



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**EVALUATION OF THE PROPERTIES OF 4-YEAR OLD RUBBERWOOD CLONES RRIM  
2000 SERIES FOR PARTICLEBOARD MANUFACTURE**

**SYEED SAIFULAZRY OSMAN AL-EDRUS**

**IPTPH 2007 2**



**EVALUATION OF THE PROPERTIES OF 4-YEAR OLD RUBBERWOOD  
CLONES RRIM 2000 SERIES FOR PARTICLEBOARD MANUFACTURE**

**By**

**SYEED SAIFULAZRY OSMAN AL-EDRUS**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia in fulfilment of the Requirements for the  
Degree of Master of Science**

**September 2007**



Special dedications belong to my beloved family and most of all to my supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr Paridah Md Tahir

Without them I really can't go through this. May Allah S.W.T bless all my efforts and works and hopefully this thesis will be useful and helps for those who need it.  
Insyaallah.....Amin.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EVALUATION OF THE PROPERTIES OF 4-YEAR OLD RUBBERWOOD  
CLONES RRIM 2000 SERIES FOR PARTICLEBOARD MANUFACTURE**

By

**SYEED SAIFULAZRY OSMAN AL-EDRUS**

**September 2007**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Paridah Md Tahir, PhD**

**Institute : Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products**

With drastic depleting rubberwood supply, the Lembaga Getah Malaysia (LGM) has identified new clones of rubber trees that are expected to produce not solely latex but also timber. Among these clones, several clones from RRIM 2000 series were found to be fast growing with high yield of latex, high growth vigor, good growth form and are very suitable for timber production, particularly for the biocomposite industries. In this study, particleboards were manufactured from rubber tree clones of RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020 and RRIM 2025 which are also categorized as Latex Timber Clone (LTC). The properties of these particleboards were compared with those made from the currently planted tree clone ; PB 260. All clones from RRIM 2000 series were planted at 1000-1100 trees planting density and harvested for this study at 4 year-old. The resin used was E1-grade urea formaldehyde (UF) and the



target density of the particleboard was  $700 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ . The basic and adhesion properties of these woods were evaluated and discussed in relation to the board performance i.e. physical and mechanical properties, and dimensional stability. These properties were determined according to Japanese Industrial Standard for Particleboard (JIS A 5908-2003). Among the clones studied, RRIM 2002 showed the best overall wood basic properties and board performance, which are comparable to or better than those of matured clone PB 260. The 25-year old PB 260 gives the highest specific gravity (0.601), lowest moisture content (70%), longer fibre length (1.3 mm) and thickest fibre wall thickness ( $6.2 \mu\text{m}$ ). Clone RRIM 2002 gives comparable wood basic properties except for specific gravity (0.570), higher wood moisture content (94%) relatively longer fibre length (1.4 mm) and thicker fibre wall ( $5.5 \mu\text{m}$ ). Except for specific gravity, the other two clones give poorer overall wood properties particularly the RRIM 2025. Both clones, however, produced relatively high specific gravity, 0.589 and 0.582 for RRIM 2020 and RRIM 2025. The adhesion properties of all 4-year old clones show almost similar properties. For the particle analysis, PB 260 gives the highest acceptable particle distribution (63.5%), whilst RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020 and RRIM 2025 had respectively, 56.8%, 57.9%, 58.3%. The particleboards of PB 260, RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020 recorded similar modulus of elasticity (MOE) of  $2156 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ ,  $2145 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ , and  $2122 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ , respectively, which were significantly higher ( $p < 0.05$ ) than that of RRIM 2025 board ( $1931 \text{ Nmm}^{-2}$ ). The board's

strength (MOR) of clones RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020, RRIM 2025 and PB 260 were 20.4 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, 19.9 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, 18.0 Nmm<sup>-2</sup> and 21.0 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The internal bond (IB) of all of the boards ranged from 1.24 Nmm<sup>-2</sup> to 1.49 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, and were not significantly different. Among the four rubber tree clones, PB 260 board was the most stable as indicated by the low values in thickness swelling (RRIM 2002, 22.4 %; RRIM 2020, 21.2 %; RRIM 2025, 19.7 % and PB260, 18.1 %). No significant difference in water absorption of particleboard was found for all clones studied. The overall results indicate that 4-year old rubberwood clones can be used as raw material for particleboard manufacture where clone RRIM 2002 as the most suitable clone as it produced comparable particleboard properties with matured clone particleboard.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**EVALUASI SIFAT KAYU POKOK GETAH BERUSIA 4 TAHUN DARIPADA  
KLON SIRI RRIM 2000 BAGI PEMBUATAN PAPAN SERPAI**

Oleh

**SYEED SAIFULAZRY OSMAN AL-EDRUS**

**September 2007**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Paridah Md Tahir, PhD**

**Institut : Institut Perhutanan Tropika dan Produk Hutan**

Bekalan kayu getah telah berkurangan dengan drastik, justeru itu Lembaga Getah Malaysia (LGM) telah mengenalpasti beberapa klon baru pokok getah yang mana dijangka menghasilkan bukan sahaja untuk susu getah malah untuk kayu. Di antara klon ini, beberapa klon dari siri RRIM 2000 yang didapati membesar dengan cepat, menghasilkan susu getah yang banyak, mempunyai kesuburan tinggi dan bentuk tumbesaran yang baik ini amatlah sesuai untuk penghasilan kayu terutamanya bagi industri biokomposit. Dalam kajian ini, papan serpai dihasilkan daripada klon RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020 dan RRIM 2025 yang mana tergolong dalam Klon Latex dan Kayu (LTC) dan sifat papan serpai ini di bandingkan dengan klon yang telah ditanam secara meluas ; PB 260. Kesemua klon daripada siri RRIM 2000 ditanam pada ketumpatan tanaman 1000-1100 pokok dan ianya ditebang



pada usia 4 tahun bagi menjalan kajian ini. Resin yang di gunakan ialah urea formaldehid (UF) gred-E1). Ketumpatan papan serpai adalah dianggarkan  $700 \text{ kgm}^{-3}$ . Bagi sifat asas dan perekatan kayu ianya di evaluasi dan di bincangkan dengan menghubung kaitkannya dengan sifat fizikal dan mekanikal papan serta kestabilan dimensinya. Sifat ini ditentukan berdasarkan Standard Industri Jepun bagi Papan Serpai (JIS A 5908-2003). Di antara klon muda yang dikaji, RRIM 2002 menunjukkan sifat kayu dan papan serpai yang baik secara keseluruhannya dan setanding atau lebih baik daripada klon matang PB 260. Klon PB 260 memberikan spesifik graviti kayu tertinggi (0.601), paling rendah kandungan kelembapan dalam kayu (70%), gentian yang panjang (1.3 mm) dinding gentian yang paling tebal ( $6.2 \mu\text{m}$ ). Walau bagaimanapun, klon RRIM 2002 memberikan sifat asas kayu yang setanding kecuali spesifik graviti kayu ; (0.570) dengan kandungan kelembapan yang tinggi (94%), gentian yang terpanjang (1.4 mm), dinding gentian yang lebih tebal ( $5.5 \mu\text{m}$ ). Klon 4 tahun yang lain terutamanya RRIM 2025, memberikan sifat kayu yang rendah kecuali bagi sifat spesik graviti. Walau bagaimanapun kedua-dua klon ini menghasilkan spesifik graviti kayu yang tinggi; 0.589 dan 0.582 bagi RRIM 2020 dan RRIM 2025. Sifat rekatan bagi kesemua kayu muda menunjukkan persamaan. Bagi analisis serpai, PB 260 memberikan hasil serpai bolehguna yang tertinggi (63.5%), manakala RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020 dan RRIM 2025 masing-masing 56.8%, 57.9%, 58.3%. Papan serpai PB 260, RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020



dilaporkan mempunyai persamaan dari segi modulus elastiknya (MOE) dengan masing-masing 2156 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, 2145 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, dan 2122 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, yang mana perbezaan yang bererti ( $p < 0.05$ ) daripada papan serpai RRIM 2025 (1931 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>). Bagi kekuatan papan serpai (MOR), papan serpai yang diperbuat daripada klon RRIM 2002, RRIM 2020, RRIM 2025 dan PB 260 masing-masing memberi nilai 20.4 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, 19.9 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, 18.0 Nmm<sup>-2</sup> dan 21.0 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>. Kekuatan rekatan dalaman (IB) bagi semua papan serpai adalah sekitar lingkungan 1.24 Nmm<sup>-2</sup> sehingga 1.49 Nmm<sup>-2</sup>, dan ianya tidak memberi perbezaan yang bererti. Di antara empat klon pokok getah, papan PB 260 adalah yang paling stabil yang ditunjukkan dengan nilai pembengkakan ketebalan (TS) yang rendah (RRIM 2002 ; 22.4 %, RRIM 2020 ; 21.2 %, RRIM 2025 ; 19.7 % dan PB260 ; 18.1 %). Tiada perbezaan yang bererti bagi sifat serapan air untuk semua klon yang di kaji. Secara keseluruhannya, kayu getah berusia empat tahun ini boleh digunakan sebagai bahan untuk pembuatan papan serpai yang mana klon RRIM 2002 didapati memberikan sifat papan serpai yang setanding dengan sifat papan serpai daripada klon matang (PB 260).

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Paridah Md. Tahir of Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products, for her guidance, encouragement and constructive criticisms, which brought to the completion of this thesis. My sincere thanks are also extended to Dr Wong Ee Ding, Assoc. Prof. Dr Jalaluddin Harun, and Assoc. Prof. Dr Zaidon Ashaari for their comments and critics during my study.

Acknowledgments are also extended to Mr Abdul Samad Salleh and Dr Mohd Nassaruddin Mohd Aris of Lembaga Getah Malaysia (LGM), Dr Rahim Sudin of Forest Research Institute of Malaysia (FRIM) and Dr Nor Yuziah Mohd Yunus of MAC Sdn. Bhd. for kindly providing information, facilities and materials that are required in this study.

Special appreciations are also extended to my beloved family for their supports, understanding and encouragement.

Last but not least, special thanks to all my friends and staffs of Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products and Faculty of Forestry, who had assist me in the completion of this study.



I certify that an Examination Committee has meet on 20 September 2007 to conduct the final examination of Syeed SaifulAzry Osman Al-Edrus of his Master of Science thesis entitled “Evaluation of the properties of 4-year old rubberwood clone RRIM 2000 series for particleboard manufacture” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of Examination Committee are as follows:

**Mohd Hamami Sahri, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Edi Suhaimi Bakar, PhD**

Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**H'ng Paik San, PhD**

Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Mohd Nor Mohd Yusoff, PhD**

Wood Composite Department  
Forest Research Institute of Malaysia  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for degree of Master of Science. The members of Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Paridah Md Tahir, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Jalaluddin Harun, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Institute of Tropical Forestry and Forest Products  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Wong Ee Ding, PhD**

Lecturer  
Faculty of Forestry  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 21 February 2008



## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is based on my original work also except for quotations and citations which has been duly acknowledged. I declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions,

---

**SYEED SAIFULAZRY OSMAN AL-EDRUS**

Date : 20 February 2008



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>DEDICATION</b>   | ii          |
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>   | iii         |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>  | vi          |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>                                       | ix          |
| <b>APPROVAL</b>   | x           |
| <b>DECLARATION</b>  | xii         |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>   | xvi         |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>  | xviii       |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>                                  | xxi         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>  |             |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>   |             |
| 1.1 General background  | 1.1         |
| 1.2 Justification and problem statement                       | 1.3         |
| 1.3 Objectives  | 1.4         |
| 1.3.1 General objectives                                      | 1.4         |
| 1.3.2 Specific objectives                                     | 1.5         |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>                                    |             |
| 2.1 Malaysia wood-based industry market                       | 2.1         |
| 2.2 Wood resources  | 2.2         |
| 2.2.1 Establishment of plantation programme                   | 2.3         |
| 2.2.2 Current status of rubberwood for wood-based industry    | 2.5         |
| 2.2.3 Rubber tree RRIM 2000 series                            | 2.8         |
| 2.3 Factors affecting wood properties of different tree clone | 2.13        |
| 2.3.1 Breeding programme                                      | 2.13        |
| 2.3.2 Planting density  | 2.16        |
| 2.3.3 Fertilizer application                                  | 2.18        |
| 2.3.4 Tree maturity   | 2.19        |
| 2.3.5 Growth rate   | 2.21        |
| 2.4 Basic properties of different clones of rubber tree       | 2.22        |
| 2.4.1 Wood density  | 2.22        |
| 2.4.2 Fibre morphology  | 2.24        |
| 2.4.3 Moisture content  | 2.26        |
| 2.4.4 Chemical composition                                    | 2.27        |
| 2.5 Rubberwood as raw material particleboard manufacture      | 2.29        |
| 2.5 Technology of Rubberwood particleboard manufacture        | 2.30        |



### **3 THE BASIC PROPERTIES OF 4-YEAR OLD RUBBERWOOD FROM RRIM 2000 SERIES RUBBER TREE CLONES**

|       |  |      |
|-------|--|------|
| 3.1   | Introduction   | 3.1  |
| 3.1.1 | Sources of rubber trees used in the study              | 3.3  |
| 3.2   | Material   | 3.4  |
| 3.2.1 | RRIM 2000 series clones                                | 3.4  |
| 3.2.2 | Prang Besar clone                                      | 3.4  |
| 3.3   | Methodology  | 3.5  |
| 3.3.1 | Specimen selection                                     | 3.5  |
| 3.3.2 | Evaluation of fibre morphology<br>(maceration process) | 3.7  |
| 3.3.3 | Determination of wood specific gravity                 | 3.9  |
| 3.3.4 | Determination of wood moisture content                 | 3.10 |
| 3.4   | Results and discussion                                 | 3.11 |
| 3.4.1 | Fibre morphology                                       | 3.11 |
|       | Fibre shape  | 3.11 |
|       | Fibre dimension  | 3.13 |
| 3.4.2 | Specific gravity                                       | 3.22 |
| 3.4.3 | Moisture content                                       | 3.29 |
| 3.5   | Conclusions  | 3.32 |

### **4 WOOD PARTICLE ANALYSIS AND PARTICLEBOARD PERFORMANCE MADE FROM 4-YEAR OLD RUBBERWOOD CLONES RRIM 2000 SERIES**

|       |   |      |
|-------|---|------|
| 4.1   | Introduction  | 4.1  |
| 4.2   | Methodology   | 4.5  |
| 4.2.1 | Adhesion Properties                                       | 4.5  |
|       | Determination of pH and buffering capacity                | 4.5  |
|       | Determination of surface wettability                      | 4.6  |
| 4.2.2 | Particle analysis   | 4.7  |
|       | Particle size distribution analysis                       | 4.7  |
|       | Particle geometry and characteristic analysis             | 4.8  |
|       | Slenderness ratio   | 4.8  |
|       | Particle shape and surface characteristic                 | 4.9  |
| 4.2.3 | Manufacture of particleboard and properties<br>assessment | 4.10 |
|       | Board manufacture   | 4.10 |
|       | Preparation of test specimens                             | 4.11 |
|       | Evaluation of board properties                            | 4.13 |



|          |  |            |
|----------|--|------------|
|          | Static bending                                 | 4.13       |
|          | Internal bonding                               | 4.15       |
|          | Dimensional stability                          | 4.16       |
| 4.2.4    | Data analysis                                  | 4.17       |
| 4.3      | Results and discussion                         | 4.17       |
| 4.3.1    | Adhesion properties                            | 4.17       |
|          | pH and buffering capacity                      | 4.17       |
|          | Surface wettability                            | 4.20       |
| 4.3.2    | Particle analysis                              | 4.21       |
|          | Particle size distribution                     | 4.21       |
|          | Particle geometry and characteristic           | 4.27       |
|          | Slenderness ratio                              | 4.27       |
|          | Particle shape                                 | 4.30       |
|          | Particle surface characteristic                | 4.31       |
| 4.3.3    | Performance of particleboard                   | 4.33       |
|          | Bending properties                             | 4.34       |
|          | Internal bonding properties                    | 4.40       |
|          | Dimensional properties                         | 4.42       |
| 4.4      | Conclusions                                    | 4.47       |
| <b>5</b> | <b>GENERAL CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b> |            |
| 5.1      | General conclusions                            | 5.1        |
| 5.2      | Specific conclusion                            | 5.4        |
| 5.3      | Recommendations                                | 5.5        |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                              | <b>R.1</b> |
|          | <b>APPENDICES</b>                              | <b>A.1</b> |
|          | <b>BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR</b>                   | <b>B.1</b> |



## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |   | Page |
|-------|---|------|
| 2.1   | Distribution of Forest Plantations by selected species in Peninsular Malaysia, 2001 (hectare).                  | 2.4  |
| 2.2   | The total rubber tree planting area in Malaysia ('000 hectares) 1995-2005                                       | 2.7  |
| 2.3   | Resistance to wind and disease severity of selected potential species/clones of rubberwood.                     | 2.10 |
| 2.4   | Estimated wood volume of selected potential species/clones for timber production                                | 2.12 |
| 2.6   | List of the most common parentage of <i>Hevea brasiliensis</i> origin clone that are planted in Malaysia        | 2.15 |
| 2.7   | Wood density of rubber tree clones at different age.  | 2.23 |
| 2.8   | Fibre morphology of different clones of rubber tree   | 2.25 |
| 2.9   | Chemical composition of different rubber tree clone   | 2.30 |
| 3.1   | Fibre morphology of different clones of rubberwood  | 3.13 |
| 3.2   | List of parentage for rubber tree clones used in this study   | 3.15 |
| 3.3   | The specific gravity and fibre wall ratio of different rubberwood clones planted at different planting density. | 3.23 |

|     |  |      |
|-----|--|------|
| 3.4 | The frequency and diameter of vessel of different rubberwood clones at 25x magnification                       | 3.27 |
| 4.1 | Dimension of test specimens  | 4.14 |
| 4.2 | Buffering Capacity of different rubberwood clones  | 4.18 |
| 4.3 | Hardness perpendicular to grain of wood from different rubber tree clones.                                     | 4.26 |
| 4.4 | The slenderness ratio of different particle class (size) from different clones of rubberwood                   | 4.28 |
| 4.5 | Summary of ANOVA for the effects of different clones on the properties of particleboard                        | 4.33 |
| 4.6 | Strength and stiffness of particleboard produced from various clones of rubberwood                             | 4.35 |
| 4.7 | The effect of various rubberwood clones on the thickness swelling (TS) and water absorption (WA) of the board. | 4.42 |



## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |   | Page |
|--------|---|------|
| 2.1    | Rubber tree replanted area 1996-2005  | 2.6  |
| 3.2    | Sampling of wood material from RRIM 2000 series for wood basic properties, adhesion properties and particleboard manufacture  | 3.6  |
| 3.2    | Sampling of wood fibres for fibre morphology evaluation   | 3.8  |
| 3.3    | Image analyzer (Leitz DMRB) used for evaluation of fibre morphology   | 3.8  |
| 3.4    | The Densitometer (Metler Toledo AG204) used for specific gravity determination  | 3.10 |
| 3.5    | a); Overall wide shape of fibre from clone PB 260 with shorter tips, (b); Fibre from clone RRIM 2002 with long-tapered tips, (c); Fibre from clone RRIM 2020 with long-tapered tips and, (d); Fibre from clone RRIM 2025 with long-tapered tips (magnification 100x)  | 3.12 |
| 3.6    | The anticlinal and periclinal division in cambium cells   | 3.17 |
| 3.7    | Illustration of tree growth pattern from tangential view (a) dense stand where: (i) radial increment is smaller, (ii) distribution of fusiform initial in vascular cambium is vertically scattered and (iii) fibre formation retain the original length of the fusiform initial, (b); Less dense stand where: (i) radial increment is greater, (ii) distribution of fusiform initial in vascular cambium is radially which radially scattered and (iii) fibre formation prong to lengthen from the original size of fusiform initial. | 3.21 |

|      |  |      |
|------|--|------|
| 3.8  | Cross section of wood from (a) matured clone PB 260; (b) 4-year old clone RRIM 2002; (c) 4-year old clone RRIM 2020; (d) 4-year old clone RRIM 2025              | 3.25 |
| 3.9  | The size and frequency of vessel (a) matured clone PB 260; (b) 4-year old clone 2002; (c) 4-year old clone 2020; (d) 4-year old clone 2025 at 25 x magnification | 3.27 |
| 3.10 | Moisture content of different clones   | 3.30 |
| 3.11 | The illustration of fibre proportion for clones (a) RRIM 2020 and RRIM 2025; (b) RRIM 2002   | 3.32 |
| 4.1  | The determination coefficients on the effect of the density of Norway spruce wood on the compression strength along the grains (Pazdrowski and Neyman, 2003)     | 4.2  |
| 4.2  | Contact angle measurement  | 4.6  |
| 4.3  | AB Lorentzen and Wetter (L-W) surface wettability tester   | 4.7  |
| 4.4  | Stereo light microscope (Leica MZ 8) attached with image analyser  | 4.9  |
| 4.5  | The scanning electron microscope (SEM) (Jeol JSM 6400)   | 4.10 |
| 4.6  | Cutting pattern of test specimens  | 4.12 |
| 4.7  | Illustration of three point static bending test  | 4.14 |
| 4.8  | Internal Bonding (IB) test specimen  | 4.15 |



|      |  |      |
|------|--|------|
| 4.9  | Comparative stability of different clones of rubberwood towards acid   | 4.19 |
| 4.10 | Comparative stability of different clones of rubberwood towards alkaline   | 4.19 |
| 4.11 | Particle size distribution of different rubber tree clones   | 4.22 |
| 4.12 | a; A section of the knife ring and impeller showing flaking taking place, b; The close up view of flaker knives slash/slice action to convert chips into particle form.  | 4.25 |
| 4.13 | Samples of wood particles from class 3 size (1.0-2.0cm) (a) clean cut uniform size PB 260 particles and fibrous multi-size particles (b) RRIM 2002, (c) RRIM 2020 and (d) RRIM 2025  | 4.29 |
| 4.14 | a) The rectangular or flat end or tapered shape and free-fibrillation of PB 260 particles, b) The particle-end fibrillation of 4-year old clones particle producing, c) The fibrillation of entire particle body of 4-year old clone particles producing irregular shapes particles, d) Top view of severe fibrillation on particle-end of 4-year old clone particles, e) Side view of severe fibrillation on particle-end of 4-year old clone particles | 4.30 |
| 4.15 | a) Particles of PB 260 show the smooth surface under 150x magnification using SEM, b) Particles of RRIM 2000 series clones show rough surface under 150x magnification using SEM, c) Particles of RRIM 2000 series clones show severe “wound” surface under 100x magnification using SEM   | 4.32 |
| 4.16 | The schematic illustration different particles types and effects (a) matured and (b) 4-year old clones. The variations were consequence the different effect to board performance.   | 4.40 |
| 4.17 | Internal bond properties of particleboard produced from various clones of rubberwood   | 4.42 |



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

|           |   |
|-----------|---|
| ANOVA     | Analysis of Variance                            |
| DOS       | Department of Statistic                         |
| EMC       | Equilibrium Moisture Content                    |
| FAO       | Food and Agriculture Organization               |
| FRIM      | Forest Research Institute of Malaysia           |
| FRPC      | Fibre Reinforced Plastic Composite              |
| GDP       | Gross Domestic Products                         |
| ha        | Hectare   |
| IB        | Internal Bond                                   |
| ITTO      | International Timber Trade Organization         |
| JIS       | Japanese Industrial Standards                   |
| LGM / MRB | Lembaga Getah Malaysia / Malaysian Rubber Board |
| LSD       | Least Significant Difference                    |
| MC        | Moisture Content                                |
| MDF       | Medium Density Fiberboard                       |
| MOE       | Modulus of Elasticity                           |
| MOR       | Modulus of Rupture                              |
| MTC       | Malaysia Timber Council                         |
| MTIB      | Malaysia Timber Industry Board                  |
| MUF       | Melamine Urea Formaldehyde                      |



|       |   |
|-------|---|
| PFE   | Permanent Forest Estate                           |
| RH    | Relative Humidity                                 |
| RISDA | Rubber Industry Smallholder Development Authority |
| SAS   | Statistical Analysis System                       |
| SG    | Specific Gravity                                  |
| TAPPI | Technical Association of Pulp and Paper           |
| TS    | Thickness Swelling                                |
| UF    | Urea Formaldehyde                                 |
| USDA  | United States Department of Agriculture           |
| WA    | Water Absorption                                  |



# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 General Background

The export of Malaysian wood based products in 2005 was worth 21.5 billion. Six percent of this amount or RM 1.3 billion were contributed by medium density fibreboard (MDF) and particleboard (Anonymous, 2006a). Since mid 1990's Malaysia has over taken Indonesia and Brazil in becoming the leading producer and world largest exporter of composite panels (ITTO, 2004). According to Najib *et al.* (1997), both particleboard and MDF industries in Malaysia rely 70% of its raw material on rubberwood.

Rubberwood (*Hevea brasiliensis*) which is also known as heveawood, parawood or Malaysian Oak, has shown remarkable success as material for composite industries due to it's light-colour and fine texture with indistinct variation between heartwood and sapwood, suitable strength and good machining properties.

The average consumption of rubberwood logs below 7.5 cm diameter by these industries is estimated to be around 2,000,000 m<sup>3</sup> annually and this amount is expected to increase as a result of chipboard plant expansion by





Mieco Chipboard Sdn Bhd in Kuantan, Pahang (ITTO, 2005). The increase in total consumption, coupled with anticipated reduction in the number of rubber trees to be felled due to the increase in latex price, would inevitably create a dire need to seek for new rubberwood material for these industries.

In the current practice, rubberwood is only extracted from plantation after the rubber tree reaches 25-30 years where it is no longer economical to produce latex (Najib *et al.*, 1997). This practice seems no longer suitable since a substantial amount of rubber tree plantation areas have been converted into oil palm planting schemes. Hence, instead of relying on matured tree stands, the new approach would be to utilize rubberwood in their early growing stage and to implement new planting system *i.e.*, high planting density, to meet the demand by the wood-based products sector especial for composite panel.

To address this issue Lembaga Getah Malaysia (LGM), through the systematic breeding program, has identified 27 clones of the RRIM 2000 series to be planted in their experimental plots of which thirteen (13) clones are Latex Timber Clones (LTC). The latter clones are expected to produce not solely latex but also timber due to the generation of large tree diameter hence increased wood volume per hectare. All rubber tree clones have been categorized by LGM into two groups (Group I & Group II). Each group was then further classified into two subgroups, which are Latex Timber Clones