balancing	48
2.6	Arising of RCL problem after EBM balancing	49
2.7	Solving of RCL problem after EBM balancing.	50
3.1	Proposed Simulator Architecture	60
4.1	Pseudo code for <i>MUM procedure</i>	75
4.2	Pseudo code for ClearShortestPathLoad procedure	76
4.3	Pseudo code for ScanShortestPaths procedure	77
4.4	Pseudo code for StoreShortestPathLoad procedure	77
4.5	Pseudo code for StoreShortestPathLoad procedure	78
4.6	Pseudo code for LoadDistribution procedure	79
4.7	Pseudo code for PathDistributionLoad procedure	80
4.8	Pseudo code for RestoreLoadDistribution procedure	80
4.9	Pseudo code for LCDM procedure	82
4.10	Pseudo code for UpdateLinkWeights procedure	84
4.11	Pseudo code for RestoreOldLinkWeights procedure	84
4.12(a)	The available paths $(AP^{s,t})$ from s to destination t before optimized when link a_3 is congested.	85



4.12(b)	The best available paths (AP_{best}^{m}) from s to destination t after optimized by setting the w' $a_3 = 2$ that avoid a congestion link.	86
4.13	Pseudo code for search-MUM procedure	87
4.14	Pseudo code for <i>UsageCounter procedure</i>	88
4.15	Pseudo code for LoadCounter procedure	89
4.16(a)	One shortest path from s to t before search- MUM	92
4.16(b)	One shortest path from s to t after search-MUM	92
4.17(a)	One shortest path from s to t before search- MUM	94
4.17(b)	Three shortest paths from s to t after setting $w'_{a2} = 2$, after search-MUM	94
4.18(a)	Before optimized balancing, shows three shortest path (available path) may send more traffic over congested links.	95
4.18(b)	After optimized balancing by setting $w'_{al} = 3$, only two shortest path (best available path) may avoid the congested links.	96
4.19	Cost Average for hier50a network	100
4.20	Cost Average for hier50b network	101
4.21	Cost Average for hier100 network	102
4.22	Cost Average for wax50a network	104
4.23	Cost Average for wax50b network	105
4.24	Cost Average for wax100 network	106
4.25	Cost Average for rand50a network	108
4.26	Cost Average for rand50b network	109
4.27	Cost Average for rand100 network	110
4.28	Cost Average and Best Cost improvements against Unit	112
4.29	Cost Average and Best Cost improvements against EBM	114
4.30	Cost Average and Best Cost improvements against R-IBM	115
4.31	Time Average for hier50a network	118



4.32	Time Average for hier50b network	119
4.33	Time Average for hier100 network	120
4.34	Time Average for wax50a network	122
4.35	Time Average for wax50b network	123
4.36	Time Average for wax100 network	124
4.37	Time Average for rand50a network	126
4.38	Time Average for rand50b network	127
4.39	Time Average for rand100 network	128
4.40	Time Average improvements for all tested network against EBM	130
4.41	Time Average improvements for all tested network	132
4.42	Convergence for hier50a network	135
4.43	Convergence for hier50b network	135
4.44	Convergence for hier100 network	136
4.45	Convergence for wax50a network	136
4.46	Convergence for wax50b network	137
4.47	Convergence for wax100 network	137
4.48	Convergence for rand50a network	138
4.49	Convergence for rand50b network	138
4.50	Convergence for rand100 network	139



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AS Autonomous System

BGP Border Gateway Protocol

EBM Evenly Balancing Method

ECMP Equal Cost Multiple Path

EGP Exterior Gateway Protocol

IETF Internet Engineering Task Force

IGP Interior Gateway Protocols

IP Internet Protocol

IS-IS Intermediate System-Intermediate System

ISP Internet Service Provider

LCDM Local Congestion Detection Method

MUM Matrix Usage Method

OSPF Open Shortest Path First

QoS Quality of Service

RIP Routing Information Protocol

R-IBM Re-using avoided Congested Links – Improved Balancing Method

SNMP Simple Network Management Protocol

TCP Transmission Control Protocol



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The Internet is a collection of routing domains into many routing domains called Autonomous Systems (ASes) that interact to control the delivery of Internet Protocol (IP) traffic. As the amount and criticality of data being carried on IP networks grows, managing network resources to ensure reliable and acceptable performance becomes increasingly important. Open Shortest Path First (OSPF) is the most commonly used intra-domain Internet routing protocol (Fortz and Thorup, 2004) (Retvari and Cinkler, 2004). Routers are such protocol to exchange link weights and construct a complete view of the topology inside the AS. Then, each router computes shortest paths (path length in the sum of link weights) and creates a table that controls the forwarding of each IP packet to the next hop in its route. OSPF provides shortest path first routing, simple load balancing by Equal Cost Multi Path (ECMP), which the traffic is split equally between equal cost path and resources to manipulate routing through setting the administrative link weights. In the case of multiple shortest paths, OSPF will use load balancing and split the traffic flow equally over several shortest paths (Moy, 1998). Dijkstra's shortest path computation algorithm is widely employed in OSPF implementations.



Normally, size of the network increases OSPF implementations can become unbalanced due to processing overload caused by extremely flooding and/or by regularly Dijkstra executions during periods of network instability. OSPF implementations also apply various mechanisms to help scalability. Network operators assign link weights. The lower the link weight, the greater the chance that traffic will be routed on that link. Cisco is the major router vendor that assigns OSPF link weights as inverse of the link capacity (Cisco, 2006).

In general, the current shortest path routing suffers a problem of arising congested links (Fortz *et al.*, 2002b) (Fortz and Thorup, 2004). It is due to the extremely usage of the shortest paths, while the other paths are unutilized. Many ISP's have a huge infrastructure based on routers running shortest path protocols like OSPF (Retvari and Cinkler, 2004). Congested links could appear if they have lower link weights because all the traffic from any source to any destination will follow the shortest paths, while still other links or paths unutilized (Fortz and Thorup, 2002a). OSPF is known to be a simple routing protocol in two senses. Firstly, its routing is completely determined by one weight for each link. Secondly, it provides simple load balancing by splitting traffic loads almost equally among equal cost paths. (Retvari and Cinkler, 2004).

Importance in traffic-engineering mechanisms has prompted router vendors to define various extensions in presenting a protocol to enable trafficengineering deployment. In general, traffic-engineering issues have been



studied under QoS routing. Traffic demand patterns clearly play a role in determining the frequency of traffic-engineering related link state advertisements. If the frequency of such advertisements is very low, the information available in every routers link state database can become very musty. It has been shown that musty information may limit the benefits of richer network connectivity. It has also been suggested that in order to exploit on solid network topologies, link state updates should be more standard and as a result, there is a need for techniques for dealing the extreme link state traffic. The first work was done by (Fortz and Thorup, 2000a) (Fortz and Thorup, 2000b) and published in (Fortz and Thorup, 2004). They argued that a smart OSPF link weights setting could improve its efficiency and will distribute traffic over network links efficiently. They also proved that OSPF link weights setting is a NP-hard (Non-deterministic Polynomial) complete problem and proposed a taboo search with evenly balancing method to set the link weights optimally. Many optimization algorithm, utilize the same framework of Fortz were developed (Ericson et al., 2002) (Buriol et al., 2002) (Buriol et al., 2005) to optimize OSPF link weights in genetic algorithms. In (Roughan et al., 2003) combined traffic matrix estimation with traffic-engineering of OSPF in order to estimates the traffic matrix and then optimizes OSPF link weights using the framework described in (Fortz et al., 2002b).



1.2 Problem Statement

This research is dutiful to solve the following problems:

- Traffic Demand Matrix Problem: Previous works such as (Fortz and Thorup, 2000a)(Fortz and Thorup, 2000b)(Fortz and Thorup, 2002a)(Fortz *et al.*, 2002b)(Ericsson *et al.*, 2002)(Buriol *et al.*, 2005)(Roughan *et al.*, 2003)(Fortz and Thorup, 2004)(Villamizar, 2002)(Michael and Nemeth, 2002)(Miguel *et al.*, 2005)(Abrahamson *et al.*, 2002)(Ashwin, 2004)(Ashwin *et al.*, 2005)(Miguel *et al.*, 2005)(Wing *et al.*, 2005)(Makarem, 2007) using the same traffic demand matrix in their experiment. The problem is to find another matrix to optimize the link weights instead of using demand matrix. It is because the way to measure the demand matrix is a difficult task and need a constant monitoring of routers in a certain time.
- Time Executions Problem: Previous balancing methods those optimize link weights (Fortz and Thorup, 2000a) (Fortz and Thorup, 2000b) (Fortz and Thorup, 2004) (Ericsson *et al.*, 2002) (Buriol *et al.*, 2002) (Buriol *et al.*, 2005) (Makarem, 2007) suffer from a problem to minimize the time executions which is needed for the optimization process. This problem deals with the research of designing the link weights optimization methods efficiently.



1.3 Research Objectives

The objective of this research is:

 To improve the routing performance of load balancing in the traditional routing communications without any adaptations to the routing protocols or the forwarding mechanisms of the operational network.

1.4 Research Scope

This research will focus on the performance optimization of operational networks such as a company, a university or service provider. These types of operational networks are known as Autonomous Systems (ASes) that interact to control and deliver IP traffic. The performance optimization will be considered on reducing traffic congestions and managing network resources efficiently. Reducing traffic congestions in ASes that operates under the intra-domain routing protocol OSPF will also reduce the traffic congestions in the entire Internet, hence improving its performance. The performance optimization of OSPF networks will be limited to its link weights. This limitation is due to the need of maintaining traditional routing policy while dealing with the rapid changes of the new technologies in the network fields that provides dynamic Quality of Service (QoS).



1.5 Research Contributions

The contributions of this research are:

This research solved the problems to find another alternative matrix to optimize the link weights instead of using demand matrix and reducing the time executions in optimization process to achieve the optimal/near optimal routing performance. Solving this problem helps in analyzing and understands the technique of counting and selecting routing path. New Matrix Usage Method (MUM) that supports a counting selection technique gives a new direction to improve OSPF routing. The routing paths are based on the link weights of operational network. MUM method aims to maintain the current widely deployed traditional routing, meanwhile profitable the capabilities of counting selection.

1.6 Thesis Organization

This thesis is organized as follows. Chapter 2 gives a detailed background about the previous work. Chapter 3 details the research methodology. Chapter 4 presents our new proposed balancing method that applies counting selection technique and the improvement achieved for the time that is needed for the optimization process. The conclusion and the future works will be found in Chapter 5.

