

# **UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

# MONOLINGUAL AND CROSS-LANGUAGE INFORMATION RETRIEVAL APPROACHES FOR MALAY AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS

**MUHAMAD TAUFIK ABDULLAH.** 

**FSKTM 2006 1** 



# MONOLINGUAL AND CROSS-LANGUAGE INFORMATION RETRIEVAL APPROACHES FOR MALAY AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS

# $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$

#### MUHAMAD TAUFIK ABDULLAH

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

February 2006



ii

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment

of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MONOLINGUAL AND CROSS-LANGUAGE INFORMATION RETRIEVAL APPROACHES FOR MALAY AND ENGLISH LANGUAGE DOCUMENTS

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{y}$ 

MUHAMAD TAUFIK ABDULLAH

February 2006

Chairman: Associate Professor Hajah Fatimah Dato' Ahmad, PhD

Faculty:

Computer Science and Information Technology

This thesis concerns a Malay-English monolingual and cross-language information

retrieval system. It presents a pioneer work in the aspects that are important for the

development of Malay-English information retrieval system. An improved Malay

stemming algorithm has been developed to stem the various word forms into their

common root for the purpose of indexing and retrieving of Malay documents. The

new stemming approaches have been introduced for Malay language, namely Rules-

Frequency-Order (RFO), Minimum-Rules-Frequency-Order (MRFO),

Frequency-Application-Order (RFAO), and Rules-Application-Frequency-Order

(RAFO).

The performance of the new Malay stemming algorithm and approaches are tested

using the first two chapters of the Malay translation of the Quranic documents. The

results show that the new stemming algorithm and approaches are superior to the

previous stemming algorithm and approach. The retrieval effectiveness of the stemming algorithm and approaches are then tested on the actual Quranic collection using vector space model and latent semantic indexing. The results show that there is an improvement in performance from non-stemmed Malay to stemmed Malay, and also from previous stemming algorithm to the new stemming algorithm.

Since the employment of the new stemming algorithm and approaches achieved good performance results in Malay monolingual information retrieval, a Malay-English cross-language information retrieval experiment has been performed. The results again show that there is an improvement in performance from non-stemmed Malay to stemmed Malay, and from previous stemming algorithm to the new stemming algorithm. In addition, the results reveal that the new stemming in Malay has performed better than the English stemming in retrieving relevant document. The results can be a reference to forthcoming similar experiments and research for cross-language testing of documents retrieval.



iv

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENDEKATAN DAPATAN SEMULA MAKLUMAT MONOBAHASA DAN SILANG-BAHASA UNTUK DOKUMEN BAHASA MELAYU DAN INGGERIS

Oleh

MUHAMAD TAUFIK ABDULLAH

Februari 2006

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Hajah Fatimah Dato' Ahmad, PhD

Fakulti: Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Tesis in adalah berkenaan dengan satu sistem dapatan semula maklumat monobahasa

dan silang-bahasa Melayu-Inggeris. Ia mengemukakan kerja perintis dalam aspek-

aspek yang penting untuk pembangunan sistem dapatan semula maklumat Melayu-

Inggeris. Satu penambahbaikan kepada algoritma pengakar bahasa Melayu telah

dibangunkan untuk mencantas pelbagai bentuk perkataan kepada kata akar yang sama

untuk tujuan pengindeksan dan dapatan semula dokumen-dokumen Melayu.

Pendekatan pengakar yang baharu telah diperkenalkan untuk bahasa Melayu, iaitu

Rules-Frequency-Order, Minimum-Rules-Frequency-Order, Rules-Frequency-

Application-Order, dan Rules-Application-Frequency-Order.

Prestasi algoritma dan pendekatan pengakar baharu bahasa Melayu ini telah diuji

dengan menggunakan dua surah pertama daripada documen terjemahan Al-Quran

UPM

v

bahasa Melayu. Hasil menunjukkan algoritma dan pendekatan pengakar baharu bahasa Melayu ini adalah lebih baik berbanding dengan algoritma dan pendekatan sebelumnya. Keberkesanan dapatan semula bagi algoritma dan pendekatan ini kemudian telah diuji ke atas koleksi Al-Quran yang sebenar dengan menggunakan kaedah ruang vektor dan pengindeksan semantik terpendam. Hasil menunjukkan bahawa terdapat peningkatan prestasi daripada perkataan Melayu yang tidak dicantas kepada perkataan yang dicantas, dan juga daripada algoritma pengakar sebelumnya kepada algoritma pengakar baharu.

Memandangkan penggunaan algoritma dan pendekatan pengakar baharu ini telah menghasilkan keputusan prestasi yang baik, satu eksperimen dapatan semula maklumat silang-bahasa Melayu-Inggeris telah dilaksanakan. Hasilnya juga menunjukkan bahawa terdapat peningkatan prestasi daripada perkataan Melayu yang tidak dicantas kepada perkataan yang dicantas, dan juga daripada algoritma pengakar sebelumnya kepada algoritma pengakar baharu. Di samping itu, hasil menunjukkan bahawa pengakar baharu bahasa Melayu mempunyai prestasi yang lebih baik daripada pengakar bahasa Inggeris dalam dapatan semula dokumen yang berkaitan. Hasil-hasil boleh menjadi rujukan kepada eksperimen-eksperimen akan datang yang seumpamanya dan penyelidikan untuk pengujian silang-bahasa bagi dapatan semula dokumen-dokumen.



#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

#### In the Name of Allah

#### The Most Beneficent, The Most Merciful

This thesis would not have been possible without the help and support of many people. First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere and deepest gratitude to the chairman of the supervisory committee Associate Professor Dr Hajah Fatimah Dato' Ahmad for her invaluable advice, guidance, discussion, co-operation and most of all for being very understanding of my situation as a student and a staff at her department.

I am also very grateful to the member of the supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr Ramlan Mahmod and Professor Dr Tengku Mohd Tengku Sembok for their advice, motivation, comments and being very helpful during the completion of this thesis.

I am also indebted to the Universiti Putra Malaysia for the sponsorship and study leave which enables me to pursue this research. My gratitude is also extended to my parents, friends and family for being so supportive and helpful.

Finally, my special thanks and appreciation goes to my wife Ismawani and my four kids Hafiz, Syafiq, Afiqah and Syafiah for their understanding, carring and patience.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 13<sup>th</sup> February 2006 to conduct the final examination of Muhamad Taufik Abdullah on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "Monolingual and Cross-Language Information Retrieval Approaches for Malay and English Language Documents" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

#### HJ. MOHD. HASAN SELAMAT, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### ABDUL AZIM ABD GHANI, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### HJ. MD. NASIR SULAIMAN, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

#### FABIO CRESTANI, PhD

Professor
Department of Computer and Information Sciences
Universiti of Strathclyde
(External Examiner)

HASANAH OHD. GHAZALI, PhD Professor/Deputy Dean

School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26

26 APR 2006



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

#### HAJAH FATIMAH DATO' AHMAD, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

#### RAMLAN MAHMOD, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

#### TENGKU MOHD TENGKU SEMBOK, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Information Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, PhD
Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 MAY 2006



#### **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citation, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

MUHAMAD TAUFIK ABDULLAH

Jarle 1

Date: 13th February 2006



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
AB	STRA	CT	ii
AB	STRA	K	iv
AC	KNOV	VLEDGEMENTS	vi
AP	PROV	AL	vii
DE	CLAR	ATION	ix
LI	ST OF	TABLES	xiii
		FIGURES	xxiii
LI	ST OF	ABBREVIATIONS	XXV
CH	IAPTE	IR	
1.	INT	RODUCTION	1
	1.1	Background	1
		Problem Statement	4
	1.3	Objectives of the Research	5 5
	1.4	Scope of the Research	5
	1.5	Research Methodology	6
	1.6	Contributions of the Research	9
	1.7	Organization of the Thesis	9
2.		ERATURE REVIEW	12
	2.1	Introduction	12
	2.2	Information Retrieval Models	14
		2.2.1 Boolean Model	15
		2.2.2 Probabilistic Model	16
		2.2.3 Vector Model	17
		2.2.4 Latent Semantic Indexing Model	19
		2.2.5 Comparison of the Models	22
	2.3	Cross-Language Information Retrieval	22
		2.3.1 The Problems in CLIR	24
		2.3.2 Finding Translations	25
		2.3.3 Pruning the Translation Alternatives	26
	2.4	2.3.4 Weighting the Translation Alternatives	27
	2.4	Indexing	28
	2.5	Term Weighting Schemes	29
		2.5.1 Local Weight	30
		2.5.2 Global Weight	32
	2.6	2.5.3 Normalization	33
	2.6	Automatic Word Conflation	33
		2.6.1 Table Lookup Stemmers	35
		2.6.2 N-Gram Stemmers	35
		2.6.3 Successor Variety Stemmers	36
	2.7	2.6.4 Affix Removal Stemmers	37
	2.7	English Language Stemmers	38
		2.7.1 Lovins Stemmer	38



			xi
		2.7.2 Porter Stemmer	39
	2.8	Malay Language Stemmers	41
		2.8.1 Abdullah's Stemmer	42
		2.8.2 Othman's Stemmer	43
		2.8.3 Ahmad's Stemmer	45
		2.8.4 Abu Bakar's Conflation Method	47
		2.8.5 Sock's Stemmer	48
		2.8.6 Idris's Stemmer	48
	2.9	Summary	51
3.	TH	E MAIN CHARACTERISTICS OF MALAY WORDS	52
	3.1	Introduction	52
	3.2	Malay Word Formation	53
		3.2.1 Single Word Formation	54
		3.2.2 Derivative Word Formation	54
		3.2.3 Compound Word Formation	59
		3.2.4 Reduplication Word Formation	60
	3.3	Conclusions	61
4.		SULTS AND DISCUSSIONS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF EW MALAY STEMMING ALGORITHM	63
	4.1	Introduction	63
	4.2	Combination of Malay Affixes	64
	4.3	Development of a Stop Word List	66
	4.4	Evaluation of the New Stop Word List	69
	4.5	Design of a Stemming Algorithm	69
	4.7	Evaluation of the New Malay Stemming Algorithm	95
		4.7.1 The Level of Compression	95
		4.7.2 Percentage of Errors	97
	4.8	Conclusions	97
5.		E FEATURES OF THE EXPERIMENTAL RETRIEVAL	99
	5.1	STEM Introduction	00
	5.1		99
	3.2	System Architecture 5.2.1 Document Collection	100
		5.2.1 Document Confection 5.2.2 Dictionary	100
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	102
		5.2.3 Query Processor 5.2.4 Retrieval Processor	102
			103
	5.3	5.2.5 Processing Module Conclusions	104 108
_	DD	NAME AND DISCOVERY ONE FOR MONOY THEFT	
6.		SULTS AND DISCUSSIONS FOR MONOLINGUAL ORMATION RETRIEVAL EXPERIMENT	109
	6.1	Introduction	109
	6.2	Document Collection	110
	6.3		111
	6.4		113
	6.5	Performance of the Stemming Algorithm	116



			xii
	6.6	Data Analysis Methods	117
	6.7	Data Collection	119
	6.8	Analysis of Results from VSM Experiments	121
		6.8.1 Experiment on the Usage of Hyphen	122
		6.8.2 Experiment with the New Stop Word List	123
		6.8.3 Experiment on the Term Weighting Schemes	126
		6.8.4 Retrieval Effectiveness of the Stemmers	133
		6.8.5 Significance Test	166
	6.9	Analysis of Results from LSI Experiments	176
	6.10	Conclusions	216
7.	RES	ULTS AND DISCUSSIONS FOR CROSS-LANGUAGE	219
	INF	ORMATION RETRIEVAL EXPERIMENT	
	7.1	Introduction	219
	7.2	Purpose of the Experiment	220
	7.3	Methodology	221
		7.3.1 The Test Environment	221
		7.3.2 The Test Procedures	223
	7.4	Analysis of Results	224
		7.4.1 Retrieval Effectiveness of the Stemmers	224
		7.3.2 Significance Test	282
	7.5	Conclusions	298
8.	CON	ICLUSIONS	299
	8.1	Introduction	299
	8.2	Research Conclusions	300
	8.3	Suggestions for Future Work	305
REI	FERE	NCE	308
APPENDIXES			313
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR			337



# LIST OF TABLES

Γable		Page
2.1	Local weighting formula	31
2.2	Global weighting formula	32
2.3	Normalization factors formula	33
2.4	Special affixes	42
2.5	List if suffix and prefix (Rule 1)	49
4.1	A list of the 50 most frequently occurring words in the Quranic collection	67
4.2	Results of the experiment using RAO stemmer	71
4.3	The eight new affixes added to the new set of rules	72
4.4	The modified spelling variations rule	72
4.5	The added and deleted root word entries	73
4.6	Results of the experiment using RAO2 stemmer	73
4.7	Spelling exceptions for prefixes	74
4.8	Spelling exception for suffix	74
4.9	Results of the experiment using NRAO stemmer	77
4.10	Results of the experiment using RFO stemmer	78
4.11	Results of the experiment using MRFO stemmer	79
4.12	Results of the experiment using MRFO stemmer	79
4.13	Results of the experiment using RFAO stemmer	80
4.14	Results of the experiment using RAFO stemmer	81
4.15	Comparison between the seven stemmers	82
4.16	Errors for all seven tests done using RAO stemmer	85
4.17	Distribution of unique errors using RAO stemmer	88



# LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Local weighting formula	31
2.2	Global weighting formula	32
2.3	Normalization factors formula	33
2.4	Special affixes	42
2.5	List if suffix and prefix (Rule 1)	49
4.1	A list of the 50 most frequently occurring words in the Quranic collection	67
4.2	Results of the experiment using RAO stemmer	71
4.3	The eight new affixes added to the new set of rules	72
4.4	The modified spelling variations rule	72
4.5	The added and deleted root word entries	73
4.6	Results of the experiment using RAO2 stemmer	73
4.7	Spelling exceptions for prefixes	74
4.8	Spelling exception for suffix	74
4.9	Results of the experiment using NRAO stemmer	77
4.10	Results of the experiment using RFO stemmer	78
4.11	Results of the experiment using MRFO stemmer	79
4.12	Results of the experiment using MRFO stemmer	79
4.13	Results of the experiment using RFAO stemmer	80
4.14	Results of the experiment using RAFO stemmer	81
4.15	Comparison between the seven stemmers	82
4.16	Errors for all seven tests done using RAO stemmer	85
4.17	Distribution of unique errors using RAO stemmer	88



		хi
4.18	Errors for all seven tests using RFO and MRFO stemmers	89
4.19	Distribution of unique errors using RFO and MRFO stemmers	90
4.20	Errors for all seven tests using RFAO stemmer	91
4.21	Distribution of unique errors using RFAO stemmer	92
4.22	Errors for all seven tests using RAFO stemmer	93
4.23	Distribution of unique errors using RAFO stemmer	94
4.24	Compression achieved by the algorithms	96
4.25	Percentage of errors obtained by the stemmers	97
5.1	The Quran's chapters with their corresponding total number of verses	101
6.1	The main quantitative characteristics of the Malay and English sets of queries before deletion of stop words	112
6.2	The main quantitative characteristics of the Malay and English sets of queries after deletion of stop words	113
6.3	Result of the experiment on the usage of hyphen in Malay information retrieval system	122
6.4	Results of the experiment on the usage of hyphen in English information retrieval system	123
6.5	Results of the experiment using the stop word lists on Malay information retrieval system	124
6.6	Results of the experiment using the stop word lists on English information retrieval system	125
6.7	Results of the experiment on Malay VSM retrieval using various local weights on document	126
6.8	Results of the experiment on Malay VSM retrieval using various global weights on document	127
6.9	Results of the experiment on Malay VSM retrieval using various normalization weights on document	128
6.10	Results of the experiment on Malay VSM retrieval using various local weights on query	129
6.11	Results of the experiment on Malay VSM retrieval using various global weights on query	130



6.12	Results of the experiment on English VSM retrieval using various local weights on document	130
6.13	Results of the experiment on English VSM retrieval using various global weights on document	131
6.14	Results of the experiment on English VSM retrieval using various normalization weights on document	132
6.15	Results of the experiment on English VSM retrieval using various local weights on query	132
6.16	Results of the experiment on English VSM retrieval using various global weights on query	133
6.17	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using Malay Non-Conflation VSM search at different cutoff points	135
6.18	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RAO VSM search at different cutoff points	136
6.19	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RFO VSM search at different cutoff points	137
6.20	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using MRFO VSM search at different cutoff points	138
6.21	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RFAO VSM search at different cutoff points	139
6.22	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RAFO VSM search at different cutoff points	140
6.23	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using English Non-Conflation VSM search at different cutoff points	141
6.24	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using Porter VSM search at different cutoff points	142
6.25	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAO and Malay non-conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	144
6.26	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO and Malay non-conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	145
6.27	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	146



6.28	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	147
6.29	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	148
6.30	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	149
6.31	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	150
6.32	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	151
6.33	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	152
6.34	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	153
6.35	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	154
6.36	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	155
6.37	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and MRFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	156
6.38	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and MRFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	157
6.39	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RFAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	158
6.40	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by Porter and English Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	159
6.41	Average Recall and Precision for Malay Non-Conflation and Automatic Word Conflation using VSM	162
6.42	Average Recall and Precision for English Non-Conflation and Automatic Word Conflation using VSM	165
6.43	Frequency distribution of the direction of differences between 15 pairs of text representation (with p value)	170



6.44	Results of the experiment on Malay LSI retrieval using various local weights on document	177
6.45	Results of the experiment on Malay LSI retrieval using various global weights on document	178
6.46	Results of the experiment on Malay LSI retrieval using various normalization weights on document	178
6.47	Results of the experiment on Malay LSI retrieval using various local weights on query	179
6.48	Results of the experiment on Malay LSI retrieval using various global weights on query	180
6.49	Results of the experiment on English LSI retrieval using various local weights on document	180
6.50	Results of the experiment on English LSI retrieval using various global weights on document	181
6.51	Results of the experiment on English LSI retrieval using various normalization weights on document	182
6.52	Results of the experiment on English LSI retrieval using various local weights on query	182
6.53	Results of the experiment on English LSI retrieval using various global weights on query	183
6.54	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using Malay Non-Conflation LSI search at different cutoff points	185
6.55	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RAO LSI search at different cutoff points	186
6.56	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RFO LSI search at different cutoff points	187
6.57	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using MRFO LSI search at different cutoff points	188
6.58	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RFAO LSI search at different cutoff points	189
6.59	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RAFO LSI search at different cutoff points	190
6.60	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using English Non- Conflation LSI search at different cutoff points	191



6.61	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using Porter LSI search at different cutoff points	192
6.62	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAO and Malay non-conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	194
6.63	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO and Malay non-conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	195
6.64	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	196
6.65	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	197
6.66	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	198
6.67	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	199
6.68	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	200
6.69	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	201
6.70	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	202
6.71	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	203
6.72	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	204
6.73	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	205
6.74	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and MRFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	206
6.75	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and MRFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	207



6.76	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RFAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	208
6.77	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by Porter and English Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	209
6.78	Average Recall and Precision for Malay Non-Conflation and Automatic Word Conflation using LSI	212
6.79	Average Recall and Precision for English Non-Conflation and Automatic Word Conflation using LSI	215
7.1	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using English Non-Conflation search at different cutoff points	226
7.2	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using Porter search at different cutoff points	227
7.3	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using Malay Non-Conflation search at different cutoff points	229
7.4	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RAO search at different cutoff points	230
7.5	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RFO search at different cutoff points	231
7.6	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using MRFO search at different cutoff points	232
7.7	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RFAO search at different cutoff points	233
7.8	Number of relevant documents retrieved by using RAFO search at different cutoff points	234
7.9	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by Porter and English Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	236
7.10	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	238
7.11	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	239
7.12	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved	240



	by MRFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	
7.13	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	241
7.14	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	242
7.15	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	243
7.16	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	244
7.17	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	245
7.18	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	246
7.19	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	247
7.20	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	248
7.21	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	249
7.22	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO and MRFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	250
7.23	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and MRFO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	251
7.24	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO and RFAO for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	252
7.25	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAO Stemming and Porter Stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	255
7.26	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFO Stemming and Porter Stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	256



7.27	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by MRFO Stemming and Porter Stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	257
7.28	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RFAO Stemming and Porter Stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	258
7.29	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by RAFO Stemming and Porter Stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	259
7.30	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with English Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	261
7.31	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with Porter stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	262
7.32	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with Malay Non-Conflation for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	264
7.33	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with RAO stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	265
7.34	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with RFO stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	266
7.35	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with MRFO stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	267
7.36	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with RFAO stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	268
7.37	The differences between the number of relevant documents retrieved by CLIR and Monolingual IR with RAFO stemming for all the 36 queries at different cutoff points	269
7.38	Average Recall and Precision values for English-Malay CLIR with English Query	271
7.39	Average Recall and Precision values for English-Malay CLIR with Malay Query	272



7.40	Frequency distribution of the direction of differences between 1 pair of text representation (with p value) for English	283
7.41	Frequency distribution of the direction of differences between 15 pairs of text representation (with p value) for Malay	284
7.42	Frequency distribution of the direction of differences between 5 pairs of text representation (with p value) for Malay and English	290
7.43	Frequency distribution of the direction of differences between 2 pairs of retrieval (with p value) for English monolingual and cross-language retrieval	293
7.44	Frequency distribution of the direction of differences between 6 pairs of retrieval (with p value) for Malay monolingual and cross-language retrieval	294



# LIST OF FIGURES

Figur	e	Page
2.1	Information retrieval processes	13
4.1	Flowchart of the new Malay stemming algorithm	76
5.1	Architecture of the retrieval system	100
5.2	Precision and recall for a given query	104
5.3	Processes involved in the processing module	105
6.1	Average Recall-Precision Graph for each type of Malay text representation using VSM	163
6.2	Average Recall-Precision Chart for each type of Malay text representation using VSM	164
6.3	Average Recall-Precision Graph for each type of English text representation using VSM	166
6.4	Average Recall-Precision Graph for each type of Malay text representation using LSI	213
6.5	Average Recall-Precision Chart for each type of Malay text representation using LSI	214
6.6	Average Recall-Precision Graph for each type of English text representation using LSI	216
7.1	Average Recall-Precision Graph for English-Malay CLIR with English Query	271
7.2	Average Recall-Precision Graph for English-Malay CLIR with Malay Query	273
7.3	Average Recall-Precision Chart for English-Malay CLIR with Malay Query	274
7.4	Average Recall-Precision Graph for the six types of text representation for CLIR	276
7.5	Average Recall-Precision Chart for the six types of text representation for CLIR	277
76	Average Recall-Precision Graph from the English Non-Conflation text	278

