



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EFFECTS OF SUGAR CANE FILTER CAKE COMPOST ON SELECTED
CHARACTERISTICS OF BRIS SOILS AND GROWTH OF MAIZE**

YOSSIF SALAMA MOHAMED OMAR

FP 2009 4

**EFFECTS OF SUGAR CANE FILTER CAKE COMPOST ON SELECTED
CHARACTERISTICS OF BRIS SOILS AND GROWTH OF MAIZE**

By

YOSSIF SALAMA MOHAMED OMAR

**Thesis submitted to the school of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

July 2009



DEDICATION

To
My LOVELY WIFE
AND
DAUGHTERS



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

EFFECTS OF SUGAR CANE FILTER CAKE COMPOST ON SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS OF BRIS SOILS AND GROWTH OF MAIZE

By

YOSSIF SALAMA MOHAMED OMAR

July 2009

Chairman: Anuar Abd Rahim, PhD

Faculty: Agriculture

Beach Ridges Interspersed with Swales (BRIS) soil usually poses a great challenge for water and nutrients management due to the relatively low water holding and nutrient retention capacities. Besides, high hydraulic conductivity, such soils can also contribute to high leaching. Addition of organic wastes to BRIS soil has beneficial effects upon soil structure and properties related to it. Incubation study and field lysimeter experiments were conducted to investigate the effect of sugar cane filter cake compost on selected physical, chemical and biological properties of BRIS soil and its effect on growth and yield of maize. In both incubation and field experiments, the BRIS soil was amended with 0, 3, 6, 8, 12 tonnes ha⁻¹ of filter cake compost, arranged in completely randomized and lattice square designs, respectively.



The amounts of OC, N, P, K, Ca and Mg in filter cake compost were 13.60%, 1.10%, 1.00%, 0.20%, 7.00%, 0.80%, respectively. The incubation study showed that the release of different nutrients varied according to treatments and the mean range of nutrients released at the end of incubation period were; 0.012-0.035 % N, 0.42-0.55 % OC, 33.80-60.20 ppm NO_3^- , 20.40-66.97 ppm NH_4^+ , 0.06-3.19 cmol kg^{-1} Ca and 0.03-0.08 cmol kg^{-1} Mg. Addition of 12 ton ha^{-1} of the filter cake compost significantly increased the total N and NH_4^+ contents of the soil compared with unamended control. The soil OC content responded as $y = 0.42 + 0.01x$ ($P = 0.036$, $R^2 = 0.96$). The soil OC showed linear increase with increasing rates of filter cake compost. Field study conducted for two consecutive seasons showed that the addition of the filter cake compost yielded no significant impact on the soil pH for the first season, but in the second season a significantly higher soil pH was obtained with addition of 12 t ha^{-1} of filter cake compost compared to the control and 3 t ha^{-1} treatments. Soil pH responded as quadratic relationship where $y = 7.13 + 0.19x - 0.008x^2$ ($P = 0.0144$, $R^2 = 0.99$). The available soil water responded as $y = 2.08 - 0.17x + 0.03x^2$ ($P = 0.00436$, $R^2 = 0.96$) in the first season and $y = 2.5 + 0.12x$ ($P = 0.0254$, $R^2 = 0.85$) in the second season. In the first season, soil N responded as linear relationship, where $y = 0.022 + 0.004x$ ($P = 0.0449$, $R^2 = 0.78$) up to 12 t ha^{-1} , while in the second season, it responded as linear relationship, where $y = 0.04 + 0.0003x$ ($P = 0.0452$, $R^2 = 0.78$) with the increment rate of filter cake compost up to 12 t ha^{-1} . The soil Ca responded as $y = 0.013 + 0.03x - 0.001x^2$ ($P = 0.0148$, $R^2 = 0.98$) and $y = 0.04x - 0.002x^2$ ($P = 0.0009$, $R^2 = 0.99$) during first and second season, respectively. Maximum Ca content was attained at 0.13 cmol kg^{-1} in the first season and 0.21 cmol kg^{-1} for season two due to filter cake compost rates at 10.50 and 10 t ha^{-1} , respectively. There was no significant increase in microbial activity due to



low fresh carbon sources in the compost. Although applying filter cake compost increases the physical and chemical condition of BRIS soil, further investigation on the economic implication of such organic amendment should be conducted.

Keywords: Sugar canes filter cake compost, sandy soils, soil amendment, and plant growth.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia bagi memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains.

**KESAN KOMPOS SISA TEBU TERHADAP CIRI-CIRI TERPILIH TANAH
BRIS DAN PERTUMBUHAN JAGUNG**

Oleh

YOSSIF SALAMA MOHAMED OMAR

Julai 2009

Pengerusi: Anuar Abdul Rahim, PhD

Fakulti: Pertanian

Tanah BRIS (Beach Ridges Interspersed with Swale) menghadapi cabaran yang besar dalam pengurusan air dan nutrien disebabkan oleh daya pegangan air dan penyimpanan nutrient yang lemah. Selain daripada itu daya hidrolik yang tinggi juga menyumbang kepada jumlah larut lesap yang tinggi. Penambahan sisa organik ke atas tanah pasir memberikan kesan yang baik ke atas struktur dan ciri-ciri tanah. Kajian pengeraman dan eksperimen lysimeter di ladang dijalankan bagi mengetahui kesan kompos sisa gula tebu (Sugar Cane Filter Cake Compost, FCC) terhadap ciri-ciri fizik, kimia dan biologi terpilih tanah BRIS dan kesannya terhadap pertumbuhan dan hasil jagung. Pada kedua-dua eksperimen, lapangan dan lysimeter tanah BRIS telah ditambah dengan 0, 3, 6, 8

dan 12 tan ha⁻¹ FCC, masing-masing disusun dalam rekabentuk rawak lengkap dan segiempat latin. Jumlah karbon organik (OC), N, P, K, Ca dan Mg yang terkandung dalam FCC adalah masing-masing 13.60%, 1.10%, 1.00%, 0.20%, 7.00% dan 0.80%. Kajian pengeraman menunjukkan pembebasan nutrien yang berbeza mengikut rawatan dan julat min bagi setiap nutrien yang terbebas di akhir tempoh pengeraman adalah; 0.012-0.035 % N, 0.42-0.55 % karbon organik (OC), 33.80-60.20 ppm NO₃⁻, 20.40-66.97 ppm NH₄⁺, 0.06-3.19 cmol kg⁻¹ Ca dan 0.03-0.08 cmol kg⁻¹ Mg. Penambahan sebanyak 12 tan ha⁻¹ kompos menunjukkan peningkatan bererti terhadap kandungan total N and NH₄⁺ dalam tanah berbanding dengan tanpa rawatan. Kandungan karbon organik tanah menunjukkan hubungan, $y = 0.42 + 0.01x$ ($P = 0.036$, $R^2 = 0.96$). Karbon organik tanah menunjukkan peningkatan linear dengan peningkatan kadar FCC. Kajian lapangan yang dijalankan pada dua musim berturut-turut menunjukkan penambahan FCC tidak memberikan impak yang bererti terhadap pH tanah pada musim yang pertama, tetapi pada musim yang kedua pH tanah menunjukkan peningkatan yang bererti dengan penambahan 12 tan ha⁻¹ FCC berbanding kawalan dan 3 tan ha⁻¹ rawatan. pH tanah menunjukkan hubungan kuadratik di mana $y = 7.13 + 0.19x - 0.008x^2$ ($P = 0.0144$, $R^2 = 0.99$). Air tanah tersedia menunjukkan interaksi sebagai $y = 2.08 - 0.17x + 0.03x^2$ ($P = 0.00436$, $R^2 = 0.96$) pada musim pertama dan $y = 2.5 + 0.12x$ ($P = 0.0254$, $R^2 = 0.85$) pada musim kedua. Pada musim pertama, N dalam tanah bertindakbalas secara linear, dimana $y = 0.022 + 0.004x$ ($P = 0.0449$, $R^2 = 0.78$) sehingga 12 tan ha⁻¹, manakala pada musim kedua, ia menunjukkan hubungan linear dimana $y = 0.04 + 0.0003x$ ($P = 0.0452$, $R^2 = 0.78$) dengan peningkatan kadar FCC sehingga 12 tan ha⁻¹. Ca dalam tanah bertindakbalas sebagai $y = 0.013 + 0.03x - 0.001x^2$ ($P = 0.0148$, $R^2 = 0.98$) dan $y = 0.04x - 0.002x^2$ ($P = 0.0009$, $R^2 = 0.99$) masing-masing pada musim pertama

dan kedua. Kandungan Ca yang maksimum didapati pada $0.13 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ pada musim pertama dan $0.21 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ untuk musim kedua masing-masing pada kadar FCC 10.5 dan 10 tan ha^{-1} . Tiada peningkatan bererti terhadap aktiviti mikrobial berpunca pada sumber karbon yang rendah dalam kompos. Walaupun penambahan FCC meningkatkan ciri-ciri fizik dan kimia pada tanah BRIS, penyelidikan lanjut ke atas implikasi ekonomi terhadap penambahan bahan organik perlu dijalankan.

Kata kunci: Kompos sisa tebu, tanah pasir, bahan pembaikan tanah dan pertumbuhan pokok.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

IN THE NAME OF ALLAH, THE MOST GRACIOUS, THE MOST MERCIFUL

All praise belongs to **Allah**, glorified is He and exalted. I thank Allah for giving me the strength and wisdom for successfully completing my thesis work.

The preparation of this thesis would not have been possible without the support, hard work and endless efforts of a large number of individuals and institutions. I would like to sincerely acknowledge the support of the Government of Libya for providing me scholarship to pursue MSc degree.

I am indebted to chairman of my supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr Anuar Rahim for his patience and unshaken support. With his enthusiasm, inspiration, and great efforts to explain things clearly and simply, Dr Anuar helped to guide me in my research. Throughout my thesis-writing period, he provided encouragement, sound advice, good teaching, good company, and lots of good ideas. I wish to express my warm and sincere thanks to my supervisory committee member, Associate Professor Dr Radziah Othman for her remarkable suggestions and valuable advice on my work.

I owe my loving thanks to my immediate family who stood beside me and encouraged me constantly. I would like to thank all my fellow friends who had helped me in one way or the other. However, there are a few people that I would like to specially acknowledge and extend my heartfelt gratitude who have made the completion of this



research possible. Many thanks go in particular to Monther, Abdrakeb and Alagie, for their assistance and constructive comments.

I wish to acknowledge and thank all the lab assistants at UPM, especially Puan Sarimah Hashim for their over whelming assistance during my laboratory analysis.

I cannot finish without saying how grateful I am with my family: grandparents, uncles, aunts, cousins and nephews all have given me a loving environment where to develop. Particular thanks, of course, to my brothers and sisters. Lastly, and most importantly, I wish to express my deepest love and gratitude to my mum and dad for their support and encouragement in all matters of my life.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 1st July 2009 to conduct the final examination of Yossif Salama Mohamed Omar on his master thesis entitled “Effects of Sugar Cane Filter Cake compost on selected characteristics of Bris Soils Growth Of Maize ” in accordance with Unversities and Unversity Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Unversiti Pertanian Malaysia[P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Aminuddin Hussin, PhD

Associate professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Husni Mohd Hanif, PhD

Associate professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Hamdan Jol, PhD

Associate professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Izham Ahmad , PhD

Researcher
Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
Serdang
(External Examiner)

BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 August 2009



This thesis was submitted to the senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Anuar Abd .Rahim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman))

Radziah Othman, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 September 2009



DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Unversiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

(Signature)

YOSSIF SALAM MOHAMED OMAR

Date: 23 July 2009



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATION	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLS	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
	xxi

CHAPTER

1	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Problem statement	4
	1.3 Objectives	5
	1.4 Scope of study	5
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	6
	2.1 Sandy soils	6
	2.1.1 Classification of sandy soils	7
	2.1.2 Physical chemical and biological barrier of sand soils	11
	2.1.3 Limitation of sandy soils to crop production	14
	2.1.4 Organic matter and fertility of sandy soils	15
	2.2 Agriculture organic wastes	20
	2.2.1 Effect of agriculture wastes on physical, chemical biological properties of sandy soils	21
	2.2.2 Effect of agriculture wastes on plant growth and Production	27
	2.2.2.1 Mineralization of organic matter	31
	2.3 Sugar cane filter cake compost (FCC)	33
	2.3.1 Mineralization of FCC	36
	2.3.3 Effect of FCC on plant growth and crop production	37



3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	39
3.1	Location of the study	39
3.2	Analysis of compost	39
3.3	Preliminary Experiment	41
3.4	Soil collection	42
3.5	Treatments	43
3.6	Experimental layout	44
	3.6.1 Seedbed preparation	45
	3.6.2 Application of filter cake compost	45
3.7	Planting and crop maintenance	47
	3.7.1 Sowing of seeds	47
	3.7.2 Agronomic practices	48
3.8	Field sampling and data collection	49
	3.8.1 Pre-harvest characterization of the soil	49
	3.8.2 Post- harvest characterization of the soil	51
	3.8.3 Plant variables	52
	3.8.4 Statistical analysis	55

3	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	56
4.1	Composition of Filter cake compost	57
4.2	Mineralization of FCC	59
4.3	Effect of FCC on selected soil characteristics	73
	4.3.1 Effect of FCC on available water content and soil bulk density	73
	4.3.2 Effect FCC on nutrients in soils	77
	4.3.3 Effect of FCC on population of soil microorganism	88
4.4	Effect of FCC on plant growth	92
	4.4.1 Effect of FCC on plant height	92
	4.4.2 Effect of FCC on plant biomass	94
	4.4.3 Effect of FCC on plant culm diameter	95
	4.4.4 Effect of FCC on nutrient content in tissue	96
4.5	Relationship between soil and plant characteristics	99
	4.5.1 Correlation and Regression analyses	99
5	CONCLUSION	109
	REFERENCES	112
	APPENDICES	124
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	128



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Procedures for determination of nutrient content of FCC, soil chemical properties and plant tissues	40
3.2	Treatments of FCC comprising amount in the field (t ha^{-1}) and in culvert (g culvert^{-1})	44
4.1	Chemical and physical properties of experimental soil prior to treatment application	57
4.2	Nutrient content of FCC used in this study as compared with specifications by supplier	58
4.3	The effect of different rates of FCC on soil pH and Mineralization of elements at 63 days incubation	65
4.4	Available water and soil bulk density as affected by different rates of FCC	74
4.5	Effects of different rates of FCC on status of nutrients in the soil (first season)	77
4.6	Effects of different rates of FCC on status of nutrients in the soil (second season)	78
4.7	Soil pH and CEC as affected by different rates of FCC	86
4.8	Effects of different rates of FCC on soil fungal population in both planting seasons	90
4.9	Sufficiency ranges of macro and micro-nutrient in flag leaves of maize	97
4.10	Elements in the leaves affected by different rates of FCC (first season)	98
4.11	Elements in the leaves affected by different rates of FCC (second season)	99
4.12	Correlations among various soil nutrients and soil pH at harvest during first season	101



4.13	Correlations among various soil nutrients and soil pH at harvest during second season	102
4.14	Correlations among various soil nutrients with nutrient content in tissue at harvest during first season	102
4.15	Correlations among various soil nutrients with nutrient content tissue at harvest during second season	103
4.16	Correlations among various soil nutrients with plant yield variables at harvest during first season	103
4.17	Correlations among various soil nutrients with plant yield variables at harvest during second season	104
4.18	Correlations among various nutrients in tissue with plant yield variables at harvest during first season	104
4.19	Correlations among various nutrients in tissue with plant yield variables at harvest during second season	105



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Incubation process of the FCC mixed with the soils	42
3.2	Preparation of soil in culverts prior to seeding	45
3.3	Sachets of FCC before mixing with the sandy soils	46
3.4	Spreading of FCC on sandy soil in culvert	47
3.5	Plant density of the culvert after seedlings establishment	48
3.6	Indication of flag leaf to be sampled at physiological maturity	53
4.1	The effect of different rates of FCC on soil pH during incubation period	59
4.2	The effect of different rates of FCC on Organic carbon during incubation period	60
4.3	The effect of different rates of FCC on total nitrogen during incubation period	60
4.4	The effect of different rates of FCC on NH_4^+ during incubation period	61
4.5	The effect of different rates of FCC on NO_3^- during incubation period	62
4.6	The effect of different rates of FCC on exchangeable K during incubation period	63
4.7	The effect of different rates of FCC on exchangeable Ca during incubation period	63
4.8	The effect of different rates of FCC on exchangeable Mg during incubation period	64
4.9	Changes of pH in soil amended with FCC after 63 days of incubation	66
4.10	Changes of total OC in soil amended with FCC after 63 days of incubation	67



4.11	Changes of total N in soil amended with FCC after 63 days of incubation	68
4.12	Changes of NH_4^+ in soil amended with FCC after 63 days of incubation	69
4.13	Changes of NO_3^- in soil amended with FCC after 63 days of incubation	70
4.14	Changes of exchangeable Mg in soil amended with FCC after 63 days of incubation	73
4.15	Soil bulk density as affected by different rates of FCC in both planting Seasons.	75
4.16	Available soil water content as affected by different rates of FCC in both planting seasons	76
4.17	Effect of FCC on soil total nitrogen in both planting seasons	79
4.18	Soil phosphorus as affected by different rates of FCC in both planting seasons	81
4.19	Soil potassium as affected by different rates of FCC in both planting seasons	82
4.20	Effect of FCC on soil calcium in both planting seasons	83
4.21	Effect of FCC on soil magnesium in both planting seasons	84
4.22	Soil iron as affected by different rates of FCC in both planting Seasons	85
4.23	Effect of FCC on soil pH in both planting seasons	86
4.24	Effect of FCC on soil CEC in both planting seasons	87
4.25	Colonization of fungi in the soil after addition of FCC	89
4.26	Soil number of colonies as affected by different rates of FCC in both planting seasons	91
4.27	Effects of FCC on plant height in both planting seasons	93
4.28	Plant height as affected by different treatments	93



4.29	Aboveground plant dry weight as affected by amount of FCC application	94
4.30	Changes in plant diameter with respect to addition of different rates of FCC	96
4.31	Relationship between Calcium and Magnesium in soil during first season	105
4.32	Relationship between Calcium and soil pH during first season	106
4.33	Relationship between Calcium and soil pH during second season	106
4.34	Relationship between Magnesium in soil and pH during second season	107
4.35	Relationship between Calcium and Magnesium in soil during second season	107
4.36	Relationship between Calcium in the soil and culm diameter during second season	108
4.37	Relationship between Magnesium in the soil and culm diameter during second season	108



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANOVA	Analysis of Variance
ASWC ¹	Available soil water content in first season
ASWC ²	Available soil water content in second season
BD ¹	Bulk density in first season
BD ²	Bulk density in second season
BRIS	Beach ridges interspersed with swales
C:N	Carbon nitrogen ratio
Ca ^L	Calcium in the leaves
Ca ^s	Calcium in the soil
CEC	Cation exchange capacity
CEC ¹ (cmol(+))kg ⁻¹	Soil Cation exchange capacity in the first season
CEC ² cmol(+))kg ⁻¹	Soil Cation exchange capacity in the second season
CRD	Complete Randomized Design
EFB	Empty fruit bunches
FCC	Compost sugarcane filter cake
Fe ^L	Iron in the leaves
Fe ^s	Iron in the soil
K ^L	Potassium in the leaves
K ^s	Potassium in the soil
MARDI	Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute
Mg ^L	Magnesium in the leaves
Mg ^s	Magnesium in the soil



N ^L	Nitrogen in the leaves
N ^S	Nitrogen in the soil
ns	Not significant at (p<0.05) probability level
OM	organic material
pH ¹	Soil pH in the first season
pH ²	Soil pH in the second season
P ^L	Phosphorus in the leaves
P ^S	Phosphorus in the soil
POME	Palm oil mill effluent
SOM	Soil organic material
UiTM	Universiti Teknologi Mara
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
WRB	World reference base

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Sandy soils are characterized by less than 18% clay and more than 65% sand in the first 100 cm of the solum (ISSS Working Group, 1998). Sandy soils are weakly developed soils with only weak profile horizon formation because of the slow chemical weathering in these normally dry and hot soils. Physical weathering predominates in response to extreme variations in temperature. The absence of vegetation cover results in an extremely low production of organic material which leads to the very low organic matter contents.

One of the primary interests of agriculturalists is the use of soil as a medium for plant production. Many crop management decisions are aimed at improving the soil to maximize production of food and fiber. Beach Ridges Interspersed with Swales (BRIS) soils, which are types of sandy soils usually pose particular challenge to water and nutrient management due to low water and nutrient retention capacity. High hydraulic conductivity also leads to loss of water beyond rooting zone of plant. Sandy soils require lots of irrigation water and fertilizers (Pathan *et al.*, 2003).

