

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FORMULATION AND STORAGE PROPERTIES OF TRASPARENT SOAP PREPARED FROM ENZYMATICALLY AND NON-ENZYMATICALLY TREATED PALM-BASED MATERIALS

KOH SOO PENG.

FSMB 2004 7



FORMULATION AND STORAGE PROPERTIES OF TRANSPARENT SOAP PREPARED FROM ENZYMATICALLY AND NON-ENZYMATICALLY TREATED PALM-BASED MATERIALS

KOH SOO PENG

MASTER OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITY PUTRA MALAYSIA

MARCH 2004



FORMULATION AND STORAGE PROPERTIES OF TRANSPARENT SOAP PREPARED FROM ENZYMATICALLY AND NON-ENZYMATICALLY TREATED PALM-BASED MATERIALS

By

KOH SOO PENG

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

March 2004



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

FORMULATION AND STORAGE PROPERTIES OF TRANSPARENT SOAP PREPARED FROM ENZYMATICALLY AND NON-ENZYMATICALLY TREATED PALM-BASED MATERIALS

By

KOH SOO PENG

March 2004

Chairman:

Associate Professor Dr Lai Oi Ming, Ph.D.

Faculty:

Food Science and Biotechnology

The study on the physical and chemical properties of 28 samples of commercial transparent soap provided valuable information that could be used as a guideline in the preparation of palm-based transparent soap formulation. Most of the commercial transparent soaps had good transparency (above 0.8) with a moderate total fatty matter of between 40% and 60%. They were mostly superfatted products and showed good foamability and hardness with a penetration value of less than 5 mm.

Differences in titer, acid and iodine values affected the ability of different palmbased fatty acid in accepting the amount of sodium salt into soap formulation and the hardness property. The proper combination of triethanolamine, glycerol and sugar solution played an important role in determining the transparency, hardness, foamability and moisture content of the soap produced. Transparent soap bar made from blends of distilled palm stearin fatty acid with ricinoleic acid covered a wide range of acceptability for triethanolamine (20-90%) with low amount of sugar



solution (less than 30%) and less than 70% of glycerol. However, in blends of distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap with ricinoleic acid, the transparent soap region ranged between 40% and 80% triethanolamine, less than 40% of glycerol and between 20% to 50% of sugar solution. Both blends of distilled palm fatty acid-based transparent soap had transparency reading above 0.8 and penetration value of less than 8 mm with the majority of moisture content of soap falling between 15% and 20%. In the region of low percentage of triethanolamine (0%-20%) with 80-100% of glycerol and sugar solution used, both distilled palm fatty acids used in soap formulation produced soft and gummy opaque soap.

Lipase-catalysed acidolysis is a vital tool to tailor-make refined, bleached and deodorised palm oil (RBD palm oil) enriched with ricinoleic acid (RA). Lipozyme IM60 lipase performed better (12.33% RA/24 hours) than *Pseudomonas* sp. lipase (2.59% RA/24 hours) as it was able to incorporate more ricinoleic acid into RBD palm oil at a shorter time. The alteration in triglyceride composition of acidolysed oil had led to the changes in their slip melting point, iodine value, solid fat content, crystallisation and melting behaviour and also the viscosity of the acidolysed oil produced.

The differences in sodium salt content used in different fatty raw material-based soap formulation had caused an effect on the transparency, total fatty matter, penetration value, chloride content and foamability of soap made. In general, the presence of ricinoleic acid in the blends of distilled palm oil fatty acid soap, blends of distilled palm stearin fatty acid soap and acidolysed oil-based soap assisted in improving the



soap transparency, especially when high contents of sodium salt were used in the soap formulation.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

FORMULASI DAN CIRI-CIRI PENYIMPANAN SABUN LUTSINAR DIHASILKAN SECARA BERENZIM DAN BUKAN BERENZIM BAHAN MENTAH BERASASKAN MINYAK SAWIT

Oleh

KOH SOO PENG

Mac 2004

Pengerusi:

Profesor Madya Dr Lai Oi Ming, Ph.D.

Fakulti:

Sains Makanan dan Bioteknologi

Kajian terhadap ciri-ciri fiziko dan kimia bagi 28 sampel sabun lutsinar komersial membekalkan maklumat berguna dalam penyediaan formulasi sabun lutsinar berasaskan minyak sawit. Kebanyakan sabun lutsinar komersial mempunyai tahap lutsinar melebihi 0.8 dengan kandungan asid lemak yang sederhana di antara 40-60%. Kebanyakan sabun lutinar di pasaran adalah produk 'superfatted' dan mempunyai kebuihan yang baik dan kekerasan dengan nilai penembusan kurang daripada daripada 5 mm.

Perbezaan dalam nilai titer, nilai asid dan nilai iodin mempengaruhi keupayaan asid lemak berasaskan minyak sawit yang berlainan terhadap penerimaan kuantiti garam natrium dalam formulasi sabun dan ciri kekerasan. Kombinasi yang bersesuaian di antara triethanolamine, gliserol dan larutan gula memainkan peranan penting dalam penentuan kelutsinaran, kekerasan, kebuihan dan kandungan kelembapan sabun yang dihasilkan. Ketulan sabun lutsinar diperbuat daripada campuran hasil sulingan asid



lemak minyak stearin boleh menerima 20-90% triethanolamine, larutan gula kurang daripada 30% dan gliserol kurang daripada 70%. Manakala, bagi sabun lutsinar yang diperbuat daripada campuran hasil sulingan asid lemak minyak sawit, 40-80% triethanolamine dengan gliserol kurang daripada 40% dan larutan gula di antara 20% hingga 50% adalah diterima dalam formulasi sabun. Kedua-dua jenis sabun lutsinar yang diperbuat daripada campuran hasil sulingan asid lemak berlainan mempunyai tahap kelutsinaran melebihi 0.8 dan nilai penembusan kurang daripada 8 mm serta kandungan kelembapan antara 15% dan 20%. Dalam lingkungan 0-20% triethanolamine dan keseluruhan julat peratusan bagi gliserol dan larutan gula dalam formulasi sabun, kedua-dua jenis hasil sulingan asid lemak minyak sawit menghasilkan sabun lutcahaya yang lembut dan berperekat.

Asidolisis menggunakan lipase merupakan teknik penting dalam pembuatan RBD minyak sawit yang kaya dengan asid ricinoleic (RA). Lipase Lipozyme IM60 mmpunyai prestasi yang lebih baik (12.33% RA/24 jam) berbanding lipase *Pseudomonas* sp. (2.59% RA/24 jam) kerana ia berupaya mmasukkan asid ricinoleik dalam RBD minyak sawit dalam masa yang lebih singkat. Perubahan dalam komposisi trigliserida menyebabkan perubahan dalam takat lebur slip, nilai iodin, kandungan lemak pepejal, kelakuan pembekuan dan peleburan serta viskositi bagi minyak sawit yan telah diubahsuai melalui asidolisis.

Perbezaan dalam kandungan garam natrium bagi bahan mentah yang berlainan dalam formulasi sabun mempunyai kesan terhadap kelutsinaran, kandungan asid lemak, nilai penembusan, kandungan klorin dan kebuihan sabun lutsinar yang dihasilkan. Secara amnya, kehadiran asid ricinoleik dalam sabun lutsinar yang diperbuat



daripada campuran hasil sulingan asid lemak minyak sawit, campuran hasil sulingan asid lemak minyak stearin dan minyak sawit yang telah diasidolisis mampu memperbaiki kelutsinaran sabun, terutamanya bagi kandungan garam natrium yang tinggi dalam formulasi sabun.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my most sincere appreciation to my main supervisor, Prof. Madya Dr Lai Oi Ming of Department of Biotechnology, Faculty Food Science and Biotechnology, members of supervisory committee, Prof. Hasanah Mohd. Ghazali (Department of Biotechnology, University Putra Malaysia), Dr Ainie Kuntom (Chemistry and Technology Division, Malaysian Palm Oil Board) and Dr Chong Chiew Let (Chemistry and Technology Division, Malaysian Palm Oil Board), for their willingness to share their expertise, knowledge and constant encouragement throughout the course of my study. The suggestions and insightful comments on the contents of my thesis are gratefully acknowledged.

My sincere thanks also go to the staffs in Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) and my lovely friends in Enzyme Lab at Department of Biotechnology for their technical assistance and support. Many thanks go to Miss Lee Yim Leng for her invaluable guidance, encouragement and patience in sharing my excitements and frustrations of research. And lastly, I am grateful for the support of my family and their endless love, understanding and encouragements throughout the period of completing this project.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 18th March 2004 to conduct the final examination of Koh Soo Peng on her Master of Science thesis entitled "Formulation and Storage Properties of Transparent Soap Prepared from Enzymatically and Non-Enzymatically Treated Palm-Based Materials" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Suhaila Mohamed, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty ofFood Science and Biotechnology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Lai Oi Ming, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Hasanah Mohd. Ghazali, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty of Food Science and Biotechnology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Ainie Kuntom, Ph.D.

Malaysian Palm Oil Board (Member)

Chong Chiew Let, Ph.D. Malaysia Palm Oil Board (Member)

GULAM RUSUL RAMMAT ALI, Ph.D.

Professor/Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 17 JUN 2004



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

Lai Oi Ming, Ph.D.

Associate Professor Faculty Food Science and Biotechnology University Putra Malaysia (Chairwoman)

Hasanah Mohd. Ghazali, Ph.D.

Professor Faculty Food Science and Biotechnology University Putra Malaysia (Member)

Ainie Kuntom, Ph.D. Malaysia Palm Oil Board (Member)

Chong Chiew Let, Ph.D. Malaysia Palm Oil Board (Member)

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.
Professor/Dean,
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 09 JUL 2004



DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at University Putra Malaysia or other institutions.

KOH SOO PENG

Date: 10 JUN 2004



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-------------------------------------|--|----------|
| AB | STRACT | ii |
| | STRAK | v |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | | viii |
| APPROVAL DECLARATION LIST OF TABLES | | ix |
| | | xi |
| | | xvii |
| | ST OF FIGURES | XX |
| LIS | ST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxvii |
| СН | IAPTER | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 5 |
| | History of Transparent Soap | 5 |
| | The Structure of Transparent Soap | 13 |
| | Basic Raw Materials Utilized in Transparent Soap Formulation | 16 |
| | Tallow | 16 |
| | Rosin | 17 |
| | Castor Oil and Ricinoleic Acid | 17 |
| | Lauric Oil | 18 |
| | Superfatting Agents | 18 |
| | Salt Contents | 19 |
| | Alcohol | 20 |
| | Glycerin | 21 |
| | Sugar or Polyols | 21 |
| | Triethanolamine | 22 |
| | Alkali Solution | 23 |
| | Perfuming and Dyestuffs | 23 |
| | Foaming Agents | 24 |
| | Citric Acid | 24 |
| | Water | 25 25 |
| | Other Ingredients | 25 26 |
| | Factors Influencing Transparent Soap Making | 26 |
| | Balancing of the Fat Stocks | 26 |
| | Selection of Non-soap Additives | 28 |
| | The Rate of Cooling | 28 |
| | Phase Change due to Mechanical Treatment | 29 |
| | Purity of the Ingredients | 29 |
| | Shock or Physical strain | 30 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 30 |
| | Lipase | 32 |
| | Enzymatic Interesterification | 33 |
| | Application of Lipase-catalysed Interesterification | 34 |
| | Immobilization of Lipase | 37 |



| 3 | PHYSICAL AND CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF COMMERC | |
|---|--|----|
| | TRANSPARENT SOAP FOUND IN MALAYSIA | 39 |
| | Introduction | 39 |
| | Materials and Methods | 41 |
| | Materials | 41 |
| | Methods | 42 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 42 |
| | Penetration Value | 44 |
| | Total Fatty Matter | 44 |
| | Moisture Content | 46 |
| | Foambility | 46 |
| | Free Caustic or Free Acid Content | 47 |
| | Results and Discussion | 48 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 48 |
| | Penetration Value | 50 |
| | Total Fatty Matter | 50 |
| | Moisture Content | 53 |
| | Foambility | 55 |
| | Free Caustic or Free Acid Content | 57 |
| | Summary | 59 |
| 4 | PALM-BASED TRANSPARENT SOAP FORMULATION | 61 |
| | Introduction | 61 |
| | Materials and Methods | 62 |
| | Materials | 62 |
| | Methods | 63 |
| | Physical and Chemical Analysis on Fatty Stocks Used | 63 |
| | Acid Value | 63 |
| | Titer Value | 64 |
| | Iodine Value | 64 |
| | Preparation of Palm-based Transparent Soap | 66 |
| | Preparation of Sodium Salt (Neutralization Process) | 67 |
| | Preparation of Soap Bar using Casting Method | 67 |
| | Physical and Chemical Analysis on Transparent Soap Bar | 68 |
| | Differential Scanning Calorimetry | 68 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 68 |
| | Foamability | 69 |
| | Penetration Value | 69 |
| | Surface Tensiometer | 69 |
| | Free Acid Content | 70 |
| | Weight loss | 70 |
| | Results and Discussion | 70 |
| | Distilled Palm Oil Fatty Acid as Fatty Stock in Soap Formula | 70 |
| | Differential Scanning Calorimetry | 71 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 73 |
| | Foamability | 76 |
| | Penetration Value | 79 |
| | Surface Tension | 79 |
| | Free Acid Content | 81 |
| | Changes in Soan Property after Storage | 83 |



| | Distilled Palm Stearin Fatty Acid as Fatty Stock in Soap Formula | 85 |
|---|--|--------|
| | Differential Scanning Calorimetry | 88 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 90 |
| | Foamability | 93 |
| | Penetration Value | 96 |
| | Surface Tension | 96 |
| | Free Acid Content | 99 |
| | Changes in Soap Property after Storage | 99 |
| | Summary | 102 |
| 5 | EFFECT OF DIFFERENT INGREDIENTS AND PROCESSING | |
| | TEMPERATURE ON PALM-BASED SOAP FORMULATION | 105 |
| | Introduction | 105 |
| | Materials and Methods | 106 |
| | Materials | 106 |
| | Methods · | 106 |
| | Preparation of Palm-based Transparent Soap | 106 |
| | Physical and Chemical Analysis on Transparent Soap Bar | 107 |
| | Experimental Design | 107 |
| | Results and Discussion | 107 |
| | Effect of Different Ingredients on Soap Transparency | 107 |
| | Transparency Measurement and Penetration Value | 108 |
| | Effect of Sodium Salt on Palm Olein Polyols-based Transparent Soap | 110 |
| | Transparency Measurement and Penetration Value | 111 |
| | Foamability | 113 |
| | Effect of Different Sugar Type on Soap Transparency | 113 |
| | Transparency Measurement, Penetration Value and Foamability | 113 |
| | Effect of Different Processing Temperature on Soap Transparency | 116 |
| | Transparency Measurement, Penetration Value and Foamability | 117 |
| | Effect of Triethanolamine, Glycerol and Sugar Solution on Palm Oil | |
| | Polyols-based Transparent Soap | 117 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 119 |
| | Penetration Value | 122 |
| | Foamability | 122 |
| | Summary | 125 |
| 6 | TERNARY DIAGRAM ON PALM-BASED TRANSPARENT SOA | AP 127 |
| | Introduction | 127 |
| | Materials and Methods | 128 |
| | Materials | 128 |
| | Methods | 128 |
| | Preparation of Palm-based Transparent Soap | 128 |
| | Physical and Chemical Analysis on Transparent Soap Bar | 129 |
| | Results and Discussion | 129 |
| | Distilled Palm Stearin Fatty Acid as Fatty Raw Material | 129 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 129 |
| | Penetration Value | 131 |
| | Moisture Content | 133 |
| | Foamability and Free Acid Content | 135 |
| | Distilled Palm Oil Fatty Acid as Fatty Raw Material | 135 |



| | Transparency Measurement | 138 |
|---|---|------------|
| | Penetration Value | 140 |
| | Moisture Content | 140 |
| | Foamability and Free Acid Content | 143 |
| | Summary | 143 |
| 7 | ACIDOLYSIS OF RBD PALM OIL WITH RICINOLEIC ACID | 147 |
| | Introduction | 147 |
| | Materials and Methods | 148 |
| | Materials | 148 |
| | Methods | 149 |
| | Immobilization of Lipase | 149 |
| | Preparation of Lipase-catalysed acidolysis of RBD Palm Oil with | |
| | Ricinoleic Acid | 149 |
| | Physical and Chemical Characteristics of Lipase-catalysed RBD Palm | |
| | Oil and Ricinoleic Acid Blends | 151 |
| | Determination of Hydrolytic Activity | 151 |
| | Removal of Free Fatty Acid | 151 |
| | Determination of Fatty Acid Composition using Gas | 150 |
| | Chromatography Determination of Triphyspile Composition using High | 152 |
| | Determination of Triglyceride Composition using High | 153 |
| | Performance Liquid Chromatography | 133 |
| | Determination of Melting and Cooling Profile using Differential | 153 |
| | Scanning Calorimetry | 155 |
| | Determination of Kinematic Viscosity using U-tube Viscometer | 156 |
| | Solid Fat Content | |
| | Slip Melting Point | 157 157 |
| | Apparent Density in Air of Oils | |
| | Iodine Value | 158 |
| | Saponification Value | 158 |
| | Results and Discussion | 159 |
| | Changes in Hydrolytic Activity | 159 |
| | Changes in the Fatty Acid Composition in Acidolysed RBD Palm Oil | 162 |
| | Changes in Triglyceride Profile | 166 |
| | Thermograms of Acidolysed RBD Palm Oil | 183 |
| | Changes in Iodine Value | 197 |
| | Changes in Solid Fat Content and Slip Melting Point of Acidolysed | |
| | RBD Palm Oil | 200 |
| | Changes in Viscosity of Acidolysed RBD Palm Oil | 203 |
| | Summary | 206 |
| 8 | EFFECT OF DIFFERENT FATTY STOCKS ON THE | 200 |
| | TRANSPARENCY OF PALM-BASED SOAP FORMULATION | 209 |
| | Introduction | 209 |
| | Materials and Methods | 210 |
| | Materials | 210 |
| | Methods | 210 |
| | Preparation of Palm-based Transparent Soap | 210 |
| | Preparation of Sodium Salt (Neutralization Process) | 210 |
| | Preparation of Sodium Salt (Saponification Process) | 211 |



| | Preparation of Soap Bar using Casting Method | 212 |
|-----|--|-----|
| | Physical and Chemical Analysis on Fatty Acids and Oils | 212 |
| | Saponification Value | 212 |
| | Physical and Chemical Analysis on Transparent Soap Bar | 213 |
| | Chlorides Content | 213 |
| | Results and Discussion | 214 |
| | Transparency Measurement | 216 |
| | Total Fatty Matter | 219 |
| | Penetration Value | 221 |
| | Foamability | 223 |
| | Moisture Content | 225 |
| | Chlorides Content | 225 |
| | Free Acid and Free Caustic Content | 227 |
| | Change in Soap Property after Storage | 230 |
| | Summary | 233 |
| 9 | CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS | 235 |
| | Conclusion | 235 |
| | Recommendations | 242 |
| ΒIJ | BLOGRAPHY | 243 |
| АP | PPENDICES | 254 |
| RT | ODATA OF THE AUTHOR | 258 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Fable | | Page |
|--------------|--|----------|
| 1 | Average Free acid and free caustic content of commercial transparent soap. | 58 |
| 2 | Average Foamability and free acid content of blends soap made of distilled palm stearin fatty acid with ricinoleic acid in ternary diagram. | 136 |
| 3 | Average Foamability and free acid content of blends soap made of distilled palm oil fatty acid with ricinoleic acid in ternary diagram. | 144 |
| 4 | Percentage of free fatty acid of acidolysed oil catalysed by both Lipozyme IM60 and <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. lipases under various incubation times ^a . | 160 |
| 5 | Percentage of free fatty acid of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase at different ratio of RBD palm oil and ricinoleic acid ^a . | 161 |
| 6 | Percentage of free fatty acid of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase at different incubation temperature ^a . | 161 |
| 7 | Percentage of ricinoleic acid of acidolysed oil catalysed by both Lipozyme IM60 and <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. lipases under various incubation times ^a . | 164 |
| 8 | Percentage of ricinoleic acid of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase at different ratio of RBD palm oil and ricinoleic acid ^a . | 165 |
| 9 | Percentage of ricinoleic acid of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase at different incubation temperature ^a . | 165 |
| 10 | Melting properties of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase with different reaction times. | 186 |
| 11 | Melting properties of acidolysed oil catalysed by <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. linase | . |



| 12 | Cooling properties of acidolysed oil catalysed by <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. lipase with different reaction times. | ; 193 |
|----|---|----------|
| 13 | Cooling properties of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase with different reaction times. | 193 |
| 14 | Melting properties of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase with different incubation temperature. | 194 |
| 15 | Cooling properties of acidolysed oil catalysed Lipozyme IM60 lipase with different incubation temperature. | 194 |
| 16 | Melting properties of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase with different ratio of RBD palm oil and ricinoleic acid. | 196 |
| 17 | Cooling properties of acidolysed oil catalysed Lipozyme IM60 lipase with different ratio of RBD palm oil and ricinoleic acid. | 196 |
| 18 | Percentage of iodine value of acidolysed oil catalysed by both Lipozyme IM60 and <i>Pseudomonas</i> sp. lipases under various incubation times ^a . | 198 |
| 19 | Percentage of iodine value of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase at different ratio of RBD palm oil and ricinoleic acid ^a . | 199 |
| 20 | Percentage of iodine value of acidolysed oil catalysed by Lipozyme IM60 lipase at different incubation temperature ^a . | 199 |
| 21 | Physical and chemicals properties of different fatty raw materials for Palm-based transparent soap making. | 215 |
| 22 | Transparency measurement of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation ^a . | 217 |
| 23 | Total fatty matter of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soan formulation ^a | 220 |



| 24 | Penetration value of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation ^a . | 222 |
|----|---|-----|
| 25 | Foamability of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation ^a . | 224 |
| 26 | Moisture content of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation ^a . | 226 |
| 27 | Chloride content of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation ^a . | 228 |
| 28 | Percentage of free acid and free caustic of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation. | 229 |
| 29 | Transparency measurement of different sodium salt content under various fatty stock-based soap formulation after 1 month storage ^a . | 231 |
| 30 | Penetration value of different sodium salt content under various fatty | 232 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | e P | age |
|--------|---|-----|
| 1 | Transparent soap. | 43 |
| 2 | Transparency measurement of commercial transparent soap. | 49 |
| 3 | Penetration value (PV) of commercial transparent soap. | 51 |
| 4 | Total fatty matter of commercial transparent soap. | 52 |
| 5 | Moisture content of commercial transparent soap. | 54 |
| 6 | Foamability of commercial transparent soap. | 56 |
| 7 | Apparatus for titer value measurement. | 65 |
| 8 | Melting points of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a)7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | 72 |
| 9 | Heat flow of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and suga solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | |
| 10 | Transparency measurement of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glyce (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | |
| 11 | Degree of transparency at different sodium salt content on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap. | 77 |
| 12 | Foamability of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugresolution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S | |



| 13 | Penetration value of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | 80 |
|----|---|----|
| 14 | Surface tension of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a)7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | 82 |
| 15 | Free acid content of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap (a)7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | |
| 16 | Percentage weight lost of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap after 4 months storage (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | 86 |
| 17 | Transparency measurement of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glyces (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap after 4 months storage (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | |
| 18 | Melting points of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S | 89 |
| 19 | Heat flow of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | 91 |
| 20 | Transparency measurement of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glyce (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soc (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S | |
| 21 | Degree of transparency at different sodium salt content on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 94 |
| 22 | Foamability of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sug | ır |



| | solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e)3T:5G:4S and (f)2T:6G:4S. | 94 |
|----|--|--------------|
| 23 | Penetration value of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f)2T:6G:4S. | 97 |
| 24 | Surface tension of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S | 98 |
| 25 | Free acid content of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S. | 1 0 0 |
| 26 | Percentage weight lost of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap after 4 months storage (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S | 101 |
| 27 | Transparency measurement of different ratio of triethanolamine (T), glycerol (G) and sugar solution (S) on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap after 4 months storage (a) 7T:1G:4S (b) 6T:2G:4S (c) 5T:3G:4S (d) 4T:4G:4S (e) 3T:5G:4S and (f) 2T:6G:4S | 103 |
| 28 | Transparency measurement of different formulation of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 109 |
| 29 | Penetration value of different formulation of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 1 0 9 |
| 30 | Transparency measurement and penetration value of different percentage of sodium salt content on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap containing palm olein polyols. | 112 |
| 31 | Average Foamability of different percentage of sodium salt content on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soan containing palm olein polyols | 114 |



| 32 | (a) Transparency measurement (b) penetration value (c) average foamability of different types of sugar on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 115 |
|----|--|-----|
| 33 | (a) Transparency measurement (b) penetration value (c) average foamability of different processing temperatures on distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 118 |
| 34 | Transparency measurement of (a) GLY:TEA (b) TEA:SUG (c) SUG:GLY series of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 120 |
| 35 | Penetration value of (a) GLY:TEA (b) TEA:SUG (c) SUG:GLY series of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 123 |
| 36 | Average foamability of (a) GLY:TEA (b) TEA:SUG (c) SUG:GLY series of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 124 |
| 37 | Ternary diagram on transparency measurement of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 130 |
| 38 | Ternary diagram on penetration value of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 132 |
| 39 | Ternary diagram on moisture content of distilled palm stearin fatty acid-based soap. | 134 |
| 40 | Ternary diagram on transparency measurement of distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap. | 139 |
| 41 | Ternary diagram on penetration value of distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap. | 141 |
| 42 | Ternary diagram on moisture content of distilled palm oil fatty acid-based soap. | 142 |
| 43 | U-tube viscometer. | 155 |
| 44 | Triglycerides profile of RBD palm oil:ricinoleic acid mixtures after | |

