



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**POPULATION ECOLOGY, REPRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOUR AND  
FEEDING HABIT OF *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret ON CASHEW  
(*Anacardium occidentale* L.) PLANTS**

**SISWANTO**

**FP 2007 28**

**POPULATION ECOLOGY, REPRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOUR AND FEEDING  
HABIT OF *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret ON CASHEW (*Anacardium  
occidentale* L.) PLANTS**

**By**

**SISWANTO**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**November 2007**



## **DEDICATION**

I dedicate this thesis to my wife Yuyun Nurohmah and children Arina Yusianti, Naufal Yuwanto and Hanifa Triyuwanti for their patient and support during my study in Malaysia.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**POPULATION ECOLOGY, REPRODUCTIVE BEHAVIOUR AND FEEDING HABIT OF *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret ON CASHEW (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) PLANTS**

By

**SISWANTO**

**November 2007**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Rita Muhamad, PhD**

**Faculty : Agriculture**

*Helopeltis antonii* Signoret (Hemiptera: Miridae) is well known as one of the important pest of the cashew plant, *Anacardium occidentale* L. Both the nymph and adult stages feed on young and succulent parts of the plant such as the young leaves, shoots, inflorescences and fruits causing death of those parts. This research was conducted with the objectives to establish age-specific life table, to investigate some biological aspects of *H. antonii*, to study the effect of damage caused by *H. antonii* on shoot, inflorescence and fruit, and also to study some ecological aspects related to *H. antonii* population in the field. The studies were conducted in pesticide-free cashew plantation belonging to smallholders and also in the laboratory of Estate Service of Wonogiri Regency in Ngadirojo district, Wonogiri, Central Java, Indonesia from March 2004 to May 2006. The life table of *H. antonii* revealed a high hatchability but a bulk mortality occurred at early nymphal stages and relatively fewer death during the adult stage. The contribution of the female towards female births ( $m_x$ ) was at its maximum on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of oviposition.



The population parameters of *H. antonii* fed cashew showed that the intrinsic rate of natural increase ( $r_m$ ) was 0.092/female/day, the net reproductive rate ( $R_0$ ) was 12.84, the capacity for increase ( $r_c$ ) was 0.090, the finite rate for increase ( $\lambda$ ) was 1.097 female/day, and mean generation time ( $T$ ) was 27.70 days with the population doubling (DT) every 7.52 days. Biological studies revealed that *H. antonii* feeding lesions developed faster on inflorescence and shoot compared to fruits. The lesions on shoot and inflorescence produced depressed and wrinkled surface which then dried up within four days. Meanwhile it caused depression on apple and flattened the surface on nut. Feeding preference with no choice experiment suggested that *H. antonii* preferred to feed on shoot and young fruits rather than the inflorescence and older fruits. Results in the choice experiment suggested that *H. antonii* preferred to feed on shoot compared to inflorescence and fruits. The female preferred to oviposit on inflorescence compared to shoot and fruit. The pre-mating period for both male and female *H. antonii* was one day. Sex ratio of females to males did not influence the number of eggs laid. However, overcrowded males seemed to influence female longevity. The frequency of matings did not influence the number of eggs laid and the hatchability, even though, females which mated more than once tended to lay more eggs. Damage assessment study revealed that the percentage of shoot, inflorescence and fruit death increased with the number of lesions. Apart from the number of lesions, position of lesions and stage (age of part attacked) also affected damage intensity, particularly on inflorescence and fruits. Small or young fruits were not able to tolerate heavy damage by *H. antonii*, whereas older fruits were relatively not affected by the damage. Field

experiments indicated that cashew fruit particularly small and medium sized were more susceptible to *H. antonii* feeding lesions compared to inflorescences. The infestation of *H. antonii* was linked to the phenology of the cashew plants. Higher percentage of inflorescence death occurred in the second phase of flowering season, meanwhile higher percentage of fruit death occurred in the third flowering season. Studies on the population fluctuation and dispersion of *H. antonii* in cashew plantation indicated that the fluctuation in the population of *H. antonii* was cyclical with the population peaking around July when cashew shoots and inflorescences were abundant. The population began to increase just after the rainfall season stopped and reached the peak three months later when rainfall was intermittent low. Number of shoots and inflorescences of cashew plants had significant influence on the number of *H. antonii*. The trend of population abundance was not directly associated with the rainfall, but rainfall influenced the physiology of the cashew plant to produced flushes/shoots and inflorescences. Distribution analysis using various indices of dispersion and regression models indicated an aggregated distribution when the population was high during flushing-flowering season of cashew plants and a regular or random distribution when the population was low during post-flowering season.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senate Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**EKOLOGI POPULASI, PERLAKUAN PEMBIAKAN DAN TABIAT  
PEMAKANAN *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret KE ATAS POKOK GAJUS  
(*Anacardium occidentale* L.)**

Oleh

**SISWANTO**

**2007**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Rita Muhamad, PhD**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

*Helopeltis antonii* Signoret di kenali sebagai salah satu serangga perosak pada pokok gajus, *Anacardium occidentale* L. Kedua-dua nimfa dan dewasa memakan pada bahagian muda dan bahagian sukulen seperti daun muda, pucuk, infloresens dan buah yang menyebabkan kematian pada bahagian ini. Penyelidikan ini dijalankan dengan beberapa objektif iaitu membina jadual hidup umur-spesifik, untuk mengkaji aspek ekologi *H. antonii*, untuk mengkaji kesan kerosakan yang disebabkan oleh *H. antonii* ke atas pucuk, infloresens dan buah, dan juga mengkaji aspek ekologi berhubung dengan populasi *H. antonii* di lapangan. Kajian ini dijalankan di kawasan pemilik kecil ladang pokok gajus yang bebas dari pestisid dan di makmal Dinas Perkebunan Wonogiri di daerah Ngadirojo, Wonogiri, Jawa Tengah, Indonesia dari Mac 2004 sehingga Mei 2006. Jadual hidup *H. antonii* menunjukkan kebolehan menetas yang tinggi tetapi kebanyakan mati pada tahap awal peringkat nimfa dan relatif rendah pada peringkat dewasa. Sumbangan betina terhadap kelahiran betina ( $m_x$ ) adalah pada kadar

maksimum pada hari ke 16 peneluran. Parameter populasi *H. antonii* yang memakan pokok gajus menunjukkan pertumbuhan semulajadi kadar intrisik ( $r_m$ ) adalah 0.092/betina/hari, kadar penghasilan bersih ( $R_0$ ) 12.84, kapasiti pertumbuhan adalah 0.090 dan pertumbuhan kadar finite ( $\lambda$ ) adalah 1.097 betina/hari, dan min tempoh generasi ( $T$ ) adalah 27.70 hari, dan populasi akan berganda setiap 7.52 hari. Kajian biologi menunjukkan pemakanan kecederaan berkembang dengan cepat pada infloresens dan pucuk berbanding buah. Kecederaan pada pucuk dan infloresens menyebabkan tekanan dan permukaan berkedut dan kering dalam masa 4 hari, manakala ianya menyebabkan tekanan pada epal dan permukaan rata pada kacang. Pemilihan pemakanan dengan eksperimen tiada pilihan menunjukkan yang *H. antonii* lebih memilih memakan bahagian pucuk dan buah muda berbanding infloresens dan buah lebih tua. Daripada kajian eksperimen dengan pilihan menunjukkan *H. antonii* lebih memilih memakan bahagian pucuk berbanding inflorescences dan buah. Betina juga lebih memilih untuk bertelur pada infloresens berbanding pada pucuk dan buah. Tempoh pramatang bagi betina dan jantan *H. antonii* adalah 1 hari. Nisbah jantina betina kepada jantan tidak dipengaruhi bilangan telur yang dikeluarkan. Walaubagaimanapun, kelimpahan jantan mungkin mempengaruhi jangka hidup betina. Kekurangan persenyawaan tidak mempengaruhi bilangan telur yang dihasilkan dan yang menetas, walaubagaimanapun betina yang disenyawakan lebih cenderung menghasilkan telur. Kajian penilaian kerosakan menunjukkan peratus kematian pada pucuk, infloresens dan buah bertambah dengan bilangan kecederaan. Selain daripada bilangan kecederaan, kedudukan kecederaan dan peringkat (umur bahagian yang



diserang) juga mempengaruhi intensiti kerosakan terutama pada bahagian infloresens dan buah. Buah kecil dan muda tidak dapat bertoleransi dengan kerosakan yang banyak oleh *H. antonii*, manakala buah tua tidak dipengaruhi oleh kerosakan tersebut. Kajian lapangan menunjukkan buah gajus terutama size kecil dan menengah adalah lebih terdedah kepada kecederaan pemakanan *H. antonii* berbanding dengan infloresens. Serangan *H. antonii* adalah berkait rapat dengan fenologi pokok gajus. Peratus kematian infloresens tinggi pada musim kedua berbunga, manakala peratus kematian buah tinggi pada musim ketiga berbunga. Kajian fluktuasi dan taburan populasi *H. antonii* berkitar dengan kemuncak populasi pada sekitar Julai apabila bilangan infloresens dan buah gajus melimpah. Populasi mulai bertambah selepas musim hujan berhenti dan mencapai kemuncak tiga bulan kemudian apabila sela hujan rendah. Bilangan pucuk dan infloresens pokok gajus sangat signifikan mempengaruhi ke atas bilangan *H. antonii*. Corak kelimpahan populasi tidak berhubung langsung dengan jumlah hujan, tetapi jumlah hujan mempengaruhi fisiologi pokok gajus untuk menghasilkan pucuk dan infloresens. Analisis taburan menggunakan indeks taburan model regresi menunjukkan taburan aggregasi apabila populasi tinggi pada musim berbunga lebat pokok gajus dan biasa atau random apabila populasi rendah pada akhir musim berbunga.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises and thanks are to Allah SWT., the Almighty, for giving me guidance, strength and patience in finishing my study. The author invokes Allah's blessings of peace for the Holy Prophet Mohammad, the messenger of Allah.

First of all I would like to express my sincerest thanks and appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Rita Muhamad, Chairman of my supervisory committee for her encouragement, familiar support, invaluable advice and intellectual guidance during my study, preparation of the research proposal, in the conduct of the research and in the writing up this thesis. Grateful thanks are also due to my supervisory committee member, Professor Dr. Dzolkifli Omar and Dr. Elna Karmawati for their constructive comments, advice and help throughout my study and encouragement during the completion of this thesis.

My sincere thanks to the Director General of the Indonesian Agency for Agricultural Research and Development (IAARD), Dr. Ir. Ahmad Suryana, MS; The Chair of the Committee of Human Resources Development, Dr. Haryono; Director of Indonesian Center for Estate Crops Research and Development, Dr. Bambang Prastowo and the former chair, Dr. Hasnam; Director of Indonesian Medicinal and Aromatic Crops Research Institute, Bogor, Dr. Muhamad Syakir and the former Director of Indonesian Spices



and Medicinal Crops Research Institute, Bogor, Dr. Molide Rizal for giving me the opportunity to take up PhD program.

My gratitude also to Dr. Darmono Taniwiryono, Manager of Integrated Pest Management for Smallholder Estate Crops Project (IPM-SECP), for the opportunity and financial support to pursue a PhD study in Univeriti Putra Malaysia. I would also to thank to all IPM Project staff, especially Mrs. Ina Purwantini, the financial officer, for their help with financial arrangements.

I would like to thank the Director of Wonogiri Estate Service and Staff for providing laboratory for my research; cashew farmers in Ngadirojo district, Wonogiri for permission to use their cashew plots for my experiments, especially to Mr. Supardi in Pondok village, Ngadirojo district . Thanks are also extended to Mr. Ahyar, Mr. Cucu Sukmana, Mr. Endang Sugandi and Mr. Eko Tri Wahyono for their assistance in collecting data for the experiments. Without their assistance I would have difficulties conducting the experiments.

I also would like to thank to my housemates especially to Antario Dikin and Taufik Ratule for sharing the daily joy together during my stay in Malaysia.

Finally, my special thanks to my wife, Yuyun Nurohmah and my children, Arina Yusianti, Naufal Yuwanto and Hanifa Triyuwanti for their patience, understanding, support and inspiration given to me during the period of my study in Malaysia.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 16<sup>th</sup> November 2007 to conduct the final examination of Siswanto on his degree in Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Population ecology, reproductive behaviour and feeding habit of *Helopeltis antonii* Signoret on cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) plants” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Kamaruzaman Sijam, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Yusof Ibrahim, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Rohani Ibrahim, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Idris Abd. Ghani, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follow:

**Rita Muhamad, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Dzolkifli Omar, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Elna Karmawati, PhD**

Senior Research Officer  
Indonesian Center for Estate Crops Research and Development, Bogor,  
Indonesia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



## DECLARATION

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

---

**Siswanto**

**Date: 17 - 12 - 2007**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	vi
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	ix
<b>APPROVAL</b>	xi
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b>	xxi
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxiv
<b>Chapter</b>	
<b>1 GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1.1</b>
1.1 Background	1.1
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>2.1</b>
2.1 <i>Helopeltis antonii</i> Signoret	2.1
2.1.1 Systematic and morphology of <i>H. antonii</i>	2.1
2.1.2 Biology of <i>H. antonii</i>	2.3
2.1.3 Distribution	2.4
2.1.4 Host plant	2.5
2.1.5 Ecology and fluctuation population	2.7
2.1.6 Distribution pattern	2.9
2.1.7 Damage to cashew	2.11
2.1.8 Control measures	2.14
2.1.9 Natural enemies	2.16
2.2 Cashew ( <i>Anacardium occidentale</i> , L.)	2.18
2.2.1 Taxonomy and botany	2.18
2.2.2 Characteristic of cashew	2.21
<b>3 LIFE TABLES AND DEMOGRAPHIC PARAMETERS OF <i>Helopeltis antonii</i> ON CASHEW</b>	<b>3.1</b>
3.1 Introduction	3.1
3.2 Materials and Methods	3.3
3.2.1 <i>Helopeltis antonii</i>	3.3
3.2.2 Life table construction	3.5
3.3 Results	3.8
3.3.1 Age-specific survival life table	3.8
3.3.2 Age-specific fertility table	3.15
	<b>Page</b>
3.4 Discussion	3.18



3.5	Conclusion	3.22
<b>4</b>	<b>BIOLOGICAL ASPECTS OF <i>Helopeltis antonii</i></b>	<b>4.1</b>
4.1	Introduction	4.1
4.2	Feeding Behaviour of <i>H. antonii</i>	4.2
4.2.1	Introduction	4.2
4.2.2	Materials and methods	4.2
4.2.2.1	Insects	4.2
4.2.2.2	Location of the experiment	4.3
4.2.2.3	Effect of adult feeding on numbers and characteristic of lesions	4.3
4.2.2.4	Feeding preference studies	4.3
4.2.3	Results	4.6
4.2.3.1	Effect of adult feeding on numbers and characteristic of lesions	4.6
4.2.3.2	Feeding preference studies	4.8
4.2.4	Discussion	4.13
4.3	Oviposition Behaviour of <i>H. antonii</i>	4.14
4.3.1	Introduction	4.14
4.3.2	Materials and Methods	4.15
4.3.2.1	Choice of oviposition sites	4.15
4.3.3	Results	4.16
4.3.4	Discussion	4.17
4.4	Mating Behaviour of <i>H. antonii</i>	4.19
4.4.1	Introduction	4.19
4.4.2	Materials and Methods	4.20
4.4.2.1	Premating period of male and female <i>H. antonii</i>	4.20
4.4.2.2	Influence of sex ratio on fecundity and longevity of female <i>H. antonii</i>	4.21
4.4.2.3	Influence of mating frequency to fecundity and eggs hatchability	4.21
4.4.3	Results	4.22
4.4.3.1	Premating period of male and female <i>H. antonii</i>	4.22
4.4.3.2	Influence of sex ratio on fecundity and longevity of female <i>H. antonii</i>	4.24
4.4.3.3	Influence of mating frequency to fecundity and eggs hatchability	4.25
4.4.4	Discussion	4.26
4.5	Conclusion	4.28
<b>5</b>	<b>DAMAGE ASSESSMENT OF CASHEW BY <i>Helopeltis antonii</i></b>	<b>5.1</b>
5.1	Introduction	5.1
5.2	Materials and Methods	5.2
5.2.1	Damage assessment of <i>H. antonii</i> on shoot, inflorescence and fruit	5.2
5.2.2	Feeding lesions due to natural infestation of <i>H.</i>	

**Page**





	<i>antonii</i> on inflorescences and fruits	5.4
5.3	Results	5.5
	5.3.1 Damage assessment of <i>H. antonii</i> on shoots	5.5
	5.3.2 Damage assessment of <i>H. antonii</i> on inflorescences	5.8
	5.3.3 Damage assessment of <i>H. antonii</i> on fruits	5.10
	5.3.4 Feeding lesions due to natural infestation of <i>H. antonii</i> on inflorescences and fruits	5.18
5.4	Discussion	5.33
5.5	Conclusion	5.35
<b>6</b>	<b>POPULATION FLUCTUATION AND DISPERSION PATTERN OF <i>Helopeltis antonii</i></b>	
	<i>Helopeltis antonii</i>	6.1
6.1	Introduction	6.1
6.2	Materials and Methods	6.2
	6.2.1 Population fluctuation of <i>H. antonii</i> in cashew plantation	6.2
	6.2.2 Dispersion of <i>H. antonii</i> in cashew plantation	6.4
6.3	Results	6.6
	6.3.1 Population fluctuation of <i>H. antonii</i> in cashew plantation	6.6
	6.3.2 Dispersion of <i>H. antonii</i> in cashew plantation	6.14
6.4	Discussion	6.18
6.5	Conclusion	6.23
<b>7</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION</b>	7.1
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	R.1
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	A.1
	<b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>	B.1
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	B.3

## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	List of host plants to <i>H. antonii</i>	2.6
3.1	Life table of <i>H. antonii</i> fed shoots of cashew (cohort 1, 20 April 2004).	3.10
3.2.	Life table of <i>H. antonii</i> fed shoots of cashew (cohort 2, 22 April 2004).	3.11
3.3	Life table of <i>H. antonii</i> fed shoots of cashew (cohort 3, 22 June 2004).	3.12
3.4	Pooled life table of <i>H. antonii</i> on cashew.	3.15
3.5	Life and age-specific fecundity table of <i>H. antonii</i> fed of cashew.	3.17
3.6	Population and reproductive parameters of <i>H. antonii</i> fed shoots of cashew.	3.18
4.1	Number and size of feeding lesion caused by female and male of <i>H. antonii</i> on different parts of cashew plant.	4.7
4.2	Changes in colour and surface of feeding lesion caused by adult of <i>H. antonii</i> on different parts of cashew plant.	4.9
4.3	Mean number of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with no-choice experiment.	4.10
4.4	Mean length of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with no-choice experiment.	4.12
4.5	Mean width of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with no-choice experiment.	4.12
4.6	Mean number of lesions on parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in the feeding preference with choice experiment.	4.13
4.7	Mean number of eggs laid by <i>H. antonii</i> found on different parts of cashew plant.	4.17
4.8	Fecundity of female <i>H. antonii</i> in pre-mating study of the male and Female.	4.23

4.9	Influence of sex ratio on fecundity and longevity of females <i>H. antonii</i> .	4.24
4.10	Influence of mating frequencies to fecundity and eggs hatchability of <i>H. antonii</i> .	4.26
5.1	Damage on shoots following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation at week six.	5.6
5.2	Damage on inflorescences following exposure to different intentions of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation at weeks six.	5.9
5.3	Damage on different sizes of cashew fruit following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	5.11
5.4	Coefficient of correlation (r) between number of <i>H. antonii</i> lesions and fruit growth parameters in small, Medium, and large fruits	5.13
5.5	The percentages of fruits that died by <i>H. antonii</i> and naturally during fruit development in the first, second and third flowering season at week 15.	5.33
6.1	Coefficient of correlation ( r ) between <i>H. antonii</i> population and their environmental factors during two consecutive flushing-flowering seasons 2004-2005 and 2005-2006.	6.9
6.2	Stepwise regression for <i>H. antonii</i> population against environmental factors during two consecutive cashew plants season 2004-2006 in Wonogiri, Indonesia.	6.10
6.3	Coefficient of correlation ( r ) between cashew yield and their environmental factors during two consecutive flushing-flowering seasons 2004-2005 and 2005-2006.	6.13
6.4	Distribution statistics and dispersion indices of <i>H. antonii</i> on cashew plantation in Wonogiri, Indonesia, 2006.	6.15
6.5	Linear regression for Taylor's Power Law and Iwao's patchiness regression for seasonal dispersion of <i>H. antonii</i> according to plant phenology of cashew plants in Wonogiri, Indonesia 2004-2006.	6.17

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Patterns of survivorship curve (lx) of <i>H. antonii</i> for three (A, B and C) different cohorts.	3.10
3.2	Survivorship of adult female and male <i>H. antonii</i> on cashew	3.14
3.3	Daily age-specific survival and fecundity of <i>H. antonii</i> feeding on Cashew	3.16
5.1	The cumulative percentages of dead shoots during shoot development following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	5.6
5.2	Cumulative percentages of dead inflorescences during inflorescences development following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	5.9
5.3	Percentages of <i>H. antonii</i> damage lesions distributed on stalk, apple and cashew fruits with different level of damage in small (A), medium (B) and large (C) fruits.	5.16
5.4	Percentages of dead inflorescence death during development caused by <i>H. antonii</i> (H) and not by <i>H. antonii</i> (-H) in the first phase of flowering season.	5.19
5.5	Cumulative number of <i>H. antonii</i> per surviving inflorescence and the percentages of dead inflorescence associated with <i>H. antonii</i> during the first phase of flowering season.	5.19
5.6	Percentage of dead fruits during fruit development caused by <i>H. antonii</i> (H) and not by <i>H. antonii</i> (-H) in the first phase of flowering season.	5.21
5.7	Cumulative number of <i>H. antonii</i> lesions per surviving fruit and the percentages of fruits death caused by <i>H. antonii</i> in cashew during the first of flowering season.	5.21
5.8	Comparison of cumulative mean number of <i>H. antonii</i> lesions per inflorescence for dead inflorescences due to lesions compared to inflorescences that survived from the lesions during the first phase of flowering season.	5.23
5.9	Mean numbers of feeding lesions from dead inflorescences at 5-7 weeks old, 8-10 weeks old, 11-13 weeks old and 14-19 weeks old during the first phase of flowering season.	5.23
5.10	Percentage of dead inflorescences during development	

- caused by *H. antonii* (H) and not by *H. antonii* (-H) in the second phase of flowering season. 5.25
- 5.11 Cumulative number of *H. antonii* lesions per surviving inflorescence and the percentages of dead inflorescence caused by *H. antonii* during the second phase of flowering season. 5.25
- 5.12 Percentage of dead fruits during development caused by *H. antonii* (H) and not by *H. antonii* (-H) in the second phase of flowering season. 5.26
- 5.13 Cumulative total number of *H. antonii* lesions per surviving fruit and the percentage of dead fruit caused by *H. antonii* during the second phase of flowering season. 5.26
- 5.14 Comparison of cumulative mean number of *H. antonii* lesions per inflorescence for dead inflorescence due to the lesions compared with inflorescences that survived from the lesions during the second phase of flowering season. 5.28
- 5.15 Mean numbers of feeding lesions from dead inflorescences at 5-7 weeks old, 8-10 weeks old, 11-13 weeks old and 14-19 weeks old during the second phase of flowering season. 5.28
- 5.16 Percentage of dead inflorescences during development caused by *H. antonii* (H) and not by *H. antonii* (-H) in the third phase of flowering season 5.29
- 5.17 Cumulative number of *H. antonii* lesions per surviving inflorescence and the percentages of dead inflorescence caused by *H. antonii* during the third phase of flowering season. 5.29
- 5.18 Percentages of dead fruits during development caused by *H. antonii* (H) and not by *H. antonii* (-H) in the third phase of flowering season. 5.31
- 5.19 Cumulative number of *H. antonii* lesions per surviving fruit and the percentages of dead fruit caused by *H. antonii* in the third phase of flowering season. 5.31
- 5.20 Comparison of cumulative mean number of *H. antonii* lesions per inflorescence for dead inflorescences due to the lesions compared with inflorescences that survived from the lesions during the third phase of flowering season. 5.32
- 5.21 Mean numbers of feeding lesions from dead fruits at 5-7 weeks old, 8-10 weeks old, 11-13 weeks old and 14-19 weeks old during the third phase of flowering season. 5.32

- 6.1 Maps of cashew plant sampling-pattern in Wonogiri, Indonesia, 2004-2006. 6.3
- 6.2 Population abundance of *H. antonii* on cashews in relation to climatic factors (rainfall, temperature and relative humidity) and numbers of shoots and inflorescences in Wonogiri between March 2004 to May 2006. 6.7

## LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
2.1	<i>H. antonii</i> : (a) thread-like breathing tubes of eggs, (b) young nymphs, (c) mature nymph and (d) adult.	2.2
2.2	Typical of a cashew tree after the first flushing phase.	2.19
2.3	(A) Inflorescence with opened and unopened flowers, (B) male flower, (C) perfect/hermaphrodite flower, (D) developing fruit, and (E) fruit: 1. stalk, 2. apple, and 3. nut.	2.20
3.1	New emerged adults fed on cucumber in the laboratory.	3.4
4.1	Framed-tile cage on cashew tree used for the caging experiments.	4.4
4.2	Caging of branch of cashew consisting shoot, inflorescence and fruit.	4.5
5.1	Damage on the shoots about six weeks following <i>H. antonii</i> infestation: (A) slightly damaged, (B) moderately damaged, (C) severely damaged, and (D) control.	5.7
5.2	Damage on the inflorescences about three weeks following <i>H. antonii</i> infestation:(A) slightly damaged, (B) Moderately damaged, (C) severely damaged and (D) control.	5.10
5.3	Different fruit size of cashew with <i>H. antonii</i> lesions: (A) small fruit, (B) medium fruit, and (C) large fruit.	5.17

## LIST OF APPENDIX TABLES

Table		Page
<b>APPENDIX 1</b>		
1	Analysis of variance for the number of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with no-choice experiment	A1
2	Analysis of variance for the length of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with no-choice experiment	A1
3	Analysis of variance for the width of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with no-choice experiment	A1
4	Analysis of variance for the number of feeding lesions on different parts of cashew plant caused by different stages of <i>H. antonii</i> in feeding preference with choice experiment	A2
5	Analysis of variance for the number of eggs laid by <i>H. antonii</i> found in different parts of cashew plants	A2
6	Analysis of variance for the influence of sex ratio on fecundity of females <i>H. antonii</i>	A2
7	Analysis of variance for the influence of sex ratio on longevity of females <i>H. antonii</i>	A3
8	Analysis of variance for the influence of mating frequencies to fecundity and eggs hatchability of <i>H. antonii</i>	A3
<b>APPENDIX 2</b>		
1	Analysis of variance for the shoots following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation at week six	A4
2	Analysis of variance for the inflorescences following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation at week six	A4
3	Analysis of variance for the length of apple on small cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A4
4	Analysis of variance for the breadth of apple on small cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A5
5	Analysis of variance for the length of nut on small cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i>	A5





	infestation	
6	Analysis of variance for the breadth of nut on small cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A5
7	Analysis of variance for the wet weight of nut on small cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A6
8	Analysis of variance for the dry weight of nut on small cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A6
9	Analysis of variance for the length of apple on medium cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A6
10	Analysis of variance for the breadth of apple on medium cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A7
11	Analysis of variance for the length of nut on medium cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A7
12	Analysis of variance for the breadth of nut on medium cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A7
13	Analysis of variance for the wet weight of nut on medium cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A8
14	Analysis of variance for the dry weight of nut on medium cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A8
15	Analysis of variance for the length of apple on large cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A8
16	Analysis of variance for the breadth of apple on large cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A9
17	Analysis of variance for the length of nut on large cashew fruits following exposure to different intensities of <i>H. antonii</i> infestation	A9

