# DEVELOPMENT OF FINITE ELEMENT CODE FOR NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS OF INTERLOCKING MORTARLESS MASONRY SYSTEM

**By**

**AHMED HASAN AHMED AL-WATHAF**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**April 2006**

*TO ALL MEMBERS OF MY FAMILY*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**DEVELOPMENT OF FINITE ELEMENT CODE FOR NON-LINEAR ANALYSIS OF INTERLOCKING MORTARLESS MASONRY SYSTEM**

By

**AHMED HASAN AHMED AL-WATHAF**

**April 2006**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Waleed A. M. Thanoon, PhD**

**Faculty : Engineering**

Presently, interlocking mortarless masonry system has been developed as an alternative to the conventional mortared masonry system for wall construction. The structural behaviour of the interlocking mortarless masonry system is not well explored and there is no standard and/or design specification for safe design of the interlocking mortarless block masonry system. The existing finite element analyses are simplified due to the absence of the significant and essential structural characteristics of the interlocking mortarless masonry system. Hence these models show inaccurate prediction for the structural response of the masonry system compared to actual behaviour of the system found experimentally.

This study aims at investigating numerically the structural response of interlocking masonry system using finite element method. The developed algorithm used in the FE analysis includes appropriate mathematical models to simulate the main features of mortarless masonry system. These models are derived experimentally using small scale specimens. The main features simulated are the structural characteristics of the

interlocking dry joints under combined Normal-Shear force actions, the failure mechanism of the joints, nonlinear contact behaviour of the joint considering the geometric imperfection of the block beds, the nonlinear stress-strain behaviour of the masonry materials and the failure of the masonry materials as well as the geometric nonlinearity. Proper test setups have been proposed to measure accurately the joint response under elastic, inelastic and failure stages of load. The actual behaviour of the interlocking system obtained experimentally is mathematically modelled and implemented in the finite element algorithm developed for the analysis of the interlocking masonry system. An incremental-iterative 2-D nonlinear finite element code is developed to implement the proposed algorithm and analyze the masonry system till failure.

The developed experimental setups used in this study successfully revealed the important features of the interlocking mortarless joint. The results indicate that the developed constitutive model and finite element code can successfully trace the structural behaviour (capacity, deformation and mode of failure) of the interlocking mortarless masonry system from the initial stage of loading till the failure. A general equation is proposed to estimate the capacity of interlocking mortarless masonry walls under eccentric and concentric vertical loads.

PERPUSTAKAAN SULTAN ABDUL SAMAD
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN KOD UNSUR TERHINGGA UNTUK ANALISIS TIDAK LINEAR SISTM PERBATAAN TANPA MORTAR SALING-KUNCI.**

Oleh

**AHMED HASAN AHMED AL-WATHAF**

**April 2006**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Waleed A. M. Thanoon, PhD**

**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Pada masa kini, sistem saling-kunci perbataan tanpa mortar telah dibangunkan sebagai alternatif kepada sistem perbataan dengan mortar yang biasa digunakan untuk pembinaan dinding. Tingkahlaku sistem struktur saling-kunci perbataan tanpa mortar belum dibangunkan dengan sempurna dan tiada piawaian dan/atau spesifikasi rekabentuk yang selamat untuk sistem perbataan blok saling-kunci. Analisis unsur terhingga yang sedia ada telah dipermudahkan kerana ketiadaan ciri penting sistem struktur perbataan tanpa mortar. Oleh itu model menunjukkan ketidaktepatan ramalan untuk tingkahlaku sistem struktur perbataan berbanding kepada tingkahlaku sebenar sistem yang dilakukan secara eksperimen.

Kajian ini bermatlamat untuk mengkaji tingkahlaku sistem struktur perbataan saling kunci secara numerikal menggunakan analisis unsur terhingga. Algorithma yang dibangunkan dalam analisis unsur terhingga merangkumi model matematik yang besesuaian, diperolehi secara eksperimen menggunakan spesimen yang berskala kecil. Penampilan paling utama yang disimulasikan adalah ciri struktur saling-kunci

sambungan di bawah tindakan daya mampatan ricih, mekanisma kegagalan sambungan, ketidaklinearan tingkahlaku hubungan sambungan dengan mengambil kira ketidaktepatan blok secara geometri, ketidaklinearan tingkahlaku tegasan-terikan bahan bata, kegagalan bahan bata dan ketidaklinearan geometri. Ujikaji lengkap telah dicadangkan untuk mengukur secara tepat tindakbalas di bawah beban elastik, tidak elastik dan tahap kegagalan. Tingkahlaku sistem saling–kunci diperolehi secara eksperimen telah dimodelkan secara matematik untuk analisis sistem perbataan saling-kunci. Kod unsur terhingga 2-dimensi tak linear secara berperingkat telah dibangunkan dengan menggunakan algorithma yang dicadangkan dan menganalisis sistem perbataan sehingga gagal.

Susunan eksperimen yang dibangunkan untuk kajian telah berjaya membuktikan ciri penting sambungan saling-kunci sambungan tanpa mortar. Keputusan menunjukkan algrithma yang dibangunkan dan kod unsur terhingga telah berjaya mengesan tingkahlaku strukur (kapasiti, perubahan dan mod kegagalan) sistem saling-kunci perbataan tanpa mortar daripada peringkat awal bebanan hingga gagal. Persamaan umum diusulkan untuk menganggarkan kapasiti dinding perbataan saling kunci tanpa mortar di bawah bebanan tegak eksentrik dan konsentrik.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Praises and thanks for the Almighty Allah S. W. T. for giving me the strength, health and wisdom to complete this Degree successfully.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Waleed A. M. Thanoon for his kind supervision, guidance, and valuable suggestions. I have learned a lot from his thorough and insightful review of this study and his dedication to achieve high quality and practical research.

I am grateful to all my supervisory committee members; Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jamaloddine Noorzaei, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Saleh Jaafer and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Razali Abdulkadir for their advices and suggestions during this study.

Also, I gratefully acknowledge Sana'a University for their financial support during the course of this study which gave me the opportunity to pursue my study in Malaysia.

Many great thanks extended to all my friends and the structural laboratory staff especially Mr. Mohd Halim Othman for their valuable assistance and help in the production of the blocks and providing the testing equipments and instrumentation.



UPM

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 7th April 2006 to conduct the final examination of Ahmed Hasan Ahmed Al-Wathaf on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Development of Finite Element Code for Non-Linear Analysis of Interlocking Mortarless Masonry System" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Bujang Kim Huat, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

**Abang Abdullah Abang Ali, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

**Abdel Magid Salem Hamouda, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

**Muhamad Fauzi Mohd Zain, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(External Examiner)

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**
Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 18 MAY 2006

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

**Waleed A. M. Thanoon, PhD**
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

**Jamaloddine Noorzaei, PhD**
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**Mohd Saleh Jaafer, PhD**
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**Mohd Razali Abdulkadir, PhD**
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**
Professor/ Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 08 JUN 2006

## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

**AHMED H. A. AL-WATHAF**

Date: 28/4/2006

## TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| **DEDICATION** | | **ii** |
| **ABSTRACT** | | **iii** |
| **ABSTRAK** | | **v** |
| **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** | | **vii** |
| **APPROVAL** | | **viii** |
| **DECLARATION** | | **x** |
| **LIST OF TABLES** | | **xvi** |
| **LIST OF FIGURES** | | **xviii** |
| **LIST OF NOTATIONS /ABBREVIATIONS** | | **xxviii** |
| **CHAPTER** | | |
| **1** | **INTRODUCTION** | **1** |
| | 1.1 General | 1 |
| | 1.2 Research Significance | 2 |
| | 1.3 Objectives | 3 |
| | 1.4 Scope | 3 |
| | 1.5 Layout of Thesis | 4 |
| **2** | **LITERATURE REVIEW** | **6** |
| | 2.1 Introduction | 6 |
| | 2.2 Development and Structural Behaviour of Interlocking Mortarless Masonry | 7 |
| | 2.3 Finite Element Modelling of Masonry | 28 |
| | 2.3.1 Conventional Masonry Models | 29 |
| | 2.3.1.1 Masonry Micro-Models | 29 |
| | 2.3.1.2 Masonry Macro-Models | 37 |
| | 2.3.1.3 Higher Smeared Masonry Macro-Models | 39 |
| | 2.3.1.4 Mixed Masonry Models | 41 |
| | 2.3.2 Interlocking Mortarless Masonry Models | 43 |
| | 2.3.3 Masonry Joint Models | 46 |
| | 2.3.3.1 Interface Element | 48 |
| | 2.3.3.2 Link Element | 51 |
| | 2.4 Summary and Conclusion | 52 |
| **3** | **FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING** | **57** |
| | 3.1 Introduction | 57 |
| | 3.2 Masonry Discretization | 57 |
| | 3.3 Finite Element Formulation | 60 |
| | 3.3.1 Plane Isoparametric Element Formulation | 60 |
| | 3.3.2 Isoparametric Interface Element Formulation | 64 |
| | 3.3.3 Isoparametric Bar Element Formulation | 71 |
| | 3.3.4 Numerical Integration | 73 |

3.4 Nonlinear Finite Element Modelling 75
3.5 Summary and Conclusion 76

**4 EXPERIMENTAL TESTING PROGRAM 78**
4.1 Introduction 78
4.2 Preparation for Testing 79
4.2.1 Interlocking Blocks Production 79
4.2.2 Verification of Block Unit Dimensions 80
4.2.3 Water Absorption, Water Content and Oven-Dry Density for Blocks 82
4.2.4 Concrete Grout Specimen Casting 82
4.3 Compression Test of Block and Grout 83
4.3.1 Test Specimens 83
4.3.2 Compression Test Setup and Test Procedure 84
4.3.2.1 Compressive Stress-Strain Relation Test 85
4.3.2.2 Poisson's Ratio Determination Test 86
4.4 Splitting Tensile Strength Test 89
4.4.1 Test Specimens 89
4.4.2 Test Setup and Test Procedure 90
4.5 Shear Test of Interlocking Mortarless Joint 91
4.5.1 Proposed Modified Triplet Shear Test Setup 92
4.5.2 Test Procedure and Measurements 96
4.6 Contact Behaviour Test of Bed Joint 98
4.6.1 Proposed Methods of Contact Behaviour Test 99
4.6.1.1 Single Joint Specimens 100
4.6.1.2 Multiple Joints Specimens 101
4.6.2 Test Setup and Test Procedure 102
4.7 Compression Test of Prisms 103
4.7.1 Test Specimens 104
4.7.2 Test Setup and Test Procedure 105
4.8 Summary and Conclusion 106

**5 EXPERIMENTAL TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 108**
5.1 Introduction 108
5.2 Characteristic of Block and Grout under Compression 109
5.2.1 Compressive Strength Characteristic 109
5.2.2 Uniaxial Compressive Stress-Strain Behaviour 112
5.2.2.1 Concrete Block Units 112
5.2.2.2 Concrete Grout 115
5.2.3 Poisson's ratio 117
5.2.4 Mode of Failure of Block under Axial Compression 119
5.2.5 Mathematical Modelling of Uniaxial Compressive Stress-Strain Curves 120
5.2.5.1 Stress-Strain Relations Review 120
5.2.5.2 Discussion on the Reviewed Stress-Strain Relations 122
5.2.5.3 Selection of Stress-Strain Relation 124

5.2.5.4 Proposed Method of Material Parameter Determination 126
5.3 Splitting Tensile Strength of Block and Grout 129
5.4 Shear Characteristic of Interlocking Mortarless Masonry Joint 130
5.4.1 Strength and Deformation Characteristics 130
5.4.2 Mode of Failure and Interlocking Mechanism Evaluation 138
5.4.3 Normal-Shear Stresses Failure Envelop 142
5.5 Characteristic of Mortarless Joint under Compression 144
5.5.1. Single Joint 144
5.5.2. Multiple Joints 147
5.6 Characteristic of Interlocking Mortarless Block Prism under Compression 150
5.6.1. Strength and Deformation Characteristics 150
5.6.1.1 Ungrouted Prisms 150
5.6.1.2 Grouted Prisms 154
5.6.2 Web Splitting and Mode of Failure 158
5.6.2.1 Ungrouted Prisms 158
5.6.2.2 Grouted Prisms 159
5.7 Summary and Conclusion 162

**6 NONLINEAR FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS: CONSTITUTIVE MODELLING 163**
6.1 Introduction 163
6.2 Masonry Materials Modelling 164
6.2.1 Stress-Strain Relation 164
6.2.1.1 Uniaxial Stress-Strain Relation 164
6.2.1.2 Biaxial Stress-Strain Relation 167
6.2.2 Material Failure Criteria 172
6.2.3 Masonry Material Stiffness 175
6.3 Modelling Of Mortarless (Dry) Joint 178
6.3.1 Contact Stress-Deformation Relation 179
6.3.2 Shear Stress-Slip Relation 181
6.3.3 Failure Criteria of Mortarless Joint 183
6.3.3.1 Mortarless Joint Opening 183
6.3.3.2 Mortarless Joint Shear Strength Envelope 184
6.3.4 Mortarless Joint Stiffness 185
6.4 Modelling of Block-Grout Interface 188
6.5 Steel Reinforcement Modelling 190
6.6 Summary and Conclusion 191

**7 NONLINEAR FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS: PROCEDURE AND PROGRAMMING 193**
7.1 Introduction 193
7.2 Nonlinear Finite Element Analysis 193
7.2.1 Numerical Procedure of Nonlinear FE Analysis 194

7.2.2 Solution Algorithm of Nonlinear FE Analysis 199
7.2.2.1 Residual Forces 201
7.2.2.2 Convergence Criterion 202
7.3 Nonlinear Finite Element Programming 204
7.3.1 Input and Output Data 205
7.3.2 Nonlinear FE Program Modules 205
7.3.2.1 Main Program 205
7.3.2.2 Auxiliary subroutines 209
7.4 Program Verification 214
7.4.1 Interface Element Stiffness Verification 214
7.4.2 Type of Loading and Interface Element Location Verification 216
7.4.3 Stress Verification 219
7.4.4 Stiffness Matrices Transformation Verification 221
7.4.5 Nonlinear Analysis Process Verification 223
7.5 Summary and Conclusion 225

**8 NONLINEAR FINITE ELEMENT ANALYSIS: RESULTS AND DISCUSSION 226**
8.1 Introduction 226
8.2 Materials and Interfaces Properties 226
8.3 Structural Behaviour of Block Unit 227
8.4 Structural Behaviour of Prisms 230
8.4.1 Ungrouted (Hollow) Prism 230
8.4.2 Grouted Prism 234
8.5 Structural Behaviour of Wall Panels 239
8.5.1 Wall Discretization 239
8.5.2 Wall Compressive Strength 243
8.5.3 Deformation of Walls 248
8.5.3.1 Vertical deformation 249
8.5.3.2 Lateral Deflection 252
8.5.4 Mortarless Joint Response 259
8.5.5 Mode of Failure of Walls 260
8.5.5.1 Un-Stiffened Walls (Group A) 260
8.5.5.2 Un-stiffened walls (Group B) 263
8.5.5.3 Un-Stiffened Walls (Group C) 266
8.6 Developments of Capacity Equation 269
8.6.1 Effect of Slenderness and Eccentricity on Wall Capacity 270
8.6.2 Mathematical Modelling of Wall Capacity 275
8.6.3 Evaluation of Capacity Equation 276
8.6.3.1 Group I, Un-stiffened walls 277
8.6.3.2 Group II, Stiffened Walls 280
8.6.3.3 Group III, Stiffened walls 283
8.7 Summary and Conclusion 286

**9 SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION** **290**
9.1 Summary 290
9.2 Conclusion 293
9.2.1 Characteristics of Interlocking Mortarless Masonry 293
9.2.2 Constitutive model 295
9.2.3 Nonlinear Finite Element Analyses 296
9.3 Recommendation for Further Work 297

**REFERENCES** **298**
**APPENDICES** **306**
**BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR** **326**

## LIST OF TABLES


| Table | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 3.1 | Coordinates and Weights of Gaussian Points | 75 |
| 5.1 | Compression and splitting test results of block and grout material | 110 |
| 5.2 | Stress-Strain Relations | 121 |
| 5.3 | Summary of the shear test results | 131 |
| 5.4 | Compression Test Results of Prisms | 151 |
| 6.1 | Material Stiffness Matrix of Cracked and Crushed Masonry Material | 176 |
| 6.2 | Stiffness Matrix of Opened and Slipped Dry Joint | 187 |
| 7.1 | Masonry Material and Mortarless Properties of Verification Example | 223 |
| 8.1 | Masonry Materials Properties | 227 |
| 8.2 | Dry Joint Element Properties (JE) | 227 |
| 8.3 | Block- Grout Interface Element Properties (BE) | 277 |
| 8.4 | Material Properties of Walls | 243 |
| 8.5 | Cross Sectional Properties of Walls | 243 |
| 8.6 | Compressive Strength of Walls | 244 |
| 8.7 | Wall Capacity Reduction Comparison | 247 |
| 8.8 | Maximum Predicted Loads and Reduction | 273 |
| 8.9 | Coefficients of Proposed Equations | 276 |
| 8.10 | Comparison of Capacity Reduction Factor of Group I | 278 |
| 8.11 | Comparison of Capacity Reduction Factor of Group II | 281 |
| 8.12 | Comparison of Capacity Reduction Factor of Group III | 284 |
| A.1 | Dimension Measurements of Stretcher Blocks | 307 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| A.2 | Dimension Measurements of Corner Blocks | 308 |
| A.3 | Dimension Measurements of Half Blocks | 309 |
| A.4 | Water Absorption, Water Content and Oven-Dry Density | 309 |
| A .5 | Sieve Analysis for Quarry Sand of Block Specimens | 310 |
| A .6 | Sieve Analysis for Sand of Grout Specimens | 310 |
| B.1 | Compression Test Results of Stretcher Block Unit | 311 |
| B.2 | Compression Test Results of Corner Block Unit | 312 |
| B.3 | Compression Test Results of Half Block Unit | 313 |
| B.4 | Compression Test Results of Grout cylinders | 314 |
| B.5 | Splitting Tensile Strength Results | 314 |
| C.1 | Partial Safety Factor for Material Strength $\gamma_m$ | 315 |
| C.2 | The Characteristic Compressive Strength of Bonded Masonry $f_k$ | 316 |
| C.3 | Capacity Reduction Factor $\beta$ for Bonded Masonry | 316 |
| C.4 | Partial Safety Factor for Strength of Reinforced Masonry | 317 |
| E.1 | Input Data File | 323 |
| E.2 | Description of the Input Data File | 324 |

## LIST OF FIGURES


| Figure | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| 2.1 | Interlocking Block Developed by Thallon (1983) | 8 |
| 2.2 | Haenar Interlocking System (1984) | 8 |
| 2.3 | Mecano Interlocking System, Gallegos (1988) | 9 |
| 2.4 | Drexel University Interlocking Block, Harris et al. (1992) | 10 |
| 2.5 | Compression Test Setup and Results, Oh (1994) | 11 |
| 2.6 | Typical test setup of diagonal shear test, Oh et al. (1993) | 12 |
| 2.7 | Interlocking Hollow Block System, UPM, Abang et al. (1993) | 13 |
| 2.8 | Crack Pattern of Axial Loaded Wall, Abang et al. (1993) | 14 |
| 2.9 | Vertical Deformation Results, Abang et al. (1993) | 15 |
| 2.10 | Interlocking Hollow Block System, Mirasa et al. (1999) | 16 |
| 2.11 | Details of SILBLOCK, Anand and Ramamurthy (2000) | 17 |
| 2.12 | Test Setup and Test Result Comparison, Anand and Ramamurthy (2000) | 18 |
| 2.13 | Smart Masonry, Anderson and Beal (2001) | 19 |
| 2.14 | Putra Interlocking Block System, Thanoon et al. (2004) | 20 |
| 2.15 | Wall Construction of Putra Block System, Thanoon et al. (2004) | 20 |
| 2.16 | Test Setup Wall Panels, Najm (2001) | 21 |
| 2.17 | Variations of Wall Efficiency, Najm(2001) | 22 |
| 2.18 | Wall Specimens of Shehab (2005) | 23 |
| 2.19 | Stiffened Wall Efficiency, Shehab (2005) | 23 |
| 2.20 | Prism and Wall Panel Specimens, Jaafar et al. (2005) | 24 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2.21 | Test Specimens and Comparison of Stress-Strain Curves, Marzahn (1997) | 25 |
| 2.22 | Influence of Bed Plane Quality, Marzahn (1997) | 26 |
| 2.23 | Triplet Shear Test Setup and Shear Strength Curves, Marzahn (1998) | 27 |
| 2.24 | Long-Term Compression Test (0.5 $N/mm^2$), Marzahn (1999) | 28 |
| 2.25 | Finite Element Subdivision and Test Results Comparison, Page (1978) | 30 |
| 2.26 | FE Idealization and Test Results Comparison, Suwalski and Drysdale (1986) | 31 |
| 2.27 | Typical FE Mesh and Test Result Comparison, Ali and Page (1988) | 32 |
| 2.28 | Comparison the FE Model with Test Result, Ali and Page (1989) | 33 |
| 2.29 | 3-D FE Model and the Proposed Behaviour, Afshari and Kaldjian (1989) | 34 |
| 2.30 | 3-D and 2-D FE Models, Guo (1991) | 35 |
| 2.31 | FE Mesh and Vertical Deformation Result, Sayed-Ahmed and Shrive (1996a) | 36 |
| 2.32 | Typical FE Mesh and Results, Yi and Shrive (2003) | 37 |
| 2.33 | Failure Surface and Test Results Comparison, Samarasinghe et al. (1981) | 38 |
| 2.34 | Transformation and Stress And Strain Results, Dhanasekar et al. (1985) | 39 |
| 2.35 | Numerical and Test Results of Load-Displacement, Lotfi and Shing (1991) | 40 |
| 2.36 | Layered Element and Result Comparison, Cerioni and Doinda (1994) | 41 |
| 2.37 | Repetitive Unit Cell, Luciano and Sacco (1997) | 43 |
| 2.38 | Unit Cell Used by Mafria and Sacco (2001) | 43 |
| 2.39 | FE mesh and test result comparison, Oh (1994) | 44 |
| 2.40a | Elementary Sliding Mechanism, Alpa et al. (1998) | 45 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 2.40b | Elementary Portion of Masonry and Response of a Wall, Alpa et al. (1998) | 45 |
| 2.41 | 3-D FE Mesh of a Wall and Efficiency Comparison, Sadoun (2000) | 46 |
| 2.42 | Zero Thickness Joint Elements Used By Goodman et al. (1968) | 48 |
| 2.43 | Zero Thickness Joint Elements Used By Page (1978) | 49 |
| 2.44 | Isoperimetric Interface Element Used By Lotfi and Shing. (1994) | 50 |
| 2.45 | Interface Element of Giambanco et al. (2001) | 50 |
| 2.46 | Link Element Idealization, Saadeghvaziri and Mehta (1993) | 51 |
| 2.47 | Typical Wall Segment Showing Link Elements, Riddington and Noam (1994) | 52 |
| 3.1 | Elements Used In FE Analysis | 59 |
| 3.2 | 2-D Finite Elements Meshes of Masonry | 59 |
| 3.3 | 8-Nodded Isoparametric Plane Element | 61 |
| 3.4 | Relation between Material and Global Coordinate Systems | 63 |
| 3.5 | Isoparametric Parabolic Interface Element | 65 |
| 3.6 | Axes Transformation | 68 |
| 3.7 | Bar Element in General Orientation | 72 |
| 3.8 | Locations of Gaussian Points | 75 |
| 4.1 | Putra Interlocking Block units | 80 |
| 4.2 | Nominal Dimensions of Putra Interlocking Block Units | 81 |
| 4.3 | Compression Test Specimens | 84 |
| 4.4 | Universal Testing Machine and Data Acquisition System | 85 |
| 4.5 | Compressive Stress-Strain Test Setup | 86 |
| 4.6 | Unit Test Compression Machine | 87 |
| 4.7 | Poisson's Ratio Determination Test | 88 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 4.8 | Splitting Tensile Strength Specimens | 90 |
| 4.9 | Splitting Test Setup | 91 |
| 4.10 | Different Types of Shear Tests Set-Up | 93 |
| 4.11 | Proposed Modified Triplet Shear Test Setup | 94 |
| 4.12 | Details of Shear Test Setup | 95 |
| 4.13 | A Specimen under Test | 96 |
| 4.14 | Dimensions of the Panel Specimen and Measuring Points, DPs | 97 |
| 4.15 | Diagonal Demec Point's Displacement (*D*) and Horizontal Slip(*S*) | 98 |
| 4.16 | Mortarless (Dry) Masonry Bed Joint | 99 |
| 4.17 | Contact Test Specimen of Single Joint | 101 |
| 4.18 | Contact Test Specimen of Multiple Joints | 102 |
| 4.19 | Contact Test Setups | 103 |
| 4.20 | Ungrouted and Grouted Test Specimen of Prisms | 104 |
| 4.21 | Compression Test Setup of Ungrouted and Grouted Prisms | 105 |
| 5.1 | Compressive Strength Versus Density Of Different Block Units | 111 |
| 5.2 | Compressive Strength Versus Density Of All Block Units | 111 |
| 5.3 | Stress-Strain Curves of Stretcher Concrete Blocks | 113 |
| 5.4 | Stress-Strain Curves of Corner Concrete Blocks | 113 |
| 5.5 | Stress-Strain Curves of Half Concrete Blocks | 114 |
| 5.6 | Typical Stress-Strain Curve of Concrete Block Units | 114 |
| 5.7 | Maximum Compressive Stress and Corresponding Strain | 115 |
| 5.8 | Stress-Strain Curves of Concrete Grout | 116 |
| 5.9 | Typical Stress-Strain Curve of Concrete Grout | 116 |
| 5.10 | Poisson's Ratio Curves of Concrete Block | 117 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 5.11 | Poisson's Ratio Curves of Concrete Grout | 118 |
| 5.12 | Typical Poisson's Ratio Curves of Concrete Block and Grout | 118 |
| 5.13 | Typical Mode of Failure of Block Units | 119 |
| 5.14 | Different Stress-Strain Relations Comparison | 122 |
| 5.15 | Initial Tangent Modulus Estimation at the Origin for a Specimen | 125 |
| 5.16 | Stress-Strain Curve Based On the Estimated Initial Tangent Modulus | 126 |
| 5.17 | Stress-Strain Data Fitting Comparison | 128 |
| 5.18 | Stress-Strain Data Fitting Of Block Unit | 128 |
| 5.19 | Splitting Failure of the Test Specimens | 130 |
| 5.20 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of the Bed Joints for All Panels | 131 |
| 5.21 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH1-I | 133 |
| 5.22 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH1-II | 133 |
| 5.23 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH2-I | 134 |
| 5.24 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH2-II | 134 |
| 5.25 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH3-I | 135 |
| 5.26 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH3-II | 135 |
| 5.27 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH4-I | 136 |
| 5.28 | Shear Load-Slip Curves of Specimen SH4-II | 136 |
| 5.29 | Typical Shear Test Results Of Conventional Mortared Joint (Guo, 1991) | 137 |
| 5.30 | Typical Slip Failure at the Bed Joints | 138 |
| 5.31 | Slipping and Cracking Of Panel SH4 (II) | 139 |
| 5.32 | Failure Surface of a Face-Shell Bed Joint | 140 |
| 5.33 | Cross Section View of Interlocking System After Failure | 140 |
| 5.34 | Interlocking Projections Failure of Middle Course | 141 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 5.35 | Interlocking Projections Failure of Bottom Course | 141 |
| 5.36 | Relation between Normal Stress and Shear Strength | 143 |
| 5.37 | Load-Displacement Curves in a Single Joint | 145 |
| 5.38 | Deformation of Dry Bed Joint with Increasing Load | 146 |
| 5.39 | Range of the Single Joint Roughness | 146 |
| 5.40 | Load-Displacement Curves of Multiple Mortarless Joints of W1 | 148 |
| 5.41 | Load-Displacement Curves of Multiple Mortarless Joints of W2 | 148 |
| 5.42 | Load-displacement curves of multiple mortarless joints of W3 | 149 |
| 5.43 | Load-Displacement Variation of Multiple Joints in Test Panel | 149 |
| 5.44 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves Of Ungrouted Prism PR1 | 152 |
| 5.45 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves Of Ungrouted Prism PR2 | 152 |
| 5.46 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves of Ungrouted Prism PR3 | 153 |
| 5.47 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves of Ungrouted Prisms | 153 |
| 5.48 | Typical Load-Deformation Curves of Dry Joint in Ungrouted Prisms | 145 |
| 5.49 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves of Grouted Prism PRG1 | 156 |
| 5.50 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves of Grouted Prism PRG2 | 156 |
| 5.51 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves of Grouted Prism PRG3 | 157 |
| 5.52 | Load-Axial Deformation Curves of Grouted Prisms | 157 |
| 5.53 | Typical Load-Deformation Curves of Dry Joint in Ungrouted Prisms | 158 |
| 5.54 | Web Splitting Of Hollow Prisms | 159 |
| 5.55 | Face-Shell Cracking Of Hollow Prisms | 160 |
| 5.56 | Mode of Failure of PR1 Specimen | 160 |
| 5.57 | Web Splitting of Grouted Prisms | 160 |
| 5.58 | Face-Shell Cracking of Grouted Prism | 161 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 5.59 | Block Shells De-Bonding In Grouted Prism | 161 |
| 6.1 | Stress-Strain Relation with Different Material Parameters | 166 |
| 6.2 | Comparison of Test Data and the Best Fit Relation | 167 |
| 6.3 | Equivalent Uniaxial Stress-Strain of an Element under Biaxial Compression | 169 |
| 6.4 | Stress-Strain Curves of Masonry Material for Different Biaxial Stress Ratio | 171 |
| 6.5 | Masonry Failure Envelope for Different Stress States | 174 |
| 6.6 | Relation Between Material And Global Coordinate Systems | 178 |
| 6.7 | Close-Up Deformation under Compressive Stress of Dry Joint | 181 |
| 6.8 | Shear Slip under Different Pre-Compressive Stresses in Dry Joint | 182 |
| 6.9 | Shear Load and Shear Slip | 183 |
| 6.10 | Opening and Closure Criteria of Dry Joint | 184 |
| 6.11 | Shear Strength Envelope of Dry Joint | 185 |
| 6.12 | Axes Transformation of Interface | 187 |
| 6.13 | Shear Strength Envelope of Block-Grout Interface | 190 |
| 6.14 | Stress-Strain Curve for the Steel Material | 191 |
| 7.1 | Incremental-Iterative Procedure of Nonlinear Analysis | 196 |
| 7.2 | Solution Procedure of the Nonlinear Analysis | 198 |
| 7.3 | Solution Algorithm of Different Elements | 204 |
| 7.4 | Program Flowchart | 213 |
| 7.5 | Cantilever Beam Idealization | 215 |
| 7.6 | Cantilever Beam Deflection with Different IE Normal Stiffness | 215 |
| 7.7 | Cantilever Beam Deflection with Different IE Shear Stiffness | 216 |
| 7.8 | Cantilever Beam Deflected Shape | 216 |




UPM

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 7.9 | Cantilever Beam Deflection under Uniform Distributed Load | 217 |
| 7.10 | Cantilever Beam Deflection under Point Load | 218 |
| 7.11 | Cantilever Beam Deflection under Concentrated Moment | 218 |
| 7.12 | Stress in X-Direction of the Cantilever Beam | 219 |
| 7.13 | Stress in Y-Direction of the Cantilever Beam | 220 |
| 7.14 | Shear Stress of the Cantilever Beam | 220 |
| 7.15 | Wall Idealization | 221 |
| 7.16 | Wall Deformation under Vertical and Horizontal Load | 222 |
| 7.17 | Deformed Shape of the Wall | 222 |
| 7.18 | Masonry Assembly under Compressive Loading | 224 |
| 7.19 | Axial Deformation of the Masonry Assembly | 224 |
| 8.1 | Block Unit Face-Shell Finite Element Mesh | 229 |
| 8.2 | Deformed Mesh Block Unit Face-Shell | 229 |
| 8.3 | Stress-Strain Curves of Block Unit | 229 |
| 8.4 | Principal Stresses Distribution in Block Unit Face-Shell | 230 |
| 8.5 | Mode of Failure of Block Unit | 230 |
| 8.6 | Hollow Prism and FE Mesh | 232 |
| 8.7 | Mode of Deformation of Hollow Prism | 233 |
| 8.8 | Principal Stresses Distribution in Hollow Prism | 233 |
| 8.9 | Cracks Pattern in Hollow Prism | 234 |
| 8.10 | Grouted Prism and FE Mesh | 236 |
| 8.11 | Mode of Deformation of Grouted Prism | 237 |
| 8.12 | Comparison of the Analysis with and Without Bond Element | 237 |
| 8.13 | Block-Grout De-Bonding Of Grouted Prism | 238 |